

Alternate formats are available as per the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act by contacting **Helen White** at 519-822-1260 extension 2298.

Cedarvale Avenue Park

Phase 2 Community Engagement Results

February 2018

Comment	Frequency	Reasons given	Staff Response
Rating	7		Noted.
What I like about preferred concept:			
trees	2	Contribute to environment and beautification.	In park master plan
benches	2		In park master plan
paths	1		In park master plan
games table with shade structure	1		In park master plan
wildflower garden	1		Naturalized planting area in park master plan
engineered wood fibre play area surfacing	1	Prefer wood fibre over rubber surfacing as it gets hot.	In park master plan
What I dislike about preferred concept:			
play facilities	1	The park is not safe for kids playing...chasing pets, balls and sleds into road, etc. There are parks in the area that are safer [for equipment].	Play facilities were highly rated by residents in the last engagement phase. The play areas proposed in the park master plan will be level, meet current safety guidelines and set well back from adjacent streets.
no swings	2	Swings are my kids favourites. Can be used when weather starts to get messy...for longer period during the year... for babies and older children.	Staff investigated various swing types but the play areas are too narrow for the park layout that was highly rated by residents in the last engagement phase. Other motion type play equipment will be considered at detailed design stage. There are swings elsewhere in neighbourhood.

too many paths/path alignment	2	Takes up too much of the park. 'W'-layout is indirect. Too many entrances on one street. Should be one main trail with entrance at mailbox with narrower side paths.	The trail layout in the park master plan was highly rated by residents in the last engagement phase. This layout provides: a shaded walk experience to all park areas; an alternative to the Cedarvale Avenue sidewalk that meets City accessibility standards and guides users to pedestrian crossings; allows off-road wheeled play (scooters, tricycles, etc.) and maintenance vehicle access. The proposed trail width is required for maintenance vehicles and to minimize conflicts between trail users.
not enough play equipment	1		Noted. Will consider during detailed design.
not enough senior play equipment	1		Noted. Will consider during detailed design.
natural play equipment	1	Have natural play equipment at nearby school.	Natural equipment is in park master plan because it was the highest rated item in the last engagement. Staff will explore providing regular as well as natural play equipment that differs from the equipment at William C. Winegard Public School.
too many signs	1		The number of signs has been minimized in the park master plan.
Other comments:			
Make it a no-go zone for gasoline vehicles.	1	People may walk, take a bus or cycle to the parks.	No motorized vehicles will be permitted in the park except mobility devices and maintenance vehicles.
Add community message board	1		Community message boards are not provided in City parks due to the amount of time required to manage and maintain these in outdoor environments.
Add small water feature	1		Not included in park master plan because it would be very expensive - there is no water line to the park.

Do not use engineered wood fibre play area surfacing	1	Not as accessible as rubber	Included in park master plan because engineered wood fibre meets City accessibility requirements and its cost is within park budget. Rubberized surfacing has high installation and replacement costs so is generally used only for major destination parks.
Add swings with accessible seat	1		Swings are not included in the park master plan due to space constraints but motion type play equipment and accessible play features will be considered at detailed design stage.