

# 9 Valley Road, 1242, 1250, 1260, and 1270 Gordon Street, Guelph

Tree Preservation Plan

Prepared for:

Tricar Developments Inc. 3800 Colonel Talbot Road, London, ON N6P 1H5

Project No. 2347A | September 2021



# 9 Valley Road, 1242, 1250, 1260, and 1270 Gordon Street, Guelph

#### **Tree Preservation Plan**

#### **Project Team**

David Stephenson	Senior Biologist, Project Advisor
Laura Hockley	Environmental Analyst, Project Manager
Jeremy Bannon	Terrestrial & Wetland Biologist, Certified Arborist
Kaitlin Filippov	GIS Analyst

Report submitted on March 27, 2020

Resubmitted September 1, 2021

David Stephenson, M.Sc. Senior Biologist and Certified Arborist

LamaHockery

Laura Hockley, B.E.S Environmental Analyst

Jeremy Bannon, B.E.S. Certified Arborist ON-1921A Terrestrial and Wetland Biologist

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction	
2.0	Tree Inventory and Methodology	
3.0	Summary of Tree Inventory Findings	6
4.0	Tree Protection Measures and Recommended Mitigation	7
5.0	Tree Compensation Plan	8
6.0	Tree Protection Measures and Recommended Mitigation	10
6.1	Prior to Construction	10
6.2	During Construction	10
6.3	Post-Construction	10
7.0	References	13
List c	of Tables	
Table	1. Preliminary Compensation Plan	9

# **List of Appendices**

Appendix I Tree Inventory Data

Appendix II Tree Health and Potential for Structural Failure Assessment Criteria

Appendix III Conditions of Assessment

Appendix IV Tree Data and Summary Tables

# Maps

Map 1. Study Area and Natural Features

Map 2. Tree Inventory and Protection Plan

#### 1.0 Introduction

Natural Resource Solutions Inc. (NRSI) was previously retained in 2014 by the previous landowner to complete a variety of natural heritage studies in support of a development proposal. As part of these studies, NRSI completed a tree inventory of the subject property. Before the development proposal was realized, the property was sold to Tricar Developments Inc. This Tree Preservation Plan represents updated information incorporating the original inventory data with more recent inventory data provided by Stantec Consulting (Stantec Consulting 2020).

NRSI was retained again in October 2019 by Tricar Developments Inc. to complete a Tree Preservation Plan (TPP) for a proposed residential development located at 9 Valley Road, 1242, 1250, and 1260 Gordon Street, in the City of Guelph. This TPP has been updated to align with recent changes to the site plan and grading plan (Stantec 2021), including the acquisition of an adjacent lot to the south (1270 Gordon Street), which will provide lands for an additional infiltration trench. The major updates to this plan are as follows:

- Proposed removal of boundary trees along the northwestern limit, due to a wider, more northern road extent;
- Proposed removal of what were previously boundary trees at the southwestern extent, which is now within the recently acquired lot to the south. These require removal to effectively construct the proposed infiltration trench; and
- Proposed retention of trees within the northeastern park block, understanding that
  the retention analysis will be refined during detailed design to incorporate a
  pedestrian path, and perhaps other features.

The subject property is approximately 3.32ha in area and contains 3 existing residential dwellings, driveways, cultural meadows, cultural plantations, and other planted and naturally regenerating trees. The subject property is bound by Gordon Street to the west, residential dwellings fronting Valley Road to the north, the Torrence Creek Swamp Provincially Significant Wetland Complex to the east, and the Liberty Square apartment complex to the south. Mostly rectangular in shape, the subject property includes an additional lot extending north to Valley Road (Map 1).

The Tree Preservation Plan was conducted in accordance with the City of Guelph By-law (2010)-19058. This by-law states that if an owner wishes to destroy or injure a regulated tree

and if none of the exemptions set out in this by-law are applicable, then the owner shall submit the information required in Part 5 of the by-law, including a Landscaping, Replanting and Replacement Plan. Within the By-law, a regulated tree is defined as

"a specimen of any species of deciduous or coniferous growing woody perennial plant, supported by a single root system, which has reached, or could have reached a height at least 4.5m from the ground at physiological maturity, is located on a lot that is greater than 0.2 hectares (0.5 acres) in size and has a [Diameter at Breast Height] (DBH) of at least 10cm".

According to the By-law, the destruction or injury of a regulated tree is exempt from the requirement for a permit if the regulated tree is:

 "A tree on lands used for Institution, golf course, commercial or industrial purposes, provided that a Tree Management Plan has been submitted to, and approved, by an Inspector, subject to such as the Inspector may have considered necessary" [Part 4, section (k)].

The City of Guelph's Official Plan (City of Guelph 2018) also requires that a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan be required for the replacement of all healthy indigenous trees measuring over 10cm DBH.

Section 4.2.4 Tree Inventory and Tree Preservation Plan within the Official Plan notes:

- 1. "Tree Inventory and Tree Preservation Plans shall as a minimum include:
  - i) A Tree Inventory measuring all trees over 10cm diameter at breast height [DBH], including the size, species composition and health, and indigenous shrubs in accordance with the City's tree inventory guidelines,
  - ii) A Tree Preservation Plan identifying healthy indigenous and non-invasive trees to be protected, including those that may be transplanted (e.g. small specimens),
  - iii) The protective measures required for tree protection during construction, and
  - iv) Measures for avoiding disturbance to any breeding birds during construction"

This report provides the findings of the tree inventory, analysis of grading, servicing and site plans against the overall health and the potential for structural failure of trees, protection measures for trees to be retained, and recommended mitigation and compensation measures. The tree data and mapping has been compared to the layout of the proposed site plan. Map 2

shows the tree inventory data overlaying the proposed site plan. This plan shows the proposed building layout, and trees inventoried. Avoidance, mitigation, and protection measures for trees were examined to determine which trees would be impacted and which could be retained. In the case of trees requiring removal, compensation for removal is discussed.

This report summarizes the following:

- findings of the tree inventory,
- assessment of overall health and potential for structural failure of inventoried trees,
- tree retention analysis based on the preliminary site plan, and, recommended tree protection, mitigation and compensation measures.

Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) is Endangered Provincially and is therefore regulated by the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). Two Butternuts (JUG-002 & JUG-003) and one Butternut hybrid (JUG-001) are known to occur on the subject property (Map 1). Correspondence and permitting processes have occurred with MECP to facilitate the proposed site plan. A Notice of Activity was submitted to MECP to facilitate the removal of one Butternut (JUG-002) and one Butternut hybrid (JUG-001), and the harm of one Butternut (JUG-002). A tree permit was obtained from the City of Guelph to remove the two Butternuts, which occurred in December 2020. The necessary compensation plantings for these Butternuts as required under the *Endangered Species Act* were installed in the Fall of 2020.

## 2.0 Tree Inventory and Methodology

Comprehensive inventories of trees ≥10cm in DBH have been completed routinely on the subject property. Since NRSI completed an original tree inventory in 2014, Stantec has completed an updated inventory of all trees on the subject property in 2018 and 2019 (Stantec Consulting 2020). NRSI completed a site visit on December 19, 2019 to verify tree information, and subsequently compiled original NRSI data with the updated information provided by Stantec, accounting for a complete set of tree inventory data. Finally, NRSI completed an inventory of trees on the additional lot to the south (1270 Gordon Street) on May 21, 2021. Some inventories were conducted in the leaf-off period; therefore, NRSI was able to assess the overall health and potential for structural failure of trees within the subject property, but not the foliar characteristics of deciduous individuals. Individual trees that were ≥10cm in DBH were tagged with a pre-numbered aluminum forestry tag (if located on the subject property) and assessed by a Certified Arborist. If trees were present in monoculture hedgerow features, a polygon method was used. The location of trees inventoried was surveyed using an SXBlue II GNSS GPS unit by the Certified Arborist and are shown on Map 2. A complete list of the trees that were assessed and their overall health and potential for structural failure is included in Appendix I. No bat habitat assessments were completed in conjunction with the tree assessments.

The following information was recorded for each tree:

- species,
- DBH,
- crown radius (metres),
- general health (excellent, good, fair, poor, very poor, dead),
- potential for structural failure (improbable, possible, probable, imminent),
- tree location (on-site/boundary/off-site), and,
- general comments (i.e. disease, aesthetic quality, development constraints, sensitivity to development).

The overall health and potential for structural failure of each tree was assessed based on the criteria outlined in Appendix II (Dunster 2009) (Dunster et al. 2013). NRSI has exercised a reasonable standard of care, skill and diligence as would be customarily and normally provided in carrying out these assessments. The assessments have been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of each tree for structural defects,

scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect attack, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the current or planned proximity of property and people. None of the trees examined on the property were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken. The conditions for this assessment, including restrictions, professional responsibility, and third-party liability can be found in Appendix III.

# 3.0 Summary of Tree Inventory Findings

In total, 714 trees were inventoried, comprising 39 species. Of the trees inventoried and assessed, 482 (67.5%) are native species and 232 (32.5%) are non-native. Several Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*) hedgerows are present, as well as a hedgerow each of Freeman's Maple (*Acer X freemanii*) and Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*). These trees were inventoried as groups (Polygon A-F) due to the similarity of species, location, age and conditions. A complete list of trees inventoried is provided in Appendix I, with summarizing tables in Appendix IV. Tree locations within and adjacent to the subject property are shown on Map 2.

#### 4.0 Tree Protection Measures and Recommended Mitigation

Tree removal and retention was based on two considerations:

- Trees identified as having a probable or imminent potential for structural failure or poor or very poor health, or identified as dead: The removal of these trees may be recommended for safety, especially if they are located within striking distance of a component of the proposed development, or existing off-site pathways, roads or buildings.
- 2) Trees that require removal based on the extent of proposed site grading: The location of the trees was compared to the location of the components of the grading plan, as shown on Map 2 (Stantec 2021).

Of the 714 trees inventoried, 583 are anticipated to be removed (compared to 606 on the previous submission). An additional 41 Eastern White Cedars are present in Polygon A that will be retained where they occur within the protected park block (Map 2). These trees will be inventoried individually and assessed as part of the Detailed Design of this area, through further correspondence with the City of Guelph. This includes trees situated along the grading limit or in close proximity that may incur root damage as a result of grading. Most of these trees are in fair health with an improbable potential for structural failure, and range in size from 10cm DBH to 105cm DBH.

Several boundary trees are proposed for removal, which are in-part owned by private landowners to the north of the subject property. NRSI is not aware of any existing written consent from these landowners at this time. Removal of boundary, off-site and municipal trees will require the written permission of all owners involved. If the main stem of any tree is located on multiple properties, all owners of those properties must be consulted before any tree removal occurs. This includes damage to the root zones of boundary trees that may be considered damage to property.

#### 5.0 Tree Compensation Plan

Section 5 (h) in the City's tree by-law (2010)-19058 states that "where three or more trees are proposed for Destruction or Injuring, and where the Inspector so requires, a Landscaping, Replanting and Replacement Plan" is required. Overall compensation for tree loss is a requirement of the City's by-law which notes that "each tree Destroyed or Injured be replaced with one or more replacements trees to be planted and maintained to the satisfaction of the Inspector in accordance with the Landscaping, Replanting and Replacement Plans approved by the Inspector" [Section 7 (b)].

According to City of Guelph Tree By-law Number (2010)-19058, trees exempt from compensation must have the following site-specific criteria:

"A tree having no living tissue, having 70% or more of its crown dead, or being infected by a lethal pathogen, fungus or insect (including the Emerald Ash Borer or the Asian Long-horned Beetle), and where required, a certificate issued by an Arborist, confirming this justification for Destruction or Injuring, has been submitted to an Inspector" [Part 4, section (a)],

"A tree which is Hazardous, and where required, a certificate issued by an Arborist, confirming this justification for Destruction or Injuring, has been submitted to an Inspector" [Part 4, section (b)]

"A specimen of *Rhamnus cathartica* (Common Buckthorn), *Rhamnus frangula* (Glossy Buckthorn), *Alnus glutinosa* (Black Alder), *Elaeagnus umbellata* (Autumn Olive), or *Morus alba* (White Mulberry)" [Part 4, section (g)],

"A fruit tree that is capable of producing fruit for human consumption" [Part 4, section (h)].

A total of 3 trees require removal based on their structural integrity, and an additional 8 trees are also in poor or very poor health. Table 1 provides a summary of the trees inventoried throughout and adjacent to the property, total number proposed for removal and the proposed compensation plan. Note that these numbers will require further assessment at the detailed design stage to accommodate for the proposed partial removal of Polygon A, the development of detailed plans for the park block, and the creation of City-owned trails, in cooperation with the City of Guelph. A complete list of inventoried trees, including a determination of whether trees require compensation, is provided in Appendix I.

**Table 1. Preliminary Compensation Plan** 

Trees Inventoried	Total
Total number of trees inventoried	714
Off-property Trees	3
Public ROW Trees	3
Boundary Trees	19
On-Site Trees	689
Trees to be Removed	
Total trees to be removed	583
Total trees to be removed in fair-good condition (requiring compensation)	491
Total trees to be removed due to their health (exempt from compensation)	92
Trees Requiring Compensation	
Total trees requiring compensation	491
Fair-good quality on-site trees to be removed due to development	481
Fair-good quality off-site or boundary private trees proposed to be removed due to	8
development	
Fair-good quality public trees to be removed due to development	2
Trees requiring compensation (private)	489
Trees requiring compensation (ROW)	2
Trees in Polygon A, to be partially removed (explored at detailed design)	41

Compensation may be in the form of 3:1 replacement 60mm caliper trees, \$500 cash-in-lieu value, 5:1 shrubs, or 5:1 of smaller stock trees (to be determined at the detailed design stage). Most likely, a combination of these methods will be used. Other compensation measures may be discussed with the City, and should be finalized in the Detailed Design stage. The retention analysis should also be refined at the Detailed Design stage, and will account for the loss of a portion of the 41 trees in Polygon A, as well as other trees required to effectively install amenity features to the park block and for the creation of trails.

## 6.0 Tree Protection Measures and Recommended Mitigation

#### 6.1 Prior to Construction

Temporary tree protection fencing (TPF) will be situated where trees are adjacent to the limit of disturbance/grading as shown on Map 2. The temporary TPF will be installed and maintained by the Developer. Prior to any construction activities (rough grading, vegetation and tree removal), the TPF will be installed at the limit of development. Prior to works commencing onsite, fence installation and location is to be inspected by a Certified Arborist and/or the on-site Environmental Inspector. Signage indicating the purpose of protection fencing will be attached to the paige-wire fencing every 100-150m. Recommended fencing locations are along the property edge, as shown on Map 2.

The Tree Protection Plan is to be reviewed and approved by the City of Guelph. Upon approval of the Tree Protection Plan, and prior to any on-site works (i.e. rough grading, tree removal), a qualified environmental consultant is to submit written verification to the City that all of the recommended tree protection measures have been installed in accordance with the Tree Protection Plan.

# 6.2 During Construction

Temporary TPF is to be maintained by the Developer during the entire construction period to ensure that off-site trees being retained and their root systems are protected. Any minimal damage (i.e. damage to limbs or roots) to trees to be retained during construction must be pruned using proper arboricultural techniques. Should any of the trees intended to be retained be seriously damaged or die as a result of construction activities, the owner will remove and replace the tree at their own expense at a 3:1 ratio. Replacement species are to be reviewed by a Certified Ontario Landscape Architect (OLA) or Certified Arborist. Watering and pruning of newly planted trees will be carried out by the owner/contractor as required during the warranty period (approximately 2 years).

#### 6.3 Post-Construction

As trees being retained are situated beyond the property line, it is recommended that the temporary tree protection fencing be removed upon completion of all construction activities and adjacent areas are stabilized with a vegetative cover (i.e. sod) to the satisfaction of the Environmental Inspector or qualified biologist.

#### 6.4 Mitigation

Species used for compensation plantings, with the exception of street trees, should be native to Wellington County and not include any species that are listed as introduced, or locally, provincially or federally significant. The use of non-native species that are sometimes more tolerant of urban conditions (i.e. salt and drought tolerant) may be suitable as long as they do not include invasive species such as Norway Maple (*Acer platanoides*) or Sweet Cherry (*Prunus avium*).

It is recommended that the following criteria be followed during the development of proposed planting plans and during the Detailed Design stage, which are to be finalized in an Environmental Implementation Report (EIR):

- Polygon A should be inventoried individually to properly quantify the number of trees to be removed and retained;
- Tree retention within the park block should be reassessed based on City needs and trail design;
- Compensation requirements should be updated to reflect the above items;
- The compensation plan should be developed by, or reviewed and approved by a Certified Arborist;
- Include hardy, native tree species where feasible that are known to thrive in more urban conditions (i.e. compacted soil, drought, high salt tolerance),
- Include a diversity of trees from several genus to increase disease and pest tolerance and discourage monocultures (no more than 30% from a single genus, 10% from a single species),
- Include a watering and monitoring plan for 2 years following planting,
- Be replaced if they are documented to have died within the 2-year monitoring plan,
- Be spaced so as to allow material to reach its ultimate size and form;
- Be provided with appropriate soil types and soil volumes;
- Avoid ash species due to the risk of the emerald ash borer (Agrilus planipennis),
- Avoid 'messy trees', such as fruiting trees or poplars (*Populus* spp.) where plantings
  occur in close proximity to driveways and roadways;
- Spacing of plant material should account for the ultimate size and form of the selected species and also the purpose of the planting, whether it be for screening, shade, naturalizing, rehabilitation, etc.; and

Special attention to location and height of trees in proximity to utilities.	

#### 7.0 References

- City of Guelph. 2010. The Official Plan of The City of Guelph By-law Number (2010)-19058.
- City of Guelph. 2018. The City of Guelph Official Plan, March 2018 Consolidation. (https://guelph.ca/plans-and-strategies/official-plan/).
- Dunster, J. A. 2009. Tree Risk Assessment in Urban Areas and the Urban/Rural Interface: Course Manual. Pacific Northwest Chapter, International Society of Arboriculture, Silverton, Oregon.
- Dunster, J. A., E. T. Smiley, N. Matheny, and S. Lily. 2013. Tree Risk Assessment Manual. International Society of Arboriculture, Champaign, Illinois.
- Stantec Consulting. 2021. 9 Valley Road, 1242, 1250, and 1260 Gordon Street Tree Inventory Data.



# 1250 Gordon Street Tree Preservation Plan Tree Inventory Data

No.							Crown	Potential for				
of				Native/ Non-	Stem	DBH	Radius	Structural	Overall		Proposed	
Trees		Common Name	Scientific Name	native	Count	(cm)	(m)	Failure Rating	Condition	Location	Action	Comments
1	Α	Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii	Native	1	75	7.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	В	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	16	1.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	С	Eastern Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Native	1	17	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	D	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	0.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	no tag
1		Butternut	Juglans cinerea	Native	1	20	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	F	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	30	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	off-site, move point 1.5m out
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	45	4.0	High	Poor	Off-Property	Retain	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	45	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	didn't tag since behind low fence
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	45	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	
41	Polygon A	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	30	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property		20 spruce hedge,
											Feasible	
207	7.0	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	16	1.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
18	Polygon C	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	18	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	behind fence, 4m south - 10m south
5	Polygon D	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	5	34	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
10	Polygon E	Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii	Native	1	32	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
8	Polygon F	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	30	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	Hedgerow
1	77	Hawthorn species	Crataegus sp.	Native	1	12	2.0	High	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	grapevine weighing down crown, codominant branches
1	78	Hawthorn Species	Crataegus sp.	Native	2	12	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	codominant branches, grapevine
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	15	3.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	leader slightly out of line with stem
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	16	1.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Retain	dead, bark and branch loss
1	101	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	65	7.0	Medium	Fair	Boundary	Remove	,
1	102	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	46	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	on-property, few stress cracks on scaffold branches, 1 dead branch
1	103	White Spruce	Picea glauca	Native	1	24	3.0	Medium	Fair	Boundary	Remove	crown uneven due to competition for sunlight with maple
1	104	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	60	6.0	High	Poor	Boundary	Remove	codominant branches with included bark, 40%
'	104	Sugai Mapie	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Ivalive		00	0.0	riigii	1 001	Doundary	Remove	dieback
1	105	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	20	3.5	Medium	Fair	Boundary	Remove	boundary tree
1	106	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	Native	1	22	4.0	Medium	Fair	Boundary	Remove	boundary tree, stem grows on 90 degree angle
'	100	Maritoba Mapic	Acci riegurido	Ivalive	'	~~	4.0	Mediam	i ali	Boundary	Remove	before self correcting
1	107	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	11	1.5	High	Poor	Boundary	Remove	boundary tree, root flare impacted by dumped
'	107	Lastern Write Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	INALIVE			1.5	riigii	F 001	Doundary	Remove	1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
1	108	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	10	1.0	Medium	Poor	Boundary	Remove	debris - angled boundary tree, codominant stems with included
' '	100	Lastern Write Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	INALIVE		10	1.0	Medium	F001	Boundary	Kemove	•
1	100	Black Walnut	lugione nigro	Notivo	1	21	<b>5</b> 0	Madium	Fair	Subject Property	Pomovo	bark, root flare impacted - angled
1	109	black wallut	Juglans nigra	Native	ı	21	5.0	Medium	raii	Subject Property	Remove	boundary tree, codominant branches with
4	110	Eastern White Cedar	Thuis assidantalia	Nlating	4	4.1	2.5	Madium	Га:-	Cubioot Dromont:	Domessa	included bark
1	110		Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	14	2.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	boundary tree
1	111	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native Nan Native	1	23	2.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	boundary tree
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	56	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Common Pear	Pyrus communis	Non-Native	1	27	3.0	High	Very Poor	Subject Property	Remove	open wounds in stem + root flare, lean
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	22	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	40% dieback, crown leans 30 degrees
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	10	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	116	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	14	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	

								<b>D</b> 4 4 16				
No.				Nathari	01	DD11	Crown	Potential for	0		B	
of Trees	Tree Number	Common Name	Scientific Name	Native/ Non-		DBH (am)	Radius	Structural Failure Rating	Overall	Location	Proposed Action	Comments
1rees		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	native Native	Count	(cm) 11	<b>(m)</b>	High	<b>Condition</b> Poor	Location Subject Property	Remove	Comments
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	12	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	wire around stem, secondary vertical branches
1	119	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	17	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	wife around sterri, secondary vertical branches
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	16	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	competition for sunlight
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	17	1.5	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	Competition for surlinging
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	37	5.0	Low	Good	Boundary	Remove	
1	123	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	14	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	codominant stems with included bark
1	124	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native		15	1.0	High	Poor	Boundary	Remove	Codominant Stems with included bark
1	125	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	21	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	126	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	30	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	127	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	21	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	128	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	16	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	36	4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	19	1.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	15	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	16	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	28	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	38	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1			Picea abies	Non-Native	1	21	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	136	Norway Spruce Common Pear		Non-Native	1	23	4.0	High	Poor			anon wounds in stom
1			Pyrus communis		3		5.0			Subject Property	Remove	open wounds in stem
1		Common Apple	Malus domestica	Non-Native	3	29 22	5.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	2 stems broken off with decay
1	138	Common Apple	Malus domestica	Non-Native	I	22	5.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	wounds on stem compartmentalizing, 2 dead scaffold branches
1	139	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	51	5.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	Scarroid branches
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	15	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	141	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis  Thuja occidentalis	Native	4	21	1.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	142	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	12	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	143	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis  Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	16	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	competition for sunlight
1	144	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	17	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Competition for surnight
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis  Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	19	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	competition for sunlight
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	19	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	competition for sunlight
1		Common Apple	Malus domestica	Non-Native	1	53	5.0	Medium		Subject Property		Competition for surnight
1		Colorado Spruce	Picea pungens	Non-Native	1	11	1.5	Low		Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	25	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Colorado Spruce	Picea pungens	Non-Native	1	10	1.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	61	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	53	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	64	5.0	High	Poor	Subject Property		50% dieback
1		White Ash	Fraxinus americana	Native	1	23	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	oo /o Globacit
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	43	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	156	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	24	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	15	1.5	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	45	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	159	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	40	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	32	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	3	18	3.5	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis  Thuja occidentalis	Native	2	19	2.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	38	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
	103	Norway Spruce	r เบฮล สมเฮง	INUITINALIVE	I	30	4.0	LUW	<u> </u>	Subject Property	Velliove	

Proposed Action Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove	Comments
Action Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove	
Action Remove Remove Remove Remove Remove	
Remove Remove Remove Remove	
Remove Remove Remove	
Remove Remove Remove	
Remove Remove	
Remove	
Remove	
Remove	
Remove	
Remove	crown leans 70 degree angle
Remove	
Remove	
Retain	
Retain	numerous large cracks in stem and branches
Remove	
Remove	
	hanger, 10% dieback
	10% dieback
Remove	
	behind low fence
	Remove Remove Remove Remove Retain Retain Retain Retain Retain Retain Remove

No.							Crown	Potential for				
of				Native/ Non-		DBH	Radius	Structural	Overall		Proposed	
Trees		Common Name	Scientific Name		Count	(cm)	(m)	Failure Rating	Condition		Action	Comments
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	32	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	24	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	36	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	41	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	38	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	26	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	40	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	31	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	32	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	31	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	43	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	35	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	31	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	42	4.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Pine Species	Pinus sp.	Non-Native	3	18	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	65	6.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	53	6.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	31	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii	Native	1	56	6.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	42	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	29	2.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	23	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	15	2.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	16	2.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	2	19	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	11	1.0	Medium	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	14	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	11	1.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	12	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	20	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	17	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	23	2.0	Low		Subject Property		
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native Nan Native	1	21	3.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	20	2.0	Low		Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Spruce White Spruce	Picea glauca	Native	1	12	1.5	Low		Subject Property	Remove	
1			Picea glauca	Native	1 4	12	1.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	14	1.5 2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Walnut	Thuja occidentalis	Native Native	1	22 10	1.5	Low Medium	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Juglans nigra Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	13	1.5	Low	Fair Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	17	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property Subject Property	Remove	
1		Common Pear		Non-Native	3	17	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pyrus communis Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	<u>ع</u>	30	2.5	Low	Good		Remove Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	21	2.5	Low	Good	Subject Property Subject Property	Remove	
1		Common Pear	Pyrus communis	Non-Native	2	16	2.5	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Common Apple	Malus domestica	Non-Native	3	32	4.0	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	1 cavity, sapsucker feeding
1		Black Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. nigrum	Native	1	14	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	i cavity, sapsucker recuiriy
1		Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Native	1	27	5.0		Good			
l	201	GIEGII VOII	н тахіниз реннзутуаніса	ivalive	I	21	5.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	

No							Crown	Potential for				
No. of				Native/ Non-	Stom	DBH	Crown	Structural	Overall		Branacad	
	Troe Number	Common Nama	Saigntific Name				Radius	Failure Rating		Location	Proposed	Comments
rrees	262	Common Name	Scientific Name	native Native	Count	(cm)	<b>(m)</b> 5.0	Medium	Condition		Action	Comments
1	263	Butternut Green Ash	Juglans cinerea	Native	1	28 23	3.0	Medium	Fair Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	264		Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Native	1		2.0			Subject Property	Remove	
1	265	Green Ash White Spruce	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Native	1	10 23	3.0	Low Medium	Fair Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Maple	Picea glauca Acer saccharum ssp. nigrum	Native	1	105	9.0	High	Poor	Subject Property Subject Property	Remove	
1	267	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Native	1	105	2.0	Low	Good	1	Remove	
1	268	Black Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. nigrum	Native	1	19	4.0	High	Poor	Subject Property Subject Property	Remove Remove	
1	269	Black Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. nigrum	Native	1	12	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. nigrum	Native	1	11	2.5	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	52	7.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	20	2.0	High	Very Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	273	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	23	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	13	1.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	276	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	65	8.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	277	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	18	4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	278	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	21	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	279	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	13	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	280	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	11	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	281	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	10	1.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	282	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	Native	1	65	8.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	283	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	16	2.5	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	284	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	18	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	285	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	23	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	286	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	16	2.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	287	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	18	1.5	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	288	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	13	1.5	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		American Basswood	Tilia americana	Native	1	25	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	290	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	11	1.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	291	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	35	5.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	292	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	15	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	slight lean
1	293	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	35	3.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	ong. it roun.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	27	3.0	High		Subject Property		
1	295	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	2	19	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	296	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	32	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	40	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Fir species	Abies sp.	Non-Native	1	37	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	299	Balsam Fir	Abies balsamea	Native	1	15	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	1.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	301	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	31	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1	302	Crack Willow	Salix fragilis	Non-Native	1	73	5.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	unsuitable bat cavities at old branch unions -
												frass, decay
1	303	Red Maple	Acer rubrum	Native	1	12	1.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	<u> </u>
1	304	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	11	4.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	Native	1	10	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	grapevine throughout crown, 40% dieback
1	306	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	Native	1	17	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	epicormic shoots, grapevine, 30% dieback
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	11	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	2	10	4.0	High	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	16	1.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	

No.							Crown	Potential for				
of				Native/ Non-		DBH	Radius	Structural	Overall		Proposed	
Trees		Common Name	Scientific Name		Count	(cm)	(m)		Condition	Location		Comments
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	33	4.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	on-property
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	25	3.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	on-property
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	10	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	14	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	14	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	19	2.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	49	6.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	15	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	320	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	62	7.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	codominant branches with included bark, few small branches previously lost
1	321	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	13	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	epicormic shoots, leans 45 degrees
1	322	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	12	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	leans on 45 degree angle
1	323	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	31	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	324	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	15	2.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1	325	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	11	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	40% dieback
1	326	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	18	3.0	Medium	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1	327	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1	328	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1	329	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	26	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	boundary tree
1	330	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	31	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	331	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	14	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	332	Hawthorn Species	Crataegus sp.	Native	1	14	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	333	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	10	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	334	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	15	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	335	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	26	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1	336	Eastern Red Cedar	Juniperus virginiana	Native	2	14	1.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1	337	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	17	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	338	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	11	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	1 large vine in crown - may impact health, 1 dead branch, crown leans
1	339	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	14	2.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	340	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	11	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	341	Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	Native	2	15	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	boundary tree
1		Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	Native	1	36	6.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	codominant branches with included bark
1	343	Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	Native	1	37	6.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	should be removed
1	344	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	11	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	12	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	346	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	1.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	347	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	17	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	348	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	11	2.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1	349	Common Apple	Malus domestica	Non-Native	2	10	4.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1	351	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	23	5.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1	352	Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	Native	2	13	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	12	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Hawthorn Species	Crataegus sp.	Native	2	12	3.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	354 tag missing
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	22	4.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	27	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	12	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	

No.							Crown	Potential for				
of				Native/ Non-	Stem	DBH	Radius	Structural	Overall		Proposed	
Trees	Tree Number	Common Name	Scientific Name	native	Count	(cm)	(m)	Failure Rating	Condition	Location	-	Comments
1	359	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	16	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	361	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	39	5.0	High	Poor	Boundary	Remove	
1	362	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	48	7.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1	363	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	38	5.0	Medium	Fair	Boundary	Retain	
1	364	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	39	6.0	Low	Good	Boundary	Retain	
1	365	Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	Native	3	23	3.0	High	Very Poor	Boundary	Remove	80% dieback
1	366	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	10	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1	367	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	26	5.0	High	Very Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	368	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	13	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1	369	White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	15	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	17	3.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	12	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Butternut	Juglans cinerea	Native	1	17	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	32	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	32	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	12	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	18	2.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1	377	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	3	31	4.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	dead, needles lost, codominant stems with
												included bark
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	12	1.0	High	Dead	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	19	4.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	16	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	26	4.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	19	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	25	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	lower, wide branching, good condition
1		White Elm	Ulmus americana	Native	1	30	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	28	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	78	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	Native	1	36	4.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	5	22	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	10	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	390	Butternut	Juglans cinerea	Native	2	12	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	codominant stems with included bark, butternut
										_		canker - some open
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	27	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	44	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	53	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Birch	Betula papyrifera	Native	2	19	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	31	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	19	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	24	2.5	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	Language Control of the Control of t
1	398	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	21	2.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	competition for sunlight, top half of crown only, ubend in branch
1	399	Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii	Native	1	30	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Denu III Diancii
1	400	Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii	Native	1	38	7.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Horsechestnut	Aesculus hippocastanum	Non-Native	1	14	1.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	19	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Common Apple	Malus domestica	Non-Native	3	34	4.0	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	49	6.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
<u> </u>	710	i voi way iviapie	riodi piatariolado	I Non Nauve	ı	ΤŪ	0.0	iviculuiti	ı alı	Cabject Foperty	IVOUIDAG	

No.							Crown	Potential for				
of				Native/ Non-	Stem	DBH	Radius	Structural	Overall		Proposed	
Trees	Tree Number	Common Name	Scientific Name	native	Count	(cm)	(m)	Failure Rating	Condition		Action	Comments
1		Eastern Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Native	1	22	3.5	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	14	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	416	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	13	1.5	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	Native	1	26	2.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	50	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	59	7.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	440	Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	61	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	441	Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii	Native	1	37	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	442	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	39	5.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	35	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	43	5.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	445	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	29	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	28	4.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	15	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	20	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	23	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	Non-Native	1	56	6.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	11	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	47	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Birch	Betula papyrifera	Native	2	25	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		White Birch	Betula papyrifera	Native	1	27	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Sugar Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. saccharum	Native	1	38	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	11	3.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	
1	502	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Pruned lower branches.
1	504	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Pruned lower branches.
1	506	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1	507	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Pruned lower branches.
1	508	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1	509	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
1		Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1		4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Pruned lower branches.
	522	Trembling Aspen	Populus tremuloides	Native	1	40	4.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Dishaak
1	527	Eastern Red Cedar	Juniperus virginiana	Native	<u> </u>	13	2.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Dieback.
		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	25	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property		Rear yard
1	552	Trembling Aspen	Populus tremuloides	Native	1	23	4.5	Low	Good	Subject Property		Rear yard
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	13	3.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Suppressed; small dead branches.
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	13	3.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Minor dieback.
1		Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	13	2.5	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Dead branches; vines.
1		Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	12	2.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property		Small crown.
1	586	White Spruce	Picea glauca	Native	1	16	3.0	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Remove	Small crown.

Potential for
Structural Overall Proposed
Failure Rating   Condition   Location   Action   Comments
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Small crown.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Small crown.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Minor dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Small crown; dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Small crown; dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Codominant stems; cankers.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Codominant stems; cankers.
Medium Fair Subject Property Retain Thinning, dieback.
Low Good Subject Property Remove
Low Good Subject Property Remove
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Minor dieback.
Low Good Subject Property Remove Minor dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Minor dieback.  Low Fair Subject Property Remove Minor dieback.
Low Good Subject Property Retain
Low Good Subject Property Remove 0.5m from home; minor dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Pistol butt; immediately next to home.  High Dead Boundary Remove
9
Low Good Subject Property Remove Healthy crown.
Low Good Subject Property Retain
Low Good Subject Property Retain
Low Good Subject Property Retain
Low Good Subject Property Remove Debris around base.
Medium Poor Subject Property Remove dieback, vines in crown, lean.
Medium Poor Subject Property Retain cankers, vine in crown, minor dieback.
Medium Poor Subject Property Retain included bark, dieback.
Medium Fair Subject Property Retain cankers, damage to bark, dieback.
Medium Poor Subject Property Retain growing from dead base. codominant stems, viens in crown, lopsided.
Medium Fair Subject Property Retain Lopsided, minor dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Retain Vines, minor dieback.
Low Fair Subject Property Retain Vines, minor dieback.
Low Good Subject Property Retain Small closed wound.
Low Good Subject Property Retain Curled twigs.
Medium Fair Subject Property Retain Thinning, curled twigs.
Medium Poor Subject Property Remove 30% dieback evenly spread throughout crown.
Low Good Subject Property Remove Large open crown.
Low Good Subject Property Remove Asymmetrical crown south.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Dead lower levels.
Low Good Subject Property Remove Small crown.
Low Fair Subject Property Remove Dead lower branches.

No. of Trees	Tree Number	Common Name	Scientific Name	Native/ Non- native	Stem Count	DBH (cm)	Crown Radius (m)	Potential for Structural Failure Rating	Overall Condition	Location	Proposed Action	Comments
1	1401	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	19	3.0	Low	Good	Subject Property	Retain	Minor thinning.
1	1402	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	15	2.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Reduced crown, thinning.
1	1416	White Ash	Fraxinus americana	Native	1	20	2.5	High	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	Leaning, dead branches, vines.
1	1417	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	20	4.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Codominant stems with included bark, old wounds, broken branches.
1	1418	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	19	3.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Lopsided, reduced crown.
1	1419	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	23	4.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	History of branch failure, unbalanced crown.
1	1420	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	31	5.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Codominant stems with included bark, poor branch union.
1	1442	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	33	5.0	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	Codominant stems with included bark, woopecker damage, dead branches.
1	1443	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	36	5.0	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	Codominant stems with included bark, woopecker damage, dead branches, previously pruned.
1	1444	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	Native	1	35	5.0	Medium	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	Codominant stems with included bark, woopecker damage, dead branches, previously pruned.
1	1455	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	36	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Lopsided, self pruned branches.
1	1480	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	17		High	Dead	Subject Property	Retain	
1	1481	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	21		High	Dead	Subject Property	Retain	
1	1482	Norway Spruce	Picea abies	Non-Native	1	19	0.5	High	Very Poor	Subject Property	Retain	Dying, significant dieback, very small crown.
1	1552	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	13		High	Dead	Subject Property	Retain	
1	1553	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	12	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Thinning, dieback.
1	1555	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	Native	1	11	1.5	Low	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Thinning, vines.
1	1579	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	11	1.0	High	Poor	Subject Property	Retain	Dieback, topped, codominant leaders.
1	1583	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	13	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Thinning, reduced crown.
1	1584	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	Non-Native	1	12	1.0	Medium	Fair	Subject Property	Retain	Thinning, reduced crown.



#### **Tree Health Assessment Criteria**

Assessment Criteria	Definition <sup>1</sup>
Excellent	Represents a tree in near perfect form, health, and vigour. This tree would exhibit no deadwood, no decline, and no visible defects.
Good	Represents a tree ranging from a generally healthy tree to a near perfect tree in terms of health, vigour and structure. This tree exhibits a complete, balanced crown structure with little to no deadwood and minimal defects as well as a properly formed root flare.
Fair	Represents a tree with minor health, balance or structural issues with minimal to moderate deadwood. Branching structure shows signs of included bark or minor rot within the branch connections or trunk wood. The root flare shows minimal signs of mechanical injury, decay, poor callusing, or girdling roots. Trees in the category require minor remedial actions to improve the vigour and structure of the tree.
Poor	Represents a tree that exhibits a poor vigour, reduced crown size (<30% of crown typical of species caused by overcrowding or decline), extreme crown imbalance, or extensive rot in the branching and trunk wood. Fungus could be seen from these rotting areas, suggesting further decay. These trees have extensive crown die back with a large amount of deadwood, and possibly dead sections. These weakened areas can lead to a potential failure of tree sections. Rooting zones show signs of extensive root decay or damage (fruiting bodies or mechanical damage) or girdling roots. Trees in this category require more extensive actions to prevent failure. A tree identified as poor would be a candidate for removal in the near future.
Very Poor	Represents a tree that exhibits major health and structural defects. Quite often the defects or diseases affecting this tree will be fatal. Large quantities of fungus, large dead sections with possible cavities and bark falling off all are signs that a tree is in a major state of decline and would be identified as very poor. These trees have a probable or imminent potential for structural failure. These trees should be identified for removal.
Dead	Represents a tree that exhibits no sign of new growth, including buds, foliage, or shoot growth. These trees have a probable or imminent potential for structural failure. These trees should be identified for removal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (Dunster 2009)

#### **Potential for Structural Failure Assessment Criteria**

Assessment Criteria*	Definition <sup>1</sup>
Improbable	The tree or branch is not likely to fail during normal weather conditions and may not fail in many severe weather conditions within the specified time frame.
Possible	Failure could occur, but it is unlikely during normal weather conditions within the specified time frame.
Probable	Failure may be expected under normal weather conditions within the specified time frame.
Imminent	Failure has started or is most likely to occur in the near future, even if there is no significant wind or increased load. This is a rare occurrence for an assessor to encounter, and it may require immediate action to protect people from harm.
*A specified tim	ne frame of 1 year will be used when assessing potential for structural failure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> (Dunster et al. 2013)



#### **Conditions of Tree Assessment**

#### Limitations

This tree inventory and assessment is based on the circumstances and observations by Natural Resource Solutions Inc. (NRSI) as they existed at the time of the site inspection(s) of the Client's Property as described in this report (the "Property") and the trees situated thereon, and upon information provided by the Client to NRSI. The opinions in this assessment are given based on observations made and using generally accepted professional judgment, however, because trees are living organisms and subject to change, damage and disease, the results, observations, recommendations, and analysis as set out in this assessment are valid only at the date any such observations and analysis took place. No guarantee, warranty, representation or opinion is offered or made by NRSI as to the length of the validity of the results, observations, recommendations and analysis contained within this assessment. As a result, the Client shall not rely upon this assessment, save and except for representing the circumstances and observations at the date of site inspection(s), and the analysis and recommendations made in relation to the proposed undertaking. It is recommended that the inventoried trees discussed in this assessment should be re-assessed periodically, where required (i.e. after 2 years).

#### Further Services

Neither NRSI, nor any assessor employed or retained by NRSI (the "Assessor") for the purpose of preparing or assisting in the preparation of this assessment shall be required to provide any further consultation or services to the Client including, without limitation, acting as an expert witness or witness in any court in any jurisdiction unless the Client has first made specific arrangements with respect to such further services, including providing payment of the Assessor's regular hourly billing fees.

NRSI accepts no responsibility for the implementation of all or any part of this report, unless specifically requested to examine the implementation of such activities recommended herein. Any request for the inspection or supervision of all or part of the implementation shall be made in writing and the details agreed to in writing by both parties.

#### **Assumptions**

The Client is hereby notified that where any of the information set out and referenced in this assessment are based on assumptions, facts or information provided to NRSI, NRSI will in no way be responsible for the veracity or accuracy of any such information. Further, the Client acknowledges and agrees that NRSI has, for the purposes of preparing their assessment, assumed that the Property is in full compliance with all applicable federal, provincial, municipal and local statutes, regulations, by-laws, guidelines and other related laws. NRSI explicitly denies any legal liability for any and all issues with respect to non-compliance with any of the above-referenced statutes, regulations, by-laws, guidelines and laws as it may pertain to or affect the Property.

#### Restriction of Assessment

The assessment carried out was restricted to the areas as described in this report.

NRSI is not legally liable for any other trees except those expressly discussed herein.

The conclusions of this assessment do not apply to any areas, trees, or any other property not covered or referenced in this assessment.

#### Professional Responsibility

In carrying out this assessment, NRSI and any Assessor appointed for and on behalf of NRSI to perform and carry out the assessment has exercised a reasonable standard of care, skill and diligence. The assessment has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect attack, discolored foliage (during the leaf-on period), the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the current or planned proximity of property and people. Except where specifically noted in the assessment, none of the trees examined on the property were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

No guarantees are offered, or implied, that trees recommended for retention, or all parts of them, will remain standing. It is professionally impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or group of trees, or all their component parts, in all given circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most

trees have the potential to fall, lean, or otherwise pose a danger to property and persons in the event of extreme weather conditions, and this risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Without limiting the foregoing, no liability is assumed by NRSI or its directors, officers, employers, contractors, agents or Assessors for:

- a) any legal description provided with respect to the Property;
- b) issues of title and/or ownership with respect to the Property;
- the accuracy of the Property line locations or boundaries with respect to the Property; and
- d) the accuracy of any other information provided to NRSI by the Client or third parties;
- e) any consequential loss, injury or damages suffered by the Client or any third parties, including but not limited to replacement costs, loss of use, earnings and business interruption; and
- f) the unauthorized distribution of the assessment.

#### Third Party Liability

This assessment was prepared by NRSI for the Client. The data collected reflect NRSI's best assessment of the inventoried trees situated on the Property with the information available at the time of observation. Data analysis and the assessment of potential impacts to inventoried trees is specific to the proposed undertaking as described in this report. NRSI accepts no responsibility for any damages or loss suffered by any third party or by the Client as a result of decisions made or actions based upon the use of this assessment for purposes unrelated to the proposed undertaking.

#### General

Any plans and/or illustrations in this assessment are included only to help the Client visualize the issues in this assessment and shall not be relied upon for any other purpose.

This report shall be considered as a whole, no sections are severable, and the assessment shall be considered incomplete if any pages are missing.



# **Tree Inventory Data Summarized by Species**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Evections	Cood	Fa:	Door	Very	Dood	Grand
Common Name Native	Scientific Name	Excellent 1	Good 51	Fair 54	Poor 3	Poor 16	Dead 357	Total 482
American Basswood	Tilia americana	•	J1	2	3	10	331	2
Balsam Fir	Abies balsamea		1					1
Black Cherry	Prunus serotina			1	2	1	1	5
Black Maple	Acer saccharum ssp. nigrum				5			5
Black Walnut	Juglans nigra		21	26	9		3	59
Butternut	Juglans cinerea			5	1			6
Eastern Cottonwood	Populus deltoides		3					3
Eastern Red Cedar	Juniperus virginiana			2				2
Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis		7	248	19		2	276
Elm Species	Ulmus sp.		1					1
Freeman's Maple	Acer X freemanii			15				15
Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica		2	1	1			4
Hawthorn species	Crataegus sp.			2	4			6
Honey Locust	Gleditsia triacanthos			3				3
Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo			1	4			5
Red Maple	Acer rubrum						1	1
Red Oak	Quercus rubra		1	8		1		10
Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum			1				1
'	Acer saccharum ssp.		2	11	4			17
Sugar Maple	saccharum							
Tamarack	Larix laricina			1				1
Trembling Aspen	Populus tremuloides		2	3				5
White Ash	Fraxinus americana		1		1			2
White Birch	Betula papyrifera			3				3
White Elm	Ulmus americana		8	17	4	1	9	39
White Spruce	Picea glauca	1	2	7				10
Non-Native		5	89	26	2	10	100	232
Colorado Spruce	Picea pungens	1					1	2
Common Apple	Malus domestica			2	6			8
Common Pear	Pyrus communis				3	1		4

Common Name	Scientific Name	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Dead	Grand Total
Crack Willow	Salix fragilis				1			1
European Weeping Birch	Betula pendula			1				1
Fir species	Abies sp.			1				1
Horsechestnut	Aesculus hippocastanum		1					1
Linden	Tilia sp.			5				5
Norway Maple	Acer platanoides			10	2			12
Norway Spruce	Picea abies	4	71	62	10	1	6	154
Pine Species	Pinus sp.			1				1
Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris		16	17	4		3	40
Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila			1				1
Weeping Birch	Betula sp.		1					1
Grand Total		6	140	80	5	26	457	714

# **Summary of Health and Risk Assessment**

Potential for Structural Failure	■ 11 ■ 11 d							
Rating	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Dead	Total	
Low	6	138	257				401	
Medium		2	198	19			219	
High			2	61	5	26	94	
Total	6	140	457	80	5	26	714	



