

Appendix G

Project Sheets for Preferred Alternatives

Project Sheet: Restoration of Clythe Well



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Adjacent to Clythe Creek, near intersection of Highway 7 and Watson Road
Description	Drilled in 1976, has 305 mm dia. casing, offline since 1999
Permitted Pump Rate	■ 3,395 m ³ /d
Sustainable Capacity	 1,180 m³/d per modelling assessment (considered to be a conservative value); field testing has shown 3,370 to be locally sustainable

Project Component	Project Details
Existing Approvals	 Permit To Take Water (PTTW)
Required Approvals	 Amendment to City Drinking Water License (DWL)
Water Quality Issues	 Hydrogen sulfide, iron and manganese
Environmental Constraints	 Close to Clythe Creek and Clythe Creek Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW)
	 Potential impacts to features assessed as part of Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) PTTW approval process
	 City collecting additional data to build on understanding of the potential for interaction between the well and natural environment
Past Studies/Work	 Rehabilitation and Performance Assessment in 2008 Schedule B Class Environmental Assessment (EA) for Water Treatment Plant (WTP) completed in 2018 (identified strategy for water quality treatment requirements)
	 Land acquisition of property across road to accommodate new WTP
Required Studies	 Additional monitoring data noted above
Required Infrastructure	Water Treatment SystemWell house upgrades
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$6,781,000 (for WTP with design capacity of 3,370 m ³ /d)
Cost per m³/day	■ \$2,012 (at 3,370 m ³ /d; field tested rate)
Annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Cost	■ \$100,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.56/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	Two year design and construction period

Project Sheet: Restoration of Arkell Lower Road Collector System



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Lower slope of the Eramosa valley wall (Arkell Spring Grounds), east of Watson Road
Description	 A collector system consisting of 30 manholes and 26 collection galleries, disconnected in 2000
Historical Production Rate	■ 600 to 6,000 m ³ /d
Sustainable Capacity	 Modelling assessment indicates that collector replacement could add 4,000 m³/d to the combined minimum collector volume (i.e., total for Lower Road and existing Glen Collector)

Project Component	Project Details
Existing Approvals	 PTTW (under Arkell Springs Grounds Collector groundwater
	taking)
Required Approvals	DWL amendment
	Possible Class EA Schedule B, with consideration for potential
	environmental impacts
	 Source Protection Plan amendment (requirement TBD)
Water Quality Issues	Elevated bacterial content; would be treated via Woods
	Ultraviolet (UV) system (review of updated Groundwater
	[GUDI TOR] required, when available)
	 Separate connection to valve chamber with bypass when
	turbidity high (similar to Glen collector)
Environmental	Near Eramosa River and Eramosa River Blue Springs Creek
Constraints	PSW – system previously permitted, no PTTW increase
	required, potential impacts assessed and permitted
	previously (updated ecological assessment required)
Past Studies/Work	■ Aquifer Performance Evaluation Southeast Quadrant, 1998
	Review of Collector Rehabilitation/Replacement Options, 2004
	■ 2014 WSMP Undate
Required Studies	Field investigation
	 Arkell artificial recharge system improvement study
	 Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	 New HDPE perforated pipe & associated infrastructure
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$13,874,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$3,469 (at 4,000 m ³ /day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$125,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.84/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Feasibility study (field and modelling investigation); artificial
	recharge system upgrades – estimated 4 year period
	 Class EA – estimated one to two years
	Design and construction – estimated four years

Project Sheet: Restoration of Sacco Well



Project Component	Project Details
Location	348 Woodlawn Road
Description	 Drilled in 1952, has 300 mm dia. casing, inactive since 1991
Permitted Pumping Rate	■ 1,640 m³/d
Sustainable Capacity	 425 m³/d per modelling assessment (a portion of 1,275 m³/day available within the City's Northwest Quadrant [NWQ]); field testing has demonstrated local sustainability up to 1,150 m³/d
Existing Approvals	■ PTTW
Required Approvals	 Class EA (Schedule B) Amendment to City DWL
Water Quality Issues	 Detectable levels of Trichloroethylene (TCE), Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) and 1,1-dichloroethylene below Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards (ODWQS)
Environmental Constraints	 Speed River catchment, close proximity to Ellis/ Chilligo Creek, near Marden South PSW Complex
	 Permitted source, potential impacts accounted for in assessment completed for PTTW application
	 Pumping could induce movement of contaminants within aquifer
Past Studies/Work	Rehabilitation and Performance Assessment in 2008
	Sacco Return to Service Options in 2014

Project Component	Project Details
Required Studies	 MECP enforced contaminant source remediation
	 Water treatment study
	 GUDI assessment and well rehabilitation
	Design and Construction
Required Infrastructure	 Submersible pump, electrical panel and raw watermain for transmission to Smallfield site for treatment
	 Water Treatment System (Smallfield site)
Estimated Capital Cost	\$13,116,000 (combined cost for Sacco and Smallfield wells)
Cost per m³/day	■ \$5,127 (at 1,150 m³/day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$99,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$1.24/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Timeline uncertain due to lack of active remediation or timeline to implement remediation

Project Sheet: Restoration of Smallfield Well



Project Component	Project Details
Location	■ 461 Speedvale Avenue
Description	Drilled in 1966, has 300 mm dia. casing, inactive since 1993
Permitted Pumping Rate	■ 1,964 m³/d
Sustainable Capacity	 425 m³/d per modelling assessment (a portion of 1,275 m³/day available within the City's NWQ); field testing has demonstrated local sustainability up to 1,408 m³/d
Existing Approvals	■ PTTW
Required Approvals	Amendment to City DWLClass EA (Schedule B)
Water Quality Issues	 TCE concentration above ODWQS Maximum Acceptable Concentration (MAC) of 5 µg/L, PCE, 1,1-dichloroethylene and 1,4-dioxane below MAC, detectable levels of 1,1,1- Trichloroethane/ dioxin and furans, chloride above ODWQS Aesthetic Objective of 250 mg/L.
	 High concentrations of similar contaminants are known to exist in groundwater on adjacent properties.
Environmental Constraints	 Speed River catchment, close proximity to Ellis/ Chilligo Creek, near Marden South PSW Complex Permitted source, potential impacts accounted for in assessment completed for PTTW application

Project Component	Project Details
	 Pumping would induce movement of contaminants within aquifer
Past Studies/Work	 Rehabilitation and Performance Assessment in 2008
	Sacco Return to Service Options in 2014
Required Studies	 MECP enforced contaminant source remediation
	Performance Test
	Treatment Studies
	 Class EA; Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	Well house upgrade
	 Water Treatment System
Estimated Capital Cost	 \$13,116,000 (combined cost for Sacco and Smallfield wells)
Cost per m³/day	■ \$5,127 (at 1,408 m³/day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$99,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$1.24/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Timeline uncertain due to lack of active remediation or timeline to implement remediation

Project Sheet: Development of Ironwood Well



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Edinburgh Road South & Ironwood Road; in University Village municipal park
Description	 Constructed in 2008, has 400 mm dia. casing
Sustainable Capacity	 2,250 m³/d per modelling assessment; a portion of 4,500 m³/day available within the City's Southwest Quadrant (SWQ) with active quarry dewatering; it is anticipated that either the Ironwood or Steffler well would be developed; capacity of Ironwood well estimated to be 8,000 m³/day in 2008 SWQ Class EA
Existing Approvals	■ None

Project Component	Project Details
Required Approvals Water Quality Issues	 PTTW Amendment to City DWL Class EA (initiated in 2021) Source Protection Plan amendment Municipal approvals During pumping test (2008 Class EA), antimony reported
	above ODWQS, result assumed to be spurious - to be confirmed through EA
Environmental Constraints	 Modelling indicates that overall SWQ steady-state capacity can be increased by 4,500 m³/d; therefore additional capacity provides redundancy Dumping may be limited to quoid imports to Haplan Cooch
	 Pumping may be limited to avoid impacts to Hanion Creek baseflow; uncertainty related to potential baseflow impacts to Irish Creek Southwest Guelph Water Supply Class EA (ongoing) to evaluate potential impacts to natural environment through Operational Testing Program
Past Studies/Work	 SWQ Class EA put on hold in 2010, included groundwater development study and 32 day constant rate pumping test; new EA initiated in 2021
Required Studies	Complete Water Supply Class EA (ongoing)Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	Connection to distribution systemWell house
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$5,125,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$640 (at 8,000 m³/day)
Annual O&M	■ \$111,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.19/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Class EA (ongoing) – 5 years
	Design and construction – estimated 2 years

Project Sheet: Development of Steffler Well



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 At Steffler Drive and Ironwood Road; in Steffler municipal park
Description	Constructed in May 2008 with a 400 mm dia. casing
Sustainable Capacity	 2,250 m³/d per modelling assessment; a portion of 4,500 m³/day available within the City's SWQ with active quarry dewatering; it is anticipated that either the Steffler or Ironwood well would be developed; capacity of Steffler well estimated to be 3,600 m³/day in 2008 SWQ Class EA
Existing Approvals	■ None

Project Component	Project Details
Required Approvals	 PTTW Source Protection Plan amendment Amendment to City DWL Class EA (initiated in 2021) Municipal approvals
Water Quality Issues	 During pumping test (2008 Class EA), antimony reported above ODWQS, result assumed to be spurious to be confirmed through EA
Environmental Constraints	 Modelling indicates that overall SWQ steady-state capacity can be increased by 4,500 m³/d; therefore additional capacity provides redundancy Pumping may be limited to avoid impacts to Hanlon Creek baseflow; uncertainty related to potential baseflow impacts to Irish Creek Southwest Guelph Water Supply Class EA to evaluate
	potential impacts to natural environment through Operational Testing Program
Past Studies/Work	 Class EA put on hold in 2010, included groundwater development study and 32 day constant rate pumping test; new EA initiated in 2021
Required Studies	Complete Water Supply Class EA (ongoing)Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	Connection to distribution systemWell house
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$6,194,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$1,721 (at 3,600 m ³ /day)
Annual O&M	■ \$106,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.47/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Class EA (ongoing) – 5 years
	Design and construction – estimated 2 years

Project Sheet: Development of Guelph South Test Well (GSTW1-20)



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Forestell Road; on municipal property
Description	 Constructed in 2020, has 356 mm dia. casing
Sustainable Capacity	 2,250 m³/d per modelling assessment; a portion of 4,500 m³/day available within the City's SWQ with active quarry dewatering; field testing has demonstrated local sustainability up to 4,320 m³/d
Existing Approvals	■ None
Required Approvals	 PTTW Source Protection Plan amendment Amendment to City DWL Class EA (initiated in 2021) Municipal approvals
Water Quality Issues	No issues, standard disinfection required

Project Component	Project Details
Environmental Constraints	 Modelling indicates that overall SWQ steady-state capacity can be increased by 4,500 m³/d; therefore additional well capacity provides redundancy
	 Pumping may be limited to avoid impacts to Hanlon Creek baseflow; uncertainty related to potential baseflow impacts to Irish Creek
	 Southwest Guelph Water Supply Class EA to evaluate potential impacts to natural environment through Operational Testing Program
Past Studies/Work	 Guelph South Groundwater Supply Investigation (on-going) included 30 day pumping test; new EA in 2021
Required Studies	 Complete Water Supply Class EA (ongoing)
	Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	 Connection to distribution system
	Well house
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$4,800,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$1,111 (at 4,320 m³/day)
Annual O&M	■ \$109,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.33/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	■ Class EA (ongoing) – 5 years
	Design and construction – estimated 2 years

Project Sheet: Dolime Quarry Site Pumping Station and Water Treatment Plant



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Western portion of the City (currently within Guelph-Eramosa Township); to be moved into City, subject to provincial approval
Description	Capture of groundwater under the proposed Pond Level Management (PLM) strategy (PLM is a required source protection measure for existing and future wells), water capture via existing production wells and municipal test wells and/or directly from quarry
Sustainable Capacity	■ 1,000 – 3,000 m ³ /d
Existing Approvals	 Plan to bring Dolime property within the City boundary and pursue the PLM strategy has been approved by City Council, Wellington County Council and Guelph-Eramosa Township Council Agreement in place with quarry owners (River Valley Developments)
Required Approvals	 Province of Ontario to review City request for boundary change (annexation) Source Protection Plan amendment
	 Class EA – Schedule B or C (subject to: preferred strategy [groundwater capture surrounding quarry or pump direct from quarry pond], water quality testing and characterization of source against GUDI TOR)

Project Component	Project Details
	Municipal – City
	MECP - PTTW; Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA)/ DWI
	 Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA)
Water Quality Issues	 Limited data are available; water quality assumed to be
	similar to Gasport Formation groundwater
Environmental	Existing taking, effect on groundwater levels known; WWTP
Constraints	no discharge from quarry (i.e., discharge from the site is not a necessary component of the river dilution capacity)
Past Studies/Work	Extensive previous work completed at Dolime Quarry by City
	and quarry owners
	resource
Required Studies	 Water quality analysis, treatment study
	 Operational Testing Program to evaluate PLM strategy
	 Class EA (initiated in 2021; per above EA schedule to be
	confirmed); PTTW (transfer dewatering operations to the City)
	 Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	 Land acquisition (per agreement with quarry owners)
	 Permanent pumping station for PLM strategy
	 River discharge outfall
	 Water treatment system and associated infrastructure (for direct supply from quarry)
	 Connection to distribution system
Estimated Capital Cost	 \$18,976,440 (for quarry pond supply); \$3,300,000 for pumping station
Cost per m³/day	• $$6,325$ (at 3,000 m ³ /day)
Annual O&M Cost	= \$5,325 (at 3,000 m / ad y)
Life Cycle Cost	$= $1.71/m^3 \text{ of water produced}$
	 Class EA (ongoing) - 5 years Design and construction (numping station) - estimated
	 Design and construction (pumping station) – estimated 2 years
	 Design and construction (pumping station) – estimated 4 years

Project Sheet: Develop well in the area of Logan and Fleming Test Wells



Project Component	Project Details
Location	Township of Guelph-Eramosa
	 Eastview Rd, east of Watson Road
Description	 Logan Test Well - drilled in 1966, has 300 mm dia. Casing (to be reconstructed by City in 2021/2022)
	 Fleming Test Well – drilled in 1996, has 300 mm dia. casing (has been converted to multi-level monitoring well)
Sustainable Capacity	 4,180 m³/d per modelling results
Existing Approvals	■ None
Required Approvals	■ PTTW
	 Source Protection Plan amendment
	Amendment to City DWL
	■ Class EA
	Municipal – Township of Guelph-Eramosa
	■ GRCA

Project Component	Project Details
Water Quality Issues	 High quality groundwater within deep aquifer to be targeted Fe reported at 0.27 mg/L (below ODWQS)
Environmental	Test wells are located near Guelph Northeast PSW Complex
Constraints	 Well modifications required (being completed in 2021/2022)
	 Associated investigations will assess confined nature of aquifer
	 Potential impacts to municipal/ private wells due to rural location
Past Studies/Work	Logan Well Assessment, 2020
	 Part of Guelph Monitoring System Project, 2009
Required Studies	 Well reconstruction and testing (including assessment of interaction with private wells and natural environment)
	 Water quality analysis
	■ Class EA; PTTW
	Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	 Connection to distribution system
	■ Well house
	 Assumes City proceeds with developing Logan site; land acquisition may be required to develop Fleming site
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$10,103,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$2,150 (at 4,180 m³/day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$126,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.55/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	Feasibility study (field and modelling investigation) -
	estimated 2 year period
	 Class EA - estimated one to two years Design and construction - estimated four years
	Design and construction – estimated four years

Project Sheet: Develop Hauser Well



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 On Speedvale Avenue West, near western City limit
Description	 Drilled in 1966, has 300 mm dia. casing (has been converted to multi-level monitoring well)
Sustainable Capacity	 425 m³/d per modelling assessment; a portion of 1,275 m³/d available within the City's NWQ; local sustainable capacity estimated at 900 m³/day in previous testing
Existing Approvals	■ None
Required Approvals	■ PTTW
	 Source Protection Plan amendment
	Amendment to City DWL
	■ Class EA
	Municipal – City of Guelph
Water Quality Issues	 Water quality info is not available; known issues in NWQ (e.g., Smallfield well)

Project Component	Project Details
Environmental	Close proximity to Ellis/ Chilligo Creek
Constraints	Near Ellis Creek Provincially Significant Wetland Complex
Past Studies/Work	■ Step Test, 1994
Required Studies	 NWQ water quality assessment (modelling study with potential field component)
	 Well installation and testing
	 Water quality analysis
	■ Class EA and PTTW
	Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	■ New well
	 Connection to distribution system
	Well house
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$5,832,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$6,480 (at 900 m³/day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$96,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$1.86/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 NWQ water quality assessment (modelling study with potential field component) – estimated one to two year period
	 Class EA - estimated one to two years Design and construction - estimated four years

Alternative: New Groundwater Supply

Project Sheet: Arkell Collectors System with ASR Wells



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Arkell Collector Systems excess flow & ASR wells within City
Description	 Transfer excess seasonal collector volumes to ASR wells
Capture Rate (m ³ /mo.)	■ 451,000 m ³ /mo. from April to June
Distribution Rate (m ³ /d)	 1,170 m³/d per modelling assessment; additional capacity potentially available through optimization
Existing Approvals	 PTTW (under Arkell Spring Grounds Collector groundwater taking)
Required Approvals	■ Class EA (for ASR wells)
	Municipal – City
	PTTW (for ASR wells)
	■ ECA
	 Source Protection Plan amendment
	DWL amendment
	 GRCA (for any wells in a regulated area)

Project Component	Project Details
Water Quality Issues	 Requires dechlorination prior to injection; disinfection upon recovery prior to distribution
Environmental Constraints	 Potential impacts of Arkell Collectors previously evaluated in assessment for existing PTTW approval Environmental conditions at locations of ASR would be
	evaluated through Class EA; with optimization, impacts not anticipated
Past Studies/Work	 Aquifer Performance Evaluation Southeast Quadrant, 1998 Review of Collector Rehabilitation/Replacement Options, 2004 2014 WSMP Update
Required Studies	 Feasibility/ Optimization Studies (field and modelling components) Well installation and testing Water quality analysis Class EA and PTTW Design & construction
Required Infrastructure	ASR wells with dechlorination and disinfectionConnection to distribution water main
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$25,284,000
Cost per m ³ /day	■ \$21,610 (at 1,170 m³/day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$99,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$4.79/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Feasibility/ Optimization Studies (field and modelling components) – estimated one to two years Land acquisition, following above study Class EA – estimated one to two years Design and construction – estimated four to five years

Alternative: Install New Wells Outside City Boundary

Project Sheet: Guelph North



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Township of Guelph-Eramosa North of the City, the western limit of Conservation Road (this is the approximate modelled location; City does not own land in area)
Description	 Recommended test well area outside the City based on groundwater modelling analysis
Sustainable Capacity	 2,935 m³/d per modelling assessment
Existing Approvals	■ None
Required Approvals	 Municipal: Township of Guelph-Eramosa PTTW Class EA ECA
	 Source Protection Plan amendment DWL amendment GRCA (depending on proximity to regulated area)
Water Quality Issues	 Water quality information not available; assume Fe & Mn treatment, disinfection
Environmental Constraints	 Marden Creek - moderate reduction in baseflows per modelling assessment Near the Marden South PSW Complex Potential impacts to municipal/ private wells anticipated due to rural location

Project Component	Project Details
Past Studies/Work	Tier Three Risk Assessment
Required Studies	 Groundwater supply development study
	 Well installation and testing
	 Water quality analysis
	■ Class EA and PTTW
	Design & Construction
Required Infrastructure	Land acquisition
	New well house and associated infrastructure
	 Connection to distribution system
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$12,841,000
Cost per m ³ /day	■ \$4,375 (at 2,935 m³/d)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$111,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$1.11/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Groundwater supply development study – estimated one to
	two years
	Land acquisition, following above study
	 Class EA – estimated one to two years
	Design and construction – estimated four years

Alternative: Install New Wells Outside City Boundary

Project Sheet: Guelph Southeast



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 Township of Puslinch, Southeast of the City, within the Mill Creek catchment area, East of Victoria Rd, on Maltby Rd
Description	 Recommended test well location based on groundwater modelling analysis
Sustainable Capacity	 1,600 m³/d per modelling assessment
Existing Approvals	■ None
Required Approvals	Municipal: Township of Puslinch
	■ PTTW
	■ Class EA
	■ ECA
	 Source Protection Plan amendment
	DWL amendment
	 GRCA (depending on proximity to regulated area)
Water Quality Issues	 Water quality information not available; assume Fe & Mn treatment, disinfection
Environmental	 Modelling assessment indicates minimal impact to Mill Creek; less
Constraints	than 5% reduction in baseflow
	Potential impacts to municipal/ private wells due to rural location
	Area near Arkell Bog and Mill Creek Puslinch PSW Complexes
Past Studies/Work	Tier Three Study

Project Component	Project Details
Required Studies	 Groundwater supply development study
	 Well installation and testing
	 Water quality analysis
	■ Class EA and PTTW
	Design & construction
Required	■ Land acquisition
Infrastructure	New well house and associated infrastructure
	 Connection to distribution system
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$6,862,000
Cost per m3/day	■ \$4,289 (at 1,600 m ³ /d)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$109,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$1.22/m ³ of water produced
Implementation	Groundwater supply development study – estimated one to two
Timeline	years
	 Land acquisition, following above study
	 Class EA – estimated one to two years
	Design and construction – estimated four years

Alternative: Surface Water Supply

Project Sheet: Guelph Lake Water Treatment Plant



Project Component	Project Details
Location	WTP at Guelph Lake or NE part of City
Description	 Surface WTP consisting of conventional/ advanced treatment and distribution pipeline
Capture Rate	■ 12,960 m ³ /d (continuous annual base taking of 150 L/s)
Distribution Rate	■ 12,300 m ³ /d
Existing Approvals	■ None
Required Approvals Water Quality Issues Environmental	 Class EA – Schedule C Municipal – City and Township MNRF/ MECP - PTTW (Surface Water) ECA Source Protection Plan amendment DWL amendment GRCA High turbidity, colour, odour Area affected includes Guelph Lake and its associated
Constraints	 wetland and aquatic features GRCA analysis includes downstream minimum flow requirements, required storage within lake
Past Studies/Work	 GRCA review of water taking reliability
Required Studies	 Field investigations; environmental baseline/ impact Feasibility Studies Treatment study Class EA - Schedule C Property acquisition Design & construction

Project Component	Project Details
Required Infrastructure	 Water intake structure
	 Surface water treatment plant & associated infrastructure
	 Connection to distribution water main
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$51,322,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$4,168 (at 12,960 m³/d)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$900,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$1.16/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Field investigations, feasibility study – one to two years
	 Land acquisition, following above study
	 Class EA – estimated two years
	Design and construction – estimated four years

Alternative: Surface Water Supply & Aquifer Storage Recovery Wells

Project Sheet: Guelph Lake Water Treatment Plant with ASR Wells



Project Component	Project Details
Location	 WTP at Guelph Lake/dam, ASR wells in NEQ in the vicinity of Park/Emma wells
Description	 A surface water treatment plant consisting of conventional treatment and distribution pipelines, ASR wells
Intake Rate	■ 12,960 – 25,920 m³/d
Distribution Rate	■ Up to 25,825 m ³ /d
Existing Approvals	 PTTW (SW PTTW would exist at time of ASR project)
Required Approvals	 Class EA – Schedule C Municipal – City and Township PTTW (Surface Water/ Groundwater); ECA Source Protection Plan amendment DWL amendment GRCA
Water Quality Issues	 High turbidity, colour, odour

Project Component	Project Details
Environmental	 Area affected includes Guelph Lake and its associated
Constraints	wetland and aquatic features
	 GRCA analysis includes downstream minimum flow
	requirements, required storage within lake
Past Studies/Work	 GRCA review of water taking reliability
Required Studies	 Field investigations; environmental baseline/ impact
	Feasibility Studies
	Treatment study
	■ Class EA
Required Infrastructure	Water intake structure
	 Surface water treatment plant & associated infrastructure
	■ ASR wells
	 Connection to distribution water main
Estimated Capital Cost	■ \$57,283,000
Cost per m³/day	■ \$4,239 (cost for additional flow, total of 25,800 m ³ /day)
Annual O&M Cost	■ \$1,290,000
Life Cycle Cost	■ \$0.75/m ³ of water produced
Implementation Timeline	 Field investigations, feasibility study (ASR optimization) –
	one to two years
	 Land acquisition for ASR wells, following above study
	Class EA – estimated two years
	Design and construction – estimated two to four years