

# IRVIN HERITAGE

---

## INC.

### Stage 1 & 2

### Archaeological Assessment Report

328 Victoria Road South & 588 Stone Road East  
Lots 10-12, Division G  
City of Guelph  
Historic Township of Guelph  
Historic County of Wellington

December 12, 2025

Prepared for: The Proponent

Prepared by: Irvin Heritage Inc.

Archaeological Licensee: Thomas Irvin, P379

PIF#: P379-0629-2023

Related PIF#(s): P042-047, 1992-094, P272-017-2008, P007-775-2016, P141-034-2006 & P083-124-2011

Version: Original

---

[contact@irvinheritage.com](mailto:contact@irvinheritage.com)

Office: 647-799-4418 Cell: 647-656-4810

355 Harry Walker Parkway North, Unit 7, Newmarket ON L3Y 7B8

[www.irvinheritage.com](http://www.irvinheritage.com)

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Irvin Heritage Inc. was contracted by the proponent to conduct a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment in support of a development application for a Study Area which is approximately 117.28 Ha in size. The Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment report on herein was completed to facilitate the construction of an innovation district with both residential and commercial components.

The Study Area has been subject to numerous archaeological assessments, at times identifying and re-locating sites. Irvin Heritage Inc. was retained to conducted additional Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey of portions identified as requiring such following a previously conducted Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment by ARA and reported on in 2017.

Irvin Heritage Inc. conducted pedestrian surveys of portions of the Study Area as well as Test Pit Survey, Judgmental Test Pit Survey and Test Unit Excavation.

Various archaeological resources not sufficiently investigated in any of the previous assessments were subject to focused and appropriate survey to determine their Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. Further, a review of data related to some sites previously recommended for Stage 3 Assessment have now been recommended for no further assessment as they have been sufficiently assessed in previous assessment and retain no further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

Given the results and conclusions of the completed Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment, the following recommendations are made:

- It is the professional opinion of the archaeological licensee, Thomas Irvin (P379) that the identified Findspots (as found by IHI) #1, #2, #3, #4, and #5 have been sufficiently documented in the assessment undertaken and retain no further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. No further archaeological investigation is required per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists
- It is the professional opinion of the archaeological licensee, Thomas Irvin (P379) that Site 1 AjHb-87 and Site 4 AjHb-89, as identified and recommended for Stage 3 Assessment by ARA, no longer require Stage 3 Assessment and have been sufficiently documented in all

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

previous assessments undertaken and retain no further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. No further archaeological investigation is required per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists

- The identified area of the Guelph Turfgrass Institute site (AjHb-27) as identified by IHI retains Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, as such a Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation is recommended conforming to the following methodologies:
  - A 5 m grid is to be installed with a tape and transit over the limits of the site
  - Unit excavation is to be completed on a 5 m grid over the site extent
  - A minimum of 20% of the excavated grid units must be excavated as exploratory units.
  - All excavation units are to be 1 m x 1 m excavated in systematic or standardized intervals, by hand, into the first 5 cm of subsoil.
  - All excavated soils are to be screened through an aperture no greater than 6 mm
  - All artifacts are to be retained via their site provenience
  - If excavation resulted in the identification of potential cultural features, excavation shall cease and the unit be subject to documentation, covered with geo-textile cloth and backfilled
  - All excavated units are to be backfilled unless instructed otherwise the land owner
- The identified area of the Sanders Site, formally Sites 2 and 5 (AjHb-88) as identified by IHI retains Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, as such a Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation is recommended conforming to the following methodologies:
  - A 5 m grid is to be installed with a tape and transit over the limits of the site
  - Unit excavation is to be completed on a 5 m grid over the site extent
  - A minimum of 20% of the excavated grid units must be excavated as exploratory units.
  - All excavation units are to be 1 m x 1 m excavated in systematic or standardized intervals, by hand, into the first 5 cm of subsoil.
  - All excavated soils are to be screened through an aperture no greater than 6 mm
  - All artifacts are to be retained via their site provenience
  - If excavation resulted in the identification of potential cultural features, excavation shall cease and the unit be subject to documentation, covered with geo-textile cloth and backfilled
  - All excavated units are to be backfilled unless instructed otherwise the land owner

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

- It is the professional opinion of the archaeological licensee, Thomas Irvin (P379) that the Study Area has been sufficiently assessed, and aside from the above noted archaeological resources, is free of further archaeological concern.
- Notwithstanding the above recommendations, the provided Advice On Compliance With Legislation shall take precedent over any recommendations of this report should deeply buried archaeological resources or human remains be found during any future earthworks within the Study Area.
- A Partial Clearance is requested and to support this it is requested that the Ministry provide a letter confirming that there are no further concerns with regard to alteration to Isolated Findspots #1, #2, #3, #4, #5 and archaeological sites Site 1 AjHb-87 and Site 4 AjHb-89.
- In support of the Partial Clearance request the proponent supports the Avoidance Strategy along with the written confirmation of such, as provided as Supplemental Documentation to this report that archaeological sites requiring further archaeological investigation will be avoided in any earthworks and their respective No-Go and Monitoring buffers be respected. Please see Supplemental Documentation as submitted in PastPort.
- In support of the Partial Clearance request the proponent has provided confirmation that the construction schedule will be provided to a licensed consultant archaeologist who will monitor all construction within the 50 m monitoring buffer, and be empowered to stop construction if there is a concern for impact to the avoided archaeological sites. Please see Supplemental Documentation as submitted in PastPort.
- In support for the Partial Clearance request the proponent has provided a timeline for completing remaining archaeological fieldwork. Please see Supplemental Documentation as submitted in PastPort.
- Notwithstanding the above recommendations, the provided Advice On Compliance With Legislation shall take precedent over any recommendations of this report should deeply buried archaeological resources or human remains be found during any future earthworks within the Study Area.

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1. ASSESSMENT CONTEXT</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1. DEVELOPMENT CONTEXT	8
1.2. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	8
<b>2. INDIGENOUS CONTEXT</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1. INDIGENOUS PEOPLES ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT	9
<b>3. HISTORICAL CONTEXT</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1. TREATY HISTORY	12
3.2. COUNTY HISTORY	13
3.3. TOWNSHIP HISTORY	14
3.4. STUDY AREA HISTORY	15
<b>4. ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1. REGISTERED ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES	15
4.2. RELATED AND/OR ADJACENT ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS	16
4.3. ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS & REGISTERED SITES ANALYSIS	27
4.4. CEMETERIES & BURIALS	29
4.5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT/MASTER PLAN	29
4.6. HERITAGE PROPERTIES	29
4.7. HISTORIC PLAQUES	29
4.8. STUDY AREA ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL	29
<b>5. STAGE 1 ANALYSIS &amp; CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>6. STAGE 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT SURVEY</b>	<b>30</b>
6.1. ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY METHODOLOGY	30
6.2. IDENTIFIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES BY IRVIN HERITAGE INC.	32
<b>7. STAGE 2 RECORD OF FINDS</b>	<b>33</b>
7.1. ISOLATED FINDSPOTS	33
7.2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES	34
7.3. SANDERS SITE AJHB-88: DIAGNOSTIC ARTIFACT ANALYSIS AND SITE DATING	50
7.4. ARTIFACT ANALYSIS OVERVIEW & HOLDINGS	52
<b>8. STAGE 2 ANALYSIS &amp; CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>9. STAGE 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>10. IMAGES</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>11. ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>12. FIGURES</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>13. MAPS</b>	<b>97</b>

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

13.1.MAP 1: STUDY AREA LOCATION	98
13.2.MAP 2: STUDY AREA TOPOGRAPHIC DETAIL	99
13.3.MAP 3: STUDY AREA ENVIRONMENTAL DETAIL	100
13.4.MAP 4: STUDY AREA ATOP 1861 MAP	101
13.5.MAP 5: STUDY AREA ATOP 1877 MAP	102
13.6.MAP 6: PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS	103
13.7.MAP 7: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - METHODOLOGIES & PHOTO PLATES	104
13.8.MAP 8: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - INDIGENOUS ISOLATED FINDSPOTS	105
13.9.MAP 9: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - INDIGENOUS SITES NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT	106
13.10.MAP 10: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - EURO-CNDN SITES NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT	107
13.11.MAP 11: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - TEST PITS ATOP FINDSPOTS 7 & 8 (CAGI) WITHIN SITE 1 AJHB-87 (ARA)	108
13.12.MAP 12: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - SITES RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT	109
13.13.MAP 13: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - SITE AREA & CSP DETAIL	110
13.14.MAP 14: STAGE 2 RESULTS OF ASSESSMENT - SITE AREA & PARTIAL CLEARANCE REQUEST	111
<b>14. REFERENCES</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>15. APPENDICES</b>	<b>115</b>

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

### **Project Personnel**

Professional Licensee & Project Manager: Thomas Irvin, MA (P379)

Field Director(s): Thomas Irvin, MA (P379)

Kathleen McGowan, Hon. BA (R1299)

Diego Jimenez, Hon. BSc (R1371)

Assistant Field Director(s): Sajeev Bhagowtee, BA

Liam Andrews, Hon. BA (R1400)

Patrick Keenan, MA (R1424)

Samuel Kelly, Hon. BA

Field Archaeologists: Erik Bobechko, BSc

Abbas Chaudhry, Hon. BA

Angie Chudecki, Hon. BA

Sophia Davoli

João Paulo Dos Santos, BA

Rachel Fawcett, MA

Bronwyn Kuehl, BA

Taylor Lam

Alessandro Metropoulos, BA

Amelia Millson, Hon. BA

Alannah O'Shea, MA

MacKayla Perry-Wessler, Hon. BSc

Jacob Stante

Report Author(s): Thomas Irvin, MA (P379)

Kathleen McGowan, Hon. BA (R1299)

Historic Research: Kathleen McGowan, Hon. BA (R1299)

Laboratory Processing & Analysis: Sajeev Bhagowtee, BA

Diego Jimenez, Hon. BSc (R1371)

GIS Mapping: Thomas Irvin, MA (P379)

Internal Review: Michelle Pandith, Hon. Bsc.

Indigenous Nations Engagement: HDI

Six Nations of the Grand River  
Mississaugas of the Credit

## 1. ASSESSMENT CONTEXT

### 1.1. Development Context

Irvin Heritage Inc. was retained by the proponent to conduct a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessments of their property (the Study Area) located at 328 Victoria Road South & 588 Stone Road East, Lots 10-12, Division G, City of Guelph, Historic Township of Guelph in the Historic County of Wellington (Map 1).

The requirement for an Archaeological Assessment was triggered by the Approval Authority in response to a Draft Plan of Subdivision under the Planning Act and via the recommendations of a previously completed Stage 1 & 2 Archaeological Assessment report (ARA 2016). The assessment reported on herein was undertaken after direction by the Approval Authority and before formal application submission. The Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey has been completed for the limits of the Site Plan with a buffer around areas that have been previously assessed and followed accordance with the expectations of the Archaeology Program Unit of the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship & Multiculturalism.

The Archaeological Assessment reported on was undertaken for a portion of the approximately 117.28 Ha Study Area. The majority of the Study Area has previously been assessed.

### 1.2. Environmental Setting

The Study Area is irregular in shape, approximately 117.28 Ha in size, and is predominantly active and fallow agricultural lands, heavily landscaped recreational lands, and treed areas with an extant, occupied, and serviced structure and its associated parking pad, manicured lawns, and out buildings (Map 2 & 3).

The Study Area is situated within the Eramosa River Watershed which drains into the Upper Grand River (OMNRF 2025).

The Eramosa River abuts and runs adjacent to the Study Areas northern border. A retention pond is located within the Study Area.

The Study Area is situated within the Guelph Drumlin Field (11) physiographic region of Southern Ontario (Chapman & Putnam 1984).

## 2. INDIGENOUS CONTEXT

### 2.1. Indigenous Peoples Archaeological Context

A search was conducted within the Sites Module of the provincial PastPort System for all registered Indigenous archaeological sites within a 5 km radius of the Study Area. The Sites Module is the online registry of all known and registered archaeological sites and is maintained by the Archaeology Program Unit of the Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism (MCM). This determined that a total of 71 such sites have been registered as of the date noted above.

This baseline review was conducted to place the specific Study Area within the known archaeological landscape of the surrounding area, in specific relation to inferred land use patterns by Indigenous peoples. A 5 km radius was chosen, by the licensee, to sample the registered archaeological landscape in which the Study Area is situated by reviewing sites identified as 'Pre-Contact' and/or 'Indigenous'. It should be noted that low numbers, or an absence of registered archaeological sites, is directly tied to the degree of archaeological survey conducted within the search area. Further, absence or productivity of sites may not accurately reflect the land use patterns of Indigenous peoples within the landscape.

Generally the archaeological understanding of Ontario's history is broken down into 7 periods.

TABLE 1: PERIODS OF ONTARIO

Common Period Name in Ontario	Time Period
Paleo Period	10,000 - 8,000 BCE
Early Archaic Period	8,000 - 6,000 BCE
Middle Archaic Period	6,000 - 2,500 BCE
Late Archaic Period	2,500 - 1,000 BCE
Early Woodland Period	1,000 - 200 BCE
Middle Woodland Period	200 BCE - 600 CE
Late Woodland Period	800 - 1650 CE

Within the data reviewed for this assessment, it is of note that there is archaeological evidence of Indigenous interaction with the landscape from a wide variety of time periods; Paleo (n=1), Archaic (n=24), Woodland (n=8), and Post-Contact & Post-Contact (n=39). The data available does not indicate a specific trend in inferred land use however, campsite/special purpose sites

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

(n=13) do support that the landscape was utilized for at minimum short term occupations. Overall, the general landscape in which the Study Area is situated has been inhabited by Indigenous peoples across a broad spectrum of time periods and of a variety of cultural affiliations for at minimum short term habitation and resource procurement.

TABLE 1: REGISTERED INDIGENOUS SITES WITHIN 5 KM RADIUS OF STUDY AREA

Site Periods & Types	# of Registered Sites
<b>Pre-Contact</b>	<b>34</b>
(blank)	17
scatter	17
Aboriginal	16
findspot	10
scatter	3
Othercamp/campsite	2
(blank)	1
Unknown	1
scatter	1
<b>Archaic, Late</b>	<b>8</b>
Aboriginal	8
findspot	6
Othercamp/campsite	2
<b>Archaic, Early</b>	<b>6</b>
(blank)	4
findspot	3
OtherEarly Archaic site	1
Aboriginal	2
findspot	1
Othercamp/campsite	1
<b>Archaic, Middle</b>	<b>5</b>
Aboriginal	3
findspot	2
Unknown, findspot	1

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Site Periods & Types	# of Registered Sites
(blank)	2
findspot	2
<b>Post-Contact, Pre-Contact</b>	<b>5</b>
Aboriginal, Euro-Canadian	5
hunting, midden	2
findspot, homestead	1
hunting	1
midden	1
<b>Woodland, Early</b>	<b>3</b>
(blank)	2
findspot	1
OtherSite	1
Aboriginal	1
Othercamp/campsite	1
<b>Woodland, Late</b>	<b>2</b>
Aboriginal, Iroquoian	1
findspot	1
Aboriginal	1
findspot	1
<b>Archaic</b>	<b>2</b>
Aboriginal	2
(blank)	2
<b>Woodland, Middle</b>	<b>1</b>
Aboriginal	1
scatter	1
<b>Archaic, Late, Archaic, Middle</b>	<b>1</b>
Aboriginal	1
Othercamp/campsite	1
<b>Archaic, Late, Woodland, Early</b>	<b>1</b>

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Site Periods & Types	# of Registered Sites
Aboriginal	1
findspot	1
<b>Archaic, Early, Archaic, Middle</b>	<b>1</b>
Aboriginal	1
Othercamp/campsite	1
<b>Woodland</b>	<b>1</b>
(blank)	1
findspot	1
<b>Paleo-Indian, Early</b>	<b>1</b>
Aboriginal	1
Othercamp/campsite	1

*It should be noted that this list contains site types and designations created in the 20th/21st century and may not accurately reflect the true nature or purpose of the identified sites.*

### 3. HISTORICAL CONTEXT

#### 3.1. Treaty History

The following Treaty No. 3 information is provided by the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation:

*The arrival of Loyalists during and after the American Revolutionary War placed pressure on the British Crown to find lands on which to settle the newcomers. Among the Loyalists were approximately 2000 members of the Six Nations who had lost their homes fighting on behalf of the Crown. Seeking to reward his First Nation allies for their loyalty during the war, Governor Haldimand offered homes to the Six Nations refugees in the remaining British colonies. One group of the Six Nations Loyalists settled at the eastern end of Lake Ontario, while another group, under the leadership of Mohawk Chief Joseph Brant, selected the Grand River Valley as an area for settlement. Recognizing that under the terms of the Royal Proclamation of 1763 the land needed to be purchased from its owners before the resettlement of the Grand River Valley could begin, Col. John Butler was sent to negotiate with the Mississaugas at the western end of Lake Ontario. On May 22, 1784, for the sum of £1180 worth of trade goods, the Mississaugas of the Credit ceded to the Crown approximately 3 000 000 acres of land located between Lakes Huron, Ontario, and Erie. Of those lands, some*

*550 000 acres were granted to the Six Nations in the Haldimand Proclamation of October 25, 1784, with the remainder to be utilized for the settlement of other Loyalists. The land grant to the Six Nations was to extend six miles on both sides of the Grand River from its mouth to its source. When it was later discovered that the upper limits of the Between the Lakes Treaty were in error due to faulty geographical assumptions, actual boundaries were defined and a confirming document signed by the Mississaugas and the Crown in 1792. Major population centres found within the boundaries of the Between the Lakes Treaty include Hamilton, Cambridge, Waterloo, Guelph, Brantford, and St. Catharines. The present location of the Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation Reserve is located on Between the Lakes Treaty lands. (MCFN 2023)*

The Study Area is located within the boundaries of the Between the Lakes Treaty No. 3. This treaty was signed on December 7, 1792 by Chiefs and Principle Women of the Mississauga Nation and John Graves Simcoe on behalf of the British Crown. The treaty includes over 3 million acres between Lake Ontario and Lake Erie. It extends along the northeastern shore of Lake Erie to outside of Port Bruce where it shares its western border with the McKee Purchase, London Township Purchase, and Huron Tract Purchase. It extends north to approximately Arthur and then southeast to Indian Point, Burlington. On the east its bordered by the Ajetance Purchase, the Head of the Lake Purchase, and the Brant Tract. The Between the Lakes Treaty is split into two sections with the Haldimand Tract running directly down the middle of the treaty lands just shy of 10km on either side the Grand River (MIA 2023).

### 3.2. County History

Wellington County is a highly irregularly shaped land locked county in central Southwestern Ontario. It is watered by the Grand River and contains a number of large lakes. Wellington County prior to 1999 was made up of 12 Townships and bordered 8 Counties. Modernly it contains 7 municipalities (Wellington County 2023). Its largest population center is the City of Guelph (HAPC 1906)(Mika & Mika 1983).

Administratively, the 2660 square kilometres of Wellington were first designated within the District of Hesse in 1788, renamed the Western District in 1792, and then the District of Gore in 1826. Before becoming the County of Wellington in 1849 Wellington County was part of the 1838 Wellington District that also contained Waterloo, Grey, and portions of Dufferin Counties (MOPBSD 2022)(Mika & Mika 1983). Wellington County was administratively tied to Waterloo

County until 1854 at which point it formed its own County Council in Guelph (Mika & Mika 1983). The name Wellington was in honour of Arthur Wellesley, First Duke of Wellington (Mika & Mika 1983)

The townships of Wellington County were surveyed in the first half of the 1800s with most surveyed around 1819-1830. The first settlers to take up land in the County were from the British Isles and Ireland. They relied on land clearing and farming and by the mid 1800s the County was well noted for its quality of livestock (HAPC 1906). The first railway in Wellington County was completed in 1852, connecting Guelph to Toronto (GAPC 1906). Guelph took on more fame with the development of an Agricultural College in 1874 which thanks to the partnership of a Veterinary College and MacDonald College would become the most prominent agricultural school in the Commonwealth by 1954. Eventually these colleges became the University of Guelph in 1964 (Mika & Mika 1983)(HAPC 1906). Modernly, the County of Wellington remains largely agrarian with the City of Guelph making up a significant portion of its economic variation and population.

### 3.3. Township History

Guelph Township, located in Wellington County, Ontario, has a history that predates European settlement and reflects both Indigenous presence and colonial history (Stelter 2012). Archaeological evidence indicates that Indigenous people, inhabited the region as early as 11,000 years ago, using the land for hunting, farming, and settlement (Stelter 2012). Later, The Neutral peoples (Attawandaron) inhabited the area until their decline in the 15th century (City of Guelph 2025). By the late 17th century, the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation, part of the Anishinaabek peoples, occupied the area (City of Guelph 2025). In 1784, the British Crown purchased this land from the Mississaugas under Upper Canada Treaty No. 3, opening it to European colonization (City of Guelph 2025).

The township's colonial history began with the Canada Company, a British firm tasked with settling Upper Canada's Huron Tract. On April 23, 1827, John Galt, the company's superintendent and Scottish novelist, founded the settlement of Guelph by felling a maple tree, an act that also marked the township's origins (Stelter 2012). Galt named Guelph after British Royal family, honouring King George IV, and designed it as a hub for surrounding agricultural lands (City of Guelph 2025).

Guelph Township's growth accelerated with the arrival of the Grand Trunk Railway in 1856, connecting it to the burgeoning City of Guelph and broader markets (City of Guelph 2025). Incorporated into Wellington County, the township remained agriculturally focused while supporting the regions industrial and educational rise, including the establishment of the Ontario Agricultural College in 1874 (Stelter 2012).

### 3.4. Study Area History

A review of historical resources resulted in the following data relevant to the Study Area:

#### **Map 5: Map of the County of Wellington, Canada West (Leslie & Wheelock 1861)**

The Study Area is situated within Lots 10-12, Division G. The land containing the Study Area is listed under the ownership of Henry Sanders. There are no structures within or directly adjacent to the Study Area. The Study Area is abutting 2 noted transportation roads as well as a river.

#### **Map 6: "Guelph" (Walker & Miles 1877)**

The Study Area is situated within Lots 10-12, Division G. The land containing the Study Area is listed under the ownership of H J Sanders, P McQuillan, & J McQuillan. There are 3 structures within the Study Area each associated with one of the listed owners. The Study Area is abutting 2 noted transportation roads as well as the "Eramosa Branch of the River Speed".

The following should be noted in regard to the review of historic maps:

- Study Area placement within historic maps is only approximate
- Many historic maps were subscriber based, meaning only individuals who paid a fee would have their property details mapped

## 4. ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

### 4.1. Registered Archaeological Sites

A search of the Ontario Sites Database conducted using a Study Area centroid of 17T E 563465 N 4822113 indicated that there are 9 registered archaeological sites within a 1 km radius of the Study Area. Four of the registered archaeological sites are within the Study Area: AjHb-27; AjHb-87; AjHb-88; AjHb-89.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

TABLE 3: SITES WITHIN 1 KM

Borden #	Site Name	Time Period	Affinity	Site Type
AjHb-89	None Provided	Post-Contact	None Provided	house
AjHb-88	None Provided	Post-Contact	None Provided	house
AjHb-87	None Provided	Post-Contact	None Provided	house
AjHb-86	Harrison House	Post-Contact	Euro-Canadian	agricultural, farmstead, homestead, house, outbuilding, residential
AjHb-76	Martin site	None Provided	None Provided	None Provided
AjHb-72	Murphy	Post-Contact	Euro-Canadian	homestead
AjHb-37	None Provided	Post-Contact	Euro-Canadian	homestead
AjHb-27	Turf Grass Institute	Archaic, Early, Archaic, Middle	Aboriginal	Othercamp/campsite
AjHb-120	Location 2	Post-Contact	Euro-Canadian	scatter

### 4.2. Related and/or Adjacent Archaeological Assessments

A review of Archaeological Assessment reports currently accepted into the provincial register of archaeological reports resulted in the following:

#### Reports Completed within the Study Area:

PIF/CIF#: P042-047

Consultant Firm: Fisher Archaeological Consulting (FAC)

Report Title: *Cultural Heritage Assessment for the Ministry of Agriculture and Food MBS Facilities,*

*Stage 1: Archaeological Background Research, Final Report* (FAC 2005)

#### Executive Summary:

As part of their heritage inventory project, the Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC) and the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food (OMAF) have retained Fisher Archaeological Consulting (FAC) to conduct the Stage 1: Archaeological Background Research on the G.M. Frost Research and Information Centre (Turf Grass Institute), and the Victoria Road Intercropping Site, City of Guelph (Figure 1). The subject property formerly part of Correctional services, became associated with Guelph University in the 1970's and the Turf Grass Institute in 1987

*This Stage 1 report has been prepared for review by the Ontario Ministry of Culture (MCL). The report is designed to provide a summary of the areas of moderate to high archaeological potential, and to specify*

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

*those areas that have already been impacted or are of low archaeological potential within the property limits. The report will follow the MBS Cultural Historic Inventory guidelines.*

*This report comprises a Stage 1: Background Study, as outlined in the Archaeological Assessment Technical Guidelines (MCzCR:1993; now MCL). Archaeological consultants, licensed by MCL, are required to follow these guidelines during land use planning as part of the evaluation of cultural heritage resources. There are four stages for archaeological work.*

*Stage 1 involves background research and "windshield" survey. The purposes of the Stage 1 archaeological assessment are twofold, first to determine the potential for the presence of as yet undocumented cultural heritage resources, and second, to determine whether known cultural heritage resources are extant on the subject land(s).*

*Stage 2 is the actual field examination of high potential areas, and involves either surface survey of ploughed fields or shovel testing in areas that are undisturbed or cannot be cultivated. The remaining two Stages (3 & 4) pertain to the testing and excavation(s) needed once archaeological sites or findspots have been found during the Stage 2 assessment. Stage 1 determines the amount of Stage 2 work that is to be needed. Stage 2 determines if Stage 3 is warranted, and Stage 3 in turn determines if the archaeological resources are significant and warrant a full excavation (Stage 4) or if the site may be preserved.*

*This Stage 1 report has been produced under the Provincial archaeological license P042 and pertains to Contract Information Form P042-047.*

*(FAC 2005)*

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was conducted for the entirety of the Study Area prior to the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (MTC 2011). This Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment recommends further Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment within the Study Area.

**PIF/CIF#:** 1992-094(NOCIF#)-3

**Consultant Firm:** John MacDonald (1992-94)

**Report Title:** Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27) Locus B Test Excavations, Guelph, Ontario (IHI 2020)

**Executive Summary:**

*The Turf Grass Institute Site (TGIS) consists of several loci, or concentrations, of lithic scatter located on a terrace overlooking the Eramosa River on the eastern boundary of the city of Guelph (Figure 1). Surface collections indicated a wide range of Archaic occupations were present, dating from circa 8500-2800 B.P. Although not the largest or oldest, locus B was selected for initial test excavation due to a more concentrated and higher yielding artifact recovery rate. It was hoped that more data could be retrieved in the limited time allotted for excavation from this locus, and possibly even features*

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

*The TGIS excavation was to be initially part of a summer employment project conducted in association with a survey of the Eramosa River drainage basin. Since no one individual was found to conduct both aspects of the project, the author volunteered to direct the site excavation as a weekend project. This allowed over thirty volunteers to participate in the dig, most for the first time.*

*Fifty-five square metres were excavated by enthusiastic volunteer labour on July weekends in 1992. Removal and screening of stoney clay-loam topsoil yielded a wide range of tools, debitage, cores, and projectile points. Three shallow, linear features were also uncovered. These were recorded and soils underwent flotation processing.*

*Although this multi-component Archaic site has been intensively ploughed for decades, an attempt to associate debitage and tools with diagnostic projectile points appears hopeful, as Late Archaic points were derived from areas of the locus separate from Middle Archaic points. However, three "retouched bladelets", previously known from only Early Archaic sites in Ontario, were also recovered, even though no Early Archaic points have been found on Locus B. Either these "bladelets" are Early Archaic or their manufacture and use had continued for thousands of years longer than has been recognized. (MacDonald 1992)*

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 4 Archaeological Assessment was conducted in support of the residential development of the legal property and recommends further Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey.

**PIF/CIF#:** P272-017-2008

**Consultant Firm:** Central Archaeology Group Inc. (CAGI)

**Report Title:** Stage 2 Archaeological Property Survey GM Frost Research Centre, Turf Grass Institute Agricultural Research Institute of Ontario Lots 10, 11, and 12, Concession 1, Broken Front, east side of Division G City of Guelph, Geographic Township of Guelph Wellington County, ORC Project # D00249

### **Executive Summary:**

*The Central Archaeology Group Inc. was retained by Frank Dieterman, Heritage Manager, Ontario Realty Corporation to conduct a Stage 2 archaeological property survey of Lots 10, 11, and 12, Concession 1, Broken Front, east side of Division G, in the Geographic Township of Guelph, now the City of Guelph, Wellington County. The purpose of the Stage 2 archaeological property survey was to determine whether any archaeological or cultural resources would be located within the project area, in consideration of potential future development of the property. This report details the rationale, methods, and results of this property survey and the information presented in this report is intended to inform future planning decisions with respect to the property. The study area undergoing assessment will be referred to as the GM Frost Research Centre property throughout the report. The GM Frost Research Centre property is bounded by Victoria Road South to the southwest, by the Eramosa River to the west, north and northeast, and by Stone Road East to the southeast. It encompasses an area of approximately 123.43 hectares (305 acres) and can be accessed via either Victoria*

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

*Road South or Stone Road East. The GM Frost Research Centre property is comprised of limestone cliffs and outcroppings overlooking the Eramosa River, hiking/biking trails, Eramosa river floodplain (also known as bottomlands), agricultural fields, and series of terraces separated by slopes of moderate to steep pitch. Located on the highest peak of the property are the GM Frost Institute buildings, housing a number of agricultural researchers, laboratories, and maintenance facilities.*

*The Stage 2 Archaeological Property Study was conducted under the project and field direction of Derek Paauw, under professional licence P272 issued to Mr. Paauw (MoC CIF# P272-017-2008) in accordance with the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O. 1990). The archaeological assessment was undertaken according to the requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O. 1990), the Environmental Assessment Technical Act (R.S.O. 1990), the Ontario Ministry of Culture Archaeological Assessment Technical Guidelines (1993), and the Draft 2004 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists: Standards and Guidelines for Reporting Archaeological Fieldwork.*

*A Stage 1 Archaeological Background Study for the project area was conducted by Fisher Archaeological Consulting in 2005 (CIF# P042-047). The assessment found that "A Stage 2 assessment should be conducted in areas of high to moderate potential should any development occur" (2006:17). The archaeological potential map provided by Fisher Archaeological Consulting in the assessment report found that the entire GM Frost Research Centre property held the moderate to high potential for extant archaeological and cultural heritage resources to be present beneath the ground surface. These recommendations resulted in the procurement of services by the Ontario Realty Corporation and subsequent Stage 2 archaeological property survey conducted by The Central Archaeology Group Inc. in November and December 2008.*

*Permission to access the area and to carry out the activities necessary for the completion of the Stage 2 archaeological assessment was granted by Frank Dieterman, Heritage Manager, Ontario Realty Corporation. Based on the results of this Stage 2 archaeological property survey, the following recommendations are provided for consideration to the Ontario Ministry of Culture and the Ontario Realty Corporation and are subject to approval by the Ontario Ministry of Culture:*

*1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) Artifacts recovered from Site 2 indicate that it was occupied during the early nineteenth century and may represent one of the earliest habitation sites in the region. Additional archaeological research is recommended for Site 2 in order to determine the type of site. This will be accomplished by identifying sub-surface features and gathering a representative artifact sample.*

*Given the early dates established for the artifacts associated with findspots 1 and 2, additional archaeological research should be undertaken to determine the extent of the finds.*

*Given that an extensive Archaic period site was found in the vicinity of Site 5 and findspots 6 and 9, and that these areas were not previously investigated, further research should be undertaken to identify their extent.*

*Given that the artifact assemblage from Site 1 and Site 4 date from the late nineteenth century and well into the twentieth century, and considering the early date of settlement in the region, no additional archaeological research is required*

in these areas.

*The licensee will hold the archaeological collections in trust at the main office of The Central Archaeology Group Inc. in Lakefield, Ontario unless it is transferred to an appropriate public institution as per the terms and conditions of holding a licence.*

*In the event deeply buried archaeological deposits are discovered in the course of development, the Cultural Programs Branch, Ontario Ministry of Culture, should be contacted immediately at (416) 314-7123.*

*In the event human remains are encountered, the proponent should immediately contact the Cultural Programs Branch, Ontario Ministry of Culture, and the Registrar of the Cemeteries, Regulation Section of the Ontario Ministry of Consumer and Business Services at (416) 326-8404.*

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment was conducted for the majority of the Study Area.

**PIF/CIF#:** P007-0775-2016

**Consultant Firm:** Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. (ARA)

**Report Title:** Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment, Guelph Agricultural Research Institute of Ontario Lands (Guelph Turfgrass Institute), 328 Victoria Road South, City of Guelph, Part of Lot 12, Division G, Geographic Township of Guelph, Former Wellington County, Ontario (ARA 2017)

**Executive Summary:**

*Under a contract awarded in August 2016, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. carried out a Stage 2 assessment of part of the Guelph Agricultural Research Institute of Ontario Lands (Guelph Turfgrass Institute) located at 328 Victoria Road South in the City of Guelph, Ontario. The property has a relatively complex history of previous archaeological fieldwork, and the subject assessment was conducted to 1) consolidate information from past reports/studies, 2) relocate previously identified archaeological sites and 3) confirm outstanding concerns (i.e., areas requiring Stage 3 assessment) for the documented archaeological resources. The assessment was completed to satisfy Infrastructure Ontario's due diligence requirements in advance of the planned disposition of the property. This report documents the background research and fieldwork involved in the assessment, and presents conclusions and recommendations pertaining to archaeological concerns within the study area. The first documented assessment of the property occurred in 1992, when excavations were carried out at the Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27) under licence #92-094 (MacDonald 1995). Three distinct loci (A-C) were identified within an agricultural field on a terrace overlooking the Ermasa River, and both Pre-Contact (Archaic period) and Euro-Canadian artifacts were recovered. In 2005, a Stage 1 assessment was conducted for the property under Contract Information Form #P042-047 (FAC 2006). The assessment determined that the property held moderate to high potential for extant archaeological resources, and a Stage 2 assessment was recommended (FAC 2006:17). A Stage 2 assessment of the property was carried out in November and December 2008 under Project Information Form #P272-017-2008 (CAGI 2009). The assessment resulted in the discovery of five sites (Sites 1-5) and eight isolated finds*

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

(Findspots 1–8). Sites 1 and 4 were not recommended for additional archaeological research, whereas Sites 2 and 5 along with Findspots 1, 2, 6 and '9' (presumably a typographic error) were recommended for additional archaeological research. No clear recommendations were made for Site 3 and Findspots 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8 (CAGI 2009:35). Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. understands that the review of the Stage 2 report under the Archaeological Assessment Technical Guidelines (MCTR 1993) is still ongoing. The subject Stage 2 assessment was conducted between October and December 2016 under Project Information Form #P007-0775-2016. The assessment focused on seven distinct work areas located in the northwestern part of the property, encompassing the previously identified sites requiring further evaluation (Work Areas 1–6) as well as a large area identified as disturbed during the previous Stage 2 assessment that was not empirically tested (Work Area 7). Legal permission to enter and conduct all necessary fieldwork activities within the assessed lands was granted by the property owner. At the time of assessment, the study area comprised treed areas adjacent to the Eramosa River, treed and grassed research areas to the southeast, and maintained lawns and grassed research areas on either side of the driveway to the G.M. Frost Research and Information Centre. The Stage 2 assessment resulted in the successful relocation of Sites 1, 2 and 4. Given that Pre-Contact Site 5 from the previous assessment and Euro-Canadian Site 2 occupy the same space, they have been combined as multi-component Pre-Contact and Euro-Canadian Site 2 (AjHb-88). Similarly, Pre-Contact Findspots 7 and 8 from the previous assessment have been combined with Euro-Canadian Site 1 as multi-component Pre-Contact and Euro-Canadian Site 1 (AjHb-87). Sites 1, 2 and 4 were found to be of further cultural heritage value or interest. No additional archaeological materials were discovered at Site 3 and Findspots 1, 2 and 6, and it seems clear that these sites represent minor deposits of limited significance. Based on the descriptions provided in the previous Stage 2 assessment report, additional testing around Findspots 3, 4 and 5 was not warranted. After evaluating the results from the previous assessment against the criteria set out in Section 2.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (MTC 2011:39–41), Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. has determined that Site 3 and Findspots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are of no further cultural heritage value or interest. As was the case in the previous assessment, no archaeological materials were found within the reported locations (Loci A–C) of the Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27). Given the significance of the Archaic remains documented at Locus B in 1992, the cultural heritage or value of the Turf Grass Institute Site cannot be dismissed without additional archaeological assessment; accordingly, the site should be considered to be of further cultural heritage value or interest. Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. recommends that 1) Sites 1 (AjHb-87), 2 (AjHb-88), 4 (AjHb-89) and the Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27) be subject to Stage 3 site-specific assessment, 2) Site 3 does not require further archaeological assessment, 3) Findspots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 do not require further archaeological assessment, 4) the large area identified as disturbed during the previous Stage 2 assessment (Work Area 7) be subject to an additional Stage 2 assessment to confirm disturbance and 5) the remainder of the assessed area does not require further archaeological assessment. It is requested that this report be entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports, as provided for in Section 65.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act. (IHI 2020)

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was conducted in support of the residential development of the legal property and recommends further Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey.

**PIF/CIF#:** P141-034-2006

**Consultant Firm:** Archaeological Services Inc. (ASI)

**Report Title:** *Stage 1 & 2 AA of the Wellington Detention Centre property, Prt of Lots 10 & 11, Broken Front Concession, Geo. Twp of Guelph, Wellington County, City of Guelph*

**Executive Summary:**

*Archaeological Services Inc. was contracted by SNC-Lavalin Profac Inc. to conduct a Stage 1 & 2 Archaeological Assessment of the Wellington Detention Centre property, comprising part of Lots 10 & 11, Broken Front Concession, Geographic Township of Guelph, Wellington County, City of Guelph (Figure I). The subject property comprises approximately 12 hectares.*

*The assessment was conducted under the overall project direction of Dr. Shaun Austin, pursuant to the Ontario Heritage Act (R.S.O. 1990). Stage 2 fieldwork was co-directed by Dr. Robert MacDonald and Ms. Alexandra Pradzynski on June 12 and 27, 2006 under archaeological licence P141. Permission to access the land and to carry out all activities necessary for the purpose of this assessment was granted by Mr. Stuart Macdonald on April 24, 2006. The following report provides the study*

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 1 & 2 Archaeological Assessment was conducted in the south western corner of the Study Area and identified a Euro-Canadian archaeological site which was recommended for further Stage 3 Assessment.

**PIF/CIF#:** P083-125-2011

**Consultant Firm:** Timmins-Martelle Heritage Consultants (TMHC)

**Report Title:** *Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Martin Site (AjHb-76) Ontario Realty Corporation (now Infrastructure Ontario) Former Wellington Detention Centre (D06398) Part of Lots 10 & 11, Broken Front Concession Geographic Township of Guelph Now City of Guelph, Wellington County*

**Executive Summary:**

*A Stage 1 and Stage 2 archaeological assessment was previously conducted by Archaeological Services Inc. for the former Wellington Detention Centre property, a roughly 12 hectare parcel comprising part of Lots 10 and 11, Broken Front Concession, Geographic Township of Guelph, Wellington County, now within the City of Guelph.*

*The Stage 1 background study indicated the property had potential for the discovery of archaeological resources. A subsequent Stage 2 field assessment resulted in the discovery of two archaeological sites, one of which - the Martin Site (AjHb-76) - was recommended for Stage 3 testing. The site was assigned a mid- to late-19th century date and registered in the provincial database. In the spring of 2011, Timmins Martelle*

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Heritage Consultants Inc. was contracted by Ontario Realty Corporation (now Infrastructure Ontario) to carry out Stage 3 testing of the Martin Site as well as Stage 4 excavation, as it seemed likely that the site would warrant mitigation based on the information provided in the Stage 1 and 2 report. Our assessment was carried out at the request of the Infrastructure Ontario (formerly Ontario Realty Corporation) and in accordance with the Ontario Heritage Act (RSO 1990) and Ontario Regulations 249/75 and 212/82 for Realty Activities. It was conducted as part of the ORC (now Infrastructure Ontario- IO) Class Environmental Assessment Process.

The Stage 3 assessment involved the mapping of all surface artifacts and positive test pits combined with the excavation of one-metre units across the defined site area. Additional pedestrian survey and test pitting was conducted over a larger area as it was found that the information for site location and extent was incorrect, as provided in the previous project reporting and site registration form. A reconsideration of the previous archival research carried out and a re-dating of the Stage 2 artifact assemblage as also undertaken as it became evident that there were errors in the previous interpretation. The site on Lot 10 actually relates to a mapped 1906 McQuillan family structure that stood on the property until recent times (post-1869). The house was built after the death of James McQuillan, the family patriarch, in 1881. The 1906 structure is likely affiliated with Arthur McQuillan, son of James. "Tracey Martin," after whom the site was originally named, is actually Martin Tracey, a farmer with multiple landholdings on adjacent concessions. There is no good information to suggest a better tie between Tracey and the portion of Lot 10 that falls within the subject property. The "Martin" Site is situated in the exact location of the 1906 McQuillan house, within an overgrown former house lot, and its associated artifact assemblage suggests a circa 1880 to mid-20th century date, correlating to the known dates of existence for the standing structure.

Over 280 surface artifacts were noted and artifacts were recovered from 31 positive test pits assuming a maximum area of roughly 130 m (longest axis; west to east, including outliers) by 100 metres (90 degrees from longest axis; north-south), far larger than the 30 by 30 metre site area indicated by the Stage 2 findings. A total of 48 one-metre test units were excavated. Artifact counts for individual units ranged from a low of zero to a high of 358. The soils across the site were relatively homogenous with a ploughzone/topsoil layer of medium/dark brown sandy loam, overtop mottled orange/brown/yellow sandy loam subsoil. Three units (505N 270E: 1, 515N 280E: 1 and 535N 250E: 1) showed evidence of extensive soil disturbance and contained an additional layer of mixed mottled brown sandy loam. Five units generated evidence of potential subsurface features. A total of 3, 539 artifacts dating primarily to circa 1880 through mid-20th century were collected.

Based on the recovered artifacts and a reanalysis of Stage 2 findings, it was concluded that the Martin Site is not a tightly dated 1870s occupation as originally suggested, but instead a late-19th century site circa 1882/84 to post-1969. Had a more informed analysis been carried out at Stage 2, a recommendation for Stage 3 testing would not have been made as the site would not have warranted it. As such, once the problems with the Stage 2 findings became clear, Stage 3 testing ceased as it was obvious that the site should not have received such a recommendation originally. Even based on

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

*the current provincial standards, it appears that the same Stage 2 collection, when combined with a more complete summary of existing historical mapping and archival data, would not have led to a recommendation for Stage 3 testing. According to Section 3.4.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, domestic archaeological sites post-dating 1830 have cultural heritage value or interest and require Stage 4 mitigation of construction impacts if: a) most (80% or more) of the occupation of the archaeological site dates to before 1870; or b) the site is associated with the first generation of settlement of a pioneer or cultural group, even when the settlement was after 1870. The correct reading of the Stage 2 artifact assemblage, historic mapping and archival information demonstrates that the Martin Site does not qualify as having cultural heritage value or interest. The Stage 3 findings provided further support for this conclusion. The site was also not deemed to warrant the excavation of another 100 to 125 units and several additional weeks of fieldwork that would have been required to complete the level of testing across the entire newly defined site area as required by Table 3.1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Given that the misnamed Martin Site does not meet provincial criteria for cultural heritage value and interest, further investigation is not warranted and the site is not recommended for Stage 4 mitigation of construction impacts. Nonetheless, as this is an unusual case, the results and recommendations included in this report must be reviewed by the Ministry of Tourism, Sport and Culture before the site can be considered sufficiently documented. The gross underestimation of site size at Stage 2 leads one to question whether the lands north of the laneway were every subject to survey, as it seems unlikely that such a major and obvious concentration of artifacts would have been missed. Further investigation should be undertaken to determine this and if it is established that Stage 2 pedestrian survey was not carried out, further assessment should be undertaken. The Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport is asked to review the information presented herein, issue comment and offer written confirmation of their acceptance of this report into the provincial registry.*

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment was conducted in the south western corner of the Study Area upon the identified Euro-Canadian archaeological site found by ASI. The Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment was completed and no further mitigation was recommended for the site.

### Reports Completed within 50 of the Study Area:

**PIF/CIF#:** P1013-0012-2021, P1013-0007-2020

**Consultant Firm:** WSP Canada Inc (WSP)

**Report Title:** *Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment, University of Guelph Honey Bee Research Centre, Part of Lot 9, Concession 1 Division G, Former Geographic Township of Guelph, Now City of Guelph, Wellington County, Ontario (WSP 2023)*

**Executive Summary:**

Golder Associates Ltd., a member of WSP (Golder), now WSP Canada Inc (WSP), was contracted by the University of Guelph Physical Resources (the Client) to conduct Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessments for the new Honey Bee Research Centre. The Study Area for the Stage 1 assessment is approximately 2.97 hectares (ha) of land located on part of Lot 9, Concession 1 Division G, in the former geographic Township of Guelph, now City of Guelph, Wellington County, Ontario, while the Stage 2 Study Area is a portion of the Stage 1 Study Area measuring approximately 2 ha (Map 1 and Map 2). The Stage 1 Study Area consists of an overgrown former tree nursery, a small, wooded area, the residential property at 480 Stone Road East, and the parking lot and access road for 480 Stone Road East. The Stage 2 Study Area was limited to the portion of the property that will be impacted by ground disturbance during construction, and primarily consists of the overgrown former tree nursery, with a small section of wooded area in the northwest, the manicured lawn south and west of the residence, and the parking lot and access road for 480 Stone Road East (Map 2). The Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessments were conducted as a standard condition of development approval under the Planning Act, R.S.O 1990, c.P.14 (Government of Ontario 1990) and the City of Guelph Official Plan (2018). Based on the criteria outlined in the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism's (MCM) 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, the Study Area was determined to have archaeological potential for both pre-contact Indigenous and historical Euro-Canadian sites. This determination was based on the proximity of a tributary of Eramosa River and significant wetlands, as well as the fact that the Study Area is located in an area of the former Township of Guelph with a history of Euro-Canadian occupation dating back to the mid-19th century. The Stage 1 property inspection found that the majority of the Study Area retained archaeological potential with the exception of two small portions found to be sloped greater than 20°, the residential structure and concrete path at 480 Stone Road East, and the parking lot and access road for 480 Stone Road East, which were found to be previously disturbed from prior construction activities (Map 8). Although a large portion of the Study Area was once used as a tree nursery, which may have included extensive ground disturbance, the level of ground disturbance for this portion of the Study Area could not be confirmed through the Stage 1 property inspection alone. Given the combined results of the Stage 1 background study and property inspection, it was concluded that most of the Study Area retains archaeological potential and should be subject to Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment prior to development (Map 8). Due to previous intensive disturbances and significant slope, the remainder of the Stage 1 Study Area did not retain archaeological potential and as such, no further archaeological work is recommended for these portions of the Study Area (Map 8). The Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment consisted of test pit survey at 5 m intervals and resulted in the identification of two artifact-producing locations. Location 1 is represented by two pieces of lithic debitage from a single test pit. Despite intensified survey around the positive test pit, the two flakes are the only artifacts recovered from Location 1 during the Stage 2 survey. Given the isolated and non-diagnostic nature of the finds, Location 1 is concluded to have no further cultural heritage value or interest (CHVI), as the site does not meet the criteria identified in Section 2.2, Standard 1a<sup>ii</sup> of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011) for requiring Stage 3

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

*Archaeological Assessment. Location 2 (AjHb-120) consists of 54 historical Euro-Canadian artifacts and three faunal elements recovered from 11 positive test pits and two 1 m<sup>2</sup> test units across an area measuring approximately 25 m northwest-southeast by 25 m northeast-southwest. Overall, the artifact assemblage from Location 2 (AjHb-120) consists of material that is typically associated with domestic refuse, such as food and beverage related artifacts, container glass, plastics, and structural artifacts. A total of 34 artifacts from the assemblage were considered dateable (62.96% of the total assemblage). The artifact assemblage contains artifacts from the mid- to late 19th century into the 20th century, with the majority of the artifacts dating from the late 19th century and 20th century (see Section 5.2.2 below). Location 2 (AjHb-120) is approximately 240 m southwest of the house at 480 Stone Road East, which is not listed in the City of Guelph's Register of Cultural Heritage Resources (City of Guelph 2020), and, as such, is not a recognized cultural heritage resource. Historical research indicates T. Gibson as the owner for this portion of Lot 9, Concession 1 Division G, in the 19th century, and Wm. Hamilton by 1906; however, none of the historical or topographical mapping that was consulted as part of this assessment identify any structures in the Study Area until 1935 (see Section 1.2.3.2 and Map 4 to Map 7). Although there were a few artifacts dating from the mid-19th century (n=6; 17.65% of dateable assemblage), the majority of the dateable artifacts provide relative dates from the late 19th century (n=20; 58.82% of the dateable assemblage) and 20th century (n=8; 23.53% of dateable assemblage). Furthermore, the artifact assemblage is dominated by food and beverage-related items (n=23, 42.59%) and indeterminate items (n=20, 37.04%), with structural items making up 12.96% of the assemblage (n=7) and artifacts related to other functional categories making up the remaining 7.40% (n=4). These functional group proportions are consistent with what is typically expected for a domestic refuse deposit, where food and beverage related artifacts predominate rather than material often associated with abandoned root cellars, wells, or privies, where structurally related items predominate, and food and beverage artifacts appear to be under-represented (MacDonald 1997). As such, it has been concluded that Location 2 (AjHb-120) represents a domestic refuse deposit that contains material associated with the Gibson family that had a nearby structure on Lot 9 in 1877 (see Map 5), but also material from the early to mid-20th century occupation of the residence at 480 Stone Road East and the various outbuildings, visible on the 1935 topographic map (see Map 7). Although there are artifacts in the assemblage that date to the late 19th century, historical research shows that there has never been a residential structure on this portion of the Study Area. The artifact assemblage also contains material from the early to mid-20th century, which indicates it is mixed and not associated with a single period of use. Based on this information, Location 2 (AjHb-120) is concluded to not have cultural heritage value or interest (CHVI), as the site does not meet the general criteria and indicators identified in Section 3.4.3, Table 3.2 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists (Government of Ontario 2011). The combined results of the Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessments and the analysis and conclusions presented in Section 5.0 provide the basis for the following recommendations: 1) Location 1 and Location 2 (AjHb-120) have been sufficiently assessed and documented. No further archaeological assessment is recommended for these locations. As well, no further archaeological assessment is recommended for the remainder of the Stage 2 Study Area where no archaeological sites or resources were identified. 2) Portions of the Stage 1 Study Area identified as previously disturbed and sloped do not require further*

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

archaeological assessment (Map 10). 3) The portion of the Stage 1 Study Area not subject to Stage 2 assessment retains archaeological potential and should be subject to Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment prior to any development impacts (Map 10). The Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism is asked to review the results and recommendations presented herein, accept this report into the Provincial Register of archaeological reports and issue a standard letter of compliance with the Ministry's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists and the terms and conditions for archaeological licencing. (WSP 2023)

**Relation to Study Area:** This Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was conducted within the 50 m buffer of the Study Area and does not recommend further work for the portion of land within the 50 m buffer of the Study Area.

### 4.3. Archaeological Assessments & Registered Sites Analysis

A review of Archaeological Assessment reports within 50 m of the Study Area, along with registered archaeological sites within 50 m of the Study Area indicates that the following sites have been registered within 50 m of the Study Area:

#### **1992 MacDonald Excavations:**

Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27) was subject to excavation, up to and including block excavation and feature excavation in 1992 under licence #92-094 (MacDonald 1994). The site consisted of 3 distinct loci producing Euro-Canadian materials as well as Archaic period artifacts. No formal archaeological reporting, or maps with appropriate accuracy to place these sites within the Study Area with any detail.

#### **2008 Central Archaeology Group Inc. (CAGI) Archaeological Assessment:**

The following archaeological resources were identified within the Study Area in 2008 by CAGI:

TABLE 4: CAGI ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES SUMMARY

Name (CAGI)	Borden	Affinity	Notes
Findspot 1	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Further work recommended
Findspot 2	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Further work recommended
Findspot 3	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	
Findspot 4	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Coal - No Recommendations Presented
Findspot 5	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Same as Site 2 - Indigenous component called Site 5 - Further work recommended
Findspot 6	None Obtained	Indigenous	No Recommendations Presented

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Name (CAGI)	Borden	Affinity	Notes
Findspot 7	None Obtained	Indigenous	No Recommendations Presented
Findspot 8	None Obtained	Indigenous	No Recommendations Presented
Site 1	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Late 19th into 20th centuries - No Further Work Recommended
Site 2	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Same as Site 5 - Indigenous component called Site 5 - Further work recommended
Site 3	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Modern Glass + Coal - No Recommendations Presented
Site 4	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	Late 19th into 20th centuries - No Further Work Recommended
Site 5	None Obtained	Indigenous	Same as Site 2 - Indigenous component called Site 5 - Further work recommended

### **2017 Archaeological Research Associates (ARA) Archaeological Assessment:**

The following archaeological resources were further investigation and evaluated within the Study Area in 2017 by ARA:

TABLE 5: ARA ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES SUMMARY

Name (CAGI)	Borden	Affinity	CHVI	Notes
Findspot 1	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Findspot 2	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Findspot 3	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Findspot 4	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Findspot 5	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Findspot 6	None Obtained	Indigenous	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Findspot 7	None Obtained	Indigenous	Yes	Combined with Site 1
Findspot 8	None Obtained	Indigenous	Yes	Combined with Site 1
Site 1	AjHb-87	Euro-Canadian	Yes	Stage 3 Assessment Recommended
Site 2	AjHb-88	Euro-Canadian	Yes	Stage 3 Assessment Recommended
Site 3	None Obtained	Euro-Canadian	No	No Further Assessment Recommended
Site 4	AjHb-89	Euro-Canadian	Yes	Stage 3 Assessment Recommended
Site 5	None Obtained	Indigenous		Combined as Site 2 AjHb-88
Turfgrass Institute Site	AjHb-27	Indigenous	Yes	Stage 3 Assessment Recommended

4.4. Cemeteries & Burials

As per a cursory search conducted, there are no known or registered cemeteries or burials within or directly adjacent to the Study Area.

4.5. Archaeological Management/Master Plan

No archaeological Management or Master Plan was found to be in place for the Study Area.

4.6. Heritage Properties

There are no Heritage Properties Listed or Designated on the property.

4.7. Historic Plaques

There are no historic plaques within a 100 m radius of the Study Area (Ontario Heritage Trust 2021).

4.8. Study Area Archaeological Potential

The Study Area retains the following criteria of indicating archaeological potential:

- Registered archaeological sites within 300 m of the Study Area
- Present or past water sources within 300 m of the Study Area
- Historic transportation routes within 100 m of the Study Area
- The Study Area is situated within a landscape suitable for resource procurement, transit and habitation by both pre and post-contact Indigenous Peoples.

The Study Area is situated within an overall historic landscape that would have been appropriate for both resource procurement and habitation by both Indigenous and Euro-Canadian peoples.

5. STAGE 1 ANALYSIS & CONCLUSIONS

Irvin Heritage was contracted to complete a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey of various land recommended for such by the ARA 2017 archaeological assessment report.

It should be noted that the ARA report recommended Test Pit Survey for various portions of the property that included sod farms and soccer fields. It was the professional opinion of the licensee that these lands should be subject to a Pedestrian Survey and that lands containing a

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

former tree farm (since removed) also be subject to Pedestrian Survey. Given this, the proponent had lands cleared and ploughed to facilitate the archaeological survey. This is the most prudent means of assessment, given that these lands were previously farmed and ploughed prior to being used for sod and tree farming. As such, the ARA recommendations for further Test Pit Survey were replaced with Pedestrian Survey. This also follows previously provided provincial guidance that former agricultural fields must be ploughed for survey if possible.

### 6. STAGE 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT SURVEY

#### 6.1. Archaeological Survey Methodology

Prior to the initiation of fieldwork, the Field Director reviewed the existing Stage 1 Archaeological Assessments analysis and recommendations; all field staff were then briefed on the archaeological potential of the Study Area. The weather conditions encountered during the completed archaeological survey are presented below. At all times the assessment was conducted under appropriate weather and lighting conditions. The limits of the Study Area were defined in the field by the use of a geo-referenced Study Area overlaid on a GPS system accurate to .2 m.

TABLE 6: DATES & DIRECTORS OF ASSESSMENT

Date	Weather	Field Director(s)	Assistant Field Directors
Apr-16-2024	12°C, light cloud cover	Irvin (P379)	Bhagowtee
Jun-11-2024	19°C, light cloud cover	Jimenez (R1371)	Bhagowtee
Jun-12-2024	25°C, sunny	Jimenez (R1371)	Bhagowtee
Jul-2-2024	27°C, light cloud cover	Jimenez (R1371)	Bhagowtee
Jul-3-2024	28°C, light cloud cover	Jimenez (R1371)	Bhagowtee
Oct-28-2025	11°C, sunny	Irvin (P379)	Bhagowtee

The assessment began with a visual review of the Study Area conditions.

The Study Area was found to contain well ploughed and weathered agricultural fields with a minimum soil visibility of 80%. The agricultural fields were subject to a 5 m transect Pedestrian Survey (Images 1-17). If archaeological resources were identified, survey transects were reduced to 1 m intervals to determine if the artifact(s) constituted an 'Isolated Findspot' or a component of a larger archaeological resource scatter or site. If more than one artifact was

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

identified a Controlled Surface Pickup (CSP) was conducted along 1 m transects until a 20 m sterile buffer was achieved. All CSP Findspots were GPS recorded and retained per their provenience (Images).

One field, which served as a tree plantation was clearcut and mouldboard ploughed and disked numerous times to remove debris and roots. This area is where the Indigenous peoples Turfgrass Institute Site as well as the Euro-Canadian Site 2 were located. Given the various periods of Test Pit Survey conducted, it was the professional opinion of the licensee that a pedestrian survey would be the most prudent, and compliant means of assessment. This area was subject to a 1 m transect Pedestrian Survey to account for persistent roots and tendrils. The visibility was more than sufficient to complete the archaeological survey (Images 18-27).

Study Area lands which were not viable to plough were subject to a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey (Images 28-52). The lands subject to a Test Pit Survey consisted of manicured lawn, scrubland, wooded areas, and fallow fields.

The archaeological methodology employed during the Stage 2 Test Pit survey consisted of:

- All test pits were excavated by shovel at 5 m intervals on 5 m transects (unless noted above)
- Test pits were excavated to within 1 m of all structures, both extant and in ruin, when present
- All test pits were 30 cm in diameter and were excavated into the first 5 cm of subsoil
- All test pits must be examined for evidence of fill, stratigraphy, or cultural features
- All excavated soils which were of an undisturbed context were screened through 6 mm wire mesh
- All test pits were backfilled

Of specific note, the CAGI report identified Findspots 7 & 8 (each Test Pits) each of producing a single Indigenous lithic. The later ARA assessment incorporated these two findspots into the broader Site 1 (Euro-Canadian). However, no specific investigation was conducted to the requirements of the provincial standards to determine if these two distant Test Pits represented discrete Indigenous peoples archaeological sites. As such, IHI relocated each Test Pit via geo-referencing and re-excavated the original Test Pit locations, conducted intensified Test Pit Survey of each and followed with a Test Unit excavation atop each original Test Pit (Images 53-54). This was done to focus investigation on these distinct resources as opposed to lumping them in with the much large, and later, Euro-Canadian site.

All artifact locations were recorded and all artifacts retained via their province using an EMLID Reach RTK with correction accurate to 10 cm.

If archaeological resources were identified during the Test Pit Survey the following intensification methods were conducted:

- Test Pit Intensification at 2.5 m intervals was completed around the initial 5 m Transect Test Pit(s) which yielded enough artifacts so that a Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation recommendation would be required.
- Test Pit Intensification at 2.5 m intervals was completed around the initial 5 m Transect Test Pit(s) and a 1 m x 1 m Test Unit was excavated atop the initial grid positive Test Pit(s) which yielded enough artifacts to determine the requirement for further Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation.

#### 6.2. Identified Archaeological Resources by Irvin Heritage Inc.

An overview of the identified archaeological resources identified is provided below.

TABLE 7: STAGE 2 IDENTIFIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES BY IRVIN HERITAGE

Site Name	Type	Borden	Cultural Affinity	Survey Method(s)	Yield	Site Area m <sup>2</sup>
IF#1	Isolated Find	-	Euro-Canadian	Intensification	1	1
IF#2	Isolated Find	-	Euro-Canadian	Intensification	1	1
IF#3	Isolated Find	-	Euro-Canadian	Intensification	1	1
IF#4	Isolated Find	-	Euro-Canadian	Intensification	1	1
IF#5	Isolated Find	-	Euro-Canadian	Intensification	1	1
Euro Scatter 1	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	14	2,908
Euro Scatter 2	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	3	64
Euro Scatter 3	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	3	66
Euro Scatter 4	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	3	41
Euro Scatter 5	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	7	1,793
Euro Scatter 6	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	11	2,551
Euro Scatter 7	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	4	86
Euro Scatter 8	Site	-	Euro-Canadian	CSP	17	2,649
Scatter 1	Site	-	Indigenous	CSP	2	8
Scatter 2	Site	-	Indigenous	CSP	2	11

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Site Name	Type	Borden	Cultural Affinity	Survey Method(s)	Yield	Site Area m2
Site 1	Site	AjHb-87	Euro-Canadian + Indigenous	Test Pit Intensification & Test Unit Excavation	37	5
Sanders Site (Formerly Sites 2 & 5)	Site	AjHb-88	Euro-Canadian + Indigenous	CSP	519	5,633
Turfgrass Institute Site	Site	AjHb-27	Indigenous	CSP	12	280

## 7. STAGE 2 RECORD OF FINDS

The completed Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey resulted in the identification of the following archaeological resources:

### 7.1. Isolated Findspots

The Stage Archaeological Assessment Survey resulted in the identification 5 Isolated Findspots.

TABLE 8: IDENTIFIED ISOLATED FINDSPOTS

Provience	Affinity	Class	Material	Period	Max. Metric Data (mm)		
					Thickness	Length	Width
IF#1	Euro-Canadian	Tableware	Whiteware	-	-	-	-
IF#2	Euro-Canadian	Tableware	Stoneware	-	-	-	-
IF#3	Euro-Canadian	Tableware	Ironstone	-	-	-	-
IF#4	Euro-Canadian	Tableware	Ironstone	-	-	-	-
IF#5	Euro-Canadian	Bottle	Glass	-	-	-	-

#### Isolated Findspot #1:

This Isolated Findspot consisted of a Whiteware Transfer Green sherd. No other diagnostic or relevant attributes were noted.

#### Isolated Findspot #2:

This Isolated Findspot consisted of a Rockingham sherd. No other diagnostic or relevant attributes were noted.

**Isolated Findspot #3:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of a Plain Ironstone sherd. No other diagnostic or relevant attributes were noted.

**Isolated Findspot #4:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of a Plain Ironstone sherd. No other diagnostic or relevant attributes were noted.

**Isolated Findspot #5:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of a glass bottle body fragment. No other diagnostic or relevant attributes were noted.

## **7.2. Archaeological Sites**

The Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey of the Study Area resulted in the identification of 6 Archaeological Sites.

**Euro Scatter 1 Site:**

The Scatter 1 Site yielded a total of 14 artifacts.

TABLE 9: EURO SCATTER 1 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	13	92.86%
Activities	1	7.14%

TABLE 10: EURO SCATTER 1 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Kitchen	13
Tableware	9
Ironstone	6
Plain	6
Porcelain	3

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Plain	3
Bottle	4
Bottle	4
Glass	4
<b>Actives</b>	<b>1</b>
Livestock	1
Metal	1
Sleigh Bell	1

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of primarily Ironstone and minor examples of Porcelain and Bottle Glass. A copper sleigh bell was also recovered.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Euro Scatter 2 Site:**

The Euro Scatter 2 Site yielded a total of 3 artifacts.

TABLE 11: EURO SCATTER 2 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Activities	2	66.67%
Kitchen	1	33.33%

TABLE 12: EURO SCATTER 2 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Activities	2
Household	2
Whiteware	2
Victorian Majolica Decorative Vessel	2

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Kitchen	1
Tableware	1
Ironstone	1
Plain	1

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of primarily sherds from a decorative Victorian Majolica ware vessel and a single example of plain Ironstone.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Euro Scatter 3 Site:**

The Euro Scatter 3 Site yielded a total of 3 artifacts.

TABLE 13: EURO SCATTER 3 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	3	100.00%

TABLE 14: EURO SCATTER 3 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Kitchen	3
Tableware	2
Ironstone	1
Plain	1
Bottle	1
Glass	1
Glass Bottle Fragment	1

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of singular examples of Ironstone and a glass bottle fragment.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Euro Scatter 4 Site:**

The Euro Scatter 4 Site yielded a total of 3 artifacts.

TABLE 15: EURO SCATTER 4 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	3	100.00%

TABLE 16: EURO SCATTER 4 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Kitchen	3
Tableware	3
Ironstone	1
Plain	1
Semi-Porcelain	1
Plain	1

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of singular examples of Ironstone and two fragments of Semi-Porcelain.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

**Euro Scatter 5 Site:**

The Euro Scatter 5 Site yielded a total of 7 artifacts.

TABLE 17: EURO SCATTER 5 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	5	71.43%
Activities	2	28.57%

TABLE 18: EURO SCATTER 5 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
<b>Kitchen</b>	<b>5</b>
Tableware	4
Ironstone	3
Plain	4
Semi-Porcelain	1
Plain	1
Bottle	1
Glass	1
Glass Bottle Fragment	1
<b>Activities</b>	<b>2</b>
Utilitarian	1
Metal	1
Scissor Arm/Blade	1
Miscellaneous	1
Metal	1
Metal Ball - Unidentified	1

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of minor examples of Ironstone and Semi-Porcelain. A single sherd of bottle glass was also recovered. In addition, a scissor arm/blade and unidentified metal ball were also recovered.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

**Euro Scatter Site 6:**

The Euro Scatter 6 Site yielded a total of 11 artifacts.

TABLE 19: EURO SCATTER 6 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	9	81.82%
Activities	2	18.18%
20th Century	1	9.09%

TABLE 20: EURO SCATTER 6 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
<b>Kitchen</b>	<b>8</b>
Tableware	6
Ironstone	5
Plain	5
Semi-Porcelain	1
Plain	1
Bottle	2
Glass	2
Bottle Glass Fragment	2
<b>Activities</b>	<b>2</b>
Utilitarian	2
Stoneware	2
Stoneware Salt Glaze	2
<b>20th Century</b>	<b>1</b>
Household	1
Stoneware Fine	1
Decorative Vessel Laminated	1

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of Ironstone, Semi-Porcelain, Bottle Glass, Stoneware and a post-1900 decorated vessel fragment.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Euro Scatter Site 7:**

The Euro Scatter 7 Site yielded a total of 4 artifacts.

TABLE 21: EURO SCATTER 7 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	4	100.00%

TABLE 22: EURO SCATTER 7 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Kitchen	4
Tableware	4
Whiteware	3
Flow Blue	3
Ironstone	1
Plain	1

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of Whitewalls and Ironstone.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

**Euro Scatter Site 8:**

The Euro Scatter 8 Site yielded a total of 17 artifacts.

TABLE 23: EURO SCATTER 8 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	14	82.35%
Activities	1	5.88%
20th Century	1	5.88%
Personal	1	9.09%

TABLE 24: EURO SCATTER 8 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
<b>Kitchen</b>	<b>14</b>
Tableware	13
Ironstone	9
Plain	8
Classical Motif	1
Whiteware	1
Annular Banded	1
Semi-Porcelain	3
Plain	3
Bottle	1
Glass	1
Bottle Glass Fragment	1
<b>Activities</b>	<b>1</b>
Arms & Munitions	1
Lead	1
Bullet	1
<b>20th Century</b>	<b>1</b>
Tableware	1
Whiteware	1
Decal Transfer - Modern	1

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Personal	1
Attire & Jewelry	1
Ceramic	1
Button - Prosser	1

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of Ironstone, Semi-Porcelain, Whiteware, Bottle Glass, a lead bullet and a prosser button.

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage is consistent with a Euro-Canadian presence within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Indigenous Scatter 1 Site:**

The Indigenous Scatter 1 Site yielded a total of 2 artifacts.

TABLE 25: INDIGENOUS SCATTER 1 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Indigenous	2	100.00%

TABLE 26: INDIGENOUS SCATTER 1 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Indigenous	2
Lithic	2
Onondaga	1
Shatter	1
Bois Blanc Formation	1
Shatter	1

The recovered assemblage consisted of two examples of shatter.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

The recovered assemblage is consistent with an Indigenous peoples presence within the Study Area and may be best attributed to resource procurement.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Indigenous Scatter 2 Site:**

The Indigenous Scatter 2 Site yielded a total of 2 artifacts.

TABLE 27: INDIGENOUS SCATTER 2 SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Indigenous	2	100.00%

TABLE 28: INDIGENOUS SCATTER 2 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Indigenous	2
Lithic	2
Onondaga	2
Flake - Fragment	2

The recovered assemblage consisted of two Flake Fragments.

The recovered assemblage is consistent with an Indigenous peoples presence within the Study Area and may be best attributed to resource procurement.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Site 1 AjHb-87 Test Unit Area 1 & Test Unit Area 2 Site:**

A total of 37 artifacts, 36 Euro-Canadian and 1 Indigenous were recovered from the intensified Test Pit and Test Unit excavation.

TABLE 29: SITE 1 TEST UNITS AREAS 1 & 2 STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	16	43.24%

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Architectural	8	21.62%
Activities	5	13.51%
Personal	4	10.81%
Organic	3	8.11%
Indigenous	1	2.70%

TABLE 30: SITE 1 TEST UNIT AREAS 1 & 2 SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
<b>Kitchen</b>	<b>16</b>
Tableware	16
Ironstone	6
Plain	6
Whiteware	10
Transfer Green	3
Transfer Blue	5
Shell Edge Curved Lines	2
<b>Activities</b>	<b>5</b>
Arms & Munitions	1
Chert - Bois Blanc Formation	1
Gun Flint	1
Utilitarian	4
Ceramic - Earthenware	4
Coarse Red Earthenware - Lead Glaze	1
<b>Architectural</b>	<b>8</b>
Glass Pane	1
Glass	1
Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm	1
Nails & Fasteners	2
Metal	2
Nail - Machine Cut	2

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Building Material	5
Earthenware Red	5
Brick - Plain Fragment	5
<b>Personal</b>	<b>4</b>
Household	1
Metal	1
Key	1
Attire & Jewelry	1
Shell	1
Button - Shell	1
Smoking	2
Kaolin Clay	2
Pipe Bowl Fragments	2

The recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage consisted of various examples of Kitchen, Personal and Architectural class artifacts. Only four artifacts have production periods contained to the 19th century and included Whiteware Shell Edge Scalloped Curve Lines and two Machine Cut Nails; included as well is the gun flint. The balance of the recovered materials were produced from the 19th well into the 20th centuries.

The recovered Indigenous artifact assemblage consisted of one Onondaga Flake Fragment.

### **Sanders Site (Formerly Sites 2/5) (AjHb-88):**

The Tableware yielded a total of 519 artifacts.

**TABLE 31: SANDERS SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY**

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Kitchen	484	93.98%
Architectural	12	2.33%
Activities	9	1.75%
Personal	5	0.97%

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Organic	4	0.78%
20th Century	1	0.19%
Indigenous	4	0.78%

TABLE 32: SANDERS SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Kitchen	484
Utilitarian	35
Ceramic - Earthenware	25
Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze	21
Coarse Red Earthenware Salt Glaze	3
Jackfield Type	1
Stoneware	8
Stoneware Lead Glaze	2
Stoneware Salt Glaze	2
Stoneware Albany Slip	4
Yellowware	1
Stoneware Albany Slip	1
Ceramic - Whiteware	1
WW Transfer Lavender	1
Tableware	421
Ceramic - Pearlware	54
Pearlware Plain	45
Pearlware Flow Blue	1
Pearlware Transfer Blue	7
Green Shell Edge Pearlware	1
Ceramic - Whiteware	320
WW Flow Blue Transfer	31
WW Plain	123
WW Transfer Black	9

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
WW Shell Edge Unscalloped - Curved Lines	3
WW Early Blue Hand Painted	3
WW Transfer Brown	12
WW Transfer Blue	57
WW Transfer Teal	3
WW Hand Painted Early Palette+Blue	2
WW Shell Edge Scalloped - Curved Lines	1
Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware	12
WW Hand Painted Late Palette	14
WW Transfer Lavender	8
WW Annular Banded	6
WW Open Sponge	5
Pearlware Transfer Black	2
Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW	8
WW Non-Impressed Edgeware	6
WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware	7
WW Transfer Red	2
WW Multichamber Slip - Common Cable	1
WW Flow Black Transfer	1
WW Sponged Monochrome	1
WW Hand Painted Early Palette	1
WW Embossed Rim Patterned Scalloping	2
Ceramic - Porcelain	6
Porcelain Plain	6
Ceramic - Ironstone	30
Ironstone Plain	29
Ironstone Moulded Foliage Motif	1
Yellowware	8
Yellowware Plain	5

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Yellowware Mocha (Dendritic Pattern)	1
Rockingham	1
Yellowware Annular Banded	1
Stoneware	2
Rockingham	2
Stoneware - Buff Fine	1
Stoneware Lead Glaze	1
Bottle	26
Glass	26
Glass Bottle Body Fragment	21
Glass Bottle Base Fragment	3
Glass Bottle Finish Fragment	1
Glass Bottle Neck Fragment	1
Miscellaneous	1
Glass	1
Glass - Melted	1
Household	1
Glass	1
Glass - Pressed	1
Architectural	12
Building Material	3
Brick - Red	2
Brick - Plain Fragment	2
Earthenware	1
Drainage Tile	1
Glass Pane	8
Glass	8
Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm	6
Glass Pane > 1.6 mm	2

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Nails/Fasteners	1
Metal	1
Nail - Corroded &/or Fragmentary	1
Personal	5
Household	1
Glass	1
Glass - Melted	1
Attire & Jewelry	2
Metal	1
Button Back	1
Shell	1
Button - Shell	1
Bottle	1
Glass	1
Glass Bottle Base Fragment	1
Smoking	1
Kaolin Clay	1
Pipe Stem	1
Activities	9
Writing	3
Slate	2
Slate - Writing Table	2
Stoneware	1
Inkwell	1
Utilitarian	6
Earthenware Red	5
Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze	5
Stoneware - Buff Fine	1
Stoneware Lead Glaze	1

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Organic	4
Faunal - Mammal	2
Bone	2
Bone - Mammal	2
Faunal - Shell	2
Shell	2
Shell	2
20th Century	1
Bottle	1
Glass	1
Glass Bottle Body Fragment	1

### 7.3. Sanders Site AjHb-88: Diagnostic Artifact Analysis and Site Dating

A refined review of date diagnostic materials is provided below for both the recovered Ceramic Assemblage, as well as all other date diagnostic artifacts recovered from the site.

The site produced quantities of distinct 19th century materials, including, but not limited to, both plain and decorated Pearlwares, Whiteware and Pearlware Transfer Print Black, Whiteware Early Blue Hand Painted, Whiteware Transfer Brown, Whiteware Early Hand Painted + Blue, Whiteware Shell Edge Scalloped, Whiteware Transfer Lavender etc.

A refined review of date diagnostic materials within the entirety of the assemblage produce an occupation date of 1827 -1937. However, when artifacts are removed which have a production period spanning both the 19th and 20th centuries a refined date of 1814-1861 is produced; nearly 25% of the recovered assemblage dates to pre-1900. There are very few examples of artifacts explicitly produced post 1900, and this consists of a modern beer bottle glass fragment.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site is representative of a discrete 19th century occupation of the Study Area. The site retains further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

The site produced a total of 4 Indigenous peoples artifacts. The recovered Indigenous artifact assemblage is not focused within any area of density that would trigger or indicate a discrete Indigenous peoples site within the overall site area, per provincial standards.

The Indigenous assemblage is at minimum 31 m away from the discrete Indigenous site AjHb-27. As such, these artifacts have been associated with the Sanders Site AjHb-88.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### **Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27):**

A CSP was completed which identified a discrete cluster of lithics, in the same location as the CAGI report believed Locus 2 of the Turf Grass Institute Site (AjHb-27) was located.

TABLE 33: TURF GRASS INSTITUTE SITE STAGE 2 CLASS PRODUCTIVITY

Group	Productivity	% of Assemblage
Indigenous	12	600.00%

TABLE 34: TURF GRASS INSTITUTE SITE STAGE 2 ASSEMBLAGE

Class - Group - Material - Item	Quantity
Indigenous	12
Lithic Debitage	10
Onondaga	9
Shatter	6
Flake - Fragment	5
Ancaster	1
Shatter	1
Cores	1
Onondaga	1
Core Fragment	1
Tools	1
Onondaga	1
Biface	11

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

The recovered assemblage consisted of 2 Shatter, 1 Flake Fragment and 1 Core Fragment scattered throughout the larger Euro-Canadian Sanders Site (Formerly Sites 2/5 AjHb-88). These were over 30 m from the main cluster of lithic materials attributed to the Turf Grass Institute Site Locus B (AjHb-27). They are being reported together as they are all located within the Stage 2 Site area for the Euro-Canadian Sanders Site.

The recovered assemblage is consistent with an Indigenous peoples presence within the Study Area and may be best attributed to resource procurement. While note date diagnostic artifacts were recovered, the Turf Grass Institute Site, specifically Locus B was a multi-component Early, Middle and Late Archaic Site.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

### 7.4. Artifact Analysis Overview & Holdings

The analysis of the recovered Euro-Canadian assemblage was completed using an internal artifact analysis program proprietary to Irvin Heritage Inc. This program uses a variety of documents to reference and a complete list of all references within the program are provided for within the Reference section of this report (IHI ACS).

The analysis of lithic debitage was conducted following the general methodologies provided below which have been refined from archaeological literature and common archaeological practice (Andrefsky 2005).

- Diagnostic Projectile Point (PPO): An identifiable and intact projectile point that has a generally accepted temporal affinity.
- Formal Tool: An identifiable lithic tool that has a generally accepted function but not generally accepted temporal affinity.
- Informal Tool: An expeditiously created tool crafted from an artifact generally not attributed as a stand-alone tool.
- Block Shatter: Resulting from core reduction.
- Chipping Detritus: By products of the lithic reduction sequence which are too fragmentary to be classified as shatter and are not flakes.
- Flake Fragments: Flakes lacking a complete platform
- Flakes: Flakes exhibiting an intact platform were typed as either:
  - o Primary: a flake containing cortex.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

- o Secondary: a secondary reduction flake.
- o Tertiary (Thinning): reduction and removal related to final production or modification (such as sharpening).

The completed archaeological assessment resulted in the creation of various documentary records.

TABLE 11: INVENTORY OF STAGE 2 HOLDINGS

Record Type or Item	Details	# of Boxes	Location
Field Notes: P379-0629-2023	Digital Files	-	IHI Server
Photos: P379-0629-2023	Digital Files	-	IHI Server
Stage 2 Artifact Assessment	Artifacts	1	Box ID: 2023-CA3

Artifacts will be held in trust by Irvin Heritage Inc. until such time as they can be transferred at the direction of the Government of Ontario. All retained artifacts are contained within 1 box measuring 54 cm Length, 41 cm Height and 30 cm Depth.

## 8. STAGE 2 ANALYSIS & CONCLUSIONS

The Study Area has been subject in its entirety to a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Survey. The following archaeological resources were identified.

### **Isolated Findspot #1:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of sherd of Whiteware Transfer Green. The findspot is best attributed to the use of the Study Area lands by Euro-Canadian peoples.

Isolated Findspot #1 has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

### **Isolated Findspot #2:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of sherd of Rockingham. The findspot is best attributed to the use of the Study Area lands by Euro-Canadian peoples.

Isolated Findspot #2 has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and

Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Isolated Findspot #3:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of sherd of Plain Ironstone. The findspot is best attributed to the use of the Study Area lands by Euro-Canadian peoples.

Isolated Findspot #3 has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Isolated Findspot #4:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of sherd of Plain Ironstone. The findspot is best attributed to the use of the Study Area lands by Euro-Canadian peoples.

Isolated Findspot #4 has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Isolated Findspot #5:**

This Isolated Findspot consisted of shard of bottle glass. The findspot is best attributed to the use of the Study Area lands by Euro-Canadian peoples.

Isolated Findspot #5 has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 1 Site:**

The site produced a total of 14 artifacts, all from the Kitchen and Activities Class. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it

is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 1 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 2 Site:**

The site produced a total of 3 artifacts, all from the Kitchen and Activities Class. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 2 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 3 Site:**

The site produced a total of 3 artifacts, all from the Kitchen Class. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 3 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 4 Site:**

The site produced a total of 4 artifacts, all from the Kitchen Class. This site likely

represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 4 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 5 Site:**

The site produced a total of 7 artifacts, all from the Kitchen and Activities Class. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 5 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 6 Site:**

The site produced a total of 11 artifacts, all from the Kitchen, 20th Century and Activities Class. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 6 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 7 Site:**

The site produced a total of 4 artifacts, all from the Kitchen Class. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 7 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Euro Scatter 8 Site:**

The site produced a total of 17 artifacts, all from the Kitchen, Activities, 20th Century and Personal. This site likely represents general discard events throughout the 19th century within the Study Area.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Euro Scatter 8 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

**Indigenous Scatter 1 Site:**

The site produced a total of 2 artifacts consisting of lithic debitage. This site likely represents the presence of Indigenous peoples within the Study Area and may be related to resource procurement.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

The Indigenous Scatter 1 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

### **Indigenous Scatter 2 Site:**

The site produced a total of 2 artifacts consisting of lithic debitage. This site likely represents the presence of Indigenous peoples within the Study Area and may be related to resource procurement.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site has not produced enough artifacts to indicate that it is an archaeological resource with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

The Indigenous Scatter 2 Site has been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

TABLE 36: SUMMARY OF STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES & FINDINGS

Assessment Method	Findings	Ha	% of Study Area
Archaeological Potential: 5 m Pedestrian Survey	Resources Identified	8.13	6.9%
Archaeological Potential: 1 m Pedestrian Survey	Resources Identified	3.01	2.6%
Archaeological Potential: 5 m Test Pit Survey	Resources Re-located	1.20	1.0%
Low Potential: 5 m Judgmental Test Pit Survey	-	2.79	2.4%
Previously Assessed	-	102.15	87.1%
<b>Total</b>		<b>117.28</b>	<b>100</b>

### **Turf Grass Institute Site AjHb-27:**

This site likely represents Locus B as identified by MacDonalds in the 1990s. While the site area produced no date diagnostic materials, it did produce the required density of artifacts to indicate further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

It is the professional opinion of the licensee that that site be treated wholly separate from the larger Site 2 AjHb-88 which it is within. This will allow for the most prudent and appropriate

Stage 3 Excavation strategies tied directly to the investigation of this discrete Indigenous peoples archaeological resource, instead of falling into the large excavation plan for Site 2. This means that the Turf Grass Institute Site must have its own focus 5 m grid and related exploratory infill units.

The Turf Grass Institute Site AjHb-27 has not been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. A Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation is recommended.

**Sanders Site (Formerly Sites 2/5) AjHb-88:**

The site dates to the early to mid 19th century and is indicative of a homestead site. While the site lacks architectural debris, and is predominantly related to the Kitchen Class, this may suggest an early cabin site with little to no architectural debris as compared to later structures.

Given the recovered assemblage, the site is representative of discrete and focused early to mid 19th century occupation of the Study Area.

The site produced a total of 4 Indigenous peoples artifacts. The recovered Indigenous artifacts assemblage is not focused within any area of density that would trigger or indicate a discrete Indigenous peoples site within the overall site area, per provincial standards.

The Sanders Site AjHb-88 has not been sufficiently assessed in the completed archaeological assessment and retains further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. A Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation is recommended.

**Site 1 AjHb-87:**

A review of the materials recovered from both the CAGI and ARA archaeological assessments (which included extensive quantities from Test Unit excavation) indicates that the site is a disturbed mix of artifacts dating to the late 19th well into the 20th century. Given the fact that structures in the area were razed in the 20th century along with the recovered materials, the site does not represent an intact or discrete 19th century site that would retain Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. It is the professional opinion of the licensee that further archaeological

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

assessments of this site would yield little to no insights or information into 19th century life ways in relation to the Study Area.

Further to the above, the two Indigenous positive Test Pits that were reported in the CAGI report (Findspots 7 & 8) were not sufficiently investigated in the complete ARA assessment which included them as part of Site 2 itself. This did not determine if these two disparate positive Test Pits may have represented discrete Indigenous site, been the later 19th/20th century occupation forming the bulk of Site 1. The completed Test Pit intensification and Test Unit excavations conducted over each findspot indicated that they do not indicate discrete Indigenous sites with further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

Site 1 AjHb-87 has been sufficiently assessed in the two previously completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

### **Site 4 AjHb-89:**

A review of the materials recovered from both the CAGI and ARA archaeological assessments indicates that the site is a disturbed mix of artifacts dating to the late 19th well into the 20th century. Given the fact that structures in the area were razed in the 20th century along with the recovered materials, the site does not represent an intact or discrete 19th century site that would retain Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. It is the professional opinion of the licensee that further archaeological assessments of this site would yield little to no insights or information into 19th century life ways in relation to the Study Area.

Site 4 AjHb-89 has been sufficiently assessed in the two previously completed archaeological assessment and retains no further CHVI per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. No further archaeologist assessment is recommended and the resource is free of further archaeological concern.

## 9. STAGE 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Given the results and conclusions of the completed Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment, the following recommendations are made:

- It is the professional opinion of the archaeological licensee, Thomas Irvin (P379) that the identified Findspots (as found by IHI) #1, #2, #3, #4, and #5 have been sufficiently documented in the assessment undertaken and retain no further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. No further archaeological investigation is required per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists
- It is the professional opinion of the archaeological licensee, Thomas Irvin (P379) that Site 1 AjHb-87 and Site 4 AjHb-89, as identified and recommended for Stage 3 Assessment by ARA, no longer require Stage 3 Assessment and have been sufficiently documented in all previous assessments undertaken and retain no further Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. No further archaeological investigation is required per Section 2.2 Standard 1 of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists
- The identified area of the Guelph Turfgrass Institute site (AjHb-27) as identified by IHI retains Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, as such a Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation is recommended conforming to the following methodologies:
  - A 5 m grid is to be installed with a tape and transit over the limits of the site
  - Unit excavation is to be completed on a 5 m grid over the site extent
  - A minimum of 20% of the excavated grid units must be excavated as exploratory units.
  - All excavation units are to be 1 m x 1 m excavated in systematic or standardized intervals, by hand, into the first 5 cm of subsoil.
  - All excavated soils are to be screened through an aperture no greater than 6 mm
  - All artifacts are to be retained via their site provenience
  - If excavation resulted in the identification of potential cultural features, excavation shall cease and the unit be subject to documentation, covered with geo-textile cloth and backfilled
  - All excavated units are to be backfilled unless instructed otherwise the land owner

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

- The identified area of the Sanders Site, formally Sites 2 and 5 (AjHb-88) as identified by IHI retains Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, as such a Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment Excavation is recommended conforming to the following methodologies:
  - A 5 m grid is to be installed with a tape and transit over the limits of the site
  - Unit excavation is to be completed on a 5 m grid over the site extent
  - A minimum of 20% of the excavated grid units must be excavated as exploratory units.
  - All excavation units are to be 1 m x 1 m excavated in systematic or standardized intervals, by hand, into the first 5 cm of subsoil.
  - All excavated soils are to be screened through an aperture no greater than 6 mm
  - All artifacts are to be retained via their site provenience
  - If excavation resulted in the identification of potential cultural features, excavation shall cease and the unit be subject to documentation, covered with geo-textile cloth and backfilled
  - All excavated units are to be backfilled unless instructed otherwise the land owner
- It is the professional opinion of the archaeological licensee, Thomas Irvin (P379) that the Study Area has been sufficiently assessed, and aside from the above noted archaeological resources, is free of further archaeological concern.
- Notwithstanding the above recommendations, the provided Advice On Compliance With Legislation shall take precedent over any recommendations of this report should deeply buried archaeological resources or human remains be found during any future earthworks within the Study Area.
- A Partial Clearance is requested and to support this it is requested that the Ministry provide a letter confirming that there are no further concerns with regard to alteration to Isolated Findspots #1, #2, #3, #4, #5 and archaeological sites Site 1 AjHb-87 and Site 4 AjHb-89.
- In support of the Partial Clearance request the proponent supports the Avoidance Strategy along with the written confirmation of such, as provided as Supplemental Documentation to this report that archaeological sites requiring further archaeological investigation will be avoided in any earthworks and their respective No-Go and Monitoring buffers be respected. Please see Supplemental Documentation as submitted in PastPort.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

- In support of the Partial Clearance request the proponent has provided confirmation that the construction schedule will be provided to a licensed consultant archaeologist who will monitor all construction within the 50 m monitoring buffer, and be empowered to stop construction if there is a concern for impact to the avoided archaeological sites. Please see Supplemental Documentation as submitted in PastPort.
- In support for the Partial Clearance request the proponent has provided a timeline for completing remaining archaeological fieldwork. Please see Supplemental Documentation as submitted in PastPort.
- Notwithstanding the above recommendations, the provided Advice On Compliance With Legislation shall take precedent over any recommendations of this report should deeply buried archaeological resources or human remains be found during any future earthworks within the Study Area.

## 10. IMAGES



Image 1: Study Area subject to a 5 m transect Pedestrian Survey.



Image 2: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Pedestrian Survey.



Image 3: Study Area subject to a 5 m transect Pedestrian Survey.



Image 4: Study Area subject to a 5 m transect Pedestrian Survey.



Image 5: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 6: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 7: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 8: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



[Image 9: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 10: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 11: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 12: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 13:](#) Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



[Image 14:](#) Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



[Image 15:](#) Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



[Image 16:](#) Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



[Image 17: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 18: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 19: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)



[Image 20: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.](#)

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 21: CSP being completed on sloped lands for Site 2 AjHb-88.



Image 22: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 23: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 24: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 25: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 26: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 27: Example of soil visibility in completed CSP.



Image 28: Area subject to a 5 m Judgmental Test Pit Survey; multiple holes with water retention/

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 29: Example of permanent Low Lying & Wet conditions encountered.



Image 30: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey.



Image 31: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey.



Image 32: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey. Note grading around retention pond.

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 33: Retention pond.



Image 34: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey. Note grading around retention pond.



Image 35: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey. Note grading around retention pond.



Image 36: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey. Note grading around retention pond.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 37: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 38: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 39: Area of low potential with grading and land modifications for golf tees subject to a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 40: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 41: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 42: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 43: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey of field margins.



Image 44: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey of field margins.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 45: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey of field margins.



Image 46: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey of field margins.



Image 47: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey of field margins.



Image 48: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Test Pit Survey of field margins.

Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 49: Example of disturbed soil in the modified tee lands.



Image 50: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 51: Area of low potential with grading and land modifications for golf tees subject to a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.



Image 52: Field Archaeologists conducting a 5 m transect Judgmental Test Pit Survey.

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment



Image 53: Field Archaeologists excavating a Stage 2 Test Unit.



Image 54: Field Archaeologists excavating a Stage 2 Test Unit.



Image 55: Example of excavated Stage 2 Test Unit with sondage.

## 11. ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION

The Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists requires that the following standard statements be provided within all archaeological reports for the benefit of the proponent and approval authority in the land use planning and development process (MTC 2011:126):

This report is submitted to the Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18. The report is reviewed to ensure that it complies with the standards and guidelines that are issued by the Minister, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations ensure the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario. When all matters relating to archaeological sites within the project area of a development proposal have been addressed to the satisfaction of the MTCS, a letter will be issued by the ministry stating that there are no further concerns with regard to alterations to archaeological sites by the proposed development.

It is an offence under Sections 48 and 69 of the Ontario Heritage Act for any party other than a licensed archaeologist to make any alteration to a known archaeological site or to remove any artifact or other physical evidence of past human use or activity from the site, until such time as a licensed archaeologist has completed archaeological fieldwork on the site, submitted a report to the Minister stating that the site has no further cultural heritage value or interest, and the report has been filed in the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports referred to in Section 65.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48 (1) of the Ontario Heritage Act. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork, in compliance with Section 48 (1) of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Archaeological sites recommended for further archaeological fieldwork or protection remain subject to Section 48 (1) of the Ontario Heritage Act and may not be altered, or have artifacts removed from them, except by a person holding an archaeological licence.

The Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33 requires that any person discovering human remains must notify the police or coroner and the Registrar of Cemeteries at the Ministry of Consumer Service.

12. FIGURES


**A**



**B**




**C**



**D**



**E**



**A:** Whiteware Transfer Green (P379-629.CSP0.49)

**B:** Stoneware Rockingham (P379-629.CSP0.46)

**C:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.08)

**D:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.42)

**E:** Aqua Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.41)

**A**



**B**




**C**



**D**



**E**



**A:** Metal Bell (P379-629.CSP0.55)

**B:** Semi Porcelain (P379-629.CSP0.50)

**C:** Aqua Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.57)


**D:** Clear Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.52)

**E:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.58)

A






B



**A:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.62)

**B:** Victorian Majolica - Blue (P379-629.CSP0.64)



**A:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.44)

**B:** Aqua Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.45)

**A**



**B**



**A:** Semi Porcelain (P379-629.CSP0.47)

**B:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.48)




**A:** Scissor Arm/Blade (P379-629.CSP0.01)

**B:** Clear Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.02)

**C:** Metal Ball (P379-629.CSP0.06)

**D:** Semi Porcelain (P379-629.CSP0.07)

**E:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.04)




- A:** Stoneware Salt Glaze (P379-629.CSP0.19)
- B:** Aqua Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.12)
- C:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.13)
- D:** Semi Porcelain (P379-629.CSP0.14)
- E:** Stoneware Glazed - Jade (P379-629.CSP0.16)
- F:** Blue Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.18)

A




B



**A:** Whiteware Flow Blue Transfer (P379-629.CSP0.10)

**B:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.11)



**A:** Semi Porcelain (P379-629.CSP0.21)

**B:** Ironstone Plain (P379-629.CSP0.23)

**C:** Clear Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.27)

**D:** Olive Bottle Glass (P379-629.CSP0.32)

**E:** Ironstone Teal Motif (P379-629.CSP0.22)

**F:** Whiteware Banded (P379-629.CSP0.20)


**G:** Prosser Button (P379-629.CSP0.30)

**I:** Bullet (P379-629.CSP0.38)

**A**



**B**




**A:** Onondaga Flake - Shatter (P379-629.CSP0.25)

**B:** Bois Blanc Flake - Shatter (P379-629.CSP0.28)

A





B



**A:** Onondaga Flake - Fragment (P379-629.CSP0.31)

**B:** Onondaga Flake - Fragment (P379-629.CSP0.40)



**A:** (P379-629.CSP0.66) Shell Button

**B:** (P379-629.CSP0.67) Key

**C:** (P379-629.CSP0.69) Pipe Bowl

**D:** (P379-629.CSP0.65) Flake Fragment

**E:** (P379-629.CSP0.84) Gun Flint


**F:** (P379-629.CSP0.88) Coarse Red Earthenware

**G:** (P379-629.CSP0.73) WW Blue Transfer


**H:** (P379-629.CSP0.74) WW Shell Edge Curved Lines

**I:** (P379-629.CSP0.70) Ironstone Plain

**J:** (P379-629.CSP0.75) Glass Pane = < 1.6mm



- A:** Ancaster Chert - Shatter (P379-629.CSPS5.447)
- B:** Onondaga Biface (P379-629.CSPS5.448)
- C:** Onondaga Secondary Flake (P379-629.CSPS5.451)
- D:** Onondaga Core Fragment (P379-629.CSPS5.458)
- E:** Onondaga Flake Fragment (P379-629.CSPS5.459)
- F:** Onondaga Shatter (P379-629.CSPS5.460)



**A:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.01) Red Earthenware Lead Glaze

**B:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.04) Pearlware Plain

**C:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.11) WW Flow Blue Transfer

**D:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.13) Olive Bottle Glass

**E:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.16) WW Transfer Black

**F:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.18) Brown Bottle Glass

**G:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.20) Read Earthenware Salt Glaze


**H:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.22) Aqua Bottle Glass

**I:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.23) Stoneware Lead Glaze

**J:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.24) WW Early Blue Hand Painted

**K:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.25) WW Transfer Brown

**L:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.28) WW Transfer Blue



**A:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.40) WW Transfer Teal

**B:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.46) WW Shell Edge Unscalloped

**C:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.50) WW Hand Painted Early Palette

**D:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.53) WW Plain

**E:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.55) WW Shell Edge Scalloped

**F:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.62) WW Negative Print

**G:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.64) WW Hand Painted Late Palette


**H:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.69) WW Transfer Lavender

**I:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.71) Slate Writing Tablet

**J:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.80) WW Annular Banded



**K:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.81) Ironstone Plain

**L:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.82) WW Open Sponge



**A:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.101) Button Back  
**B:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.120) WW Non-Impressed Edgware  
**C:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.130) Pearlware Flow Blue  
**D:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.131) Pearlware Transfer Blue  
**E:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.166) Yellowware Plain  
**F:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.184) Green Shell Edge Pearlware

**G:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.189) WW Transfer Red  
**H:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.209) Inkwell  
**I:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.241) Stoneware Albany Slip  
**J:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.253) Earthenware Backfield Type  
**K:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.264) Stoneware Rockingham  
**L:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.267) Stoneware Albany Slip



**A:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.277) Mocha Dendritic Yellowware

**B:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.353) Shell Button


**C:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.398) Ironstone Foliage Motif

**D:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.399) Pipe Stem

**E:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.402) Porcelain Plain



**F:** (AjHb-88.CSP0.407) Yellowware Annular Banded

13. MAPS



Source: City of Guelph, Region of Waterloo, Province of Ontario, Ontario MNR, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, METI/NASA, EPA, USDA, AAFC, NRCan

Map 1: Study Area Location




Source: City of Guelph, Region of Waterloo, Province of Ontario, Ontario MNR, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, Intermap, USGS, METI/NASA, EPA, USDA, AAFC, NRCan

Map 2: Study Area Topographic Detail




Source: City of Guelph, Maxar

Map 3: Study Area Environmental Detail






Source: Leslie & Wheelock, 1861

Map 4: Study Area Atop 1861 Map



### Map 5: Study Area Atop 1877 Map





Source: Region of Waterloo, Microsoft, Vantoro


- Study Area 🔍 Photo # & Direction
- 5m Judgmental Test Pit Survey Completed: Disturbed
- 1m Pedestrian Survey Conducted: Archaeological Resources Identified
- 5m Test Pit Survey Completed: No Archaeological Resources Identified
- 5m Pedestrian Survey Completed: Archaeological Resources Identified

Map 7: Stage 2 Results of Assessment - Methodologies & Photo Plates



Source: Region of Waterloo, Microsoft, Vantor

Map 8: Stage 2 Results of Assessment - Isolated Findspots



- Study Area (Red dashed line)
- Indigenous Scatter 1 Site: No CHVI (Pink dashed line)
- Indigenous Scatter 2 Site: No CHVI (Blue dashed line)

Source: City of Guelph, Maxar, Microsoft





Source: Region of Waterloo, Microsoft, Vantor

Map 11: Stage 2 Results of Assessment - Test Pit Survey atop Findspots 7 & 8 (CAGI) within Site 1  
AjHb-87 (ARA)

## 14. REFERENCES

Chapman, L.J., and Putnam, D.F.

1984 "Physiography of Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey", Map P.2715 (coloured). Scale 1:600 00.

Irvin Heritage Inc (IHI)

2024 Archaeological Catalogue System (ACS) v2025.2 which uses the following sources:

Adams, N., I. Kenyon and D. Doroszenko

1995 Field Manual for Avocational Archaeologists in Ontario. 2nd Ed, North York: The Ontario Archaeological Society Inc.

Andrefsky, W.

2005. Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis. Cambridge University Press.

Cheek, C.D.

2016 *Bristol-Glazed Stoneware on Rural Indiana Farms: Effects of Technology Adoption on Consumer Choice*, Hist Arch 50, 89–109 (2016).

Collard, E.

1984 Nineteenth-Century Pottery and Porcelain, Second Edition. Mc-Gill-Queen's University Press.

Creswick, Alice M

1987 The Fruit Jar Works Volumes 1 & 2, A. Creswick: Michigan.

Dieringer E. and Dieringer B.

2001 White Ironstone China: Plate Identification Guide 1840–1890, Schiffer Publishing Ltd.: Pennsylvania

Florida Museum of Natural History (FLMNH)

2016 "Historical Archaeology at the Florida Museum of Natural History:" Accessed online at: [http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/histarch/gallery\\_types/type\\_list.asp](http://www.flmnh.ufl.edu/histarch/gallery_types/type_list.asp).

IMACS

1992 Intermountain Antiquities Computer System User's Guide. University of Utah, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Services.

Jones, Olive & Catherine Sullivan

1989 The Parks Canada Glass Glossary. National Historic Parks and Sites, Canadian Parks Service, Environment Canada: Ottawa.

Lindsey, B.

2014 *Historic Glass Bottle Identification*. Accessed online at: <http://www.sha.org/bottle/index.htm>.

Lockheart, Bill

2006 "The Color Purple: Dating Solarized Amethyst Container Glass". *Historical Archaeology* 40(2).

Lockheart, Bill

2015 *A Tour Through Time in Vaseline Jars*. Society for Historical Archaeology - Bottle Dating

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Miller, George L.

1991 "A Revised Set of CC Index Values for Classification and Economic Scaling of English Ceramics from 1787 to 1880." *Historical Archaeology* 25, no. 1 (1991)

Miller, George L.

2000 "Telling Time for Archaeologists", *Northeast Historical Archaeology* 29

Miller, George L. and Tony McNichol.

2002 (revised March 2010 with illustrations). "Dates for Suction Scarred Bottoms: Chronological Changes in Owens Machine-Made Bottles" Paper presented at the 2002 SHA meetings, Mobile, Alabama.

Kenyon, I.

1987 "A History of Ceramic Tableware in Ontario: 1795–1890: Historical Date Ranges." *Arch Notes* 87(6).

Kenyon, T.A. and I.T. Kenyon

2008 *19th Century Notes: A Compendium of Notes from the KEWA (Newsletter of the London Chapter, Ontario Archaeology Society) 1980-1988*, London: London Chapter, OAS.

Miller, G.L.

2000 "Telling Time for Archaeologists". *Northeast Historical Archaeology* 29:1–22

Maryland Archaeological Conservation Lab (MACL)

2002 "Diagnostic Artifacts in Maryland". Accessed online at: <http://www.jefpat.org/diagnostic/Index.htm>.

Newlands, D.L.

1979 Early Ontario Potters: Their Craft and Trade, Toronto. McGraw-Hill Ryerson Limited

Richardson, A.

2014 St. Mary's University Archaeology Lab Ceramics Database.

Roller, Dick

1983 Standard Fruit Jar Reference, Acorn Press: Illinois.

Samford, Patricia

1997 "Response to a Market: Dating English Underglaze Transfer-Printed Wares", *Historical Archaeology*, 31(2)

Shulz Peter D., Rebecca Allen, Lindsey Bill, and Shulz, Jeanette K.

2016 "Baffle Marks & Pontil Scars: A Reader on Historic Bottle Identification", *Society for Historical Archaeology, Special Publications Series No. 12*

Stelle, L.J.

2001 An Archaeological Guide to Historic Artifacts of the Upper Sangamon Basin, Central Illinois, U.S.A. Parkland College: Center for Social Research.

Toulouse, Julian H.

1969 Fruit Jars, Thomas Nelson & Sons: New York

Wallace, Scott

2005 "Collecting Canadian Ink Bottles" *Canadian Bottle and Stoneware Collector*, Vol 9, No. 4 (2005)

## Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment

Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC)

2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* [pdf file], Ministry of Tourism and Culture: Accessed Online.

Ontario Heritage Trust (OHT)

2021 “An Inventory of Provincial Plaques Across Ontario”, Ontario Heritage Trust: Accessed Online.

Ontario Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

2025 “Site Data Search” *Ontario’s Past Portal*, King’s Printer for Ontario: Accessed Online.

Ontario Ministry of Indigenous Affairs (MIA)

2024 *Map of Ontario Treaties and Reserves*, King’s Printer for Ontario: Accessed Online.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (OMNRF)

2025 *Ontario Watershed Information Tool (OWIT)*. King’s Printer for Ontario: Accessed Online.

15. APPENDICES

Appendix A: Isolated Findspots, Euro Scatter Sites, Indigenous Scatter Sites

Archaeological Resource	Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
Euro Isolated Findspot 1	CSP48	P379-629.CSP49	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Green					1	2025-3
Euro Isolated Findspot 2	CSP45	P379-629.CSP46	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Stoneware	Rockingham					1	2025-3
Euro Isolated Findspot 3	CSP08	P379-629.CSP.08	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain		Base fragment			1	2025-3
Euro Isolated Findspot 4	CSP41	P379-629.CSP42	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Isolated Findspot 5	CSP40	P379-629.CSP41	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Aqua				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP50	P379-629.CSP.51	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP52	P379-629.CSP.53	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP57	P379-629.CSP.58	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain			YES		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP58	P379-629.CSP.59	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP59	P379-629.CSP.60	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP60	P379-629.CSP.61	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain			Makers Mark "IRONS-"		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP49	P379-629.CSP.50	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi Porcelain		2	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP53	P379-629.CSP.54	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain	Blue		Modern Semi Porcelain		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP51	P379-629.CSP.52	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Base Fragment	Clear				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP55	P379-629.CSP.56	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Clear				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP56	P379-629.CSP.57	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Aqua				2	2025-3
Euro Scatter 1 Site	CSP54	P379-629.CSP.55	0	0	Activities	Livestock	Metal	Bell					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 2 Site	CSP62	P379-629.CSP.63	0	0	Activities	Household	Ceramic - Whiteware	Victorian Majolica	Brown				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 2 Site	CSP63	P379-629.CSP.64	0	0	Activities	Household	Ceramic - Whiteware	Victorian Majolica	Blue				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 2 Site	CSP61	P379-629.CSP.62	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 3 Site	CSP42	P379-629.CSP.43	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 3 Site	CSP43	P379-629.CSP.44	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 3 Site	CSP44	P379-629.CSP.45	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Aqua				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 4 Site	CSP47	P379-629.CSP.48	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 4 Site	CSP46	P379-629.CSP.47	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi Porcelain		2	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP03	P379-629.CSP.03	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP04	P379-629.CSP.04	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain			Base fragment		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP05	P379-629.CSP.05	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP07	P379-629.CSP.07	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi-Porcelain		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP02	P379-629.CSP.02	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Clear				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP01	P379-629.CSP.01	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Metal	Scissor Arm/Blade					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 5 Site	CSP06	P379-629.CSP.06	0	0	Activities	Miscellaneous	Metal	Metal Ball			Projectile?		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP09	P379-629.CSP.09	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Salt Glaze	Grey/ Brown				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP18	P379-629.CSP.19	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Salt Glaze	Grey/ Brown				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP12	P379-629.CSP.13	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP14	P379-629.CSP.15	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP16	P379-629.CSP.17	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					3	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP13	P379-629.CSP.14	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi-Porcelain		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP11	P379-629.CSP.12	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Aqua				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP17	P379-629.CSP.18	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Blue			YES	1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 6 Site	CSP15	P379-629.CSP.16	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware - Fine	Glazed Stoneware					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 7 Site	CSP10	P379-629.CSP.10	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer			Tea Pot		3	2025-3
Euro Scatter 7 Site	CSP11	P379-629.CSP.11	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP19	P379-629.CSP.20	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded	Blue		Blue Band		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP21	P379-629.CSP.22	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Classical Motif	Teal				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP22	P379-629.CSP.23	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP23	P379-629.CSP.24	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP25	P379-629.CSP.26	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP33	P379-629.CSP.34	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP34	P379-629.CSP.35	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP35	P379-629.CSP.36	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP38	P379-629.CSP.39	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP26	P379-629.CSP.27	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Clear				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP20	P379-629.CSP.21	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi-Porcelain		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP28	P379-629.CSP.29	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi Porcelain		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP32	P379-629.CSP.33	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Semi Porcelain			Modern Semi Porcelain		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP31	P379-629.CSP.32	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP26	P379-629.CSP.37	0	0	20th Century	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Decal Transfer			Rubbed Off/ Modern		1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP29	P379-629.CSP.30	0	0	Personal	Attire & Jewelry	Composite	Button - Prosser	Beige				1	2025-3
Euro Scatter 8 Site	CSP37	P379-629.CSP.38	0	0	Activities	Arms & Munitions	Lead	Bullet			REVIEW - FOSSIL		1	2025-3
Indigenous Scatter 1 Site	CSP24	P379-629.CSP.25	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Shatter					1	2025-3
Indigenous Scatter 1 Site	CSP27	P379-629.CSP.28	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Bois Blanc	Flake - Shatter					1	2025-3
Indigenous Scatter 2 Site	CSP30	P379-629.CSP.31	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	2025-3
Indigenous Scatter 2 Site	CSP39	P379-629.CSP.40	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	2025-3

Appendix B: Test Unit 1 Area &amp; Test Unit 2 Area

Archaeological Resource	Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.72	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Green	Green				3	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TP1	P379-629.CSP.73	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue	Blue				4	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.80	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TP3	P379-629.CSP.74	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Shell Edge Scalloped - Curved Lines					2	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TP1	P379-629.CSP.70	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					4	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.78	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.83	1	0-48	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TP1	P379-629.CSP.75	1	0-48	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.76	1	0-48	Architectural	Nails/Fasteners	Metal	Nail - Machine Cut					1	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.82	1	0-48	Architectural	Nails/Fasteners	Metal	Nail - Machine Cut					1	2025-3
Test Unit 1 Area	TU1	P379-629.CSP.71	1	0-48	Architectural	Building Material	Earthenware Red	Brick - Plain Fragment					5	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TU2	P379-629.CSP.67	1	0-48	Personal	Household	Metal	Key					1	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TU2	P379-629.CSP.66	1	0-48	Personal	Attr & Jewelry	Shell	Button - Shell					1	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TU2	P379-629.CSP.69	1	0-48	Personal	Smoking	Kaolin Clay	Pipe Bowl					1	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TU2	P379-629.CSP.81	1	0-48	Personal	Smoking	Kaolin Clay	Pipe Bowl					1	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TU2	P379-629.CSP.65	1	0-48	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TP2	P379-629.CSP.84	1	0-48	Activities	Arms & Munitions	Chert - Bois Blanc	Gun Flint					1	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TP5	P379-629.CSP.88	1	0-48	Activities	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					4	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TP6	P379-629.CSP.68	1	0-48	Organic	Faunal - Mammal	Bone	Bone - Mammal					2	2025-3
Test Unit 2 Area	TP8	P379-629.CSP.77	1	0-48	Organic	Faunal - Mammal	Bone	Horse Tooth					1	2025-3

Appendix C: Sanders Site AjHb-88

Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID	
CSP04	AjHb-88.CSP0.01	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP05	AjHb-88.CSP0.02	0	0	Architectural	Building Material	Brick - Red	Brick - Plain Fragment					1	2025-3	
CSP06	AjHb-88.CSP0.03	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP06	AjHb-88.CSP0.04	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP07	AjHb-88.CSP0.05	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP08	AjHb-88.CSP0.06	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP15	AjHb-88.CSP0.07	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP16	AjHb-88.CSP0.08	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					2	2025-3	
CSP17	AjHb-88.CSP0.09	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP17	AjHb-88.CSP0.10	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP17	AjHb-88.CSP0.11	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP18	AjHb-88.CSP0.12	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP18	AjHb-88.CSP0.13	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3	
CSP19	AjHb-88.CSP0.14	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP20	AjHb-88.CSP0.15	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP21	AjHb-88.CSP0.16	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					1	2025-3	
CSP22	AjHb-88.CSP0.17	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP23	AjHb-88.CSP0.18	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Amber				1	2025-3	
CSP24	AjHb-88.CSP0.19	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Shell Edge Unscalloped - Curved Lines					1	2025-3	
CSP26	AjHb-88.CSP0.20	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Salt Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP27	AjHb-88.CSP0.21	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP27	AjHb-88.CSP0.22	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Aqua				1	2025-3	
CSP27	AjHb-88.CSP0.23	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP28	AjHb-88.CSP0.24	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Early Blue Hand Painted					1	2025-3	
CSP28	AjHb-88.CSP0.25	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3	
CSP28	AjHb-88.CSP0.26	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP29	AjHb-88.CSP0.27	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3	
CSP29	AjHb-88.CSP0.28	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3	
CSP29	AjHb-88.CSP0.29	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					1	2025-3	
CSP29	AjHb-88.CSP0.30	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				2	2025-3	
CSP30	AjHb-88.CSP0.31	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3	
CSP30	AjHb-88.CSP0.32	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3	
CSP30	AjHb-88.CSP0.33	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP31	AjHb-88.CSP0.34	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Salt Glaze					1	2025-3	
CSP31	AjHb-88.CSP0.35	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3	
CSP31	AjHb-88.CSP0.36	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3	
CSP32	AjHb-88.CSP0.37	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP32	AjHb-88.CSP0.38	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP32	AjHb-88.CSP0.39	0	0	Architectural	Building Material	Earthenware	Drainage Tile					1	2025-3	
CSP33	AjHb-88.CSP0.40	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Teal					1	2025-3	
CSP33	AjHb-88.CSP0.41	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3	
CSP33	AjHb-88.CSP0.42	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown			Makers Stamp on back		2	2025-3	
CSP33	AjHb-88.CSP0.43	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP34	AjHb-88.CSP0.44	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP34	AjHb-88.CSP0.45	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP34	AjHb-88.CSP0.46	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Shell Edge Unscalloped - Curved Lines					1	2025-3	
CSP35	AjHb-88.CSP0.47	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3	
CSP35	AjHb-88.CSP0.48	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP35	AjHb-88.CSP0.49	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP35	AjHb-88.CSP0.50	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Early Palette+Blue					1	2025-3	
CSP36	AjHb-88.CSP0.51	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3	
CSP36	AjHb-88.CSP0.52	0	0	Personal	Household	Glass	Glass - Melted			Decorative Glass		1	1	2025-3
CSP37	AjHb-88.CSP0.53	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2		2025-3
CSP37	AjHb-88.CSP0.54	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1		2025-3
CSP37	AjHb-88.CSP0.55	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Shell Edge Scalloped - Curved Lines					1		2025-3
CSP38	AjHb-88.CSP0.56	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1		2025-3
CSP39	AjHb-88.CSP0.57	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1		2025-3
CSP39	AjHb-88.CSP0.58	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1		2025-3
CSP40	AjHb-88.CSP0.59	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Early Blue Hand Painted					1		2025-3
CSP40	AjHb-88.CSP0.60	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1		2025-3
CSP40	AjHb-88.CSP0.61	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1		2025-3
CSP41	AjHb-88.CSP0.62	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1		2025-3
CSP41	AjHb-88.CSP0.63	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1		2025-3
CSP41	AjHb-88.CSP0.64	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1		2025-3
CSP42	AjHb-88.CSP0.65	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Porcelain Plain					1		2025-3
CSP43	AjHb-88.CSP0.66	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Porcelain Plain					1		2025-3
CSP44	AjHb-88.CSP0.67	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1		2025-3
CSP44	AjHb-88.CSP0.68	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1		2025-3
CSP45	AjHb-88.CSP0.69	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1		2025-3
CSP45	AjHb-88.CSP0.70	0	0	Architectural	Building Material	Brick - Red	Brick - Plain Fragment					1		2025-3
CSP46	AjHb-88.CSP0.71	0	0	Activities	Writing	Slate	Slate - Writing Table					1		2025-3
CSP46	AjHb-88.CSP0.72	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1		2025-3
CSP47	AjHb-88.CSP0.73	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1		2025-3
CSP48	AjHb-88.CSP0.74	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1		2025-3

Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
CSP48	AjHb-88.CSP0.75	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP48	AjHb-88.CSP0.76	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Porcelain Plain					1	2025-3
CSP48	AjHb-88.CSP0.77	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze			Potential Milk Pan Fragment		1	2025-3
CSP49	AjHb-88.CSP0.78	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP49	AjHb-88.CSP0.79	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP49	AjHb-88.CSP0.80	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded					1	2025-3
CSP50	AjHb-88.CSP0.81	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP50	AjHb-88.CSP0.82	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Open Sponge					1	2025-3
CSP50	AjHb-88.CSP0.83	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP51	AjHb-88.CSP0.84	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP51	AjHb-88.CSP0.85	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP51	AjHb-88.CSP0.86	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP52	AjHb-88.CSP0.87	0	0	Kitchen	Miscellaneous	Glass	Glass - Melted					1	2025-3
CSP52	AjHb-88.CSP0.88	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP52	AjHb-88.CSP0.89	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP53	AjHb-88.CSP0.90	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP54	AjHb-88.CSP0.91	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Salt Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP54	AjHb-88.CSP0.92	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP54	AjHb-88.CSP0.93	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP54	AjHb-88.CSP0.94	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP54	AjHb-88.CSP0.95	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP55	AjHb-88.CSP0.96	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP55	AjHb-88.CSP0.97	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP55	AjHb-88.CSP0.98	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					3	2025-3
CSP55	AjHb-88.CSP0.99	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Early Palette+Blue					1	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.100	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.101	0	0	Personal	Attire & Jewelry	Metal	Button Back		Button?			1	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.102	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					2	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.103	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Pearlware Transfer Black					2	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.104	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.105	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.106	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP56	AjHb-88.CSP0.107	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Early Blue Hand Painted					1	2025-3
CSP57	AjHb-88.CSP0.108	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP57	AjHb-88.CSP0.109	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Porcelain Plain					1	2025-3
CSP57	AjHb-88.CSP0.110	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					7	2025-3
CSP57	AjHb-88.CSP0.111	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					3	2025-3
CSP57	AjHb-88.CSP0.112	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					2	2025-3
CSP58	AjHb-88.CSP0.113	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP58	AjHb-88.CSP0.114	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP58	AjHb-88.CSP0.115	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP58	AjHb-88.CSP0.116	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP59	AjHb-88.CSP0.117	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					1	2025-3
CSP59	AjHb-88.CSP0.118	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP60	AjHb-88.CSP0.119	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP60	AjHb-88.CSP0.120	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Non-Impressed Edgeware					2	2025-3
CSP60	AjHb-88.CSP0.121	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP60	AjHb-88.CSP0.122	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP60	AjHb-88.CSP0.123	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP61	AjHb-88.CSP0.124	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Salt Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP61	AjHb-88.CSP0.125	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.126	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.127	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.128	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Open Sponge					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.129	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.130	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Flow Blue					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.131	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.132	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP62	AjHb-88.CSP0.133	0	0	Organic	Faunal - Mammal	Bone	Bone - Mammal					1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.134	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Porcelain Plain					1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.135	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.136	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware		Flow Blue Transfer			1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.137	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					2	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.138	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.139	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.140	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.141	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.142	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP63	AjHb-88.CSP0.143	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					2	2025-3
CSP64	AjHb-88.CSP0.144	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP64	AjHb-88.CSP0.145	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP64	AjHb-88.CSP0.146	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP65	AjHb-88.CSP0.147	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3

Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
CSP65	AjHb-88.CSP0.148	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP66	AjHb-88.CSP0.149	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP66	AjHb-88.CSP0.150	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					2	2025-3
CSP66	AjHb-88.CSP0.151	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP66	AjHb-88.CSP0.152	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP66	AjHb-88.CSP0.153	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue		Impressed Hole			1	2025-3
CSP67	AjHb-88.CSP0.154	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP68	AjHb-88.CSP0.155	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP68	AjHb-88.CSP0.156	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP69	AjHb-88.CSP0.157	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP69	AjHb-88.CSP0.158	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP69	AjHb-88.CSP0.159	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP70	AjHb-88.CSP0.160	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP71	AjHb-88.CSP0.161	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP71	AjHb-88.CSP0.162	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP71	AjHb-88.CSP0.163	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP72	AjHb-88.CSP0.164	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Shell Edge Even Scalloped Curved Line WW					1	2025-3
CSP72	AjHb-88.CSP0.165	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					1	2025-3
CSP72	AjHb-88.CSP0.166	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP72	AjHb-88.CSP0.167	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP72	AjHb-88.CSP0.168	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Non-Impressed Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP72	AjHb-88.CSP0.169	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP73	AjHb-88.CSP0.170	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					2	2025-3
CSP73	AjHb-88.CSP0.171	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP73	AjHb-88.CSP0.172	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP73	AjHb-88.CSP0.173	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP73	AjHb-88.CSP0.174	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP74	AjHb-88.CSP0.175	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Non-Impressed Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP74	AjHb-88.CSP0.176	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP75	AjHb-88.CSP0.177	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP76	AjHb-88.CSP0.178	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP76	AjHb-88.CSP0.179	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP76	AjHb-88.CSP0.180	0	0	Activities	Writing	Slate	Slate - Writing Table					1	2025-3
CSP76	AjHb-88.CSP0.181	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Non-Impressed Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP77	AjHb-88.CSP0.182	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP78	AjHb-88.CSP0.183	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP79	AjHb-88.CSP0.184	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Green Shell Edge Pearlware					1	2025-3
CSP80	AjHb-88.CSP0.185	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP80	AjHb-88.CSP0.186	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP81	AjHb-88.CSP0.187	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP82	AjHb-88.CSP0.188	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP82	AjHb-88.CSP0.189	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Red					1	2025-3
CSP82	AjHb-88.CSP0.190	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane > 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP83	AjHb-88.CSP0.191	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP84	AjHb-88.CSP0.192	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Red					1	2025-3
CSP85	AjHb-88.CSP0.193	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Multichamber Slip - Common Cable					1	2025-3
CSP85	AjHb-88.CSP0.194	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP86	AjHb-88.CSP0.195	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP86	AjHb-88.CSP0.196	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Teal					1	2025-3
CSP86	AjHb-88.CSP0.197	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP87	AjHb-88.CSP0.198	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP88	AjHb-88.CSP0.199	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP89	AjHb-88.CSP0.200	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP90	AjHb-88.CSP0.201	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP91	AjHb-88.CSP0.202	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP92	AjHb-88.CSP0.203	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP93	AjHb-88.CSP0.204	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP94	AjHb-88.CSP0.205	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP95	AjHb-88.CSP0.206	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Base Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP95	AjHb-88.CSP0.207	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP96	AjHb-88.CSP0.208	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP97	AjHb-88.CSP0.209	0	0	Activities	Writing	Stoneware	Inkwell					1	2025-3
CSP98	AjHb-88.CSP0.210	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP98	AjHb-88.CSP0.211	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP99	AjHb-88.CSP0.212	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP99	AjHb-88.CSP0.213	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP100	AjHb-88.CSP0.214	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP101	AjHb-88.CSP0.215	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Finish Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP102	AjHb-88.CSP0.216	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP103	AjHb-88.CSP0.217	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP104	AjHb-88.CSP0.218	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Open Sponge					1	2025-3
CSP105	AjHb-88.CSP0.219	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Transfer Blue			Moulded Rim Piece x1		2	2025-3
CSP106	AjHb-88.CSP0.220	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Base Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP106	AjHb-88.CSP0.221	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane > 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP106	AjHb-88.CSP0.222	0	0	Organic	Faunal - Shell	Shell	Shell					1	2025-3

Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
CSP107	AjHb-88.CSP0.223	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP108	AjHb-88.CSP0.224	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP109	AjHb-88.CSP0.225	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Green				1	2025-3
CSP110	AjHb-88.CSP0.226	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP111	AjHb-88.CSP0.227	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP112	AjHb-88.CSP0.228	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP113	AjHb-88.CSP0.229	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Neck Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP113	AjHb-88.CSP0.230	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP114	AjHb-88.CSP0.231	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP115	AjHb-88.CSP0.232	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP115	AjHb-88.CSP0.233	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP116	AjHb-88.CSP0.234	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					2	2025-3
CSP117	AjHb-88.CSP0.235	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP118	AjHb-88.CSP0.236	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP119	AjHb-88.CSP0.237	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Salt Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP120	AjHb-88.CSP0.238	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP120	AjHb-88.CSP0.239	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP121	AjHb-88.CSP0.240	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP122	AjHb-88.CSP0.241	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Albany Slip			Northamerican Stoneware		1	2025-3
CSP122	AjHb-88.CSP0.242	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP123	AjHb-88.CSP0.243	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP124	AjHb-88.CSP0.244	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP124	AjHb-88.CSP0.245	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP125	AjHb-88.CSP0.246	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP126	AjHb-88.CSP0.247	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP127	AjHb-88.CSP0.248	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP128	AjHb-88.CSP0.249	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP129	AjHb-88.CSP0.250	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP130	AjHb-88.CSP0.251	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP131	AjHb-88.CSP0.252	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Clear				1	2025-3
CSP132	AjHb-88.CSP0.253	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Jackfield Type					1	2025-3
CSP133	AjHb-88.CSP0.254	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP134	AjHb-88.CSP0.255	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP135	AjHb-88.CSP0.256	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP136	AjHb-88.CSP0.257	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP136	AjHb-88.CSP0.258	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP136	AjHb-88.CSP0.259	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP137	AjHb-88.CSP0.260	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP139	AjHb-88.CSP0.261	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP140	AjHb-88.CSP0.262	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP141	AjHb-88.CSP0.263	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP142	AjHb-88.CSP0.264	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Stoneware	Rockingham					1	2025-3
CSP143	AjHb-88.CSP0.265	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP143	AjHb-88.CSP0.266	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP144	AjHb-88.CSP0.267	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Albany Slip			Northamerican Stoneware		1	2025-3
CSP144	AjHb-88.CSP0.268	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain			Potential Pooling from Flow Blue		1	2025-3
CSP145	AjHb-88.CSP0.269	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP146	AjHb-88.CSP0.270	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Plain			Embossed Rim		1	2025-3
CSP147	AjHb-88.CSP0.271	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP147	AjHb-88.CSP0.272	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP147	AjHb-88.CSP0.273	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded					1	2025-3
CSP147	AjHb-88.CSP0.274	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscalloped Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP148	AjHb-88.CSP0.275	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP148	AjHb-88.CSP0.276	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP149	AjHb-88.CSP0.277	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Mocha (Dendritic Pattern)			Potential Green Mocha		1	2025-3
CSP150	AjHb-88.CSP0.278	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP150	AjHb-88.CSP0.279	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP151	AjHb-88.CSP0.280	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP152	AjHb-88.CSP0.281	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP152	AjHb-88.CSP0.282	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP152	AjHb-88.CSP0.283	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP152	AjHb-88.CSP0.284	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP152	AjHb-88.CSP0.285	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black			Makers Mark		1	2025-3
CSP152	AjHb-88.CSP0.286	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP153	AjHb-88.CSP0.287	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Albany Slip			Northamerican Stoneware		1	2025-3
CSP153	AjHb-88.CSP0.288	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP153	AjHb-88.CSP0.289	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP153	AjHb-88.CSP0.290	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP154	AjHb-88.CSP0.291	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Stoneware	Rockingham					1	2025-3
CSP154	AjHb-88.CSP0.292	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					2	2025-3
CSP154	AjHb-88.CSP0.293	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					2	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.294	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					2	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.295	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.296	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.297	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Open Sponge					1	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.298	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.299	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded					1	2025-3

Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.300	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP155	AjHb-88.CSP0.301	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP156	AjHb-88.CSP0.302	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP156	AjHb-88.CSP0.303	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP156	AjHb-88.CSP0.304	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP156	AjHb-88.CSP0.305	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Teal					1	2025-3
CSP156	AjHb-88.CSP0.306	0	0	Architectural	Glass Pane	Glass	Glass Pane = or < 1.6 mm					1	2025-3
CSP157	AjHb-88.CSP0.307	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP157	AjHb-88.CSP0.308	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Rockingham					1	2025-3
CSP158	AjHb-88.CSP0.309	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP159	AjHb-88.CSP0.310	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP160	AjHb-88.CSP0.311	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP161	AjHb-88.CSP0.312	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Shell Edge Unscalloped - Curved Lines					1	2025-3
CSP162	AjHb-88.CSP0.313	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Yellowware	Stoneware Albany Slip					1	2025-3
CSP162	AjHb-88.CSP0.314	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain		Embossed			1	2025-3
CSP163	AjHb-88.CSP0.315	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Stoneware	Stoneware Albany Slip		Northamerican Stoneware			1	2025-3
CSP164	AjHb-88.CSP0.316	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP164	AjHb-88.CSP0.317	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					2	2025-3
CSP164	AjHb-88.CSP0.318	0	0	Kitchen	Household	Glass	Glass - Pressed	Clear	Decorative Glass			1	2025-3
CSP164	AjHb-88.CSP0.319	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP164	AjHb-88.CSP0.320	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Black Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP164	AjHb-88.CSP0.321	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP165	AjHb-88.CSP0.322	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP166	AjHb-88.CSP0.323	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP166	AjHb-88.CSP0.324	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP166	AjHb-88.CSP0.325	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP166	AjHb-88.CSP0.326	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP166	AjHb-88.CSP0.327	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP167	AjHb-88.CSP0.328	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP168	AjHb-88.CSP0.329	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP169	AjHb-88.CSP0.330	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP169	AjHb-88.CSP0.331	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP169	AjHb-88.CSP0.332	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP169	AjHb-88.CSP0.333	0	0	Organic	Faunal - Mammal	Bone	Bone - Mammal					1	2025-3
CSP170	AjHb-88.CSP0.334	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP170	AjHb-88.CSP0.335	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Open Sponge					1	2025-3
CSP171	AjHb-88.CSP0.336	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP171	AjHb-88.CSP0.337	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP171	AjHb-88.CSP0.338	0	0	Organic	Faunal - Shell	Shell	Shell					1	2025-3
CSP172	AjHb-88.CSP0.339	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP172	AjHb-88.CSP0.340	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP172	AjHb-88.CSP0.341	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP173	AjHb-88.CSP0.342	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP174	AjHb-88.CSP0.343	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP174	AjHb-88.CSP0.344	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Non-Impressed Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP175	AjHb-88.CSP0.345	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					2	2025-3
CSP176	AjHb-88.CSP0.346	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	Negative Printing Transfer Whiteware					1	2025-3
CSP176	AjHb-88.CSP0.347	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP177	AjHb-88.CSP0.348	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP177	AjHb-88.CSP0.349	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Brown					1	2025-3
CSP177	AjHb-88.CSP0.350	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP178	AjHb-88.CSP0.351	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP179	AjHb-88.CSP0.352	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP180	AjHb-88.CSP0.353	0	0	Personal	Attire & Jewelry	Shell	Button - Shell					1	2025-3
CSP181	AjHb-88.CSP0.354	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Base Fragment	Aqua				1	2025-3
CSP182	AjHb-88.CSP0.355	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					2	2025-3
CSP183	AjHb-88.CSP0.356	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP183	AjHb-88.CSP0.357	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP183	AjHb-88.CSP0.358	0	0	20th Century	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Colourless				1	2025-3
CSP184	AjHb-88.CSP0.359	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded		Potential Mocha			1	2025-3
CSP185	AjHb-88.CSP0.360	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP185	AjHb-88.CSP0.361	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP185	AjHb-88.CSP0.362	0	0	Kitchen	Utilitarian	Ceramic - Earthenware	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP186	AjHb-88.CSP0.363	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Impressed Unscallop Edgeware					1	2025-3
CSP186	AjHb-88.CSP0.364	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP186	AjHb-88.CSP0.365	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP187	AjHb-88.CSP0.366	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP187	AjHb-88.CSP0.367	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					1	2025-3
CSP188	AjHb-88.CSP0.368	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP188	AjHb-88.CSP0.369	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP189	AjHb-88.CSP0.370	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP189	AjHb-88.CSP0.371	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP189	AjHb-88.CSP0.372	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP190	AjHb-88.CSP0.373	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded					1	2025-3
CSP190	AjHb-88.CSP0.374	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP190	AjHb-88.CSP0.375	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Plain					1	2025-3

Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID
CSP191	AjHb-88.CSP0.376	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					4	2025-3
CSP191	AjHb-88.CSP0.377	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					4	2025-3
CSP191	AjHb-88.CSP0.378	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP192	AjHb-88.CSP0.379	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP194	AjHb-88.CSP0.380	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP194	AjHb-88.CSP0.381	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					3	2025-3
CSP194	AjHb-88.CSP0.382	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Earthenware Red	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP195	AjHb-88.CSP0.383	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP196	AjHb-88.CSP0.384	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP197	AjHb-88.CSP0.385	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP197	AjHb-88.CSP0.386	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP198	AjHb-88.CSP0.387	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP198	AjHb-88.CSP0.388	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP199	AjHb-88.CSP0.389	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP200	AjHb-88.CSP0.390	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP201	AjHb-88.CSP0.391	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP201	AjHb-88.CSP0.392	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					2	2025-3
CSP202	AjHb-88.CSP0.393	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Stoneware - Buff Fine	Stoneware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP203	AjHb-88.CSP0.394	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP203	AjHb-88.CSP0.395	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Stoneware - Buff Fine	Stoneware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP203	AjHb-88.CSP0.396	0	0	Personal	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Base Fragment	Aqua				1	2025-3
CSP204	AjHb-88.CSP0.397	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP205	AjHb-88.CSP0.398	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Moulded Foliage Motif					1	2025-3
CSP205	AjHb-88.CSP0.399	0	0	Personal	Smoking	Kaolin Clay	Pipe Stem					1	2025-3
CSP206	AjHb-88.CSP0.400	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP207	AjHb-88.CSP0.401	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Sponged Monochrome					1	2025-3
CSP208	AjHb-88.CSP0.402	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Porcelain	Porcelain Plain					1	2025-3
CSP209	AjHb-88.CSP0.403	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP210	AjHb-88.CSP0.404	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP211	AjHb-88.CSP0.405	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP212	AjHb-88.CSP0.406	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP213	AjHb-88.CSP0.407	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Annular Banded					1	2025-3
CSP213	AjHb-88.CSP0.408	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Early Palette					1	2025-3
CSP214	AjHb-88.CSP0.409	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP215	AjHb-88.CSP0.410	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP216	AjHb-88.CSP0.411	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Earthenware Red	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP216	AjHb-88.CSP0.412	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP217	AjHb-88.CSP0.413	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					2	2025-3
CSP218	AjHb-88.CSP0.414	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Black					1	2025-3
CSP218	AjHb-88.CSP0.415	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP219	AjHb-88.CSP0.416	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Embossed Rim Patterned Scalloping	Green				1	2025-3
CSP220	AjHb-88.CSP0.417	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP221	AjHb-88.CSP0.418	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP221	AjHb-88.CSP0.419	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Embossed Rim Patterned Scalloping					1	2025-3
CSP221	AjHb-88.CSP0.420	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP221	AjHb-88.CSP0.421	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Earthenware Red	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP222	AjHb-88.CSP0.422	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP223	AjHb-88.CSP0.423	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Annular Banded					1	2025-3
CSP223	AjHb-88.CSP0.424	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP223	AjHb-88.CSP0.425	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Earthenware Red	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP224	AjHb-88.CSP0.426	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP224	AjHb-88.CSP0.427	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP224	AjHb-88.CSP0.428	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP225	AjHb-88.CSP0.429	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP226	AjHb-88.CSP0.430	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Transfer Blue					1	2025-3
CSP227	AjHb-88.CSP0.431	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP227	AjHb-88.CSP0.432	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Flow Blue Transfer					1	2025-3
CSP228	AjHb-88.CSP0.433	0	0	Architectural	Nails/Fasteners	Metal	Nail - Corroded &/or Fragmentary					1	2025-3
CSP228	AjHb-88.CSP0.434	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP229	AjHb-88.CSP0.435	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Ironstone	Ironstone Plain					1	2025-3
CSP230	AjHb-88.CSP0.436	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP231	AjHb-88.CSP0.437	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Hand Painted Late Palette					1	2025-3
CSP232	AjHb-88.CSP0.438	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Yellowware	Yellowware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP233	AjHb-88.CSP0.439	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP234	AjHb-88.CSP0.440	0	0	Activities	Utilitarian	Earthenware Red	Coarse Red Earthenware Lead Glaze					1	2025-3
CSP235	AjHb-88.CSP0.441	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3
CSP236	AjHb-88.CSP0.442	0	0	Kitchen	Bottle	Glass	Glass Bottle Body Fragment	Olive				1	2025-3
CSP237	AjHb-88.CSP0.443	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Plain					1	2025-3
CSP238	AjHb-88.CSP0.444	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Whiteware	WW Transfer Lavender					1	2025-3
CSP239	AjHb-88.CSP0.445	0	0	Kitchen	Tableware	Ceramic - Pearlware	Pearlware Plain					1	2025-3

Appendix D: Turf Grass Institute Site AjHb-27 Catalogue

Site	Provenience	Catalogue #	Lot	Lot Depth (cm)	Class	Group	Material	Item	Motif / Colour	Form	Item Notes	Thermal Alteration	Qty	Box ID	
AjHb-27	CSP03	P379-629.CSPS5.446	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Shatter					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP10	P379-629.CSPS5.447	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Ancaster	Flake - Shatter					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP11	P379-629.CSPS5.448	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Biface					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP12	P379-629.CSPS5.449	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Shatter				1	1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP13	P379-629.CSPS5.450	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	1	2025-3
AjHb-27	CSP14	P379-629.CSPS5.451	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Secondary					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP16	P379-629.CSPS5.452	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP25	P379-629.CSPS5.453	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Secondary					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP26	P379-629.CSPS5.454	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Shatter				1	1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP01	P379-629.CSPS5.459	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	1	2025-3
AjHb-27	CSP02	P379-629.CSPS5.460	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Shatter					1	2025-3	
AjHb-27	CSP09	P379-629.CSPS5.461	0	0	Indigenous	Lithic	Chert - Onondaga	Flake - Fragment					1	2025-3	