

CLAIR - MALTBY SECONDARY PLAN MOBILITY STUDY

Phase 1 - Background Report City of Guelph

Prepared For: City of Guelph

December 21st, 2017; Updated June 6th, 2018



© BA Consulting Group Ltd. 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Suite 300 Toronto, ON M4V 1K9 www.bagroup.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	7
2.0	INTR		13
	2.1	Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan and MESP Study 2.1.1 Study Process	13 13
	2.2	Phase 1 Mobility Study: A Background Report 2.2.1 Report Format	13 14
	2.3	Phase 2 Mobility Study Overview	14
3.0	EXIS	TING TRANSPORTATION CONTEXT	18
		3.1.1 The Site Area	18
		3.1.2 Existing Transportation Elements	18
		3.1.3 Existing Transit Services	21
		3.1.4 Pedestrians and Cyclists	22
	3.2	Existing Area Travel Characteristics	22
		3.2.1 Modal Share	23
		3.2.2 Trip Distribution Patterns	24
	3.3	Collision History	27
		3.3.1 Collision Data Summary	27
	3.4	Existing Traffic Operations	29
		3.4.1 Analysis Scope	29
		3.4.2 Analysis Scenarios	29
		3.4.3 Analysis Assumptions	29
		3.4.4 Signalized Intersection Analysis Results	33
		3.4.5 Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Results	36
	3.5	Future Traffic Considerations	38
		3.5.1 General Corridor Growth	38
		3.5.2 Site Specific Background Developments	39
4.0	POL	ICY AND REGULATORY PLANNING FRAMEWORK	41
	4.1	The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)	41
	4.2	Places to Grow	41
	4.3	City of Guelph Official Plan	42
		4.3.1 Official Plan Amendment 48	42
		4.3.2 City of Guelph Official Plan – Section 8: Transportation	46
	4.4	South Guelph Secondary Plan	52
	4.5	South Gordon Secondary Plan	53
	4.6	Guelph – Wellington Transportation Study (Transportation Master Plan).	53



5.0	AREA 5.1 5.2 5.3	Gordo Clair F Victori	D ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS n Street (Wellington Road 46) Class EA Environmental Study Report Road Class EA Environmental Study Report a Road (Clair Road to York Road) Class EA Study	56 56 56 57
6.0	ENGI	NEERI	NG DESIGN CRITERIA AND STANDARDS	58
	6.1	Develo 6.1.1	opment Engineering Manual, Version 1.0 (2016) Road Standards	58 58
		6.1.2	Sight Triangles	59
		6.1.3	Parking	59
		6.1.4	Access Design	59
7.0	EXIS	FING T	RANSIT FRAMEWORK	61
	7.1	Transi	t Growth Strategy and Plan	61
	7.2	Movin	g Guelph Forward: Guelph Transit Growth Opportunities	62
8.0	EXIS	FING C	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK	63
8.0	EXIS 8.1	FING C Guelp	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005)	63
8.0	EXIS 8.1	Guelp 8.1.1	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network	63 63 63
8.0	EXIS 8.1	Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road	63 63 63 63
8.0	EXIS 8.1	Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages	63 63 63 66
8.0	EXIS 1 8.1	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities	63 63 63 66 66
8.0	EXIS 8.1	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities Building and Supporting Trails	63 63 63 66 66 66
8.0	EXIS 8.1 8.2	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5 Cyclin 8.2.1	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities Building and Supporting Trails g Master Plan – Bicycle Friendly Guelph (2012) Engineering Principles	63 63 63 66 66 66 66 69 69
8.0	EXIS 8.1 8.2	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5 Cyclin 8.2.1 8.2.2	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities Building and Supporting Trails g Master Plan – Bicycle Friendly Guelph (2012) Engineering Principles Education and Encouragement	63 63 63 66 66 66 69 69
8.0	EXIS 8.1 8.2	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5 Cyclin 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities Building and Supporting Trails g Master Plan – Bicycle Friendly Guelph (2012) Engineering Principles Education and Encouragement Enforcement	63 63 63 63 66 66 66 69 72 72
8.0	EXIS 8.1 8.2	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5 Cyclin 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005) The GTMP Trail Network The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities Building and Supporting Trails g Master Plan – Bicycle Friendly Guelph (2012) Engineering Principles Education and Encouragement Enforcement Evaluation	63 63 63 63 66 66 66 66 72 72 72
8.0	EXIS 8.1 8.2 8.3	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5 Cyclin 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 Active	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK h Trails Master Plan (2005). The GTMP Trail Network . The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road. The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages . The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities . Building and Supporting Trails g Master Plan – Bicycle Friendly Guelph (2012). Engineering Principles . Education and Encouragement. Enforcement Evaluation	63 63 63 66 66 66 69 72 72 72 73
8.0	EXIS 8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	FING C Guelp 8.1.1 8.1.2 8.1.3 8.1.4 8.1.5 Cyclin 8.2.1 8.2.2 8.2.3 8.2.4 Active Wellin	YCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK	63 63 63 66 66 66 66 69 72 72 72 73 75

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Existing Transit Service Frequency – Monday to Friday	21
Table 2	Modal Split (TTS – 2011, South Guelph Area)	23
Table 3	South Guelph Area: Peak Period Trip Distribution by Travel Mode	25
Table 4	Collision Data Summary	28
Table 5	Study Area Signalized Intersections Overall V/C Ratios	34
Table 6	Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Results Summary	36
Table 7	Corridor Traffic Growth Summary	39
Table 8	Area Development Applications	40
Table 9	Subdivision Geometric Design Criteria, Part 1	58
Table 10	Subdivision Geometric Design Criteria, Part 2	59
Table 11	Layout of Accesses	60
Table 12	Number and Location of Accesses	60

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Study Scope Location and Context	20
Figure 2 – Existing Traffic Volumes	31
Figure 3 – Existing Traffic Lane Configurations and Controls	32
Figure 4 – Summary of Existing Traffic Operations Analysis	37
Figure 5 - Schedule 1B, City of Guelph Official Plan - Growth Plan Elements	44
Figure 6 - Schedule 2, City of Guelph Official Plan Amendment 48 - Land Use Plan	45
Figure 7 - Schedule 7, City of Guelph Official Plan Amendment 48 – Trail Network Plan	48
Figure 8 - City of Guelph Proposed Active Transportation Network, June 2015	49
Figure 9 - Schedule 6, City of Guelph Official Plan Amendment 48 – Road and Rail Network	51
Figure 10 – Truck Route Network	55
Figure 11 – City Wide Trail Master Plan: Trail Network	64
Figure 12 – City Wide Trail Master Plan: Trail Network (On and Off-Road Breakdown)	65
Figure 13 – City Wide Trail Master Plan: Potential On-Road Cycling Linkages	67
Figure 14 – City Wide Trail Master Plan: Trail Implementation Priorities (Mid-Term Year 2011-2021)	68
Figure 15 – Proposed Cycling Network – 2013 Guelph Cycling Master Plan	71
Figure 16 – Recommended Active Transportation Network	74
Figure 17 – County of Wellington Active Transportation Plan: Map Ex.7 Puslinch Network Facility Types (enlargements)	76

TABLE OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) Details
- Appendix B Detailed Collision Data
- Appendix C Synchro Analysis Worksheets
- Appendix D Access Design Guidelines
- Appendix E Existing Traffic Data
- Appendix F Vehicle Delay Surveys
- Appendix G Corridor Growth Traffic Analysis Calculations

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Mobility Study Phase 1 Background Report reviews the existing transportation conditions and planning context for the Clair-Maltby study area lands to inform a future transportation structure and network for the preparation of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan and Master Environmental Servicing Plan (MESP) Study being undertaken by the City of Guelph.

The Mobility Study Phase 1 Background Report specifically includes:

- 1. An introduction to the study, the objective of the Phase 1 study, and future work to be undertaken as part of Phases 2 and 3;
- 2. an overview of the existing site context and transportation elements;
- 3. a review of existing travel patterns, traffic operations, and collision history based on available data within the study area;
- 4. a review of relevant standards, active development applications, and policies and planning framework based on available planning and transportation studies and reports.
- 5. a summary of key challenges and opportunities for the site, from a transportation perspective, which highlights key objectives sought through directive policies.

Background and Objectives

The Secondary Planning Area is located in the south end of the City of Guelph. It is bounded generally by Clair Road, Poppy Drive and development lands to the north, Victoria Road (City Boundary) to the east, Maltby Road (City Boundary) to the south and the eastern limits of the Southgate Business Park to the west. It has an area of more than 520 hectares which is currently primarily rural and agricultural in nature.

The study process for these lands in preparation of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan and Master Environmental Servicing Plan (MESP) Study, includes:

- <u>Phase 1:</u> includes the preparation of a background report outlining the results of the above-noted review of existing conditions, background documents, and opportunities/challenges for the study area. This background document also includes a technical work plan for the Phase 2 study.
- <u>Phase 2:</u> includes a Community Visioning Exercise, technical analysis work, design matters, and determining an appropriate street network. Findings from Phase 1 and Phase 2 will be documented in a draft Transportation Master Plan Study.
- <u>Phase 3:</u> includes finalizing the Transportation Master Plan Study once a preferred Community Structure alternative is determined through the Design Charrette at the end of Phase 2. Additional refinement in support of Secondary Plan will also be dealt with in Phase 3, as required. The final study will meet the requirements of a Phase 1 and 2 Transportation Master Plan study under the Municipal Engineers Association Class EA process.



Existing Transportation Facilities

The Clair-Maltby Secondary plan area is served by a series of rural and urbanized roads. The area road system, under existing conditions is generally defined by three north-south routes: Gordon Street, Victoria Road, and Southgate Drive; and two east-west routes: Clair Road and Maltby Road. Additionally, Highway 6 (the Hanlon Parkway) operates in a north-south direction west of the secondary plan area.

Gordon Street is a major north-south corridor linking the City of Guelph with Highway 401 in the south, providing an important alternative (Highway 6 being the primary route) link for commuters connecting between Highway 401 and the City of Guelph.

Existing transit routes do not serve the Secondary Plan area except along a section of Clair Road west of Gordon Street. Existing land within the Secondary Plan area is predominantly rural and sections of Clair Road and Gordon Street were recently urbanized. There are currently no transit services along Gordon Street (south of Clair Road), Victoria Road, Maltby Road, or Clair Road (east of Gordon Street).

A number of transit routes located just north Clair Road provide connections to Guelph Central Station, which is located approximately 7.2 kilometres north of the subject lands. Frequency of buses along these routes varies from two to four vehicles per hour during peak morning activity.

The City of Guelph has actively pursued plans detailing future active transportation networks. A city-wide cycling network plan was established as part of the City's Transportation Master Plan.

Pedestrian sidewalks and bicycle lanes are currently provided along Clair Road and Gordon Street within the Secondary Plan area. Sidewalks are also provided along sections of new streets southeast of the Gordon Street / Clair Road intersection.

Existing Travel Patterns

Weekday peak period trips to / from the South Guelph Area are predominately made by automobile (75% driver; 13% passenger), while small proportions are made by school bus, transit, or active means. The most common orientation for all trips to / from the South Guelph area are made within the City of Guelph (75% to 80%). Travel behaviour, by orientation, related to existing trips during the weekday peak hours in the South Guelph area is summarized in the following:

- 50% of trips are made within the local area generally south of the Eramosa and Speed Rivers.
- Excluding of the aforementioned "local area", another 26% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are made within the City of Guelph including 7% to / from the Downtown
- 7% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are oriented / destined for Waterloo Region.
- 7% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are oriented / destined for Halton / Peel Regions.
- 5% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are oriented / destined for Wellington County.
- 3% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are oriented / destined for the City of Toronto.



Existing trips to / from the South Guelph Area are made using the following modes of transportation during weekday peak travel periods:

- 8% of local trips within the local area are undertaken using transit and active transportation modes, most notably as pedestrians (4%).
- For trips within Guelph, but outside the local area, approximately 95% of trips are made by car (79% driver; 16% vehicle passenger), and only 2% are made by transit.
- Trips made between the South Guelph Area and Halton, Peel and Waterloo Regions, are made by automobile to a greater extent than trips to other areas. Virtually all travel to / from Halton, Peel and Waterloo is undertaken within an automobile.
- The City of Toronto comprises a small proportion of overall travel (3%) to / from the South Guelph Area. These trips are predominately undertaken by car; however, transit mode share is greater for these trips than for trips between the South Guelph Area and other areas analyzed herein.

The signalized intersection traffic analysis indicates that all study area intersections perform acceptably, and without any traffic capacity constraints for any individual traffic movements. During weekday peak hours, overall intersection v/c ratios are shown to be 0.66 or less, while individual traffic movements are shown to all operate with a v/c ratio of 0.71 or less.

Overall signalized intersection traffic operations are good under existing conditions, and are generally reflective of new infrastructure (updated and widened roads) and limited area development.

The existing conditions traffic analysis indicates the that eastbound and westbound STOP-control movements at the Gordon Street and Maltby Road intersection operate with longer delays and fewer gap opportunities. The unsignalized traffic analysis indicates that the eastbound movement operates with LOS D during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS C during the weekday afternoon peak hour, while the westbound movement operates with LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS E during the weekday afternoon peak hour. Signalization of this intersection may be considered in the longer-term given anticipated traffic growth along both streets.

All other movements at unsignalized intersections analyzed within the study area are shown to operate at LOS B or better during weekday peak hours, which is acceptable.

A total of 134 collisions were reported at study area intersections within a 63 month period from 2012 to 2017. Of the total volume of collisions, 21 (16%) resulted in a non-fatal injury, while 42 collisions (31%) report property damage only (no injury). All other collisions were non-reported or "non-reportable". No "fatal" collisions were reported. A total of 3 collisions involved vulnerable road users – in all instances, a cyclist.



Policy and Planning Framework and Active Applications

A number of policies and plans were reviewed to inform the existing transportation planning framework for the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area. These policies and plans establish direction for planning work to be undertaken in future phases, and provide a foundation for defining a Secondary Plan area transportation structure and multi-modal network. Specifically, the set of polices reviewed include:

- Provincial Policy Statement
- Places to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe
- City of Guelph Official Plan
- Official Plan Amendment 48
- City of Guelph Official Plan Section 8: Transportation
- South Guelph Secondary Plan
- South Gordon Secondary Plan
- Guelph Wellington Transportation Study (Transportation Master Plan)
- Gordon Street (Wellington Road 46) Class EA Environmental Study Report
- Clair Road Class EA Environmental Study Report
- Victoria Road (Clair Road to York Road) Class EA Study
- City of Guelph Transit Growth Strategy
- Moving Guelph Forward: Guelph Transit Growth Opportunities
- Guelph Trails Master Plan
- City of Guelph Cycling Master Plan
- City of Guelph Active Transportation Network Study
- Wellington County Active Transportation Plan

The existing transportation study reviewed current and planned road, transit, cycling and pedestrian environments, which are detailed as part of this review. These plans provide an understanding of future infrastructure provisions for assessing future transportation impacts.

The overview of existing transportation plans, policies, and standards, as detailed in the documents noted above, provide a foundation on which to establish an area transportation plan, and to inform a future transportation structure and network for the study area lands.

Design Guidelines

City of Guelph Engineering and Capital Infrastructure Services prepared their Development Engineering Manual (DEM, Fall 2016) to guide engineering related aspects of development related work, including established Engineering Design Criteria and Standards intended to be used by developers, residents and the City to inform engineering design and related review and discussion. The DEM recognizes that the outlined standards may not be compatible to all scenarios, and engineering judgement should be used in such cases.

The DEM establishes geometric road standards, subdivision road standards, sight triangles, parking standards, and access design standards. It should be noted that road standards do not differentiate the use of pavement for passenger vehicles, transit, cyclists or otherwise and should be updated for the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area to include multi-modal uses where appropriate.



Key Challenges and Opportunities

There are a series of challenges and opportunities for the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area. Challenges and opportunities are derived from the review of existing conditions, and informed by a review of various policies, standards, and plans.

Roadways

- The City of Guelph has a set of standard road cross-sections that guides design of the right-of-way, boulevard, and pavement width standards for municipal roadways. There is potential to update the road / design standards specifically for the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area to permit further programming within the pavement or boulevard spaces to include multi-modal uses where appropriate or to account for variations in natural landscape where a context sensitive standard may be most suitable.
- The Clair Maltby Secondary Plan area is challenged by natural heritage and land use constraints that are barriers to providing a 'grid like' network of local and collector roadways. The Secondary Plan will need to develop a fine grained network within the geographical limits of the study to support suitable access, reasonable traffic capacity, and reasonably developable parcels of property to facilitate future development.
- Existing travel mode splits are heavily auto-oriented. Achieving a balance of successful development and adequate roadway capacity for this study area will require thoughtful integration of non-auto methods of travel via infrastructure planning as well as programming and maintenance.
- Based on existing travel volumes, existing traffic operations, and the City's Official Plan and Transportation Master Plan, it is anticipated that the existing arterial road network will require improvements to accommodate growth, and the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan will need to reflect distributed access to the arterial road network and highways, to minimize any undue pressure on local intersections and corridors.

Cycling and Trails

- While, achieving lower auto-mode shares will be a challenge there is opportunity to provide strong connections with the existing and planned on and off-street bicycle network and trail system within the Secondary Plan area.
- Improving accessibility and connectivity within the study area and to / from major community nodes for non-auto modes of transportation (i.e. walking and cycling) will help to ensure mobility choice.
- Improving first and last mile active transportation connections to public transit will increase the ease of access and encourage multi-modal trips.



<u>Transit</u>

- Transit is limited under existing conditions in this area. Providing frequent and efficient transit routing opportunities through the Secondary Plan area will provide mobility choice and could logically feed into the intensification corridor along Gordon Street and community node planned for the Gordon Street / Clair Road intersection.
- Transit stops can be logically located within a short distance of typical start / end of trip locations and integrated with the trail network and / or sidewalk system to ensure pedestrian connectivity to transit facilities.
- There are opportunities to plan and accommodate "*first / last mile*" connections from future transit services. There is a substantial opportunity create links between multi-modal trip making, including the use of active transportation modes to connect transit service provisions to origins and destinations within the Secondary Plan area.



2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 CLAIR-MALTBY SECONDARY PLAN AND MESP STUDY

The City of Guelph is undertaking the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan and Master Environmental Servicing Plan (MESP) Study to comprehensively plan for the development of the area of Guelph located south of Clair Road and north of Maltby Road - the Clair-Maltby Secondary Planning Area. The lands are being considered for development to accommodate population and employment growth for the City in accordance with the requirements of Provincial policy, in particular Places to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.

The MESP and Secondary Plan are being undertaken concurrently as part of the process approved by City Council which is designed to address the complexity of planning for development in the Clair-Maltby Secondary Planning Area. The MESP offers an integrated approach that coordinates the requirements of both the *Environmental Assessment Act* and the *Planning Act*.

2.1.1 Study Process

The Study Process will be undertaken in three phases:

- Phase 1 Background;
- Phase 2 Community Structure; and,
- Phase 3 Secondary Plan and MESP.

2.2 PHASE 1 MOBILITY STUDY: A BACKGROUND REPORT

The purpose of this Mobility Study Background Report is to review available background information, as well as the details and conditions of initial supporting background studies as part of the basis for the Secondary Plan. The Background Report is compiled to provide an overview of existing transportation conditions, plans, policies, and standards on which to establish an area transportation plan, and to inform a future transportation structure and network for the study area lands. Specifically this report considers the following.

Technical Overview of Phase 2 Analysis Work

A discussion of future transportation study to be undertaken within future phases of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan and MESP Study. Future elements of the transportation study include community consultation and visioning exercises, detailed technical analysis, and establishing multi-modal transportation networks for the secondary plan area.

Review of Background Studies

A review relevant existing background planning and transportation studies and reports, as well as any other documents determined to be relevant as the study commences. For example, a review of existing City of Guelph road standards will be included, with a view to identifying options for dealing with multi-modal transportation needs.



A review of background studies also provides a basis for documentation of the planned transportation network, and a summary of the transportation planning context and key policy objectives.

Review of Available Data

Available traffic data in the vicinity of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area in the southern part of Guelph has been obtained and reviewed. The data includes road network utilization counts (traffic counts), traffic accident data, and data from the most recent (2011) Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS). Existing travel data is summarized herein to document existing travel patterns and traffic operations, and to review collision frequency and trends.

Additional data collection (traffic counts, model calibration studies) will be undertaken as necessary as part of the detailed technical modelling and analysis to be completed as part of Phase 2.

Summary of Challenges and Opportunities

A summary of area challenges and opportunities, from a transportation perspective, will be made available to provide direction on meeting performance measures – such as target travel mode splits, walkability, cycling connectivity and traffic operations. The concept is to mitigate existing area challenges, and utilize existing area opportunities to provide mobility choice.

2.2.1 Report Format

The Mobility Study Background Report introduces the study, the objective of the Phase 1 study, and future work to be undertaken as part of Phases 2 and 3.

The Background report then provides an overview of the existing site context, transportation elements, travel patterns, and collision history. This portion of the report also reviews existing traffic operations in the study area.

The bulk of the report outlines the relevant standards, policies and planning framework which exists at the Provincial and City levels, as well as the County of Wellington, on which to establish an area transportation plan, and to inform a future transportation structure and network for the study area lands.

Finally, the report summarizes key challenges and opportunities for the site, from a transportation perspective, and highlights key objectives sought through directive policies.

2.3 PHASE 2 MOBILITY STUDY OVERVIEW

The work plan has been established for Phase 2 of the Mobility Study, which will include community visioning exercises, technical analysis work, design matters, and determining an appropriate street network. Key components of Phase 2 of the Mobility Study are described briefly in the following.



Community Visioning Exercise

Information from the Phase 1 Background Report will be provided to the Community Visioning exercise so as to assist in informing and directing this process. Key inputs to this exercise will include an overview of the existing and planned transportation network (including roads, transit, and active transportation infrastructure), the identification of existing transportation network constraints (related to natural features and/or capacity), and the existing road standards that are available to address multi-modal mobility objectives. The Conceptual Community Structure that will result from the Community Visioning exercise will provide the basis for the development of up to three (3) alternatives. These alternatives will be the basis for the subsequent transportation planning and analysis work to be undertaken in Phase 2.

Close attention will be paid to any special designations that may come out of the Community Visioning Exercise. These might include such concepts as a Main Street designation, a Transit Spine designation, a Natural Feature Spine, or an overall transit orientation for the community. Special road and intersection treatments (such as pavement markings, modified setbacks and sight triangles, priority trail crossings, or enhanced transit lay-bys) will be identified that will support such features.

Preferred Transportation Network

Using input from the CEIS as well as the parallel MESP studies, the constraints to developing the internal (collector) road network will be identified and documented. As many as three (3) alternative conceptual networks will be developed that will address these constraints, minimizing impacts where natural barriers cannot be avoided, and will provide an appropriate level of service in support of the Conceptual Community Structure alternatives. A key priority will be prioritizing the needs of active transportation and transit users so as to create a transportation network that promotes these alternative modes.

Plans will be developed to illustrate the alternative conceptual internal community road networks, and their connectivity with external transportation elements, adjacent neighborhoods and communities, and existing and proposed community services (such as recreational facilities and schools). All modal elements of the networks will be addressed in these plans, namely roadways, transit routing and nodes, cycling routes and trails, and pedestrian facilities.

Technical Analysis

On the basis of the alternative conceptual community transportation networks, and in consultation with City staff, a multi-modal Transportation Impact Study (TIS) will be undertaken. This work will be undertaken in conformity with the City of Guelph's "Traffic Impact Study Guidelines", and will comprise a standard four-step analysis (trip generation, distribution, mode choice, and assignment). The scope and horizon years for this work will be developed in coordination with City staff.

Once the scope and horizon years for this work are established, analyses will be conducted by City staff so as to provide future background trip information at a regional level (derived from the City's VISUM travel demand model). This data will be used to provide the background travel information for the transportation study. A multi-modal travel demand forecasting exercise and subsequent distribution and assessment of various travel modes will be undertaken by BA Group. Directional distribution information will be extracted from the Transportation Tomorrow Survey (either the 2011 TTS or the 2016 TTS if it becomes available in time for this work), and checked against information in the City's travel demand model.



The results of this work will be used to compile a specific set of recommendations with respect to road widenings, intersection control (signalized or unsignalized), intersection turn lane configurations, and roundabout configurations (if appropriate). It will also provide a technical basis for the comparison of the alternative conceptual road networks under consideration.

A qualitative assessment of the intersections within and around the conceptual community will be undertaken with a view to ensuring that the following are provided for:

- adequate vehicular capacity,
- appropriate and safe active transportation features and facilities; and,
- transit priority where feasible.

This assessment will include a review of the potential for the implementation of roundabouts within the community or on the roads adjacent to the community. This pragmatic review will take into account the needs of all users, particularly transit and emergency vehicles, cyclists, and pedestrians.

School Zones

Special consideration will be given to road elements and features in the vicinity of schools so as to ensure that the needs of pedestrians are prioritized. Traffic calming measures may be considered as deemed necessary. Standards for passenger pick up and drop off amenities will be reviewed, as well junction and mid-block crossing designs.

Vehicle and Bicycle Parking

A review of City of Guelph parking standards will be undertaken, and a parking plan developed for the community. This will detail how on street and off street parking is to be provided, particularly in circumstances where interaction with cycling lanes occurs, or where a denser urban form potentially reduces the opportunity for driveway parking.

Consideration will be given to flexible design of bicycle rooms/garages, bicycle lockers, shelters so that they can be adapted to other uses or combined with other uses if demand isn't initially met at construction.

Recreational Trails

The community transportation network concept will include a concept trail plan. This will be developed in concert with the CEIS work so as to ensure that the trail system does not impinge on Natural Heritage Features. The system will be developed with a view to connecting with, expanding and enhancing the active transportation elements in the road rights-of-way. Off road trail standards will be designated so as to meet appropriate standards (AODA and FADM), and will be developed in conjunction with the parallel MESP studies so as to ensure that environmental and storm water considerations are dealt with.

Transportation Demand Management Framework

A Transportation Demand Management (TDM) framework will be pursued to establish a foundation for managing future travel demands upon development of the secondary plan area, to ensure that measures to promote transit and active transportation are implemented by way of the transportation amenities provided, as well as the built form of the community. Target mode shares and viable options for achieving these targets will be established for future development.



Transit, to discuss Guelph Transit service and routing standards, specific transit related issues and objectives in this area of Guelph, and to ensure that optimal routing is provided through and around this community. If the Community Conceptual Structure includes a Transit Spine corridor, then these discussions will become invaluable in understanding the desired features of the corridor.

Public Consultation and Deliverables

As noted above, the Mobility Study work described herein is intended to meet the requirements of a Transportation Master Plan (Phase 1 and 2) study under the Municipal Engineers Association Class EA process. As such, there must be at least two opportunities (Public Information Centres or PIC's) to present findings and solicit input from the public with respect to this work. These opportunities will be coordinated with the public consultation sessions for the parallel MESP and Secondary Plan studies.

At the end of phase 2, work described above (from both phase 1 and phase 2) a single draft report will address the requirements for a Transportation Master Plan Study. As such it will document the following:

- A problem and opportunity statement.
- The existing transportation network and conditions, and the currently planned improvements.
- The planning context for the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area, including the Community Conceptual Plan.
- The alternative Conceptual Transportation Networks (up to three), and the evaluation of these alternatives on the basis of criteria provided in the Terms of Reference document (page 7) plus any additional criteria identified during the course of the study.
- The recommended standards to be used as they relate to meeting community objectives. These will include road and intersection design standards and drawings, pedestrian and cycling facility standards, transit facilities, and traffic calming measures where deemed appropriate.
- The Traffic Impact Study work, including the results and recommendations for improvements and new construction, and the results of the noise assessment.
- The EA studies that will be required to move forward with the Secondary Plan for this area.

3.0 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION CONTEXT

3.1.1 The Site Area

The Secondary Planning Area is located in the south end of the City of Guelph. It is bounded by Clair Road to the north, Victoria Road (City Boundary) to the east, Maltby Road (City Boundary) to the south and the eastern limits of the Southgate Business Park to the west. It has an area of more than 520 hectares which is currently primarily rural and agricultural in nature. The study area is illustrated in Figure 1.

3.1.2 Existing Transportation Elements

3.1.2.1 Existing Road Network

The secondary plan area is served by a series of rural and urbanized roads. Clair Road to the north of the study area, and Gordon Street north of Poppy Drive have been urbanized and widened to accommodate 2 to 4 travel lanes (plus auxiliary turn lanes), curbs and sidewalks. Other major roads in the area, including Gordon Street south of Poppy Drive have typical rural cross-sections and are have 2 travel lanes.

The area road system, under existing conditions is generally defined by three north-south routes: Gordon Street, Victoria Road, and Southgate Drive; and two east-west routes: Clair Road and Maltby Road. Additionally, Highway 6 (the Hanlon Parkway) operates in a north-south direction just west of the secondary plan area.

Gordon Street is a major north-south corridor that becomes Brock Road beyond the City boundary and I the City of Guelph with Highway 401 in the south, providing an important alternative (Highway 6 being the primary route) link for commuters connecting between Highway 401 and the City.

The existing local street network, including intersection lane configuration and traffic controls, is illustrated in Figure 3.

An overview of the surrounding municipal street network highways and key roadways is provided below.

Highway 6 (Hanlon Parkway) is a provincially-owned and maintained limited access highway (in the Guelph area) operating in a north-south direction west of the Secondary Plan area. Although the highway has limited access, and operates with a fully grade-separated interchange at Laird Road, it intersects with Maltby Road at an unsignalized intersection (east-west STOP-control). The highway operates with an 80 km/h. posted speed limit and two travel lanes in both the northbound and southbound directions. Northbound and southbound travel lanes are generally separated by a grassed median.

Highway 6 is a major traffic route linking the City of Guelph with the wider region and specifically with Highway 401 in the south. The highway begins at Highway 403 in the City of Hamilton (Dundurn) in the south and extends north through the City of Guelph to Tobermory at the northern end of the Bruce Peninsula.

Highway 6 includes a full interchange at its crossing with Laird Drive, which becomes Clair Road through the study area. The highway also intersects at an unsignalized intersection with Maltby Road, whereby eastbound / westbound traffic movements on Maltby Road operate under STOP-control.



Gordon Street is a two-way arterial road running north-south through the City of Guelph. Gordon Street becomes Brock Road south of the City Boundary at Maltby Road. The street extends south of Highway 401 as Highway 6, and north of Waterloo Avenue in Downtown Guelph as Norfolk Street, Woolwich Street, and then Highway 6 north of Woodlawn Road.

In the site vicinity, it has a 4-lane urban cross-section north of Poppy Drive and a 2-lane rural cross-section south of Poppy Drive. The roadway includes separate left-turn lanes at signalized intersections and bicycle lanes in both directions within the City limits. The street has an existing speed limit of 60 km/h. in its urban section, and a 70 km/h. speed limit in its rural section south of Poppy Drive.

Victoria Road is a north-south direction roadway stretching through the City of Guelph from Wellington County Road 36 in the south (at Highway 401) to Highway 6 in the in the north. In the site vicinity, Victoria Road has a basic 2-lane rural cross section, with a separate north left-turn lane at Clair Road. Victoria Road intersects with Maltby Road in two separate T-intersections, with the section of Victoria Road north of Maltby Road extends from a point approximately 55 metres east of where the section of Victoria Road south of Maltby Road terminates.

Southgate Drive services industrial and employment areas in the southwest area of Guelph east of Highway 6 and north and south of Laird Road. Southgate Drive is a two-way roadway with a 50 km/h. speed limit and a basic 2-lane cross section and auxiliary left-turn lanes at it intersections with Laird Road and Clair Road. The street loops north of Laird Road, intersecting with Laird Road at two points, and extends south of Laird Road (at its western intersection) before terminating in a cul-de-sac approximately 1.4 kilometres south of Clair Road.

Clair Road is a two-way road running east-west between Hanlon Road / Crawley Road in the west (just east of Highway 6) and Victoria Road in the east. It generally operates with a 2-lane cross section except for the "urbanized" portion of the street which extends from 225 metres east of Laird Road to approximately 140 metres east of Beaver Meadow Drive – where the street generally has a 4-lane urban cross section. Within the street's urban portion, auxiliary left-turn lanes are provided at all intersections, as well as bicycle lanes in both directions adjacent to the curb. Clair Road has a speed limit of 60 km/h.

Laird Road is a two-way road oriented generally in an east-west direction between Clair Road in the east and the street's termination approximately 175 metres west of Quaterman Road. It generally operates with a 4-lane cross section west of the street's signalized intersection with Southgate Drive, and a 2-lane cross section between this point and Clair Road in the east. West of the street's signalized intersection with Southgate Drive, and a 2-lane cross section between this point and Clair Road in the east. West of the street's signalized intersection with Southgate Drive to Cooper Drive, bicycle lanes are also provided in both directions adjacent to the curb. The street intersects with Highway 6 as a grade-separated interchange, providing a high-capacity traffic connection to Highway 6 in the Secondary Plan area. Laird Road has a speed limit of 50 km/h.

Maltby Road is a two-way rural road oriented generally in an east-west direction between Nassagaweya-Puslinch Townline in the east and Highway 6 in the west. West of Highway 6, Maltby Road continues as Concession Road 4 to Roszell Road near the Town of Hespeler. It operates with a 2-lane cross section and has a speed limit of 50 km/h.





STUDY SCOPE LOCATION AND CONTEXT



3.1.2.2 Planned Road Network Improvements

A planned future public road network for the south Guelph area is discussed further in Section 4.3.2, while previously conducted environmental assessments for road widenings and improvements is detailed in Section 5.0 of this report.

3.1.3 Existing Transit Services

Guelph Transit is responsible for transit service in the vicinity of the Secondary Plan area, and provides services within the City of Guelph generally. Guelph Transit also connects the City of Guelph with major transit terminals in the Downtown area, including the University of Guelph and Guelph Central Station which provide connections to regional and inter-city transit services – including GO Transit, Greyhound and VIA Rail.

Transit routes do not currently service the Secondary Plan area except for a section of Clair Road west of Gordon Street, as the existing land uses are predominately rural and sections of Clair Road and Gordon Street were recently urbanized. There are currently no Guelph Transit services on Gordon Street, Victoria Road or Maltby Road. With build-out of the Secondary Plan area, it is anticipated that transit services will be introduced southwards with in the City of Guelph.

A number of service transit bus routes currently operate north and west of the Secondary Plan area on Clair Road, Laird Road and Southgate Drive to service existing residential areas north of Clair Road and employment areas along Southgate Drive. These routes operate north of Clair Road serving Hanlon Industrial Park (Route 16), the University of Guelph (Routes 5 and 99), and the Guelph Central Station (Route 99) – which is located approximately 7.2 kilometres north of the subject lands. These routes are identified in Table 1, and may be revised to extend or reroute to the subject site area.

TABLE 1 EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICE FREQUENCY – MONDAY TO FRIDAY

Transit Route	Transit Type	Serviced Road	Morning Peak Hour	Afternoon Peak Hour
Route 5	Bus	Gordon St. / Farley Dr. / Goodwin Dr. / Victoria Rd.	20 min headway	2 to 3 buses in pk. hr. (variable headways)
Route 16	Bus	Gordon St. / Clairfields Dr. / Clair Rd. / Laird Rd. / Southgate Dr.	30 min headway	30 min headway
Route 99 (Mainline)	Bus	Gordon St. / Clair Rd. / Gosling Gdns. / Clairfields Dr.	10 min headway	10 min headway

Notes:

Bus route and schedule information effective January 7th, 2018.

Details related to future plans and transit-related policies, that will impact the future transit network in the Secondary Plan area, are summarized in Sections 4.6 and 7.0 of this report.



3.1.4 Pedestrians and Cyclists

Cycling and pedestrian facilities in the Secondary Plan area are limited under existing conditions, owing to the rural character of existing lands.

However, pedestrian sidewalks and bicycle lanes are currently provided along urbanized sections of Clair Road and Gordon Street within the Secondary Plan area. Bicycle lanes are provided on Gordon Street to the City limit, including within the rural section of the street south of Poppy Drive. Sidewalks are also provided along sections of new streets southeast of the Gordon Street / Clair Road intersection.

The City of Guelph has actively pursued plans detailing future active transportation networks. A city-wide cycling network plan was established as part of the City's Transportation Master Plan – detailed in Section 4.6, while additional trail and active transportation plans are summarized in Section 8 of this report.

3.2 EXISTING AREA TRAVEL CHARACTERISTICS

The site is located in the south portion of the City of Guelph in a largely rural area with few existing transit and cycling / pedestrian facilities. These facilities will be pursued as part of the secondary plan, and would be anticipated to build on the sustainable transportation infrastructure and services made available to more established and recently developed areas in the south portion of the City.

A review of the travel characteristics information provided by the Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) for trips made in the areas immediately north of the Secondary Plan area (herein referred to as the "South Guelph Area") confirms, unsurprisingly given the site location, that a majority of trips are undertaken in a private automobile either as a driver or passenger. However, a proportion of travel is undertaken using non-auto means, specifically for peak direction travel during peak travel periods.

A review of the TTS travel characteristics of trips being made to / from the South Guelph Area during the weekday peak periods is provided in the following sections. The weekday peak travel periods analyzed include trips starting during the weekday morning peak period from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and during the weekday afternoon peak period from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. The study area reviewed generally consists of the residential neighbourhoods east and west of Gordon Street between Arkell Road in the north and Clair Road in the south (2006 TTS Zones 8069-8076). The TTS data area (South Guelph Area) is also illustrated in **Appendix A.** TTS data collection efforts have not, to date, surveyed travel patterns for weekend trips, limiting available data for the weekday periods. Additionally, TTS data is reflective of the 2011 (6-year old) survey set as 2016 data is not yet made available. Should 2016 TTS data be made available through the process of the Secondary Plan study, based travel characteristics will be reviewed.



3.2.1 Modal Share

Travel behaviour characteristics for trips to from the South Guelph Area during the weekday morning and afternoon peak periods are summarized in Table 2. Detailed TTS data calculations are included in **Appendix A**.

Mode	Morning Peak Period Inbound	Morning Peak Period Outbound	Afternoon Peak Period Inbound	Afternoon Peak Period Outbound	Total Peak Period Travel
Auto Driver ⁴	73%	69%	81%	79%	75%
Auto Passenger 5	9%	12%	12%	21%	13%
Transit	1%	1%	4%	0%	2%
Walk	4%	4%	0%	0%	2%
Cycle	4%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Other ⁶	9%	12%	2%	0%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

TABLE 2 MODAL SPLIT (TTS – 2011, SOUTH GUELPH AREA)

Notes:

1. Based on 2011 TTS results for morning (7:00 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.) and afternoon (4:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.) peak traffic periods.

2. Statistics specific to 2006 GTA Zones 8069-8076

3. Trips represent an expanded value based on a sample of persons surveyed in the study area.

4. Auto driver trips (includes auto drivers and motorcycles).

5. Auto passenger trips (includes auto passenger trips only).

6. Other trips include school bus and taxi trips, consistent with The City's model document.

The proportion of people in the South Guelph Area who chose to drive a car during the morning and afternoon peak weekday periods is in the order of 75%. The balance of travel is undertaken, significantly, as a vehicle passenger (13%), while a small portion of travel is undertaken using transit or by walking / cycling (approximately 2% each).

It should be noted that "other" trips during the weekday peak periods comprise of school bus trips – and that these represent approximately 9% to 12% of trips during the morning peak period. School bus trips comprise a smaller proportion of weekday afternoon peak period trips as they tend to occur before the afternoon peak travel period (before 4:00 p.m.).

The proportion of travel undertaken as a pedestrian, using a bicycle and by transit generally represents 6% of all trips, which is a small proportion of all trips and should be improved as part of new development planned within the Secondary Plan area.

It should be noted that the South Guelph Area (as reviewed in the above) comprises a low-density, suburban residential typology characterized by single detached dwelling units, considerable vehicle parking provisions and amenities, and a fragmented curvilinear street patterns. These features effectively discourage active transportation options, reduce transit efficiency and supportive densities, and prioritize automotive travel.



3.2.2 Trip Distribution Patterns

To understand the current travel distribution patterns of persons oriented to / from the South Guelph Area, TTS data was reviewed for weekday morning and afternoon peak period trips for all modes of travel. The study area reviewed consists of the South Guelph Area previously defined and illustrated in **Appendix A**.

The TTS data reveals that trips to / from the South Guelph Area during the weekday peak periods are predominately (76%) undertaken within the City of Guelph boundaries, and that many of these trips (50% of all trips) are "local" – south of the Eramosa and Speed Rivers. It is also important to note that a notable portion of trips are also oriented to / from Halton and Peel Regions (7%), Waterloo Region (7%), Wellington County (5%), and the City of Toronto (3%). Another 2% of trips were dispersed to other areas – notably the City of Hamilton and surround area.

A summary of existing resident travel characteristics including travel mode by certain areas of distribution is provided in Table 3. Detailed TTS data calculations are included in **Appendix A**.

Destination Area	Proportion of All Trips	Mode Split	Legend
Local Area ¹	50%		
Rest of Guelph	26% (7% Downtown)		-
Halton / Peel Regions	7%		<u>Travel Mode</u> Auto Driver Auto Passenger Transit
Waterloo Region	7%		Walk Cycle Other
Wellington County	5%		
City of Toronto	3%		

TABLE 3 SOUTH GUELPH AREA: PEAK PERIOD TRIP DISTRIBUTION BY TRAVEL MODE

Note:

"Local area" consists of areas within the City of Guelph south of the Eramosa and Speed Rivers.
 Another 2% of trips are oriented to "other" areas in the region.



A summary of weekday peak period (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.) travel behaviour and distribution to / from the South Guelph Area is derived from Table 3, and is provided in the following.

- It is notable that approximately 50% of existing peak period trips to / from the South Guelph Area are made "locally". The majority of these trips are undertaken in a private automobile as a driver (68%) or passenger (13%). Many of these trips are also undertaken on a school bus, which one can conclude are "school trips" (11%). Approximately 8% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are undertaken using transit and active transportation modes, most notably as pedestrians (4%).
- Most commonly, trips to / from the South Guelph Area are made from within the City of Guelph itself. Approximately 76% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area during the weekday peak periods are made within Guelph, including approximately 50% locally (noted above), approximately 7% to the Downtown, and 19% in the rest of Guelph (north of the Eramosa and Speed Rivers). For trips within Guelph, but outside the local area as defined above, approximately 95% of trips are made by car (79% driver; 16% vehicle passenger), and only 2% are made by transit.
- After the City of Guelph itself, Waterloo Region represents the second largest jurisdiction for trips to / from the South Guelph Area. Approximately 7% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are to / from Waterloo Region. TTS data indicates that trips are made by automobile (96% driver; 4% passenger).
- Approximately 7% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are to / from Halton / Peel Regions. Trips between the South Guelph Area and Halton and Peel Regions are made by automobile (89% driver; 11% passenger).
- Approximately 5% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are to / from Wellington County. Trips between the South Guelph Area and Wellington County are made predominately by automobile (82% driver; 15% passenger), while a small proportion of trips (3%) are undertaken by school bus.
- A smaller proportion approximately 3% of trips to / from the South Guelph Area are made to / from the City of Toronto. Relative to trips to / from other areas, trips to / from Toronto are more likely to be made by transit. A greater proportion of all trips to / from Toronto are taken by transit (37%), but it is still predominantly car-based travel (63%).
- In summary, trips made "local" to the South Guelph Area are more likely to be undertaken by sustainable transportation means (transit, walking, cycling) relative to trips made within the City of Guelph generally, or to trips made between the South Guelph Area and neighbouring Waterloo, Halton, and Peel Regions. During weekday peak travel periods, approximately 7% of "local" trips are made by walking or cycling, while another 1% is made by transit.

During weekday peak travel periods, trips oriented within the City of Guelph (outside of the "local" area) and to neighbouring regions (Halton, Peel, Waterloo, Wellington County) are predominately and overwhelming undertaken in a private vehicle (see Table 3). During weekday peak travel periods, trips to / from the City of Toronto comprise a small proportion of overall travel (3%). Although trips to / from Toronto are still predominately undertaken by car, the transit mode share is greater than trips between the South Guelph Area and other areas analyzed herein.



3.3 COLLISION HISTORY

Collision data was made available for the 5-year time period from January 1st 2012 to March 31st, 2017, at a number of intersections within the study area, including:

- Clair Road at Gordon Street
- Clair Road West at Laird Road
- Clair Road West at Clairfields Drive West
- Clair Road East at Farley Drive
- Clair Road East at Beaver Meadow Drive
- Clair Road East at Victoria Road South
- Gordon Street at Maltby Road
- Gordon Street at Poppy Drive
- Victoria Road South at Maltby Road

Detailed collision reports are included in Appendix B.

A brief summary of collisions for the 2012 to 2017 (end March 2017) period, for each of the above-mentioned intersections, is provided in Table 4.

3.3.1 Collision Data Summary

A total of 134 collisions were report at the above-mentioned intersections within the identified time frame (63 month period from 2012 to 2017). Of the total volume of collisions, 21 (16%) resulted in a non-fatal injury, while 42 collisions (31%) report property damage only (no injury). All other collisions were non-reported or "non-reportable". No "fatal" collisions were reported.

Within the collision data scope, approximately 51% of the collisions recorded have occurred at the Gordon Street and Clair Road intersection. Most (greater than half) of these collisions were either "rear-end" collisions often resulting from following too closely or improper speed for road conditions, or "turning movement" collisions often resulting from left-turn traffic not yielding to on-coming traffic. Measures to reduce rear-end collisions include safety campaigns targeted at poor-weather vehicle operation, greater enforcement, and reduced speed limits. The introduction of protected left-turn phases at this intersection may have an impact on reducing turning movement collisions.

A total of 3 collisions involving vulnerable road users were recorded – in all instances involving cyclists. Two of this collisions occurred at the Gordon Street and Clair Road intersection, and one other at the Clair Road and Farley Drive intersection. Cycling facilities and pavement markings (including pedestrian crossings) should be highly visible and well-marked. Consideration may be made to reducing vehicle speeds given the lack of physical separation (bollards / buffers) between cycling facilities and vehicle travel lanes.

It should be noted that a total of 15 collisions were recorded at the Victoria Road South and Maltby Road intersection. This intersection is currently configured as two separate intersections (back to back T-intersections). This unusual configuration, which requires northbound / southbound traffic to conduct a right-turn then left-turn in short succession to continue in the same direction, may explain the rate of rear-end collisions at this intersection.



TABLE 4 COLLISION DATA SUMMARY

Intersection	Total Collisions (2012 to 2017) ¹	Average Collisions per Month	Impact Type	Classification	Collisions Involving Vulnerable Road Users
Clair Road / Gordon Street	69	1.1	 31 rear-end 12 turning movement 8 angle 10 single motor vehicle 6 sideswipe 1 approaching 1 other 	 12 non-fatal injury 22 property damage only 35 non- reportable 	2 involving cyclists
Clair Road West / Laird Road	4	0.1	 2 rear-end 1 single motor vehicle 1 sideswipe	 2 property damage only 2 non-reportable	0 vulnerable road users
Clair Road West / Clairfields Drive West	13	0.2	 7 rear-end 1 turning movement 2 angle 3 sideswipe 	13 non- reportable	0 vulnerable road users
Clair Road East / Farley Drive	13	0.2	 1 rear-end 7 turning movement (primarily east-west left turns) 3 angle 2 single motor vehicle 	 3 non-fatal injury 5 property damage only 5 non-reportable 	1 involving cyclists
Clair Road East / Beaver Meadow Dr.	1	-	1 single motor vehicle	• 1 non-fatal injury	0 vulnerable road users
Clair Road East / Victoria Road South	12	0.2	3 rear-end5 angle3 single motor vehicle1 approaching	 1 non-fatal injury 6 property damage only 5 non-reportable 	0 vulnerable road users
Gordon Street / Maltby Road	5	0.1	 2 angle 3 single motor vehicle	 2 non-fatal injury 2 property damage only 1 non-reportable 	 0 vulnerable road users
Gordon St. / Poppy Dr.	2	-	• 2 angle	 1 non-fatal injury 1 non-reportable	• 0 vulnerable road users
Victoria Road South / Maltby Road	15	0.2	7 rear-end2 turning movement6 single motor vehicle	 1 non-fatal injury 5 property damage only 9 non-reportable 	 0 vulnerable road users
All Locations	134	2.1	 51 rear-end 22 turning movement 22 angle 26 single motor vehicle 10 sideswipe 2 approaching 1 other 	 21 non-fatal injury 42 property damage 71 non- reportable 	 3 involving vulnerable road users

Notes:

1. Data collection to end of March 2017

CLAIR-MALTBY BACKGROUND MOBILITY STUDY - PHASE 1 DECEMBER 2017; UPDATED JUNE 2018 5976-06



3.4 EXISTING TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

3.4.1 Analysis Scope

Existing traffic operations analyses have been undertaken for a number of intersections within the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area in order to understand existing traffic conditions and demands. Existing traffic conditions have been reviewed at the following intersections as part of this background study:

Signalized Intersections:

- Gordon Street and Clair Road;
- Gordon Street and Poppy Drive;
- Clair Road and Poppy Drive;
- Clair Road and Farley Drive;
- Clair Road and Beaver Meadow Drive;
- Clair Road and Victoria Road;
- Laird Road and Highway 6 northbound off-ramp; and
- Laird Road and Highway 6 southbound off-ramp.

Unsignalized Intersections:

- Laird Road and Clair Road West;
- Gordon Street and Maltby Road;
- Victoria Road and Maltby Road (east intersection); and
- Victoria Road and Maltby Road (west intersection).

The free traffic movements associated with the existing Highway 6 access ramps to / from Laird Road East will not be analyzed as part of the traffic analysis herein. Given that these movements operate "free", it is anticipated that they will operate acceptably without constraint.

3.4.2 Analysis Scenarios

Traffic operations analyses have been undertaken during the weekday morning and afternoon street peak hours under the following traffic conditions reflecting existing traffic volumes, lane configurations and traffic controls.

3.4.3 Analysis Assumptions

3.4.3.1 Intersection Capacity Analysis Methodology

Traffic operations analyses have been undertaken at study area intersections using standard capacity analysis procedures as follows.

The traffic operations analysis for signalized and unsignalized intersections was undertaken using *Synchro Version 9* software, adhering to the analysis methodology outlined in the *Highway Capacity Manual 2000*. Key performance indicators utilized for the signalized and unsignalized analyses are volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios, delay times, and level-of-service (LOS).



Input parameters for the analyses are based on data acquired from traffic surveys. Peak hour factors and heavy traffic percentage parameters were calculated based on the traffic data acquired where appropriate. Bus blockages were estimated based on transit service frequency during prevailing traffic volume peak hours.

3.4.3.2 Traffic Volume Data

Existing traffic volume data were obtained for all study area intersections from the City of Guelph.

Traffic volume data was provided for the period 2012 to 2017 for key intersections in the study area, as well as older traffic volume data for use as reference. Traffic volumes were reviewed against historical data (TMCs and ATRs) to verify general trends and understand potential inconsistencies. Generally, the most recent intersection counts (those from 2015 to 2017) were selected at key study area intersections, and utilized as the basis for analysis. Existing area traffic volumes utilized in assessing current traffic operations are illustrated in Figure 2. Traffic count data utilized in the traffic analysis prepared herein, are included in **Appendix E.**

Traffic signal timing plans were provided by the Ministry of Transportation and the City of Guelph for signalized intersection included as part of the analysis.

3.4.3.3 Road Network Assumptions

Existing lane configurations on the public area road network reflect existing lane configurations and traffic controls.

The existing area road network configuration and traffic controls are illustrated in Figure 3.

3.4.3.4 Calibration

Vehicle delay surveys were undertaken for the eastbound and westbound traffic movements at the Gordon Street and Maltby Road intersection to appropriately reflected existing traffic delays for the eastbound and westbound movements. The existing traffic analysis herein is calibrated to reflect existing delay results observed during updated data collection and traffic delay surveys.

Vehicle delay surveys are included in Appendix F.





EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES





EXISTING TRAFFIC LANE CONFIGURATIONS AND CONTROLS



3.4.4 Signalized Intersection Analysis Results

Detailed results of the Synchro analysis of signalized intersections within the study area under existing traffic conditions are included in **Appendix C.** A discussion of the traffic analysis findings follows.

A summary of existing signalized and unsignalized traffic operations at key existing study area intersections is provided in Figure 4.

3.4.4.1 General Findings

The traffic operations analyses outlined herein reflect traffic operations at the key intersections in the site area without explicitly considering the downstream congestion extending beyond study area intersections.

Individual movement and overall volume-to-capacity ratios for each of the signalized intersections within the study area are summarized in Table 5.

The signalized intersection traffic analysis indicates that all study area intersections perform acceptably, and without any traffic capacity constraints for any individual traffic movements. During weekday peak hours, overall intersection v/c ratios are shown to be 0.66 or less, while individual traffic movements are shown to all operate with a v/c ratio of 0.71 or less.

Overall signalized intersection traffic operations are good under existing conditions, and are generally reflective of new infrastructure (updated and widened roads) and limited area development. Existing delay and capacity results are acceptable.

The key Gordon Street and Clair Road intersection operates acceptably under existing traffic conditions, with an overall intersection v/c ratio of 0.58 during the weekday morning peak hour, and 0.66 during the weekday afternoon peak hour. Higher traffic volumes (resulting in a higher v/c ratio) during the weekday afternoon peak hour are reflective of the commercial land uses prevalent in each of the intersection's four quadrants.

The intersection of Clair Road East and Victoria Road was recently signalized. The signalized intersection analysis indicates that this intersection generally operates acceptably. However, the southbound movement is shown to operate acceptably because southbound right-turn traffic utilize the existing southbound curb-adjacent bicycle lane to conduct this movement. Occasionally, southbound through vehicles are positioned to limit southbound right-turn traffic from utilizing the narrow bicycle lane to approach the intersection, resulting in longer traffic queues and delays. The municipality should consider widening the southbound approach to appropriately configure separate southbound through and southbound right-turn lanes, along with a separate and reconfigured southbound bicycle lane.



Intersection	Traffic Movement	Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio
	EB L	0.59 (0.71)
	EB TR	0.39 (0.65)
	WB L	0.43 (0.48)
	WB TR	0.63 (0.44)
Gordon Street and Clair Road	NB L	0.43 (0.57)
	NB TR	0.57 (0.60)
	SB L	0.26 (0.60)
	SB TR	0.53 (0.61)
	Overall	0.58 (0.66)
	on Traffic Movement EB L EB TR WB L WB TR WB L NB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB LTR WB LTR NB L NB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB LTR VB LTR NB L SB TR Overall EB L EB TR WB L EB TR EB	0.08 (0.00)
	WB LTR	0.23 (0.43)
	ion Traffic Movement EB L EB L EB TR WB L WB L WB TR NB L NB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB LTR WB LTR NB L NB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB TR VB L EB TR EB L EB TR EB L EB TR VB L VB TR EB L EB TR VB L EB TR VB L EB TR VB L VB TR EB L EB TR VB L VB TR SB R Overall EB T SB R VB L EB TR VB L EB T SB R Overall EB T SB R Overal EB T SB R Overal EB T SB R Overal EB T	0.01 (0.01)
Gordon Street and Poppy Drive	NB TR	0.27 (0.40)
	SB L	0.02 (0.10)
	SB TR	0.26 (0.31)
	And Clair Bel EB L EB TR WB L WB TR NB L NB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB LTR WB LTR NB L NB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB L EB TR VB L EB TR VB L EB TR VB L EB TR WB L EB TR WB L EB TR WB L EB TR SB L SB TR Overall EB L EB TR SB L EB TR EB L EB TR SB R Overall EB T EB L EB TR EB TR EB L EB TR EB L EB TR EB TR EB L EB TR EB	0.27 (0.39)
	EB L	0.08 (0.23)
	EB TR	0.23 (0.50)
	WB L	0.38 (0.09)
Clair Road West and Poppy	WB TR	0.37 (0.31)
Drive West / Clairfields	NB LT	0.16 (0.03)
Drive	NB R	0.07 (0.02)
	SB LT	0.12 (0.06)
	SB R	0.09 (0.05)
	Overall	0.32 (0.34)
	EB L	0.22 (0.44)
	EB TR	0.16 (0.41)
	WB L	0.05 (0.18)
	WB TR	0.33 (0.26)
Clair Road East and Farley Drive	NB LT	0.42 (0.36)
	NB R	0.10 (0.23)
	SB LT	0.21 (0.15)
	SB R	0.25 (0.29)
	Overall	0.33 (0.43)

TABLE 5 STUDY AREA SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS OVERALL V/C RATIOS



	EBL	0.25 (0.21)
	EB TR	0.22 (0.34)
	WB L	0.05 (0.04)
Clair Road East and Beaver	WB TR	0.54 (0.26)
Meadow Drive	NB LTR	0.10 (0.07)
	SB LT	0.07 (0.04)
	SB R	0.08 (0.05)
	Overall	0.32 (0.25)
	EB L	0.37 (0.65)
	EB R	0.03 (0.06)
	NB L	0.13 (0.21)
Clair Road East and Victoria Road	NB T	0.42 (0.56)
	SB T	0.41 (0.37)
	SB R	0.33 (0.24)
	Overall	0.39 (0.61)
	EB T	0.59 (0.52)
	WB T	0.38 (0.61)
Laird Road and Highway 6 Northbound Off-Ramp	NB L	0.07 (0.03)
	NB R	0.43 (0.19)
	Overall	0.50 (0.37)
	EB T	0.22 (0.22)
	WB T	0.24 (0.34)
Laird Road and Highway 6 Southbound Off-Ramp	NB L	0.31 (0.23)
	NB R	0.03 (0.03)
	Overall	0.28 (0.28)

Notes: 1.

0.00 (0.00) – Weekday Morning Peak Hour (Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour)



3.4.5 Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Results

The results of the capacity analysis performed for unsignalized intersections in the study area are summarized in Table 6.

Detailed Synchro analysis output sheets are included in **Appendix C.** A summary of existing signalized and unsignalized traffic operations at key existing study area intersections is provided in Figure 4.

	Movement of Interest	Existing Traffic Conditions		
Intersection		Delay (s)	LOS	
Clair Road West and Laird	WB L	2.3 (1.2)	A (A)	
Road	NB (Clair Rd.) LR	14.7 (21.4)	B (C)	
	EB LTR	29.1 (23.9)	D (C)	
Gordon Street and Maltby	WB LTR	20.1 (41.0)	C (E)	
Road	NB LTR	1.2 (1.4)	A (A)	
	SB LTR	0.1 (0.2)	A (A)	
Victoria Road and Maltby Road	WB LT	7.3 (7.2)	A (A)	
(west intersection)	NB LR	9.7 (10.5)	A (B)	
Victoria Road and Maltby Road	EB LT	7.5 (7.7)	A (A)	
(east intersection)	SB LR	10.6 (12.3)	B (B)	

 TABLE 6
 UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTION ANALYSIS RESULTS SUMMARY

Notes

1. 0.00 (0.00) – Weekday Morning Peak Hour (Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour)

Existing Unsignalized Intersections

A total of four (4) unsignalized intersections were reviewed within the unsignalized intersection analysis. Traffic operations at unsignalized intersections within the study area operate acceptably, except for the following:

Gordon Street and Maltby Road:

The existing conditions traffic analysis indicates the that eastbound and westbound STOP-control movements at the Gordon Street and Maltby Road intersection operate with longer delays and fewer gap opportunities. The unsignalized traffic analysis indicates that the eastbound movement operates with LOS D during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS C during the weekday afternoon peak hour, while the westbound movement operates with LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour. Signalization of this intersection may be considered in the longer-term given anticipated traffic growth along both streets. This intersection can be monitored, and will be considered more closely in the future traffic analysis to be completed as part of forthcoming reporting.

All other movements at unsignalized intersections within the study area are shown to operate at LOS B or better during weekday peak hours, which is acceptable.




SUMMARY OF EXISTING TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS



3.5 FUTURE TRAFFIC CONSIDERATIONS

3.5.1 General Corridor Growth

BA Group has undertaken a review of traffic patterns in the study area over the past 10 years (2008 to present) to provide an understanding of overall traffic growth trends on key street segments within the Secondary Plan area.

Traffic volumes were reviewed for the following street segments to provide an indication of prevailing trends in vehicle activity along the arterial road corridors of Gordon Street, Clair Road, and Victoria Road within this period.

- 1. Gordon Street south of Clair Road,
- 2. Gordon Street north of Maltby Road,
- 3. Clair Road east of Gordon Street,
- 4. Clair Road west of Gordon Street, and
- 5. Victoria Road south of Clair Road.

It should be noted that traffic volumes were also reviewed for segments of Maltby Road east of Gordon Street; however, the infrequency of historical data and generally small traffic volumes could not produce a reflective traffic growth rate. Traffic volumes on Maltby Road were shown to be relatively small, and variable from count to count.

Traffic corridor review observations are outlined in the following and are summarized in Appendix G.

• In the **northbound and southbound directions on Gordon Street**. Traffic volumes on the street segment south of Clair Road and on the street segment north of Maltby Road illustrate consistent traffic patterns for the entire Gordon Street segment through the Secondary Plan area. Two-way traffic volumes have decreased by in the order of -0.2% to -0.3% annually during the weekday morning peak hour, and have increased in the order of +0.4% to +0.7% during the weekday afternoon peak hour.

During the weekday morning peak hour, northbound traffic is shown to remain relatively consistent over the last 10-year period, while southbound traffic is shown to have declined slightly (less than 0.8% annually) over the same period.

During the weekday afternoon peak hour, northbound traffic is shown to have increased by +0.5% to +0.6% per annum over the last 10-year period, while southbound traffic is shown to have increased between +0.3% to 0.8% per annum over the same period.

• In the **eastbound and westbound directions on Clair Road**. Over the previous 10-year period, twoway traffic volumes on Clair Road are shown to have increased in the order of +3% to +4% annually during both peak hour periods west of Gordon Street, and in the order of +4% to +5% annually during both peak hour periods east of Gordon Street. Generally, traffic volumes have increased at a greater rate during the weekday afternoon peak hour when compared to the weekday morning peak hour.



- In the **northbound and southbound directions on Victoria Road**. It is important to note that the rate of traffic growth on Victoria Road (percentage change) is somewhat misleading for the following reasons:
 - Victoria Road traffic volumes are relatively low, and despite higher rates of vehicle growth, the absolute volume of new traffic is less than those observed on Clair Road.
 - Historical traffic volume data indicates that most of the increase in traffic volumes on Victoria Road occurred between 2013 and 2014, and that traffic volumes after 2014 are shown to be more consistent.

Understanding this, two-way traffic volumes on Victoria Road south of Clair Road are shown to have increased by +16% to +18% annually during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

The general corridor growth rates adopted for the purpose of this study are summarized in Table 7.

TABLE 7 CORRIDOR TRAFFIC GROWTH SUMMARY

Street	Direction	Observed Growth Rate ¹
Gordon Street Two-way	Northbound / Southbound	-0.2% to -0.3% (+0.4% to +0.7%)
Clair Road Two-way	Eastbound / Westbound	+3.6% +4.0% (+3.7% to +4.7%)
Victoria Street Two-way	Northbound / Southbound	+16% (+18%)

Notes:

1. 00% (00%) – Morning peak hour (Afternoon peak hour).

3.5.2 Site Specific Background Developments

As part of the Mobility Study Phase 2, future traffic operations will be forecast and assessed, understanding general traffic growth trends, traffic related to Secondary Plan development, and other area background developments – which are summarized in Table 8.

Area background developments also provide an understanding of current changes within the vicinity of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area, and the existing development context that will be considered as part of future planning for the subject lands.

Future traffic modelling exercises were detailed in Section 2.3 of this report, and will be undertaken as part of Phase 2 of the Mobility Study.



TABLE 8	AREA DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS
---------	--------------------------------------

Development	Residential Units	Non- Residential GFA	Two-Way Site Traffic ¹ AM (PM) [SAT]	Transportation Study / Analysis
1888 Gordon Street (Tricar Developments Inc.)	460 Apartment Units	6,350 sq. ft. non- residential GFA	297 (329)	<u>1888 Gordon Street Traffic</u> <u>Impact Study</u> , September 22, 2017, Stantec.
Neumann Subdivision (Coldwell Banker Neumann REB Ltd.)	Stacked townhouses and apartments (permitted use). Number of units unspecified.	3.22 ha Corporate Business Park <u>0.98 ha</u> <u>Commercial</u> 4.2 ha	205 (203)	<u>Neumann Subdivision Guelph,</u> <u>ON Transportation Impact</u> <u>Study</u> , October 2014, Paradigm Transportation Solutions Ltd.
Bird Subdivision (Thomasfield Homes Ltd.)	21 Single Family Units 36 Townhouse Units <u>249 Apartment</u> <u>Units</u> 306 Total Units	0.04 ha Future Development	107 (137)	<u>Bird Residential Subdivision</u> <u>Traffic Impact Study</u> , October 2010, Paradigm Transportation Solutions Ltd.
Northeast Corner of Gordon Street / Clair Road (Loblaw Properties Ltd.; Choice Properties Real Estate Investment Trust)	-	4,635 sq. m. Additional Retail	(376) [556]	<u>1750 Gordon Street, Farley</u> <u>Drive and Goodwin Drive / Site</u> <u>Access Review</u> October 26, 2015, LEA Consulting Ltd.
Southwest Corner of Gordon Street / Clair Road (Fieldgate)	-	7,408 sq. m. Retail	515 ²	<u>Gordon Street and Clair Road</u> October 2015, LEA Consulting Ltd.
Southgate Business Park (Industrial Equities)	-	27,870 sq. m. Manufacturing 122,632 sq. m. Warehouse	476 (450)	<u>Southgate Business Park</u> <u>Transportation Impact Study</u> June 2012, IBI Group
Hanlon Creek Business Park				
Dallan Residential Subdivision 161, 205 & 253 Clair Road East	409 residential units (Mix of densities)			1888 Gordon TIS assumed 105 units. ±400 units were previously proposed. Unclear what's currently being built
South End Centre	-	13,935 sq.m. (150,000 sq.ft.) Recreation Centre	308 (411)	No TIS. Traffic referenced from 1888 Gordon TIS.
Westminster Woods Victoria Road South & Clair Road East	101 residential apartment units	745 sq. m. Commercial	70 (149)	<u>Kingsbury C Westminister</u> <u>Woods</u> <u>Traffic Impact Study.</u> March 2015, Stantec.

Notes:

Two-Way Site Traffic based on individual TIS reports. 515 total PM trips, 340 net new PM trips

1. 2.



4.0 POLICY AND REGULATORY PLANNING FRAMEWORK

The Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan transportation elements are guided by the policies and plans set out in the policies outlined below:

4.1 THE PROVINCIAL POLICY STATEMENT (PPS)

The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) came into effect on March 31, 2005. The PPS provides policy direction on land use planning, development and transportation matters. All planning decisions must be consistent with the PPS. The PPS is based on the principles of "maintaining strong communities, a clean and healthy environment and a strong economy" (Part IV Vision).

The PPS indicates that different modes and transportation systems are to be connected, including across jurisdictional boundaries. It encourages density and mix of uses to support the planning and development of alternative transportation modes and limit the length and need of vehicle trips. It states that public streets should meet the needs of pedestrians and facilitate non-motorized movements.

In addition, the PPS promotes planning decisions including intensification, redevelopment, accounting for existing building stock, promoting various types of housings, making efficient use of existing infrastructure, etc...

4.2 PLACES TO GROW

"**Places to Grow**" - the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe was initially prepared by the provincial government in 2006 and should be read in conjunction with the PPS. All decisions made by municipalities with respect to planning matters must conform to the Growth Plan.

The Places to Grow Growth Plan has been recently updated following a two-year consultation period. The Government of Ontario has released the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2017. Effective July 1, 2017, this plan will replace the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2006 that initially took effect on June 16, 2006. The plan works to support the achievement of complete communities, curb sprawl, protect the natural environment, support economic development, and ensure that land to accommodate forecasted population and employment growth will be available when needed, now and in the future.

The Growth Plan provides a vision and a framework for managing growth. It requires all municipalities to implement policies to achieve intensification and higher-densities to make efficient use of land and infrastructure and support transit viability, and directs growth to *urban growth centres* and *transit corridors and stations areas*. The plan also calls for the consideration of climate change in planning for future growth that supports moving towards low-carbon communities and approaches to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

In these areas, the Growth Plan demands increased residential and employment densities to support existing and planned transit services, a mix of land uses, and designed access for various transportation modes to the transit facility including pedestrian and cycling infrastructure.

The Growth Plan requires land use planning to be coordinated with transportation planning and investment. The Plan states that transportation investments and the wider transportation system:



- 1. provide connectivity among transportation modes for moving people and for moving goods;
- 2. offer a balance of transportation choices that reduces reliance upon the automobile and promotes transit and *active transportation;*
- be sustainable and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by encouraging the most financially and environmentally appropriate mode for trip-making and supporting the use of zero- and low-emission vehicles;
- 4. offer *multimodal* access to jobs, housing, schools, cultural and recreational opportunities, and goods and services;
- 5. accommodate agricultural vehicles and equipment, as appropriate; and
- 6. provide for the safety of system users.

The Growth Plan indicates that the design of new facilities and redesign of existing streets will adopt a complete-streets approach that will ensure the needs of all street users are accommodated; however, public transit will be the first priority for transportation infrastructure planning and major transportation investments. Supported by the implementation of complete street policies, municipalities will ensure that active transportation networks are comprehensive and integrated into transportation planning.

The Growth Plan also speaks to accommodating goods movement, through linking international gateways and employment areas by appropriate transportation facilities / infrastructure, and that municipalities establish priority routes for goods movement.

4.3 CITY OF GUELPH OFFICIAL PLAN

The City of Guelph Official Plan is currently undergoing a statutory five year review. The Plan was established in 2001. The current Plan is a consolidation of the Official Plan policies in effect as of December 2014.

4.3.1 Official Plan Amendment 48

The City of Guelph Official Plan Amendment 48 was approved by City Council in June 2012, as the third and final phase in updating the City's Official Plan to ensure that its goals, objectives and policies conform and are consistent with provincial plans, polices and legislation.

Transportation policies and objectives outlined in Amendment 48 are generally consistent with the initial Official Plan policies, and are described as part of the Current Official Plan in the following.

The City of Guelph Official Plan follows the policies laid out in the PPS and Growth Plan, and establishes a strategic vision, policies, actions and framework to support a healthy natural ecosystem, community services and facilities, education and employment opportunities, infrastructure that is supportive of alternative forms of transportation, community safety, and vibrant neighbourhoods and downtown.



Emphasis in the City of Guelph Official Plan is on maintaining quality of life, safety and stability of the community, and accommodating compact future development that avoids sprawl and is supported by existing infrastructure and services that can be supported by the efficient use of public expenditures. These objectives include developing a *safe, efficient and convenient transportation system that provides for all modes of travel and supports the land use patterns of the City*.

The Official Plan identifies (in Figure 5) the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area as predominately a "greenfield area", while the Clair Road / Gordon Street junction is identified as a "community mixed-use node" (OP Schedule 1B). These areas are further noted as "reserve", "industrial" and "commercial" lands in OP Amendment 48 Schedule 2 (Figure 6).

In regards to development in new "greenfield" areas, the Official Pan directs new development to provide for a diverse mix of land uses at transit supportive densities (50 residents / jobs per hectare) that supports a multimodal transportation network and efficient public transit that links to the City's Urban Growth Centre and surrounding communities. Transit, along with walking and cycling, are to be supported by new development for everyday travel. The identified community mixed-use node at Clair Road / Gordon Street, is an area identified for higher density and mixed-use development that serve the wider community. The node is intended to be well served by transit and facilitate pedestrian and cycling travel.







SCHEDULE 2 CITY OF GUELPH OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT 48 - LAND USE PLAN



Transportation policies are established within the Official Plan, which plans and manages the City's transportation system to accommodate the following:

- a) provide connectivity among transportation modes for moving people and goods;
- b) offer a balance of transportation choices that reduces reliance upon any single mode and promotes transit, cycling and walking;
- c) be sustainable, by encouraging the most financially and environmentally appropriate mode for trip-making;
- d) offer *multi-modal* access to jobs, housing, schools, cultural and recreational opportunities, and goods and services;
- e) provide for the safety of system users; and
- f) ensure coordination between transportation system planning, land use planning, and transportation investment.

In planning for new - or reconfiguring existing - transportation infrastructure, the Official Plan dictates that proponents consider separation of travel modes within transportation corridors, use transit infrastructure to shape growth, place priority on increasing the capacity of existing transit systems, expand transit services to areas that are planned to achieved transit supportive densities, facilitate improved linages to / from Downtown Guelph and other intensification areas, and increase mode share of transit. In all cases, and consistent with provincial directives, public transit will be the first priority for transportation infrastructure planning.

In addition to prioritizing transit, the City is directed to develop transportation demand management (TDM) policies, and pedestrian and cycling networks to be utilized by planned new development.

4.3.2 City of Guelph Official Plan – Section 8: Transportation

This section of the Official Plan generally defines the transportation policy for the City. The planning and design of the City Transportation system should meet the following objectives:

- a) To derive a transportation system, involving all forms of transport modes, to move people and goods in an environmentally efficient and effective manner.
- b) To ensure that the transportation system is financially feasible and has received an acceptable level of public approval.
- c) To implement programs to facilitate and encourage greater and safer use of the bicycle as a mode of transport.
- d) To support measures to improve the pedestrian environment and system.
- e) To encourage the use and expansion of the public transit system to all parts of the City.



- f) To work towards achieving a transit "*modal split*" of at least 10 per cent of the average daily City trips which represents more than a doubling of the existing transit ridership in the community.
- g) To develop an appropriate hierarchy of roads to ensure the desired movement of residential, commercial, industrial and institutional traffic within and through the City.
- h) To outline a proposed road network that will be subject to environmental review processes, either through the City's *development* planning approval process and/or through the Environmental Assessment Act.
- i) To work in co-operation with the Provincial Ministry of Transportation and other local governments, to create a road network that can accommodate current and anticipated traffic movement volumes.
- j) To work towards minimizing road/rail conflicts by relocating minor or underutilized railway lines and removal of at-grade railroad crossings where feasible.
- k) To encourage the maintenance of adequate passenger and freight rail services.
- I) To ensure that adequate parking facilities are provided throughout the City.
- m) To develop a transportation system that minimizes impact on the environment and aesthetic character of the City.

Furthermore, the Official Plan establishes plans and objects related to pedestrian and bicycle movement (bicycle network plan – Schedule 9C), public transport, roads, new / reconfigured road design, transportation and related urban environment, railways, and parking.

Key Pedestrian and Bicycle Policies

The City, through policies and standards, will support the creation of programs and facilities that will encourage walk and greater use of bicycles, through the integration of safe and convenient bike and pedestrian components into the design of new streets including shade trees, street furniture, lighting, street crossing and other traffic control. Additionally, all new development will provide for bicycle / pedestrian linages and street sidewalks, and adequate bicycle parking facilities at major employment / shopping nodes and transportation terminals.

The City, through policies established in the Official Plan, developed a Bicycle Network Plan that directed expansion of bicycle facilities into new development areas including the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area. . This network plan was updated as part of OPA 48 – Schedule 7 – comprising the City Trail Network Plan, which is illustrated in Figure 7, and has been subsequently updated as the City of Guelph Active Transportation Network, June 2015 (Figure 8).





SCHEDULE 7 CITY OF GUELPH OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT 48 - TRAIL NETWORK PLAN





ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK



Key Transit Policies

Important in maintaining and expanding transit services in the City of Guelph, the Official Plan cites developing a compact urban form with a mix of land uses, ensuring the creation of a street network that permits the location of transit stops within a reasonable walking distance of a significant majority of residents, jobs and other activities, and staging urban expansion to include the provision of transit service.

Within new development, transit facilities should be detailed in land use / development plans, and bus stops should be provided at regular intervals.

Roads and Road Design

The City of Guelph Official Plan recognizes that private automobiles will continue to represent the primary mode in meeting the travel need of residents and businesses in the City, and lays out a hierarchy of public street facilities and their intended purposes / permissions: expressways, arterials, collects and locals.

The main elements of the road network are identified in Schedule 7 of OP Amendment 48, which is included in Figure 9.

In regards to new public streets and street design, the Official Plan promotes the creation of an arterial – collector grid system in new development areas to assist in the dispersion of traffic and to provide a reasonable walking distance to transit services. A series of public street widenings and "Ultimate Widths" are also identified in the Official Plan (Tables 8.1 and 8.2).

Key street widenings as they related to the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area include:

- Clair Road 30 metre "ultimate width" (5 metre widening on both sides)
- Gordon Street 30 metre "ultimate width" between Clair Road and Maltby Road (5 metre widening on both sides)
- Maltby Road 30 metre "ultimate width" (5 metre widening on both sides)
- Victoria Road 36 metre "ultimate width" between Stone Road and South City Limit (8 metre widening on both sides)
- Clair Road and Laird Road (potential widening to accommodate intersections improvements)
- Clair Road and Crawley Road (potential widening to accommodate intersections improvements)
- Gordon Street and Maltby Road (potential widening to accommodate intersections improvements)
- Maltby Road and Crawley Road (potential widening to accommodate intersections improvements)
- Victoria Road and Clair Road (potential widening to accommodate intersections improvements)
- Victoria Road and Maltby Road (potential widening to accommodate intersections improvements)



CITY OF GUELPH OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT 48 - ROAD AND RAIL NETWORK



Urban Environment

The City of Guelph Official Plan establishes policies as they relate to the impact of transportation facilities on urban neighbourhoods and design. These policies include minimizing the impact of trucks upon residential areas, maintain and enhance the streetscape (tree planting), minimize land use conflicts between major transportation routes and residential areas, and noise and vibration mitigation.

<u>Railways</u>

The City recognizes the importance of rail facilities to support freight service and passenger rail service, and to minimize road / rail conflicts through a program of grade-separated under / over passes.

Parking

The City of Guelph, through the application of the City Zoning By-law, establishes parking requirements for all types of land uses to ensure parking demands are met off-street. However, the City may, where the property owner enters into an agreement with the City to ensure continued availability of an off-street parking area, permit the provision of requirement parking spaces on another site that is within convenient and reasonable walking distance.

4.4 SOUTH GUELPH SECONDARY PLAN

The purpose of the South Guelph Secondary Plan is to introduce new planning policies for southern areas that were annexed by the City of Guelph, to establish planning direction for the guidance of City Council and Staff, and to provide information for the public, landowners, development and other stakeholders.

The South Guelph plan was complete in 1998 and comprised a new section to the City of Guelph Official Plan that contains Secondary Plan policies that introduce goals, objectives and policies for lands in the South Guelph area including transportation policies. The South Guelph Secondary Plan comprises the areas generally south of Stone Road, north of Maltby Road, west of Victoria Road, and east of Downey Road / Forestell Road.

The plan identifies the "Gateway" character of the South Guelph area, and identifies Gordon Street and the Hanlon Expressway corridors as key locations to express this character. The plan specifies that development along the Gordon Street corridor should provide detailed planting and landscaping plans, and accommodate setbacks and built form such that new building are located behind the parkway belt of required landscaping and planting. Design controls on entrances off Gordon Street and on parking and loading within the Gordon Street corridor should be developed.

For the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area, the South Guelph plan specifies that a system of arterial and collector roads be planned to serve the study area. This road network is enhanced through the road widenings protected for under the City of Guelph OPA 48 document and previously described.



4.5 SOUTH GORDON SECONDARY PLAN

The South Gordon Secondary Plan does not include the lands defined within this study, but rather the lands immediately north of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area (north of Clair Road). However, this 1999 document may provide some policy direction for the development of the subject lands. Consistent with the South Guelph Secondary Plan, the South Gordon Secondary Plan identifies Gordon Street as a "Gateway" corridor into the City, and describes treating Gordon Street with appropriate landscaping,

From a transportation perspective the South Gordon Secondary Plan specifies that neighbourhoods should be connected to each other and to the rest of the city by roads, pedestrian paths, bicycle linkages, and transit routes to create a more accessible, convenient, safe and energy efficient environment. This objective includes measures to promote pedestrian safety and comfort (providing clearly defined public realm and reducing walking distances between origins and destinations) and the introduction of walking and bicycle paths that are visible, accessible, and aligned along routinely used public spaces. New trails are encouraged to be provided within trail corridors up to 15 metres in width. Bicycle lanes, routes and trails are intended to provide for utilitarian and recreational travel within the community and along the arterial road network.

The South Gordon Secondary Plan specifies that internal road networks should be designed to evenly distribute traffic throughout the neighbourhood along collector roads while discouraging through-traffic on local streets. Collector roads should also be deigned to accommodate public transit bus routing – that would be routed to provide transit stops within 400 metres of 90% of residents. Roadways should also include special control measures to reduce vehicle speeds in appropriate locations, including locations that accommodate wildlife crossings.

Of note, the plan specifies that new development in the area provide for both on-street and off-street parking adjacent to parks with active recreational facilities, and to make use of shared parking arrangements between school sites and neighbouring parks.

4.6 GUELPH – WELLINGTON TRANSPORTATION STUDY (TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN)

The Guelph – Wellington Transportation Study was undertaken by a consortium of planning and engineering consultants on behalf of the City of Guelph and finalized in July 2005, in an effort to address long-term transportation needs and improvements in accordance with the Official Plan policies and City's Transportation Strategy and SmartGuelph Principles. The study has 5 main objectives:

- 1. Identify transportation needs and recommend practical improvements;
- 2. Recommend Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures;
- 3. Identify improvements to City and County roadways;
- 4. Review Provincial highway initiatives affecting Guelph and Wellington County; and
- 5. Review inter-regional travel between Guelph, the Region of Waterloo, and the GTA and identify opportunities for transit initiatives to serve this need.



The Master Plan provides direction on the City's existing and planned cycling network, truck route network (Figure 10), and transit node and corridor framework which is intended to support transit routes and the potential removal of reduced / removed parking standards. These planned networks include components related to existing road facilities in the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area.

The Guelph – Wellington Transportation Study also reviews existing transportation behavior and forecasts future travel demands based on existing travel and demographic trends. The study concludes that travel demands are 2 to 3 times higher during weekday peak periods than typical weekday midday periods and that 83% of trips within the study area are undertaken in a private automobile, and since the mid-1990s - travel demands have generally increased and average persons per vehicle have reduced. It is also important to note that a significant and increase amount of work travel is occurring between the Waterloo Region and Guelph areas.

Given the aforementioned trends, there is anticipated to be considerable road network deficiencies and traffic congestion in the long term, assuming no new infrastructure improvements, particularly in the South Guelph area. To accommodate increased traffic demand in the South Guelph area, the study identifies a number of improvements, including:

- Widening of Gordon Street from 2 to 4 lanes (approved 2001 EA) from Kortright Road to Wellington Road 34;
- Widening of Clair Road from 2 to 4 lanes (approved 2003 EA) COMPLETE
- Southerly extension of Southgate Drive to Maltby Road; and
- Development of an internal collector road system within the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area connecting to Gordon Street and Maltby Road.

Of note, the forecasting model does not indicate the need to widen Victoria Road south of Clair Road, or widen Maltby Road between Victoria Road and the Hanlon Express to be widened; however, both roads require upgrading.

The study also identifies TDM strategies, w hich partly accommodates forecast future travel demands through reductions in vehicular travel demands ("lowering the tide"). These TDM measures include supportive land use and urban design practices (as outlined in the OP), ridesharing, cycling and walking, alternative measures for reducing auto use (parking prices / supply management, telecommuting, alternative work schedules, congestion pricing), and TDM programs (alternative strategies, education, etc...).





GUELPH-WELLINGTON TRANSPORTATION STUDY TRUCK ROUTE NETWORK



5.0 AREA ROAD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS

5.1 GORDON STREET (WELLINGTON ROAD 46) CLASS EA ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY REPORT

The Gordon Street Class EA was undertaken by the City of Guelph and County of Wellington in December 2000 for the section of Gordon Street between Wellington Road 34 in the south and Lansdown Drive in the north.

The EA study utilizes three other previous transportation reports to judge the transportation impacts of new residential and commercial development along the Gordon Street corridor, and reconfirms the need for traffic capacity within this section of the street. In addition to traffic capacity and operation issues, the EA also identified other public concerns related to truck traffic volumes and roadway deficiencies, including a lack of sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and transit-related infrastructure.

At the time of the study, Gordon Street had a basic two-lane cross-section within the study area. The resulting EA concluded that Alternative 4 (basic improvements plus the widening of Gordon Street) was the preferred solution, and that widening of Gordon Street north of Clair Road would begin by 2002, while widening between Clair Road and Maltby Road would be dependent on the occurrence of development activity.

Upon the adoption of the Gordon Street EA, road widening has been undertaken from just south of Clair Road to Lansdowne Drive. Gordon Street has not been widened from just south of Poppy Drive to Wellington Road 34 under existing conditions. This section is planned to be widened symmetrically from the road centreline except for a 500 metre section in the vicinity of the Mill Creek crossing where widening will occur on the west side only. The EA specified that rural drainage (ditches) be provided on both sides of the road, but did not specify sidewalk / bicycle lane provisions.

5.2 CLAIR ROAD CLASS EA ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY REPORT

The Clair Road Class EA was undertaken on behalf of the City of Guelph in September 2003 for the section of Clair Road and Laird Road between Southgate Drive in the west and Victoria Road in the east.

The EA concluded that Clair Road (at the time of study) will not provide the level of service necessary to avoid traffic congestion, frequent delays, and unsafe driving conditions, given the predicated traffic volumes, and that the road itself is in poor physical condition and lacks sidewalk and bicycle facilities to accommodate these travel modes. Given the prevailing conditions, the EA advanced four alternative planning solutions:

- 1. Do nothing.
- 2. Non-structural solutions (increase use of alternative modes; traffic diversion).
- 3. Construct a new road.
- 4. Improve the existing road.

In summary, from transportation, natural, social and physical environment perspective, the preferred alternative was the improvement of Clair Road from Victoria Road in the east to the Hanlon Business Park in the west. Improvements include the introduction of an "urban" cross-section with curbs and sidewalks, a



landscaped median in the South Guelph District and adjacent to Bishop Macdonell High School and South End Community Park, provision of sidewalks on both sides of the street, and bicycle lanes within the road surface area.

The EA considered 2 and 4 traffic lane cross-sections, and determined that the western portion of the street (west of Beaver Meadow Drive) would include 4 travel lanes, while the eastern section (east of Beaver Meadow Drive) would include 2 travel lanes – one in either direction. This lane configuration has been implemented from Victoria Road in the east to approximately 200 metres west of Poppy Drive in the west. Bicycle lanes have also been introduced along this section of the street. Sidewalks are provided on both sides of the street west of Hawkins Drive, but are often interrupted (discontinuous) in sections east of this point.

5.3 VICTORIA ROAD (CLAIR ROAD TO YORK ROAD) CLASS EA STUDY

The Victoria Road Class EA was undertaken on behalf of the City of Guelph in December 2005 for the section of Victoria Road between York Road in the north and Clair Road in the south. The extent of the study area is generally north of Clair Road and does not include the section of Victoria Road adjacent to the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area (south of Clair Road).

The outcomes of the EA provided cross-section alignments of the street within the study area, including for Victoria Road immediately north of Clair Road. In this location, the EA identified a 3-lane cross-section with one travel lane in either direction and a continuous left-turn / median lane, bicycle lanes, and improvements at the Clair Road / Victoria Road intersection. These intersection improvements include installing traffic signal control and separate eastbound turn lanes and a northbound left-turn lane that have already been implemented.



6.0 ENGINEERING DESIGN CRITERIA AND STANDARDS

6.1 DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING MANUAL, VERSION 1.0 (2016)

City of Guelph Engineering and Capital Infrastructure Services prepared their Development Engineering Manual (DEM, Fall 2016) to guide engineering related aspects of development related work, including established Engineering Design Criteria and Standards intended to be used by developers, residents and the City to inform engineering design and related review and discussion. The DEM recognizes that the outlined standards may not be compatible to all scenarios, and engineering judgement should be used in such cases.

The key objectives of the DEM are to:

- Document existing process information related to the engineering submission of a development application;
- Outline requirements and standards for the engineering design of new developments within the City;
- Provide guidance and framework for applicants submitting engineering designs and reports in support of development applications;
- Provide guidance to City staff when reviewing and commenting on engineering aspects of a development application; and
- Identify the role and involvement of City departments and external agencies as part of the development engineering review and approval process.

The DEM is complemented by Part B Specs (Linear Infrastructure Standards, 2017) that provides, in detail the City's standard specifications.

6.1.1 Road Standards

The DEM, outlines a range of pavement widths, typical AADT volumes, right-of-way widths, and maximum allowable grades for local and collector roadways. Subdivision Geometric Design Criteria for local and collector roadways are presented in Table 9.

TABLE 9 SUBDIVISION GEOMETRIC DESIGN CRITERIA, PART 1

Road Classification	AADT	Pavement Width (m)	Right-of-Way Width (m)
Local	<1,000	8.4, 8.8, 10	17, 18, 20
Collector	<12,000	10	20

Notes:

1. Detailed notes provided in DEM Table 2, page 46.



Road Classification	Allowable Grade	Minimum Centreline Radius (m)	Minimum SSD	Minimum Tangent @ Intersection	Minimum Tangent Between Curves	Property Line Radius @ Intersection
Local	<1,000	8.4, 8.8, 10	17, 18, 20	10	15	8
Collector	<12,000	10	20	25	30	8

TABLE 10 SUBDIVISION GEOMETRIC DESIGN CRITERIA, PART 2

Notes:

1. Detailed notes provided in DEM Table 2, page 46.

6.1.2 Sight Triangles

The use of Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Stopping Sight Distance (3-second rule) for evaluation of sight triangles at intersections and access points for new developments is adopted by the City of Guelph. The DEM notes that reduction of a sight triangle may be considered for areas located in an "Urban Growth Centre" and the specific locations identified in the Clair Maltby study area below. Reductions to sight triangles still need to be reviewed by a professional engineer for the recommended design and should not create a condition prone to collisions. Adequate space should also continue to be provided for utility/traffic signal equipment and the final dimensions are also subject to minimum requirements set out in the City's bylaw.

Intersections subject to further consideration for sight triangle in the Secondary Plan area include:

- Victoria Road and Clair Road
- Gordon Street and Clair Road
- Gordon and Poppy Drive

6.1.3 Parking

Off-street parking is outlined in the City's comprehensive bylaw and repeated in the DEM for surface parking.

According to the DEM, on-street parallel parking should have a minimum of 15 m setback from the near side of an intersection, and a minimum of 9 m setback from the far side of the intersection (measured from the end of curb return), unless the minimum setback needs to be increased to address sight distance or operating speed.

6.1.4 Access Design

The DEM outlines design guidelines for throat width, lane width, radius, and spacing for access to/from residential/commercial/institutional areas and the public road network as summarized in Table 11 and Table 12.



Access Classification	Roadway Classification	Throat Width, W or Land Width, LW (m)	Radius, R (m)	Distance Between Accesses, S (m)
	Local/Collector	6.0		7
Multi-Residential	Arterial	7.5	6.0	25
Low Volume	Local/Collector	7.5		23-30
Commercial and Institutional	Arterial	8.0	9.0	60
	Collector	8.0	12.0	60
High Volume	Collector (divided access)	3.0 m left 3.6 m through 3.6 m right 1.2 m island	12.0	60
Commercial and	Commercial and Arterial	9.0	12.0	100
	Arterial (divided access)	3.0 m left 3.6 m through 3.6 m right 1.2 m island	12.0	100
Industrial	Collector Arterial	9.0 (max 15.0)	12.0	40-60

TABLE 11 LAYOUT OF ACCESSES

TABLE 12 NUMBER AND LOCATION OF ACCESSES

Access Classification	Roadway Classification	Distance from Non- Signalized Intersection (m)	Distance from Signalized Intersection (m)
	Local / Collector	15	30 ¹
Multi-Residential	Arterial	30	60 ²
Low Volume Commercial and	Local / Collector	30	30
Institutional (2-way access)	Arterial	60	60 ³
High Volume Commercial and Institutional	gh Volume Commercial nd Institutional Collector / Arterial		60 ³
Industrial	Collector / Arterial	30	60 ³

Notes:

Multi-Residential of up to 30 units 1.

2. Multi-Residential of over 30 units

Full movement accesses will not be allowed within 100 m of a signalized intersection on arterial roadways. Site specific turning 3. movement restrictions will be determined by City staff upon application. Should a site require a right in/out access, the layout shall be approved by traffic engineering staff and conform to the most

4. current TAC specifications.

The City's Access Details Figures from the DEM are attached in Appendix D.





7.0 EXISTING TRANSIT FRAMEWORK

7.1 TRANSIT GROWTH STRATEGY AND PLAN

The "Guelph Transit Growth Strategy and Plan and Mobility Service Review" was prepared in 2010, and was prepared to assess the transit market, estimate future travel demand (ridership forecasts), outline mobility service and higher-order transit opportunities, and detail associated capital and revenue implications associated with service recommendations. It should be noted that the plan is now seven years old and, at the time of the study, did not forecast any substantial development within the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area within the 2031 horizon year period.

Of the report's key recommendations, that implicates development of the South Guelph area, include:

- Establish the Gordon / Norfolk / Woolwich spine as a Bus Rapid Transit priority corridor, starting with the implementation of queue jump lanes, traffic signal priority. and express bus services, and additional infrastructure as demand increases (dedicated bus / HOV lanes). Specifically, the report recommends that as transit demand increases, a dedicated transit / HOV lane be provided in each direction of Gordon Street, firstly between Stone Road and Clair Road, and eventually on Gordon Street south of Clair Road. Transit service improvements along the Gordon Street corridor should include improved passenger amenities at transit stops.
- 2. Introduction of train service on the Guelph Junction Railway, including the introduction of up to 4 stations including a station servicing the Guelph Innovation District (northeast of the Clair-Maltby area) and the downtown.
- 3. Establish new inter-city / inter-regional bus and rail transit connections, most notably to Kitchener, Waterloo, Cambridge, and potentially, Georgetown, Brampton, Milton, Mississauga, and Hamilton.
- 4. Work with property owners to establish a 4 to 6 bay bus terminal within the South End Node (Gordon Street and Clair Road).

Recommendations 1 and 2 above establish a transit structure for the City by connecting key existing and emerging nodes via priority corridors.

7.2 MOVING GUELPH FORWARD: GUELPH TRANSIT GROWTH OPPORTUNITIES

This report identifies immediate and recommended route service changes while highlighting potential longterm areas of growth related to service enhancements and infrastructure. The report was released in 2016 and outlines existing trends and service standards, and potential opportunities to make transit more attractive and increase ridership.

The report includes a summary of rider survey data, which indicates among other items, that transit riders are evenly satisfied / dissatisfied with service frequency and on-time arrival, and generally dissatisfied with local service connections to GO (regional service) facilities.

Moving Guelph Forward also describs recommended service changes and future measures that are intended to increase ridership and achieve a 15% transit mode share – consistent with policy objectives of OPA 48 and the Guelph – Wellington Transportation Study. Recommended service changes, in the vicinity of the Clair-Maltby Secondary Plan area include minor alterations to the #5 Clair and #56 Victoria Express bus routes, which will potentially be altered again given the development of the Clair-Maltby precinct. Transit priority measures, to be potentially integrated within the Maltby Secondary Plan area to increase ridership, include:

- Queue jump lanes,
- Reversible lanes,
- Roundabouts,
- Transit signal priority, and
- Reserved bus lanes.



8.0 EXISTING CYCLING AND TRAILS FRAMEWORK

8.1 GUELPH TRAILS MASTER PLAN (2005)

The Guelph Trail Master Plan (GTMP, Fall 2005) was established to provide an overall vision to the developing trail system.

The Goal of the GTMP is to:

"develop a cohesive city wide trail system that will connect people and places through a network that is offroad wherever possible and supported by on-road links where necessary"

The GTMP outlines the following areas of recommendations:

- Establishing the Need for Trails;
- Understanding the Resources;
- Planning for Trails;
- Building Trails; and,
- Supporting Trails.

The GTMP outlines a hierarchy of trail types: Primary, Secondary, Tertiary, and Water Routes for canoeists and kayakers.

8.1.1 The GTMP Trail Network

The GTMP Trail Network, outlining the hierarchy of trail routes including desire lines for the Clair Maltby study area is presented in Figure 11.

The GTMP Trail Network identifies conceptual connections through the Clair Maltby study area that are generally consistent with the Open Space Corridors outlined in the Citys Official Plan. There are two northsouth Primary conceptual connections through the Clair Maltby study area and one east-west Primary conceptual connection crossing Gordon Street midblock between Clair Road and Maltby Road. The northsouth connections provide an opportunity to connect to the primary trail network north of Clair Road and also to connect with potential Trail Gateways at the Maltby Road City Boundary. Conceptual secondary connections are shown at regular intervals south of Clair Road.

8.1.2 The GTMP Trail Network – On and Off-Road

The GTMP Trail Network, outlining the On and Off-Road Breakdown of trails, is presented in Figure 12. The primary trails identified in the Clair Maltby study area are largely intended to be off-road routes, with some local connections secondary connections intended to be on and off-road and located at regular intervals.





CITY WIDE TRAIL MASTER PLAN: TRAIL NETWORK



Clair Maltby Secondary Plan 5976-06 December 2017

Figure 11



CITY WIDE TRAIL MASTER PLAN: TRAIL NETWORK (ON AND OFF-ROAD BREAKDOWN)



8.1.3 The GTMP Trail Network – On-Road Cycling Linkages

The GTMP Trail Network, outlining the potential On -Road Cycling Linkages, is presented in Figure 13. The arterial roadways in the Clair Maltby study area, including Clair Road, Maltby Road, Gordon Street, and Victoria Road are all identified as On-Road Bicycle Network linkages. A potential connection south of the City is also identified on this figure at Maltby Road / Victoria Road.

8.1.4 The GTMP Trail Network – Timing of Priorities

The GTMP Trail Network recommends three timeline phases:

- Short Term (0 to 5 years 2005-2010)
- Medium Term (5 to 15 years 2011 to 2021)
- Long Term (beyond year 15 beyond 2021)

The trail network proposed for the Clair Maltby study area is identified as a "Medium Term" priority, as illustrated in Figure 14.

8.1.5 Building and Supporting Trails

The GTMP outlines available resources for design guidelines and construction details applicable to the trail network. Recommendations are also made for promoting, encouraging trail use, educating users, maintaining, managing, and monitoring trails.



CITY WIDE TRAIL MASTER PLAN: POTENTIAL ON-ROAD CYCLING LINKAGES







8.2 CYCLING MASTER PLAN – BICYCLE FRIENDLY GUELPH (2012)

The City's Cycling Master Plan (February 2012), is directed by the City's Office Plan, and provides recommendations and strategies that aim to operationalize the visions of the Bicycle-Friendly Guelph Initiative formed by the City.

The City's vision for becoming Canada's most bicycle-friendly communities includes 1) more people cycling, 2) a safer and more connected network, 3) strong culture of cycling, and 4) measured improvements.

The Cycling Master Plan developed the following seven principles:

- 1. Cycling and safety are not mutually exclusive.
- 2. Cycling is an essential transportation mode for Guelph.
- 3. Every street is a cycling street and bicycles are vehicles.
- 4. Bicycles are unlike other vehicles that share the road.
- 5. Cycling is for everyone to enjoy.
- 6. A successful cycling network is a product of a well-integrated transportation network.
- 7. Transportation choices create opportunities for everyone to get to their destination.

The Cycling Master Plan addresses both physical and social infrastructure needs within the context of the 5E's:

- 1. <u>Engineering</u>: Enhance the Bikeway Network
- 2. Education & 3. Encouragement: Promote a bicycle-friendly city
- 4. Enforcement: Protect a cycling-friendly environment
- 5. <u>Evaluation</u>: Monitor progress in achieving targets and goals; and

The Cycling Master Plan provides 22 actionable recommendations within the 5E's for City staff, stakeholders, and residents to achieve implementation of the City's visons.

8.2.1 Engineering Principles

The Cycling Master Plan's recommendations for Safe and Continuous Infrastructure (Engineering) outlines tools for selecting types of bikeways relative to vehicular volume, vehicular speed, and local context that influence cyclist safety and comfort levels relative to other on-street facilities and vehicles.

Bikeway Treatments

The Cycling Master Plan identifies several types of bikeway treatments for consideration by the City of Guelph:

- Signed Routes
- Bicycle Boulevards
- Shared-Use Lanes (Sharrows)
- Advisory or Suggested Lanes
- Bike Lanes and Paved Shoulders
- Multi-Use Boulevard Trails, and,
- Cycle Tracks / Physically-Separated Bike Lanes

Intersection Treatments

The plan also recommends that the design of intersections should also take into account the many possible movements of cyclists at intersections including:

- General intersection guidelines to address visibility where there is a higher presence of conflicts between cyclists, motorists, and pedestrians;
- Accommodating Left Turns at signalized and unsignalized intersections; and,
- Specific cases where two arterial roads intersect and all intersections with multi-use boulevard trails.

Cycling Network Plan

The recommended Cycling Network Plan from the Cycling Master Plan is provided in Figure 15.

This Cycling Network Plan identifies several existing and proposed surface treatments for the Clair Maltby study area. Existing and proposed cycling treatments within the study area include:

- Existing Bike Lanes / Paved Shoulder are identified along both Clair Road East and Gordon Street within the study area.
- **Proposed 1 metre Paved Shoulder** is proposed along east-west Maltby Road and along north-south Victoria Road South (between Clair Road and Maltby Road)
- Off-Road Primary Trails are proposed at two locations running east-west across Gordon Street that will make connections to the proposed north-south signed routes along Southgate Drive. North-south off-road trails are also proposed within the study area that will connect to proposed signed routes along Clairfields Drive West, existing trails north of Clair Road, as well as at two locations potentially crossing Maltby Road to the south.
- **County ATN Links** are proposed at the southeast corner of the study area at the intersection of Maltby Road East and Victoria Road South.





PROPOSED CYCLING NETWORK - 2013 GUELPH CYCLING MASTER PLAN



End-of-Trip Facilities Recommendations

The Cycling Master Plan outlines guidelines for providing end-of-trip facilities (bike parking facilities). They have identified two classes of bicycle parking as follows:

- Class One: Long-term bicycle parking
- Class Two: Short-term bicycle parking
- Additional Class: Artistic bicycle parking

The Cycling Master Plan outlines recommended Bicycles Parking Requirements for each Class of parking, by type of land use. Recommendations for General Rack Spacing and Rack Spacing within the Public Right-of-Way are also recommended as part of this section of the Cycling Master Plan.

8.2.2 Education and Encouragement

The Cycling Master Plan recommends complementing the guidelines for providing a safe cycling environment with complementary encouragement and education with a set of recommended objectives and actions.

8.2.3 Enforcement

The Cycling Master Plan recommends continued and improved actions to cycling enforcement as a means to reduce incidents and provide front-line education to both drivers and cyclists.

8.2.4 Evaluation

The Cycling Master Plan recommends actions to monitor and measure success in order to guide future planning and policy decisions.
8.3 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK STUDY (2017)

The Active Transportation Network Study (ATN Study, January 2017) builds on the Primary Trails system of the Guelph Trails Master Plan (2005) and the infrastructure (Engineering) objectives of the Cycling Master Plan (2012).

The ATN Study was prepared by MMM Group / Paradigm Transportation Solutions on behalf of the City of Guelph to assess the feasibility of upgrading and maintaining existing and proposed Primary Trails in Guelph – notably the trail network identified in the City's Draft Proposed Active Transportation Network (ATN).

The ATN's Recommended Active Transportation Network is presented in Figure 16. However, given that the ATN largely reviewed the primary trail system identified by the Trail Master Plan and Cycling Master Plan, the planned trails identified in the Clair Maltby study were outside of the scope of the ATN.





RECOMMENDED ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK



Clair Maltby Secondary Plan 5976-06 December 2017

8.4 WELLINGTON COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

The Wellington County Active Transportation Plan (ATP, September 2012) provides guidelines and strategies that aim to meet the County's goals in fostering a healthy and more sustainably community, notably including an Active Transportation Network (ATN) that connects the County's communities.

The Township of Puslinch, within Wellington County, is directly adjacent to the Clair Maltby study area.

The County of Wellington Active Transportation Plan for Puslinch is illustrated in Figure 17. A proposed paved shoulder condition is recommended along Victoria Road, connecting with the southeast corner of the Clair Maltby study area.





COUNTY OF WELLINGTON ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN: MAP EX. 7 PUSLINCH NETWORK FACILITY TYPES (ENLARGEMENTS)





Appendix A – Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) Details





2006 GTA TTS Zones



Clair-Maltby Background Mobility Study - Phase 1 5976-06 November 2017 Figure A-1

Filters: Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900 and (2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 8069-8076 or 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 8069-8076)

Table: Trip 2011

Row:	Count:	Expanded:
Transit excluding GO rail	4	104
Cycle	5	129
Auto driver	212	4740
Auto passenger	34	729
School bus	33	683
Walk	11	222
Total:	299	6608

Mode Split Summary: T	wo-way AM Trips	<u>i</u>	Check:	
Auto Driver	4740	71.7%	5222	69.7%
Auto Pass.	729	11.0%	815	10.9%
Transit	104	1.6%	104	1.4%
Walk	222	3.4%	301	4.0%
Cycle	129	2.0%	205	2.7%
Other	683	10.3%	846	11.3%
	6607		7493	

Filters: Start time of trip - start_time In 1600-1800 and (2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 8069-8076 or 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 8069-8076)

Table: Trip 2011

Row:	Count:	Expanded:
Transit excluding GO	4	93
Cycle	1	23
Auto driver	248	5435
GO rail only	3	80
Joint GO rail and loca	1	30
Auto passenger	53	1021
School bus	4	82
Total:	314	6763

Mode Split Summary	<u>y: Two-way PM Tr</u>	<u>ips</u>	Check:	
Auto Driver	5435	80.4%	6027	80.6%
Auto Pass.	1021	15.1%	1140	15.3%
Transit	203	3.0%	203	2.7%
Walk	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cycle	23	0.3%	23	0.3%
Other	82	1.2%	82	1.1%
	6764		7475	

Filters:

Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900

and

2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 8069-8076

Table: Trip 2011

Row:	Count:	Expanded:
Transit excluding C	1	21
Cycle	3	76
Auto driver	61	1307
Auto passenger	8	154
School bus	8	163
Walk	4	79
Total:	85	1801

Mode Split Summary: Inbound AM Trips

Auto Driver	1307	72.6%
Auto Pass.	154	8.6%
Transit	21	1.2%
Walk	79	4.4%
Cycle	76	4.2%
Other	163	9.1%
	1800	

Filters:

Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900

and

2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 8069-8076

Table: Trip 2011

Row:	Count:	Expanded:
Transit excluding GO rail	3	83
Cycle	5	129
Auto driver	173	3915
Auto passenger	30	661
School bus	33	683
Walk	11	222
Total:	255	5693

Mode Split Summary: Outbound AM Trips

Auto Driver	3915	68.8%
Auto Pass.	661	11.6%
Transit	83	1.5%
Walk	222	3.9%
Cycle	129	2.3%
Other	683	12.0%
	5693	

Wed Dec 13 2017 17:26:20 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time)

Frequency Distribution Query Form - Trip - 2011 Field: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters:

Start time of trip - start_time In 1600-1800 and 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 8069-8076

Table: Trip 2011

Row:	Count:	Expanded:
Transit excluding GC	4	93
Cycle	1	23
Auto driver	176	3901
GO rail only	3	80
Joint GO rail and loc	1	30
Auto passenger	29	571
School bus	4	82
Total:	218	4779

Mode Split Summary: Inbound PM Trips

Auto Driver	3901	81.6%
Auto Pass.	571	11.9%
Transit	203	4.2%
Walk	0	0.0%
Cycle	23	0.5%
Other	82	1.7%
	4780	

Filters: Start time of trip - start_time In 1600-1800 and 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 8069-8076

Table: Trip 2011Row:Count:Expanded:Auto drive:992126Auto passe30569Total:1292695

Mode Split Summary: Outbound AM Trips

Auto Driver	2126	78.9%
Auto Pass.	569	21.1%
Transit	0	0.0%
Walk	0	0.0%
Cycle	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%
	2695	

Mode Split Summary: Tw	<u>vo-way, All Peak Travel H</u>	lours
Auto Driver	11249	75.2%
Auto Pass.	1955	13.1%
Transit	307	2.1%
Walk	301	2.0%
Cycle	228	1.5%
Other	928	6.2%
	14968	

Thu Dec 14 2017 11:17:38 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2159ms

Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011

Row: 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig Column: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters:

2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest In 8069-8076 and Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900

Trip 2011 Table:

	Transit						Total Trips
	excluding		Auto	Auto	School		from TTS
	GO rail	Cycle	driver	passenger	bus	Walk	Zone
3646	; (0 0	30	0	0	0	30
4127	, (0	27	0	0	0	27
4148	3 (0	24	0	0	0	24
7153	. (0 0	16	0	0	0	16
7442	2 0	0 0	19	0	0	0	19
8014	4 (0 0	18	0	0	0	18
8015	; (0 0	22	0	0	0	22
8035	; (0	30	0	0	0	30
8037	, (0 0	29	0	0	0	29
8038	3 (0 0	9	0	0	0	9
8043		0 0	14	18	0	0	32
8069) (0 0	60	0	0	20	80
8072	2 0	46	197	43	0	20	306
8073		0 0	95	0	0	20	115
8074	н (30	23	0	0	18	71
8075	; (0 0	23	0	0	0	23
8076	5 (0 0	84	43	163	0	290
8080) (0 0	44	0	0	0	44
8085	; (0 0	19	0	0	0	19
8086	5 (0 0	23	0	0	0	23
8087	, (0 0	14	0	0	0	14
8090) () 0	0	19	0	0	19
8091) 0	19	0	0	0	19
8100) () 0	30	0	0	0	30
8105	; () 0	23	0	0	0	23
8114	н () 0	23	0	0	0	23
8118	з с) 0	14	0	0	0	14
8121) 0	30	0	0	0	30
8125	; () 0	13	0	0	0	13
8152	2 (0 0	17	17	0	0	34
8168	3 (0 0	28	0	0	0	28
8170) (0 0	21	0	0	0	21
8173	в (0 0	28	0	0	0	28
8182	21	. 0	21	0	0	0	42
8190) (0 0	49	0	0	0	49
8191		0 0	23	0	0	0	23
8199) () 0	19	0	0	0	19
8205	; () 0	23	0	0	0	23
8310) (0 0	38	0	0	0	38
8311	. (0 0	13	13	0	0	26
8335	; (0 0	13	0	0	0	13
8344	н (0 0	16	0	0	0	16
8380) () 0	8	0	0	0	8
8905	i (0 0	19	0	0	0	19
Total	21	. 76	1308	153	163	78	1799

			_	
Orientation	N	S	E	W
S		30		
S		27		
S		24		
S/W		8		8
S	40	19		
N	18			
N	22			
IN N	30			
N	29	-		-
N	22			
N	90			
N	206			
N	115			
N	71			
N	23			
N	290			
N	44			
N	19			
N	23			
w	2.5			14
N	19			
N	19			
N	30			
N	23			
N	23			
N	14			
N	30			
N	13			
N	34			
N	28			
N	21			
N	28			
N	42			
N	49			
N	23			
W				19
N	23			
S		38		
S		26		
Ν	13			
Ν	16			
E			8	
S		19		
	1559	191	8	41
	87%	11%	0%	2%

Transit Total Trips excluding Auto Auto School from TTS GO rail Cycle driver passenger bus Walk Zone Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton Regions City of Toronto Wellington County Other Total Check: 1% 4% 73% 9% 9% 4%

	one of origin - gtal	6_orig In 8	069-80	76			
Start time o	f trip - start_time	In 700-900					
Trip 2011 Table:							
	Transit	Auto	,	uto Schu	-	To	tal or to
21	GO rail Cycle	drive	r p	assenger bus	Wali	k Π	S Zone
45	3 0	0	30	0	0	0	30
65	9 0	0	23	0	0	0	23
313	3 0	0	21	0	0	0	21
3357	7 0	0	19 14	30	0	0	49
3618		0	19	0	0	0	19
3705		0	22	0	0	0	22
383	i 0	0	30	0	0	0	30
403	9 0	0	30	0	0	0	30
412:	s 0	0	23	0	0	0	23
4136 4160	5 0	0	37 30	0	0	0	37
4178 4190	3 0	0	19 19	0	0	0	19 19
513	5 0 7 0	0	23	23	0	0	23
7008	3 0	0	19 30	0	0	0	19 30
7050) O 5 O	0	23 23	0	0	0	23 23
7113	3 O 5 O	0	13 23	0	0	0	13 23
7141	L 0 3 0	0	60 23	0	0	0	60 23
7255	0	0	23	0	0	0	23
7333	3 0	0	23	0	0	0	23
7440	0	0	19	0	0	0	19
7458	3 0	0	23	0	0	0	23
8008	4 0	0	72 53	0	20	0	72
8016 8025	5 0	0	29 13	0	0	0	29 13
8026 8035	5 0 5 0	0	0 53	0 30	20 0	0	20 83
803 803		0	23 0	0	0 51	0	23 51
8044	1 30 6 0	0 23	0 107	0 23	0	0	30 153
805	7 53 4 0	30 0	154 23	33 0	0	0	270 23
8065	5 0 6 0	0	23 30	0	0	0	23 30
8065		0	83	0	0	0	83
807	1 0	0	72	23	0	18	113
8073	3 0	0	137	64	163	41	405
8079	5 0 6 0	0 30	42 23	0	0	0	42 53
8079 8080) O D O	0	19 42	0	0 41	0	19 83
8083 8084	3 0 4 0	0	49 46	0	0	0	49 46
808	5 0 6 0	0	19 53	0	0	0	19 53
8083	, 0	0	19	23	0	0	42
808	9 0	0	80	0	0	0	80
8093	1 0 3 0	0	19 23	0	0	0	19 23
8094 8095	1 0 5 0	0	23 75	0 19	0	0	23 94
8100 8105) 0 5 0	0	23 60	0 20	0 61	0	23 141
8103 8108	7 O B O	0	69 23	82 0	224 0	0	375 23
8109 8114) 0 4 0	0	30 30	0 30	0	0	30 60
8113 8118	7 0 8 0	0	46 14	0 19	0	0	46 33
812	1 0	0	95 30	53	0	0	148 30
812	3 0	0	19	20	0	0	39
8120	5 0	0	23	0	0	0	23
813	1 0	0	30	0	0	0	30
8142	2 0	0	46	0	0	0	46
8154	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
8174	5 0	0	9	0	0	0	9 80
8179 8183	0	0	23 30	0	0	0	23 30
8186 8187	7 0	0	23 23	0 20	0	0	23 43
8188 8189	3 O 9 O	0	68 19	0	0	0	68 19
8190 8191) 0 1 0	0	23 38	23 0	0	0	46 38
8195 8196	; 0 6 0	0	23 42	23 61	0 41	0 61	46 205
8197 8199	0 0	0	23 42	0 20	0 20	0 82	23 164
820	; 0 1 0	0	14 13	0	0	0	14
831	0	0	23	0	0	0	23
8330	3 0	0	23	0	0	0	23
8335	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
835	0	0	19	0	0	0	19
8376 8403	3 O	0	14 49	0	0	0	14 49
8568 8913	3 0	0	30 19	0	0	0	30
9065 otal	5 0 83	0 129	19 3914	0 659	0 681	0 222	5650
	Transit					То	tal
	excluding GO rail Cycle	Auto drive	, A	Auto Scho bassenger bus	ool Wali	Tri k TT	ps to S Zone
	53	129	1649	391	550	222	2994
Local Area	0	0	317	122	0	0	439
Local Area Downtown			761	73	111	0	975
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of	30	0					
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo	30 0	0	382	20	0	0	402
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel /	30 0 0	0 0	382 380	20 30	0	0	402 410
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton City of	30 0 0	0 0 0	382 380 150	20 30 0	0	0 0 0	402 410 150
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton City of Toronto Wellington	30 0 0	0 0 0 0	382 380 150 158	20 30 0	0 0 20	0 0 0	402 410 150 178
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton City of Toronto Wellington County	30 0 0 0		382 380 150 158 117	20 30 0 23	0 0 20 0	0 0 0 0	402 410 150 178 102
Local Area Downtown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton City of Toronto Wellington County Other	30 0 0 0 0		382 380 150 158 117	20 30 0 23	0 0 20 0	0 0 0 0	402 410 150 178 102
ocal Area lowntown Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton City of Toronto Vellington County Other	30 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	382 380 150 158 117 3914	20 30 0 23 659	0 0 20 0 681	0 0 0 0 222	402 410 150 178 102 5650

12

11.5 9.5 11.5 11.5 6.5 30 11.5 30

42

> 38 164

> > 5650

4247

Thu Dec 14 2017 11:15:51 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2547ms

Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011

Row: 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest Column: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Thu Dec 14 2017 11:17:16 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2173ms

Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011 Row: 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig Column: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters: 2006 GTA zone of destination - gtaO6_dest in 8069-8076 and Start time of trip - start_time in 1600-1800

Trip 2011 Table: Auto driver 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Joint GO rail and local transit
 Transf

 133
 0

 133
 0

 134
 0

 134
 0

 134
 0

 134
 0

 134
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1343
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1345
 0

 1346
 0

 1346
 0

 1347
 0

 1348
 0

 1348
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0

 1344
 0
 Tickal Finge Zone Tick Zone Tic GO rail only Auto passe School bus Orientatio S S 30 0 19 0 30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 30 19 30 19 23 30 30 23 19 23 0 0000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 30 0 19 0 0 0 23 0 0 14 14 20 0 41 23 14 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 23 0 23 0 0 23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 30 0 0 16 0 19 0 19 0 568 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 81 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 79 0 0 0 0 0 92 0 0 0 0 0 0 23 4710 3148 Auto GOrall driver only 23 1580 0 244 0 869 0 425 0 443 0 72 0 111 2 0 149 Joint GO rail and ill local Auto transit passen 0 0 2
 School
 Total Trips from TIS 257
 Total Trips 2001

 106
 0
 350

 123
 0
 1022

 0
 0
 422

 33
 0
 476

 16
 0
 127

 33
 0
 204

 16
 0
 127

 33
 0
 204

 Notified
 Note of the sector of t

11.5 9.5 15 24.5 11.5 15 11.5

129 76

23

22.5 28.5

4710

15 9.5 15 24.5 11.5

Thu Dec 14 2017 11:16:45 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2270ms

Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011

Row: 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest Column: Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prime

Filters: 2006 GTA zone of origin - gta06_orig In 8069-8076 and Start time of trip - start_time In 1600-1800

Trip 2011 Table:

Total

Local Area Downtow n Guelph Rest of Guelph Waterloo Region Peel / Halton City of Toronto Wellingto n County

Other

Total Checl

80%

21%

					1			
			Total Tria			1		
	A	A	fotal Trips	Orientetia				
	Auto	Auto	from ITS	Orientatio				
	driver	passenger	Zone	n	N		5	SE
3369	21	55	76	S			76	76
3646	30	0	30	S			30	30
4147	23	0	23	S			23	23
7013	23	0	23	S / W			11.5	11.5
7147	30	0	30	S / W			15	15
7153	16	0	16	S / W			8	8
7283	13	17	30	S / W			15	15
7319	30	0	30	s		3	0	0
7324	38	0	38	5		3/	3	3
7389	19	0	19	5		10)	9
8013	23	0	23	N	23			-
8014	76	20	96	N	96		-	
0014	20	20	22	N	22			_
0013	22	0	22	IN N	22			
8017	29	0	29	N	29			
8035	23	0	23	N	23			
8037	29	0	29	N	29			_
8043	14	18	32	N	32			
8051	0	14	14	N	14			
8057	14	0	14	N	14		_	
8059	23	0	23	N	23		_	
8065	17	0	17	N	17			
8069	0	20	20	N	20			
8071	42	0	42	N	42			
8072	271	78	349	N	349			1
8073	128	0	128	N	128			1
8075	37	20	57	N	57	-		1
8076	114		114	N	114	-		1
8078	10	0	10	N	10		-	-
0070	10	0	10	N	10		-	-
0000	14	0	14	N	14			-
0001	14	20	73	N	70			-
0002	22	20	/3	IN N	13		_	-
8083	23	20	43	N	43			-
8084	52	20	/2	N	/2			_
8085	42	0	42	N	42			_
8086	65	20	85	W				_
8094	19	0	19	N	19			_
8095	63	17	80	N	80			_
8098	23	0	23	N	23			
8099	23	0	23	N	23	_		_
8102	19	0	19	N	19			
8109	14	14	28	N	28			
8114	30	0	30	N	30			
8118	14	0	14	N	14		_	
8121	72	20	92	N	92			
8129	19	41	60	N	60			
8139	28	0	28	N	28			
8142	23	20	43	N	43			
8168	28	0	28	N	28			1
8182	42	21	63	N	63	-		+
8191	22		22	N	23	-		+
8107	23	0	25	N	46	-		+
0100	40	20	40	14	-+0	-		+
9193	19	20	39	vV	-			20
8303	15	15	30	E				30
8310	38	0	38	S		- 38		-
8311	13	13	26	S	L	26		_
8333	10	10	20	S		20		
8335	13	0	13	N	13			
8336	17	17	34	N	34			
8344	47	0	47	N	47		_	
8351	33	16	49	N	49			
8380	8	0	8	N / E	2		_	6
8905	19	0	19	S		19		
9053	19	19						1
il .	2129	565	2656		2078	369)	36
					78%	149	6	6 1%
			Total Trins		2.0	- //		· _,
	Auto	Auto	to TTS					
	driver	nassenger	Zone					
	1159	249	1408					
		245	×00					

WEEKDAY MORNING TRIP DISTIBUTION

	Transit						Total Trips		
	excluding		Auto	Auto	School		from TTS		
	GO rail	Cycle	driver	passenger	bus	Walk	Zone		
	53	20	5 2345	496	713	300	4112	55%	
Local Area	1%	5%	6 57%	12%	17%	7%			
Downtown	0	() 397	122	0	0	519	7%	
Guelph	0%	0%	6 76%	24%	0%	0%			
Rest of	51	(0 1070	108	111	0	1340	18%	
Guelph	4%	0%	6 80%	8%	8%	0%			
Waterloo	0	() 417	20	0	0	437	6%	
Region	0%	0%	6 95%	5%	0%	0%			
Peel / Halton	0	(0 461	30	0	0	491	7%	
Regions	0%	0%	6 94%	6%	0%	0%			
City of	0	(0 150	0	0	0	150	2%	
Toronto	0%	0%	6 100%	0%	0%	0%			
Wellington	0	(246	13	20	0	279	4%	
County	0%	0%	6 88%	5%	7%	0%			
	0	(0 136	23	0	0	121	2%	
Other	0%	0%	6 112%	19%	0%	0%			
Total Check:	104	20	5 4976	799	824	300	7449	check:	7449
	1%	3%	67%	11%	11%	4%			

WEEKDAY AFTERNOON TRIP DISTIBUTION

					Joint GO					
	Transit				rail and			Total Trips		
	excluding		Auto	GO rail	local	Auto	School	from TTS		
	GO rail	Cycle	driver	only	transit	passenger	bus	Zone		
	39	2	3 2745	0	0	506	81	3394	46%	
Local Area	1%	19	6 81%	0%	0%	15%	2%	1		
Downtow	0		0 360	0	0	126	0	486	7%	
n Guelph	0%	09	6 74%	0%	0%	26%	0%	1		
Rest of	30		0 1248	0	0	257	0	1535	21%	
Guelph	2%	09	6 81%	0%	0%	17%	0%	1		
Waterloo	0		0 594	. 0	0	17	0	611	8%	
Region	0%	09	6 97%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1		
Peel /	0		0 517	0	0	88	0	605	8%	
Halton	0%	09	6 85%	0%	0%	15%	0%	,		
City of	23		0 72	. 79	30	0	0	204	3%	
Toronto	11%	09	6 35%	39%	15%	0%	0%	1		
Wellingto	0		0 305	0	0	87	0	392	5%	
n County	0%	09	6 78%	0%	0%	22%	0%	,		
	0		0 187	0	0	52	0	139	2%	
Other	0%	09	% 135%	0%	0%	37%	0%			
Total Checl	92	2	3 5723	79	30	1046	81	7366	chec	k: 7366
	1%	09	6 78%	1%	0%	14%	1%			

Auto Auto

driver pass

passenger

TWO-WAY PEAK PERIOD TRIP DISTIBUTION

					Join	t GO												
	Transit			60 -	rail	and				Total								
	excluding GO rail	Cycle	Auto	GUI	all loca	1 /	Auto Sch	001	11	TTS Zono		Auto	Auto	ancit Mal	k 0/	10 01	ther	
	9	2 2 2	78	5090	0	51C	1007	794	300	7506	50.7%	Dirver	passenger in	11510 9941	K Cyc	.ie 01	iiei	
Local Area	19	6 3	3%	68%	0%	0%	13%	11%	4%	/500	30.770	68%	13%	1%	4%	3%	11%	100%
Downtow			0	757	0	0	248	0	0	1005	6.8%							
n Guelph	09	6 0	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%			75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Rest of	8:	L	0	2318	0	0	365	111	0	2875	19.4%							
Guelph	3%	6 0	0%	81%	0%	0%	13%	4%	0%			81%	13%	3%	0%	0%	4%	100%
Waterloo	()	0	1011	0	0	37	0	0	1048	7.1%							
Region	0%	6 0	0%	96%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%			96%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Peel /)	0	978	0	0	118	0	0	1096	7.4%							
Halton	0%	6 (-	J%	89%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%			89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
City of	2:	\$	0	222	79	30	0	0	0	354	2.4%	630/	001	270/	00/	00/	00/	4000/
Wellingto	67	5 L	J%	551	22%	8%	100	20	0%	671	1 5%	63%	0%	37%	0%	0%	0%	100%
n County	09	, , ,	1%	87%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	0/1	4.3%	87%	15%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%
in country	0,	, ,	0	323	0	0	75	0	0,0	260	1.8%	02/0	10/0	070	0,0	0,0	570	10070
Other	09	- 6 (0% :	24%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%			124%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	153%
Total Chec	1 196	2	28 1	1699	79	30	1845	905	300	14815	check:	14815	14815					
	19	<u>ه</u> ۲	2%	72%	1%	0%	12%	6%	2%									
	8		0	3075	0	0	613	111	0	3880	26%							
	2%	- 6 (0%	79%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	5000	20/0	79%	16%	2%	0%	0%	3%	100%
Local Area										Waterloo							Othe	er
										Auto pas	ssenger,							Other, 0%
	Cycle	, 3%	Other, 119	5						49	%							
	Walk, 4%																	
Tr	ansit, 1%																	Auto pas
																		**
	~	13%																
				A	uto Driver, I	58%												
												Au	to Driver, 96%					
						7								7				
						·								/				

Powntown Guelph Auto passenger, 25% Auto Driver, 75% Rest of Guelph Transh, 2%







Wellington County Other, 3% Auto Driver, 82%

Toronto



N S E W 11031.5 2616.5 220.5 946.5 14815 74% 18% 1% 6% Appendix B – Detailed Collision Data







S offiware								From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20	17
Location	CLAIR RD V	V @ GORDC	ON ST					Municip	oality G	UELPH	
Traffic Co	ontrol Traffic signa	1						Total C	ollisions 69	9	
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
12-03079	2012-Jan-18, Wed,09:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-fatal injur	y North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments	:				North		Stopped	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
12-12101	2012-Mar-04, Sun,23:35	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	
Comments	:				East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	Dark, artificial
12- 5015696415	2012-Mar-09, Fri,08:25	Snow	Rear end		South	Loose snow	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments	:				South	Loose snow	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
12-	2012-May-17, Thu,14:30	Clear	Rear end		South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	:				South	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	Daylight
12- 5015953038	2012-Jun-12, Tue,17:15	Clear	SMV other		South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Cyclist	Driving properly	
Comments	:										Daylight
12- 5016050735	2012-Jul-13, Fri,12:15	Clear	Rear end		East	Dry	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments	:				East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
12-47925	2012-Sep-09, Sun,11:09	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	:				North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	Daylight
12- 5016204975	2012-Sep-09, Sun,14:00	Clear	Turning movement		South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	:				North	Dry	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	Daylight
12-54333	2012-Oct-12, Fri,05:19	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	West	Dry	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	:				East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	Dark

April 27, 2017

12-64639	2012-Dec-11, Tue,09:35	Snow	Angle	P.D. only	North	Wet	Going ahead	Truck - closed	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments					West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
1313233	2013-Mar-22, Fri,18:52	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	North	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR RD E		North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Speed too fast for condition	Dusk
13- 501691571s	2013-Apr-19, Fri,17:00	Clear	Rear end		South	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	
Comments:					South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
13- 501708279s	2013-Jun-10, Mon,00:00	Clear	Rear end		West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments					West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	Daylight
501708279	2013-Jun-10, Mon,16:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	Road #1: CLAIR ROAD	E Road #2:	CLAIR ROAD E		West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
13-13233 **	2013-Jun-22, Sat,18:52	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments	CHARGED: D1 HTA 13	30 POT #11975	27B		North	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dusk
501713459	2013-Jun-25, Tue,06:45	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Wet	Stopped	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: G	ORDON ST		South	Wet	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
1336985	2013-Aug-07, Wed, 19:15	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	North	Dry	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Cyclist	Driving properly	
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR ROAD E		North	Dry	Going ahead	Bicycle	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	Daylight
13-36985	2013-Aug-07, Wed, 19:15	Clear	SMV other	Non-fatal injur	y North	Dry	Going ahead	Bicycle		Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments	LINE 31 - V1 HAD NO R CHARGED: D1 PON #	EAR BRAKE (C 2775027B SEC	ABLE UNHOOKE C. 139 (1) HTA	ED)	North	Dry		Automobile, station wagon	Cyclist	Driving properly	Daylight
13- 501728773s	2013-Aug-08, Thu,17:30	Clear	Rear end		North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	
Comments					North	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
501731387	2013-Aug-15, Thu,02:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: G	ORDON ST		North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle		Dark, artificial

13-42655**	2013-Sep-09, Mon,05:38	Clear	SMV other	P.D. only	South	Dry	Pulling onto shoulder or toward	Automobile, station wagon	Ran off road	Lost control	
Comments:	CHARGED: D1 32(1) H WIRE RIPPED OFF	TA, 2(1)(A) C.A.I	.A TELEPHONE	POLE, GUIDE			curb				Dark
1344314	2013-Sep-16, Mon,20:30) Clear	SMV unattende	dNon-reportable	e West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile,	Other motor		
Comments:	Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: Cl	AIR RD E					Station wagon	Venicie		Dark, artificial
13- 501742645s	2013-Sep-16, Mon,20:30) Clear	Rear end		West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile,	Other motor	Driving properly	
Comments:					West		Going ahead	Unknown	Venicie	Following too close	Dark
1349516	2013-Oct-13, Sun,05:03	Rain	SMV unattende	dP.D. only	North	Wet	Turning right	Automobile,	Skidding/sliding	Improper turn	
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR RD E			Wet		Station wagon			Dark
13-49516	2013-Oct-13, Sun,05:03	Rain	SMV other	P.D. only	North	Wet	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding	Improper turn	
Comments:	CHARGED: D1 SEC 13	0 HTA PON#277	75625B					olation nagon			Dark
1356784	2013-Nov-24, Sun,10:30	Snow	Rear end	Non-reportable	e North	Ice	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor		
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: G	ORDON ST		North	Ice	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding		Daylight
14254	2014-Jan-02, Thu,18:21	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	South	Wet	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR RD W		North	Wet	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dark, artificial
142012	2014-Jan-13, Mon,07:57	Clear	Turning movement	Non-reportable	e West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	Road #1: CLAIR RD W	Road #2: C	LAIR RD W		West	Wet	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
14004254	2014-Jan-26, Sun,09:57	Clear	Approaching	P.D. only	North	Slush	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Pole (utility, power)	Driving properly	
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR RD E		South	Slush	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other	Improper turn	Daylight
14004386	2014-Jan-27, Mon,10:00	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	South	Loose snow	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor	Disobeyed traffic	
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR RD E		West	Loose snow	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
501801711	2014-Feb-01, Sat, 12:40	Snow	Rear end	Non-reportable	e North	Wet	Stopped	Passenger van	Other motor		
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: G	ORDON ST		North	Wet			Venicie		Daylight
14005368	2014-Feb-01, Sat,13:00	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	North	Loose snow	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Pole (sign, parking meter)	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: C	LAIR RD W			Loose snow					Daylight
April 27, 20)17									Page	e 3 of 7

14010272	2014-Mar-03, Mon, 14:00	Clear	Angle	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Turning left	Ambulance	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: C	LAIR RD E		West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
501820599	2014-Mar-10, Mon, 15:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor		
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	GORDON ST		North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
14011646	2014-Mar-12, Wed,09:59	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	North	Loose snow	Turning right	Passenger van	Other	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: G	ORDON ST			Loose snow				condition	Daylight
14015614	2014-Apr-03, Thu,15:18	Clear	Turning	Non-fatal injur	y North	Dry	Turning left	Automobile,	Other motor	Failed to yield right-of-	
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	CLAIR RD W		South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	way Driving properly	Daylight
501837595	2014-Apr-17, Thu, 17:30	Clear	Sideswipe	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile,	Other motor		
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	GORDON ST		North	Dry	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
14030787	2014-Jun-23, Mon,18:18	Rain	Turning	P.D. only	East	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor	Driving properly	
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: G	ORDON ST		West	Wet	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Improper turn	Daylight
14030806	2014-Jun-23, Mon,20:12	Rain	SMV other	P.D. only	West	Wet	Turning right	Truck - tractor	Pole (sign,	Improper turn	
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: G	ORDON ST			Wet			parking meter)		Daylight
14044011	2014-Sep-04, Thu,17:45	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile,	Other motor		
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	GORDON ST		South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
14045068	2014-Sep-09, Tue, 17:17	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD W	Road #2: G	GORDON ST		East	Dry	Changing lanes	Truck - tractor	Other motor vehicle	Improper lane change	Daylight
501906850	2014-Oct-22, Wed, 17:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Stopped	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	GORDON ST		North	Dry					Daylight
501923890	2014-Nov-27, Thu,20:00	Snow	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e West	Ice	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor		
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD W	Road #2: C	LAIR RD W		West	Ice		otation hagon	Volitolo -		Dark, artificial
14063021	2014-Dec-24, Wed,05:26	Rain	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y West	Wet	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	CLAIR RD E		South	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dark, artificial

501958335	2015-Feb-12, Thu,15:45	Clear	Sideswipe	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Passenger van	Other motor		
Comments	: Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	GORDON ST		North	Dry			Volitoro		Daylight
501959344	2015-Feb-14, Sat,13:00	Clear	Sideswipe	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	: Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: 0	GORDON ST		North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
15008007	2015-Feb-20, Fri,18:10	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injur	y East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	
Comments	: d1 charged				West	Dry	Going ahead	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dusk
15016262	2015-Apr-13, Mon,18:00	Rain	Sideswipe	Non-reportabl	e East	Wet	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	: Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: C	LAIR RD E		East	Wet	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
15021903A	2015-May-15, Fri,19:31	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injur	y North	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments	: d1 charged				South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
15021903	2015-May-15, Fri,19:31	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injur	y North	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments	: d1 charged				South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
502002088	A 2015-May-25, Mon,12:15	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
502002088	2015-May-25, Mon,12:15	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
502006645	2015-Jun-04, Thu,06:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				East	Dry					Daylight
15043305	2015-Sep-14, Mon,08:58	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments	: d1 charged				North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
15044486	2015-Sep-19, Sat,15:10	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight

April 27, 2017

Page 5 of 7

502064738	2015-Oct-30, Fri,17:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e North	Dry	Stopped	Pick-up truck	Other motor		
Comments	:				North	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
15051958	2015-Nov-01, Sun,15:31	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments	: d1 charged				West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
15053891	2015-Nov-13, Fri,18:45	Rain	Turning movement	P.D. only	West	Wet	Turning left	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	d1 charged				West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dark, artificial
15056451	2015-Nov-29, Sun,01:25	Clear	SMV other	P.D. only	West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Pole (utility, power)	Other	
Comments	: d1 charged					Dry			. ,		Dark
15057350	2015-Dec-04, Fri,16:39	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments	: d1 charged			d2 charged	North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dusk
15057465	2015-Dec-05, Sat,08:45	Fog, mist, smoke, dust	Rear end	Non-fatal injur	y North	Wet	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Improper lane change	
Comments	:				North	Wet	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	Daylight
502093430	2016-Jan-10, Sun,18:30	Snow	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e East	Ice	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				East	Ice	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Dark, artificial
16003885	2016-Jan-25, Mon,13:35	Clear	Rear end	Non-fatal injur	y South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments	d1-charged				South	Wet	Stopped	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
16013848	2016-Mar-23, Wed, 21:08	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of-	
Comments	:				West	Dry	Going ahead	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dark, artificial
502129547	2016-Apr-10, Sun,20:00	Freezing Rain	Rear end		North	Ice	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				North	Ice	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Dark, artificial
502204755	2016-Oct-14, Fri, 17:30	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				South	Dry					Daylight

502209067 2016-Oct-22, Sat,20:00 Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable West	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile,	Other motor	
Comments:		West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Dark, artificial
502219191 2016-Nov-16, Wed, 12:30 Clear	Other	Non-reportable West	Dry	Reversing	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
Comments:		East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Daylight
16061845 2016-Dec-12, Mon,19:00 Clear	Turning movement	Non-reportable South	Wet	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
Comments: d1 charged		North	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Dark, artificial

April 27, 2017

Page 7 of 7

-	Contraction of the local division of the loc
T	rattic
	ngineering
S	offware

Collision Details Report

S officare								From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20	17
Location .	CLAIR RD V	V @ LAIRD F	RD					Municip	ality Gl	JELPH	
Traffic Co	ntrol Stop sign							Total Co	ollisions 4		
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
12- 501609147S	2012-Jul-30, Mon,12:32	Clear	Rear end		East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments:					East	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
13-12702	2013-Mar-19, Tue, 10:06	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	East	Loose snow	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Pole (utility, power)	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:						Loose snow		Ū.			Daylight
13-18565	2013-Apr-23, Tue, 20:28	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	North	Dry	Turning right	Tow truck	Other motor vehicle	Improper lane change	
Comments:	CHARGED: JOHN HAL SAFETY, 142(2) HTA	L - START FROM	M STOPPED POS	SITION NOT IN	North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dusk
13- 501713749s	2013-Jun-25, Tue, 19:30	Rain	Rear end		East	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments:					East	Wet	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight



S offiware								From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20	17
Location	CLAIR RD E	@ FARLEY	DR					Municip	oality G	UELPH	
Traffic Co	ontrol Traffic signa	I						Total Co	ollisions 13	3	
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
12-42187	2012-Aug-11, Sat,15:30	Rain	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y East	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments	:				North	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
1356588	2013-Nov-23, Sat,09:45	Snow	SMV other	Non-reportable	e West	Slush	Going ahead	Passenger van	Skidding/sliding	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments	: Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: FA	ARLEY DR			Slush					Daylight
1357139	2013-Nov-23, Sat,09:50	Snow	SMV unattende	dNon-reportable	e North	Ice	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	: Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: Cl	AIR RD E			Ice		-			Daylight
501836603	2014-Apr-16, Wed,09:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Dry	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	: Road #1: FARLEY DR	Road #2: FA	ARLEY DR		South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
14048226	2014-Sep-25, Thu,06:39	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments	: Road #1: CLAIR RD E	Road #2: FA	ARLEY DR		South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dawn
15041370	2015-Sep-04, Fri,16:50	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments	:				West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
16009138	2016-Feb-20, Sat,13:00	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	West	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	: d2-charged				East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	Daylight
16012219	2016-Mar-15, Tue, 13:22	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	East	Dry	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments	: d1-charged				West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
16040747	2016-Aug-24, Wed,08:30	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y South	Dry	Going ahead	Bicycle	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments	:				East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Cyclist	Driving properly	Daylight

April 27, 2017

502190116 2016-Sep-10, Sat,13:27 Clear	Turning	Non-reportable North	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile,	Other motor		
Comments:	movement	West	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
16053945 2016-Nov-02, Wed,21:55 Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injury East	Wet	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	
Comments: d1 charged		West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Dark, artificial
16055850 2016-Nov-14, Mon,15:28 Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Failed to yield right-of- way	
Comments: d1 charged		West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
17001674 2016-Dec-19, Mon,14:30 Clear	Turning movement	Non-reportable West	Dry	Going ahead	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle		
Comments: d2 charged		West	Dry	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight



S offiware									From: Jar	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 2	017
Location .		CLAIR RD E	@ VICTO	RIA RD S					Munici	ality	SUELPH	
Traffic Co	ntrol	Stop sign							Total C	ollisions 1	2	
Collision ID	Date/Day/	Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
12- 501575422S	2012-Apr-	01, Sun,10:20	Clear	Angle		South	Wet	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:						East	Wet	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
13-07923	2013-Feb	-16, Sat,15:45	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	South	Wet	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:						East	Wet	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
13-	2013-Apr-	17, Wed,08:30	Clear	Rear end		South	Dry	Going ahead	Unknown		Driving properly	
Comments:						South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	Daylight
13-41575	2013-Jul-(04, Thu,15:15	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	South	Dry	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor	Improper turn	
Comments:	CHARGE	ED: D1 S.141 (2	2) HTA PON# ⁻	1195626B		East	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
1354335	2013-Nov	-10, Sun,03:40	Rain	SMV other	P.D. only	East	Wet	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Steel guide rail	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:	Road #1:	CLAIR RD E,	GUELP R	oad #2: VICTORIA	A RD S		Wet		-			Dark,
13-54335	2013-Nov	-10, Sun,03:40	Rain	SMV other	Non-fatal injur	y East	Wet	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Curb	Speed too fast for condition	artinola
Comments:									Ŭ			Dark, artificial
141081	2014-Jan-	07, Tue,14:10	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Ice	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		antinoidi
Comments:	Road #1: VICTOR	VICTORIA RE A RD S & CLA	S & CLAIR R IR RD E GUEL	D E GUELPH .PH	Road #2:	South	Ice	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
50185889	2014-Jun-	15, Sun,16:50	Clear	Angle	Non-reportable	e South	Dry	Going ahead	Motorcycle	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	Road #1:	VICTORIA RE	S Road	#2: VICTORIA RD	S	East	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
14030788	2014-Jun-	23, Mon,16:19	Rain	Approaching	P.D. only	East	Wet	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments:	Road #1:	CLAIR RD E	Road #2: V	/ICTORIA RD S		West	Wet	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Speed too fast for condition	Daylight

April 27, 2017

14054348 Comments	2014-Oct-31, Fri,14:45	Rain Road #2: VI	Angle	P.D. only	East	Wet	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle Other motor	Failed to yield right-of- way Driving property	Davlight
							J		vehicle	51 11 1	
15039629	2015-Aug-25, Tue,23:20	Clear	SMV other	P.D. only	East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Steel guide rail	Other	
Comments	: d1 charged					Dry					Dark
502055091	2015-Oct-06, Tue,17:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportab	le East	Dry	Stopped	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				East	Dry	Going ahead	Truck - closed	Other motor vehicle		Daylight



S officiare								From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20)17
Location	CLAIR RD V	V @ CLAIRF	IELDS DR W					Municip	ality G	UELPH	
Traffic Co	ntrol Traffic signa	I						Total Co	ollisions 13	3	
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
12- 501561016S	2012-Feb-08, Wed,08:15	Clear	Rear end		West	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments:	:				West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
13- 501696443s	2013-May-06, Mon,07:40	Clear	Rear end		South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments	:				South	Dry	Stopped	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
501696443	2013-May-06, Mon,07:40	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	Road #1: CLAIRFIELDS	DR Road	#2: CLAIRFIELDS	6 DR	South	Dry	Stopped	Ŭ	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
1359695	2013-Dec-11, Wed, 12:00	Snow	Angle	Non-reportable	e East	Loose snow	Slowing or stopping	Passenger van	Skidding/sliding		
Comments	Road #1: CLAIR RD W	Road #2: C	LAIR RD W		North	Slush	Stopped	School bus	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
501970219	2015-Mar-05, Thu,15:25	Clear	Sideswipe	Non-reportable	e West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor		
Comments:	Road #1: CLAIR RD W	Road #2: C	LAIR RD W		West	Dry	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
501996511	2015-May-13, Wed, 15:05	Clear	Sideswipe	Non-reportable	e West	Dry	Stopped	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	:				West	Dry	Turning left	School bus	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
502039616	2015-Aug-27, Thu,16:35	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				West	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
502070671	2015-Nov-13, Fri,17:35	Clear	Angle	Non-reportable	e West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding		
Comments	:				South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Dark, artificial
502072008	2015-Nov-17, Tue,05:45	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e West	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	:				West	Dry					Dark,

April 27, 2017

502093617 2016-Jan-10, Sun,17:45 Strong wind	Rear end	Non-reportable East	Ice	Stopped	Automobile,	Other motor	
Comments:		East	Ice		olation nagon		Dark
502094098 2016-Jan-12, Tue,12:40 Snow	Rear end	Non-reportable East	Ice	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
Comments:		East	Packed snow	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Daylight
502101937 2016-Jan-29, Fri,11:00 Clear	Turning movement	Non-reportable North	Dry	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
Comments:		East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Daylight
16062009 2016-Dec-12, Mon,08:15 Clear	Sideswipe	Non-reportable West	Loose snow	Going ahead	Truck - closed	Other motor vehicle	
Comments: d2 charged		West	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile,	Other motor	Daylight



	S offware								From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20	17
	Location	BEAVER ME	EADOW DR (D CLAIR RD E	Ξ				Municip	ality G	UELPH	
1	Traffic Co	ontrol							Total Co	ollisions 1		
0	Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
	12-21783	2012-Apr-23, Mon,11:22	Clear	SMV other	Non-fatal injury	East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Pole (utility, power)	Failed to yield right-of- way	
	Comments	:					Dry					Daylight

April 27, 2017

Page 1 of 1

rattic en rattic spinneering

Collision Details Report

S officare							From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20)17	
Location	GORDON S	T @ MALTB	Y RD E					Municip	ality G	UELPH	
Traffic Co	ontrol							Total Co	ollisions 5		
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
1356949	2013-Nov-23, Sat,12:00	Snow	SMV other	Non-reportable	e East	Ice	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding		
Comments	: Road #1: MALTBY RD E	Road #2:	MALTBY RD E			Ice					Daylight
14007199	2014-Feb-12, Wed, 17:00	Clear	SMV other	P.D. only	West	Packed snow	Slowing or stopping	Passenger van	Pole (sign, parking meter)	Lost control	
Comments	Road #1: MALTBY RD E	Road #2:	GORDON ST			Dry			,		Daylight
14051130	2014-Oct-11, Sat,17:55	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments	Road #1: GORDON ST	Road #2: N	IALTBY RD E		East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	Daylight
15059744	2015-Dec-19, Sat,08:04	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y East	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control	
Comments	: d1-charged				North	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
16001977	2016-Jan-14, Thu,01:30	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	East	Loose snow	Slowing or stopping	Pick-up truck	Ran off road	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments	:					Ice					Dark



From: January 1, 2012 To: March 31, 2017

	/								-						
Location	GORDON S	T @ POPPY	DR					Municip	ality	GUELPH					
Traffic Co	raffic Control Traffic signal Total Collisions 2														
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped				
12-20170	2012-Apr-15, Sun,11:27	Rain	Angle	Non-fatal injur	y North	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Disobeyed traffic control					
Comments	D1 CHARGED: SECTIO	N 144(18) HTA	PON# 8242161A		West	Wet	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight				
12- 501647502s	2012-Dec-01, Sat,09:00	Clear	Angle		West	Packed snow	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly					
Comments	:				North	Packed snow	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon			Daylight				

April 27, 2017

Page 1 of 1

-	Contraction of the local division of the loc
T	rattic
	ngineering
S	offware

Collision Details Report

S officiare								From: Jan	uary 1, 2012	To: March 31, 20	017
Location .	VICTORIA F	RD S @ MAL	TBY RD E					Municip	oality G	UELPH	
Traffic Co	ntrol Stop sign							Total Co	ollisions 18	5	
Collision ID	Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Direction	Surface Cond'n	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	Driver Action	No. Ped
12- 501578669S	2012-Apr-14, Sat,09:30	Clear	Rear end		South	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Following too close	
Comments:	:				South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
1352809	2013-Nov-01, Fri,09:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:	Road #1: VICTORIA RD	S Road #2	: VICTORIA RD S	6	South			Ŭ			Daylight
15005190	2015-Feb-04, Wed, 22:33	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	South	Loose snow	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Steel guide rail	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:	Road #1: VICTORIA RD	S Road #2	: MALTBY RD E			Loose snow					Dark
502043335	2015-Sep-08, Tue,08:15	Rain	SMV other	Non-reportable	e South	Wet	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon			
Comments:						Wet		Ū			Daylight
15047987	2015-Oct-08, Thu,16:30	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injur	y South	Dry	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Other	
Comments:	d1 charged				South	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	Daylight
15049840	2015-Oct-20, Tue,11:18	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	West	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Driving properly	
Comments:	d2 charged				South	Dry	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	Improper turn	Daylight
502082229	2015-Dec-11, Fri,10:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:					South	Dry	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
502098039	2016-Jan-14, Thu,16:30	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportable	e South	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:					South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
16008748	2016-Feb-24, Wed,09:45	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	South	Packed snow	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Steel guide rail	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments:						Packed snow					Daylight

502136435	3435 2016-Apr-29, Fri,09:00 hents:	Clear	Rear end		North	Dry	Stopped	Automobile,	Other motor		
Comments	:				North	Dry	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		Daylight
502155172	2016-Jun-15, Wed,07:15	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e East	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments	:				East	Dry		-			Daylight
502159487	2016-Jun-25, Sat,09:00	Clear	Rear end	Non-reportabl	e South	Dry	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle		
Comments:			Sou		Dry					Daylight	
16057677	2016-Nov-23, Wed, 19:45 \$	Snow	SMV other	Non-reportabl	e South	Loose snow	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding		
Comments	: metal guide rail					Loose snow					Dark
16057381	2016-Nov-23, Wed, 21:45 F	Freezing Rain	SMV other	P.D. only	South	Ice	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding	Speed too fast for condition	
Comments	:					Ice		0			Dark, artificial
16057382	2016-Nov-23, Wed, 23:55 F	Freezing Rain	SMV other	P.D. only	South	Ice	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Skidding/sliding	Speed too fast for condition	artinolar
Comments	:					Ice					Dark, artificial

April 27, 2017

Page 2 of 2

Appendix C – Synchro Analysis Worksheets





	٦	-	1	+	1	Ť	>	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	٦	≜ †}	۲	≜ †}	۲	≜ †₽	۲	≜ t}	
raffic Volume (vph)	145	293	178	580	109	478	73	361	
uture Volume (vph)	145	293	178	580	109	478	73	361	
ane Group Flow (vph)	163	429	200	713	122	615	82	660	
urn Type	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	
rotected Phases	7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6	
ermitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
/inimum Split (s)	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	
otal Split (s)	10.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	
otal Split (%)	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	38.9%	
ellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
otal Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	
_ead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
_ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
//c Ratio	0.56	0.41	0.50	0.64	0.40	0.58	0.24	0.57	
Control Delay	20.9	16.6	12.1	16.9	18.1	27.1	15.4	21.0	
⊇ueue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Delay	20.9	16.6	12.1	16.9	18.1	27.1	15.4	21.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)	11.2	16.5	10.7	24.8	12.3	47.0	8.0	38.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	21.2	24.8	16.4	35.8	22.6	63.8	16.1	55.8	
nternal Link Dist (m)		775.0		194.1		153.6		314.0	
Furn Bay Length (m)	75.0		25.0		50.0		140.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	289	1046	401	1120	303	1067	340	1154	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
pillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.56	0.41	0.50	0.64	0.40	0.58	0.24	0.57	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
ctuated Cycle Length: 90									
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced t	to phase 4	EBTL an	d 8:WBTI	L, Start of	Green, N	laster Int	ersection		
latural Cycle: 70									
Control Type: Pretimed									
Splits and Phases: 1: Gor	don St. &	Clair Rd.							
Ø1 Ø2					1	3	📥 ø4 (R)	
10 s 35 s					10 s		35 s		
4					٠,	7	t (19)	P)	
3 as					10 -	/		K)	

1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. Weekday Morning Peak Hour 1 ۶ ← 1 ~ t \rightarrow € 1 -EBR SBR Movement EBL EBT WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBI SBT Lane Configurations **ħ**₽ _____A **≜**î, **≜**†₽ ٦ 7 ۳ ۳ Traffic Volume (vph) 145 293 580 54 109 478 69 361 226 89 178 73 89 580 54 Future Volume (vph) 145 293 178 109 478 69 73 361 226 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.99 Flpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.94 Flt Protected 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.95 1655 3274 3245 Satd. Flow (prot) 3150 1623 3455 1752 1701 Flt Permitted 0.25 1.00 0.45 1.00 0.28 1.00 0.31 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 445 3150 777 3455 492 3274 576 3245 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 Adj. Flow (vph) 163 329 100 200 652 61 122 537 78 82 406 254 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 32 0 7 0 0 13 0 0 109 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 163 397 200 706 122 602 82 551 0 0 0 0 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 10 5 5 10 3 3 3 Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 1 1 Heavy Vehicles (%) 17% 11% 9% 28% 6% 8% 3% 2% 5% 3% 3% 6% Turn Type pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Protected Phases 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 6 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 36.0 29.0 36.0 29.0 36.0 29.0 36.0 29.0 Effective Green, g (s) 29.0 36.0 29.0 36.0 29.0 29.0 36.0 36.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.40 0.32 0.40 0.32 0.40 0.32 0.40 0.32 Clearance Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 275 1015 376 1113 287 1054 321 1045 v/s Ratio Prot c0.05 0.13 0.04 c0.20 c0.03 c0.18 0.02 0.17 v/s Ratio Perm 0.19 0.17 0.14 0.08 v/c Ratio 0.59 0.39 0.53 0.63 0.43 0.57 0.26 0.53 18.7 23.7 18.7 18.0 25.3 17.3 24.9 Uniform Delay, d1 26.0 Progression Factor 0.87 0.72 0.50 1.00 1.00 0.55 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 9.0 1.1 5.1 2.7 4.6 2.2 1.9 1.9 14.5 22.5 27.6 19.2 25.3 18.3 16.9 Delay (s) 26.8 Level of Service С В В С С B С B Approach Delay (s) 20.2 16.4 26.8 26.0 Approach LOS С В С С Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 22.1 HCM 2000 Level of Service С HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.58 90.0 18.0 Actuated Cycle Length (s) Sum of lost time (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.9% ICU Level of Service С Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Existing Trafffic Conditions

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn

IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

	٠	→	1	+	1	Ť	` ∖•	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		\$		\$	٦	≜ †⊅	٦	ħ₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	1	11	1	5	639	9	627	
Future Volume (vph)	4	1	11	1	5	639	9	627	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	7	0	36	5	722	10	682	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4		8	5	2	1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	5	2	1	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	10.0	50.0	10.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	33.3%	33,3%	33,3%	33,3%	11.1%	55,6%	11.1%	55,6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0		6.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag		0.0		0.0	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Max	None	Max	
v/c Ratio	None	0.04	Home	0.21	0.01	0.24	0.01	0.22	
Control Delay		26.8		20.4	1.8	3.0	1.8	3.0	
Oueue Delay		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		26.8		20.4	1.8	3.0	1.8	3.0	
Oueue Length 50th (m)		0.6		1.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		4 3		9.9	0.0	33.7	11	31.6	
Internal Link Dist (m)		247.7		256.4	0.7	1837.2		153.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)		217.7		200.1	65.0	1007.2	27.0	100.0	
Rase Canacity (vnh)		629		584	747	3061	725	3080	
Starvation Can Reductn		027		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Can Reductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Can Reductin		0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.01		0.06	0.01	0.24	0.01	0.22	
		0.01		0.00	0.01	0.21	0.01	0.22	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Lengin: 90	,								
Actuated Cycle Length: 68.	D								
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Und	condinated	1							
Calita and Dhasaa. D. Ca	rdon Ct 0	Donny Dr							
Splits and Phases: 2: Go	IUUII SI. &	Рорру DI							
▶ø1 🗍 ø2								- →ø4	
10 s 50 s							3	0 s	
↑ø5 🖸 🗸 🗸 🔨 🗸								Ø8	
10 s 50 s							3	0 s	
								-	

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

HCM Signalized Int 2: Gordon St. & Por	ersectio	on Cap	acity A	Analys	is			Ex	isting We	Trafffic eekday Mo	Cond	itions ak Hour
	ر ا	→	¥	4	+	×.	1	Ť	1	<u>\</u>	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		4			4		٦	f₽		۲	f₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	1	2	11	1	21	5	639	25	9	627	(
Future Volume (vph)	4	1	2	11	1	21	5	639	25	9	627	C
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		6.0			6.0		3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.96			0.91		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		0.97			0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd, Flow (prot)		1741			1674		1770	3519		1770	3539	
Flt Permitted		1.00			0.95		0.39	1.00		0.38	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1791			1621		731	3519		703	3539	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (vph)	4	1	2	12	1	23	5	695	27	10	682	0
RTOR Reduction (vnh)	0	2	0	0	22	0	0	2	0	0	002	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	5	0	0	14	0	5	720	0	10	682	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	0	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA	0	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	1 GIIII	4		1 cilli	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	Ū		2	-		6	0	
Actuated Green G (s)		2.8		Ū	2.8		57.0	55.9		57.0	55.9	
Effective Green a (s)		2.8			2.8		57.0	55.9		57.0	55.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.04			0.04		0.76	0.75		0.76	0.75	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			6.0		3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)		67			60		572	2629		551	2644	
v/s Ratio Prot		0,			00		0.00	c0 20		c0.00	0.19	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.00			c0.01		0.00	00.20		0.01	0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.08			0.23		0.01	0.27		0.02	0.26	
Uniform Delay, d1		34.8			35.0		2.1	3.0		2.1	3.0	
Progression Factor		1 00			1 00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1 00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.5			2.0		0.0	0.3		0.0	0.2	
Delay (s)		35.2			36.9		2.1	3.3		2.1	3.2	
Level of Service		D			D		Δ	Δ		Δ	Δ	
Approach Dolay (s)		35.2			36.0		А	33		7	3.2	
Approach LOS		55.2 D			D			A			3.2 A	
Intersection Summany												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			12	н	CM 2000	l ovol of	Service		Δ			
HCM 2000 Control Delay	rity ratio		4.2	п	5101 2000	EGACI OI	JUNCE		A			
Actuated Cycle Length (a)	JILY TALLO		74.0	c.	um of loct	time (c)			15.0			
Intersection Canacity Hilling	tion		22.40/	3		of Sonda	2		15.0			
Analysis Doriod (min)	liui I		JZ.070 1₽	IC	O Level (n Service	5		А			
Analysis Penou (IIIII)			10									
, chucai Laile Group												

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 4

	٦	→	4	+	1	Ť	1	`	Ļ	1
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
ane Configurations	3	≜ †}⊳	٢	≜ †}-		र्स	1	-	đ.	1
raffic Volume (vph)	29	293	203	571	49	16	108	27	26	126
uture Volume (vph)	29	293	203	571	49	16	108	27	26	126
ane Group Flow (vph)	32	385	221	634	0	70	117	0	57	137
urn Type	ta+ma	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4	3	8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		2	6		6
Detector Phase	7	4	3	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
witch Phase										
/inimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
/inimum Split (s)	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
otal Split (s)	10.0	47.0	10.0	47.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0
otal Split (%)	11.1%	52.2%	11.1%	52.2%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%
ellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
II-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
otal Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
.ead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag						
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
/c Ratio	0.07	0.24	0.37	0.36		0.16	0.21		0.12	0.24
Control Delay	7.8	14.0	7.7	9.8		24.5	5.8		23.8	5.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
otal Delay	7.8	14.0	7.7	9.8		24.5	5.8		23.8	5.6
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.2	19.5	11.3	18.9		9.3	0.0		7.5	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.7	29.0	18.7	26.7		19.8	12.1		16.8	12.9
nternal Link Dist (m)		186.5		775.0		114.2			150.9	
Furn Bay Length (m)	55.0		45.0				20.0			20.0
Base Capacity (vph)	499	1589	595	1777		433	556		478	570
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.06	0.24	0.37	0.36		0.16	0.21		0.12	0.24
ntersection Summary										
Cycle Length: 90										
Actuated Cycle Length: 90										
Offset: 45 (50%), Reference	d to phase	e 4:EBTL	and 8:WE	3TL, Starl	of Greer					
latural Cycle: 60										
Control Type: Actuated-Coo	rdinated									
plits and Phases: 3: Pop	py Dr./Cla	irfields D	r. & Clair	Rd.						
A 102			10	13	404 (R)				
33 s			10 s		47 s					
1 000			ر ا	17	7001	D)				
v - 200			10 0	,,	v ⊽ Ø8 (47 s	N)				
44 C					11/ 2					

elds Dr.		Weekday Morning Peak Hour									
٦	→	\mathbf{r}	4	+	×	1	t	۲	1	ŧ	~
EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
٦	≜ †⊅		٦	≜ †⊅			4	1		र्भ	i
29	293	62	203	571	12	49	16	108	27	26	12
29	293	62	203	571	12	49	16	108	27	26	12
1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	1.00
1770	3447		1770	3528			1795	1583		1817	1583
0.40	1.00		0.49	1.00			0.78	1.00		0.86	1.00
741	3447		905	3528			1446	1583		1596	1583
0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
32	318	67	221	621	13	53	17	117	29	28	137
0	20	0	0	2	0	0	0	82	0	0	96
32	365	0	221	632	0	0	70	35	0	57	4
pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
7	4		3	8			2			6	
4			8			2		2	6		e
44.9	41.0		51.0	44.1			27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0
44.9	41.0		51.0	44.1			27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0
0.50	0.46		0.57	0.49			0.30	0.30		0.30	0.30
3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
414	1570		580	1728			433	474		478	474
0.00	0.11		c0.03	0.18							
0.04			c0.19				c0.05	0.02		0.04	0.03
0.08	0.23		0.38	0.37			0.16	0.07		0.12	0.09
11.5	14.9		9.7	14.3			23.2	22.6		22.9	22.6
1.00	1.00		0.72	0.65			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
0.1	0.3		0.3	0.5			0.8	0.3		0.5	0.4
11.6	15.3		7.4	9.8			24.0	22.9		23.4	23.0
В	В		А	А			С	С		С	C
	15.0			9.1			23.3			23.1	
	В			А			С			С	
		13.9	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
city ratio		0.32									
,		90.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
tion		44.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			А			
		15									
	elds Dr. elds Dr. EBL Ŷ 29 29 1900 3.0 1.00 0.95 1770 0.40 741 0.92 32 0 32 pm+pt 7 4 44.9 44.9 44.9 44.9 44.9 0.50 3.0 3.0 1.00 0.40 741 0.40 741 0.92 32 0 32 pm+pt 7 4 4 44.9 44.9 44.9 44.9 0.50 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.	elds Dr. & Cla \bullet \bullet EBL EBT \uparrow \uparrow 29 293 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 0.95 1.00 1.70 3447 0.40 1.00 741 3447 0.40 1.00 741 3447 0.92 323 323 365 $pm+pt$ NA 4 4 44.9 41.0 0.50 0.46 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.08 0.23 11.6 15.3 B B	elds Dr. & Clair Rd. \bullet \bullet EBL EBT EBR \uparrow \bullet \bullet 29 293 62 29 293 62 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 1707 3447 0.40 1.00 741 3447 0.92 0.92 0.92 32 385 0 pmpt NA 7 4 4 4.4.9 41.0 44.9 41.0 0.50 0.46 3.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 414 1570 0.00 0.11 0.04 0.23 11.5 14.9 1.00 1.00 0.31 11.6 15.3 B B 15.0 B City ratio 0.32 90.0 13.9 city ratio 0.	elds Dr. & Clair Rd. EBL EBT EBR WBL \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 29 293 62 203 1900 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 3.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.770 3447 905 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 3.3 67 221 0 20 0 0 0 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 3.2 365 0 221 pm+pt NA pm+pt 7 4 8 44.9 41.0 51.0 0.50 0.46 0.57 3.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	elds Dr. & Clair Rd. elds Dr. & Clair Rd.	elds Dr. & Clair Rd. EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 29 293 62 203 571 12 29 293 62 203 571 12 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 0.40 1.00 0.49 1.00 741 3447 905 3528 0.40 1.00 0.49 1.00 741 3447 905 3528 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 32 365 0 221 632 0 0 20 32 36 0 20 0.22 0.32 0.30 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	elds Dr. & Clair Rd. elds Dr. & Clair Rd. VBL WBT WBR NBL \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 29 293 62 203 571 12 49 29 293 62 203 571 12 49 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.900 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.01 1.01 741 3447 905 3528 0.92 <t< td=""><td>Image: Section of a cipacity in the problem of the p</td><td>We way the set of the</td><td>Note of the origination of the originating the origination of the origination of th</td><td>Lange of the second of the se</td></t<>	Image: Section of a cipacity in the problem of the p	We way the set of the	Note of the origination of the originating the origination of the origination of th	Lange of the second of the se

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 6

P:159\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 5
Queues	3
1. HWW	6 Northbound Off-Ramp & Laird Rd

Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour

	→	+	_ ▲	1
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	† †	† †	٦	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	646	396	43	304
Future Volume (vph)	646	396	43	304
Lane Group Flow (vph)	718	440	48	338
Turn Type	NA	NA	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	4	8	2	
Permitted Phases				2
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	34.0	34.0	46.0	46.0
Total Split (%)	42.5%	42.5%	57.5%	57.5%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	7.0	7.0
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
v/c Ratio	0.59	0.38	0.07	0.46
Control Delay	19.6	20.8	11.2	12.9
Queue Delav	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.6	20.8	11.2	12.9
Queue Length 50th (m)	44.7	27.3	3.9	26.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	62.4	39.8	9.4	47.5
Internal Link Dist (m)	282.0	205.6	157.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)				100.0
Base Capacity (vph)	1214	1148	727	739
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.59	0.38	0.07	0.46

Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 80 Actuated Cycle Length: 80 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBL and 6:, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 50 Control Type: Pretimed

Splits and Phases: 4: Hwy. 6 Northbound Off-Ramp & Laird Rd.

• 1 Ø2 (R)	→ Ø4	
46 s	34 s	
	← Ø8	
	34 s	

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 7

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Hwy, 6 Northbound Off-Ramp & Laird Rd.

Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour

Movement EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBR Lane Configurations ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑		-	`	1	+	1	1		
Lane Configurations ↑↑ ↑	Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR		
Traffic Volume (vph) 646 0 0 396 43 304 Future Volume (vph) 646 0 0 396 43 304 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Std. Flow (port) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Std. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 366 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 332 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 10% 21% 12% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) <td>Lane Configurations</td> <td>††</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>††</u></td> <td>٦</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Lane Configurations	† †			<u>††</u>	٦	1		
Future Volume (vph) 646 0 0 396 43 304 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (port) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Flt Permitted 1.00 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% Turn Type<	Traffic Volume (vph)	646	0	0	396	43	304		
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (pern) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (ph) 718 0 440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 718 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s	Future Volume (vph)	646	0	0	396	43	304		
Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Flt Permitted 1.00 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 362 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Potected Phases 2 2 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0	Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Stdt. Flow (prot) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Perm Protected Phases 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 <t< td=""><td>Total Lost time (s)</td><td>6.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>6.0</td><td>7.0</td><td>7.0</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Total Lost time (s)	6.0			6.0	7.0	7.0		
Frt 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (port) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Elt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0 448 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Grean, G (s)	Lane Util, Factor	0.95			0.95	1.00	1.00		
Fit Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Fit Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0.440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 2 2% 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Clearace Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane	Frt	1.00			1.00	1.00	0.85		
Satd. Flow (prot) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Fit Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0.440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0.440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 2 2 2 4% 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 28.0 39.0 39.0 4 4 8 2 Effective Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 4 4	Flt Protected	1.00			1.00	0.95	1.00		
Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 2 2 2 10% 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 7.7 702 v/s Ratio Perm 0.021 0.03 0.021 0.021	Satd. Flow (prot)	3471			3282	1492	1442		
Satd. Flow (perm) 3471 3282 1492 1442 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0.40 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0.440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 148 727 v/s Ratio Pert $C0.21$ 0.13 0.03 0.02 0.20	Flt Permitted	1.00			1.00	0.95	1.00		
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 338 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 718 0 0 40 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 332 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Perm 0.02 0.02 0.21 0.21	Satd. Flow (perm)	3471			3282	1492	1442		
Adj. Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 338 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 12% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03 100	Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90		
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 36 Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 V/s Ratio Perm 6.021 0.03 0.21	Adi, Flow (vph)	718	0	0	440	48	338		
Lane Group Flow (vph) 718 0 0 440 48 302 Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Perm c0.21 v/s Ratio Perm 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.02	RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	36		
Heavy Vehicles (%) 4% 2% 2% 10% 21% 12% Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Actuated Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03 0.21	Lane Group Flow (vph)	718	0	0	440	48	302		
Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03 20.21	Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	2%	2%	10%	21%	12%		
Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Perm c0.21 0.13 0.03	Turn Type	NA			NA	Prot	Perm		
Permitted Phases 2 Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Perm c0.21 0.13 0.03	Protected Phases	4			8	2	1 0111		
Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (uph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03	Permitted Phases					_	2		
Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c.0.21 0.13 0.03	Actuated Green G (s)	28.0			28.0	39.0	39.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03 v/s Ratio Perm c0.21 c0.21	Effective Green, g (s)	28.0			28.0	39.0	39.0		
Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03 v/s Ratio Perm c0.21 c0.21	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.35			0.35	0.49	0.49		
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1214 1148 727 702 v/s Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03	Clearance Time (s)	6.0			6.0	7.0	7.0		
vis Ratio Prot c0.21 0.13 0.03 vis Ratio Perm c0.21 0.21	Lane Grn Can (vph)	1214			1148	727	702		
v/s Ratio Perm c0.21	v/s Ratio Prot	c0.21			0.13	0.03			
	v/s Ratio Perm						c0.21		
v/c Ratio 0.59 0.38 0.07 0.43	v/c Ratio	0.59			0.38	0.07	0.43		
Uniform Delay, d1 21.3 19.5 10.9 13.3	Uniform Delay, d1	21.3			19.5	10.9	13.3		
Progression Factor 0.81 1.00 1.00 1.00	Progression Factor	0.81			1.00	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2 2.1 1.0 0.2 1.9	Incremental Delay, d2	2.1			1.0	0.2	1.9		
Delay (s) 19.3 20.5 11.0 15.2	Delay (s)	19.3			20.5	11.0	15.2		
Level of Service B C B B	Level of Service	В			С	В	В		
Approach Delay (s) 19.3 20.5 14.7	Approach Delay (s)	19.3			20.5	14.7			
Approach LOS B C B	Approach LOS	В			С	В			
Intersection Summary	Intersection Summary								
HCM 2000 Control Delay 18.5 HCM 2000 Level of Service B	HCM 2000 Control Delay			18.5	H	CM 2000	Level of Serv	ice B	
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.50	HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.50					
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 80.0 Sum of lost time (s) 13.0	Actuated Cycle Length (s)			80.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)	13.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 47.5% ICU Level of Service A	Intersection Capacity Litiliza	ation		47.5%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service	Α	
Analysis Period (min) 15	Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 201010		N	
c Critical Lane Group	c Critical Lane Group								

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Queues <u>5: Laird Rd. & Hwy</u>	. 6 Sou	thbour	nd Off-	Ramp	Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour
	+	ţ	ŕ	1	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	††	††	ሻሻ	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	232	241	429	37	
Future Volume (vph)	232	241	429	37	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	273	284	505	44	
Turn Type	NA	NA	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases	4	8	6		
Permitted Phases				6	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	34.0	34.0	46.0	46.0	
Total Split (%)	42.5%	42.5%	57.5%	57.5%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.24	0.31	0.06	
Control Delay	18.9	22.2	13.1	3.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	18.9	22.2	13.1	3.9	
Queue Length 50th (m)	15.8	12.5	23.8	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.4	23.3	31.8	4.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)	199.6	282.0	265.0		
Turn Bay Length (m)				40.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	1226	1180	1625	786	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.22	0.24	0.31	0.06	
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 80					
Actuated Cycle Length: 80					
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	and 6:S	BL, Start	of Green	
Natural Cycle: 50					
Control Type: Pretimed					

Splits and Phases: 5: Laird Rd. & Hwy. 6 Southbound Off-Ramp

	→ Ø4
	34 s
∕∿ø6 (R)	← _Ø8
46 s	34 s

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 9

HCM Signalized In 5: Laird Rd. & Hwy	tersectio	on Cap hboun	bacity /	Analysi Ramp	is		Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour
	۶	→	+	×.	1	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		††	††		ሻሻ	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	232	241	0	429	37	
Future Volume (vph)	0	232	241	0	429	37	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)		6.0	6.0		7.0	7.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	0.95		0.97	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.85	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3505	3374		3335	1568	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3505	3374		3335	1568	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	273	284	0	505	44	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	23	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	273	284	0	505	21	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1			1			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	3%	7%	2%	5%	3%	
Turn Type		NA	NA		Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases		4	8		6		
Permitted Phases						6	
Actuated Green, G (s)		28.0	28.0		39.0	39.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		28.0	28.0		39.0	39.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.35	0.35		0.49	0.49	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0	6.0		7.0	7.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1226	1180		1625	764	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.08	c0.08		c0.15		
v/s Ratio Perm						0.01	
v/c Ratio		0.22	0.24		0.31	0.03	
Uniform Delay, d1		18.3	18.5		12.4	10.7	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.17		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.4	0.5		0.5	0.1	
Delay (s)		18.7	22.0		12.9	10.7	
Level of Service		В	С		В	В	
Approach Delay (s)		18.7	22.0		12.7		
Approach LOS		В	С		В		
Intersection Summary							
HCM 2000 Control Delay			16.6	H	CM 2000	Level of Servic	e B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.28				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			80.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)	13.0
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		47.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service	А
Analysis Period (min)			15				
c Critical Lane Group							

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

	٠	-	4	+	•	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۳	≜ î≽	٦	≜ †⊅	٦	4	۲	f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	101	291	27	593	30	13	25	20	
Future Volume (vph)	101	291	27	593	30	13	25	20	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	117	391	31	761	35	22	29	192	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	7	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Vinimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vinimum Split (s)	9.5	29.0	29.0	29.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	
I otal Split (s)	10.0	51.0	41.0	41.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	
Total Split (%)	11.1%	56.7%	45.6%	45.6%	43.3%	43.3%	43.3%	43.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
I otal Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes					
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	
v/c Ratio	0.20	0.16	0.05	0.33	0.42	0.13	0.21	0.62	
Control Delay	2.3	1.7	1.5	1.4	52.0	29.2	39.6	17.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
l otal Delay	2.3	1.7	1.5	1.4	52.0	29.2	39.6	17.8	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.6	3.2	0.3	3.5	6.2	2.6	5.0	3.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.6	9.1	m1.0	/.1	14.3	8.7	12.0	20.2	
Internal Link Dist (m)	120.0	194.1	50.0	562.0	45.0	132.3	20.0	165.7	
Furn Bay Lengin (m)	130.0	2474	50.0	2202	45.0	(00	20.0	(00	
Base Capacity (Vpn)	583	2464	0/1	2293	319	000	517	098	
Starvation Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reduction	0.20	0.16	0.05	0 22	0 11	0.04	0.06	0.20	
Reduced V/C Rallo	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.55	0.11	0.04	0.06	0.26	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90									
Offset: 88 (98%), Reference	ed to phase	e 4:EBTL	and 8:WE	3TL, Start	of Greer				
Natural Cycle: 65									
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	ordinated								
m Volume for 95th percer	tile queue	is metere	ed by upst	ream sigr	nal.				
C 19 1 DI									
Splits and Phases: 6: Far	iey Dr. & C	Jair Ra.							
[™] Ø2				- e	04 (R)				
39 s				51 s					
				_ <u>∕</u> ø	07	🛛 🗸 🖉 Ø8 (R)		
				10 s		41 s			
39 s				10.5					

	r rtu.									,	5	
	٦	-	\rightarrow	4	+	•	▲	t.	1	>	ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SB
Lane Configurations	۳.	↑1≽		۳.	≜ †⊅		۳.	4		٦	4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	101	291	46	27	593	61	30	13	6	25	20	14
Future Volume (vph)	101	291	46	27	593	61	30	13	6	25	20	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.87	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1751	3191		1800	3367		1801	1625		1802	1613	
Flt Permitted	0.33	1.00		0.52	1.00		0.46	1.00		0.74	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	612	3191		987	3367		872	1625		1410	1613	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.8
Adi, Flow (vph)	117	338	53	31	690	71	35	15	7	29	23	16
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	6	0	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	153	
I ane Group Flow (vph)	117	385	0	31	756	0	35	16	0	29	39	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3		2	2		3	2		1	1		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	11%	7%	0%	6%	0%	0%	8%	17%	0%	0%	19
Turn Tyne	nm+nt	NA		Perm	NΔ		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4		1 0.111	8		1 0.111	2		1 0.111	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	0		2	-		6	Ū	
Actuated Green G (s)	69.3	69.3		60.6	60.6		87	87		87	87	
Effective Green a (s)	69.3	69.3		60.6	60.6		8.7	87		87	8.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0 77	0.77		0.67	0.67		0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10	
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	5/13	2457		664	2267		8/	157		136	155	
v/s Patio Prot	c0.01	0.12		004	c0 22		04	0.01		150	0.02	
v/s Ratio Porm	0.15	0.12		0.03	0.22		c0.04	0.01		0.02	0.02	
v/c Patio	0.13	0.16		0.05	0.33		0.42	0.10		0.02	0.25	
Uniform Dolay, d1	2.7	2.10		5.0	6.0		20.42	27.1		37.5	37.6	
Drogrossion Eactor	0.70	0.56		0.21	0.2		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incromontal Dolay, d2	0.70	0.30		0.21	0.15		2.2	0.3		0.9	0.0	
Dolay (s)	2.1	1.7		1.2	1.2		11.6	27 /		20.0	29.5	
Delay (S)	2.1	1.7		1.2	1.5		41.0 D	37.4 D		30.3 D	30.0 D	
Approach Dolay (s)	А	1.0		~	12		U	40.0		D	29.5	
Approach LOS		Δ			Δ			40.0 D			30.5 D	
		Π			Л			U			U	
HCM 2000 Control Dolou			0.0	11	CM 2000	Lovel of	Sonvice		Δ.			_
HCM 2000 Control Delay	oitu rotio		0.0	H		reveror:	Selvice		A			
Actuated Cycle Length (-)	uty ratio		0.33	C.	upp of log-	time (c)			15.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)	tion		90.0	SI		ume (S)			15.0			
intersection Capacity Utiliza	ແບກ		57.0%	IC	U Level o	DI SERVICE			В			

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC Synchro 9 Report Page 12

	٦	+	4	Ļ	1	t	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۲	≜ †}	٦	≜ †}		4	٦	ţ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	253	15	578	24	11	27	6	
Future Volume (vph)	62	253	15	578	24	11	27	6	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	76	333	18	734	0	75	33	124	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4	3	8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	7	4	3	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase			,		_	_		-	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	9,5	24.0	9.5	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (s)	9.0	41.0	9.0	41.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	10.0%	45.6%	10.0%	45.6%	44.4%	44.4%	44.4%	44.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3,0	4.0	3,0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	2,0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	
lead/lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.21	0.04	0.53	max	0.13	0.07	0.18	
Control Delay	11 7	13.0	10.7	22.3		12.2	18.4	5.0	
Oueue Delav	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	11.7	13.0	10.7	22.3		12.2	18.4	5.0	
Queue Length 50th (m)	5.8	13.5	15	53.4		4.8	3.8	0.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.5	18.4	4.4	63.1		12.2	8.9	9.4	
Internal Link Dist (m)	10.0	562.0		1234.2		176.3	0.7	140.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	55.0	002.0	30.0	120112		17010		110.0	
Base Canacity (vnh)	333	1556	474	1372		571	505	677	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reducto	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.23	0.21	0.04	0.53		0.13	0.07	0.18	
						20			
niersection Summary									
Jycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90									
Ulisel: 44 (49%), Relefence	ed to phase	4:EBIL	and 8:we	STL, Stari	of Green				
Natural Cycle: 60	Part								
Johnor Type: Actuated-Coo	numated								
Solits and Phasos 7. Bo	avor Moadu	nw Dr &	Clair Rd						
≪ †		Di. di	orun INU.			A	- 1		
1 Ø2				4	Ø3	-→Ø4 (R)		
40 5				9 \$		415			
Date:						Zast	D)		

7: Beaver Meadow Dr. & Clair Rd. Weekday Morning Peak Hour * * ٦ ← 1 ~ t - \mathbf{i} € ۴ EBR Movement EBL EBT WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NRR SBI SBT SBR Lane Configurations **ħ**₽ _____A \$ ¢, ٦ ۳ 7 Traffic Volume (vph) 62 253 20 578 24 24 11 27 96 15 27 6 578 27 Future Volume (vph) 62 253 20 15 24 24 11 27 6 96 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00 1.00 Flpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 0.94 1.00 0.86 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.95 3349 1797 Satd. Flow (prot) 1752 3457 1503 1630 1601 Flt Permitted 0.27 1.00 0.55 1.00 0.88 0.71 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 490 3457 872 3349 1457 1340 1601 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 0.82 Adj. Flow (vph) 76 309 24 18 705 29 29 13 33 33 7 117 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 21 0 0 6 0 - 3 73 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 327 18 731 54 33 51 76 0 0 0 0 0 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 2 1 1 2 2 2 Heavy Vehicles (%) 3% 5% 8% 9% 3% 20% 7% 0% 11% 0% 0% 2% Turn Type NA NA Perm NA NA pm+pt pm+pt Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 6 - 3 Permitted Phases 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 43.4 38.6 38.6 36.2 34.0 34.0 34.0 Effective Green, g (s) 43.4 38.6 38.6 36.2 34.0 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.48 0.43 0.43 0.40 0.38 0.38 0.38 6.0 Clearance Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 303 1482 390 1347 550 506 604 v/s Ratio Prot c0.01 0.09 0.00 c0.22 0.03 v/s Ratio Perm 0.11 0.02 c0.04 0.02 0.10 v/c Ratio 0.25 0.22 0.05 0.54 0.07 0.08 13.4 14.9 20.6 18.1 17.9 18.0 Uniform Delay, d1 16.2 Progression Factor 0.92 0.81 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 0.4 0.3 0.0 1.6 0.4 0.2 0.3 13.5 22.1 18.5 18.1 18.3 12.8 14.9 Delay (s) Level of Service В С В В B B B Approach Delay (s) 13.4 22.0 18.5 18.2 Approach LOS В С В В Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 18.8 HCM 2000 Level of Service В HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.32 15.0 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 90.0 Sum of lost time (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.2% ICU Level of Service А Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

Existing Trafffic Conditions

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn Synchro 9 Report IFC Page 14

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

	٦	\mathbf{F}	1	t	Ļ	1
Lane Group	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
ane Configurations	5	1	ň	4	4	1
Traffic Volume (vnh)	229	40	30	226	230	/132
Futuro Volume (vph)	220	40	39	220	230	433
Lana Crown Flow (whb)	220	40	39	220	230	433
Turn Turno	200 Drot	47 Dorm	40 Dorm	203	207	Dorm
Distantial Disease	FIUL	Fenn	r enn	11/4	11/4	r enn
Protected Phases	4	4	2	2	0	4
Minimum Colit (c)	22.0	22.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Total Split (s)	23.0	23.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Total Split (S)	33.0	33.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	27.0
TUIAI SPIII (%)	55.0%	35.0%	45.0%	45.0%	45.0%	45.0%
Yellow Time (S)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (S)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
v/c Ratio	0.37	0.06	0.13	0.42	0.41	0.58
Control Delay	12.8	4.0	14.6	17.3	17.2	4.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	12.8	4.0	14.6	17.3	17.2	4.8
Queue Length 50th (m)	19.1	0.2	3.5	22.6	22.9	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	32.7	4.5	9.3	38.2	38.4	14.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	1234.2			2005.5	465.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		10.0	65.0			20.0
Base Capacity (vph)	718	729	345	633	652	865
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.37	0.06	0.13	0.42	0.41	0.58
	5.57	0.00	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.00
ntersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 60						
Actuated Cycle Length: 60						
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	NBTL an	d 6:SBT,	Start of C	Green	
Natural Cycle: 40						
Control Type: Pretimed						
Splits and Phases: 8: Vic	toria Rd. (E	ast)/Victo	oria Rd. 8	Clair Rd		
1 02 (R)				12	Ø4	
27 s				33	s	
4 gc (p)						
I ♥ Ø6 (R)						
27 -						

HCM Signalized Int 8: Victoria Rd. (Eas	tersectionst)/Victo	on Cap ria Rd	acity /	Analysi iir Rd.	is		Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour
	۶	7	1	1	ţ	1	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	٢	1	٢	1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	228	40	39	226	230	433	
Future Volume (vph)	228	40	39	226	230	433	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.85	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	1568	1641	1810	1863	1538	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	0.57	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1597	1568	988	1810	1863	1538	
Peak-hour factor PHF	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	
Adi Flow (vph)	265	17	45	263	267	503	
RTOP Reduction (vnh)	203	2/	43	205	207	303	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	265	24	45	263	267	176	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	10%	5%	207	5%	
	Drot	Dorm	Dorm	NIA	2.70 NA	Dorm	
Protoctod Phasos	1	renn	r enn	2	6	renn	
Protected Phases	4	4	2	2	0	4	
Actuated Croop C (c)	27.0	27.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	
Effective Creen, d (s)	27.0	27.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	
Actuated a/C Datio	27.0	27.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	
Cloaranco Timo (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
	710	705	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vpn)	/18	/05	345	033	052	538	
V/S Ratio Prot	CU. 17	0.01	0.05	CU. 15	0.14	0.11	
V/s Ratio Perm	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.40	0.41	0.11	
V/C Ratio	0.37	0.03	0.13	0.42	0.41	0.33	
Uniform Delay, d I	10.9	9.2	13.3	14.8	14.8	14.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.5	0.1	8.0	2.0	1.9	1.6	
Delay (s)	12.3	9.3	14.1	16.8	16.7	15.9	
Level of Service	В	A	В	В	B	В	
Approach Delay (s)	11.9			16.4	16.2		
Approach LUS	В			В	В		
Intersection Summary							
HCM 2000 Control Delay			15.3	H	CM 2000	Level of Servic	e B
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.39				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	S	um of los	t time (s)	12.0
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		43.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	А
Analysis Period (min)			15				
c Critical Lane Group							

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 16

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 9: Clair Rd. & Laird Rd. Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour

	→	7	۲	+	•	/		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER		
Lane Configurations	1>			र्भ	Y			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	344	8	102	697	7	36		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	344	8	102	697	7	36		
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop			
Grade	0%			0%	0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	374	9	111	758	8	39		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (m)								
Walking Speed (m/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type	None			None				
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (m)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume			383		1358	378		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol			383		1358	378		
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %			91		95	94		
cM capacity (veh/h)			1175		148	668		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NE 1					
Volume Total	383	869	47					
Volume Left	0	111	8					
Volume Right	9	0	39					
cSH	1700	1175	419					
Volume to Capacity	0.23	0.09	0.11					
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	2.5	3.0					
Control Delay (s)	0.0	2.3	14.7					
Lane LOS		А	В					
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	2.3	14.7					
Approach LOS			В					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			21				_	
Intersection Canacity Litiliza	ation		74.2%	IC	'III evel (of Service		
Analysis Period (min)			15	i.c.		, SCIVICC		
Analysis Fellou (IIIII)			10					

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn	Synchro 9 Report
IFC	Page 17
IFC	Page 17

HCM Unsignalized 10: Gordon St. & M	Interse Ialtby R	ction C d.	Capacit	y Anal	ysis			Ex	isting T We	Frafffic ekday Mo	Cond	Conditions ing Peak Hour		
	٦	→	Ý	4	ţ	×.	•	t	۲	¥	ţ	~		
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		4			4			د	1		4			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	23	13	19	6	4	5	36	615	10	3	631	48		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	23	13	19	6	4	5	36	615	10	3	631	48		
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free			
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	25	14	20	6	4	5	39	661	11	3	678	52		
Pedestrians														
Lane Width (m)														
Walking Speed (m/s)														
Percent Blockage														
Right turn flare (veh)														
Median type								None			None			
Median storage veh)														
Upstream signal (m)														
pX, platoon unblocked														
vC, conflicting volume	1456	1460	704	1476	1475	661	730			672				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol														
vC2, stage 2 conf vol														
vCu, unblocked vol	1456	1460	704	1476	1475	661	730			672				
tC, single (s)	^6.3	^5.8	^5.8	^5.6	^5.4	^5.0	4.1			4.8				
tC, 2 stage (s)	*2.2	*0.1	*2.0	*2.0	*2.0	*2.0	2.2			2.0				
IF (S)	3.Z	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.2			2.8				
pu queue free %	83	92	90	9/	98	99	90			100				
civi capacity (ven/n)	148	185	510	183	217	623	869			6//				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1									
Volume Total	59	15	700	11	733									
Volume Left	25	6	39	0	3									
Volume Right	20	5	0	11	52									
cSH	208	253	869	1700	677									
Volume to Capacity	0.28	0.06	0.04	0.01	0.00									
Queue Length 95th (m)	9.0	1.5	1.1	0.0	0.1									
Control Delay (s)	29.1	20.1	1.2	0.0	0.1									
Lane LOS	D	С	A		A									
Approach Delay (s)	29.1	20.1	1.1		0.1									
Approach LOS	D	С												
Intersection Summary														
Average Delay			1.9											
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		69.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service			С					
Analysis Period (min)			15											
* Liser Entered Value														

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 11: Victoria Rd. (West) & Maltby Rd. Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour

	-	\rightarrow	1	+	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	12			র্শ	Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	30	8	256	23	4	211
Future Volume (Veh/h)	30	8	256	23	4	211
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Hourly flow rate (vph)	33	9	281	25	4	232
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			42		624	38
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			42		624	38
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			82		99	78
cM capacity (veh/h)			1573		371	1032
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	42	306	236			
Volume Left	0	281	4			
Volume Right	9	0	232			
cSH	1700	1573	1002			
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.18	0.24			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	5.2	7.3			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	7.3	9.7			
Lane LOS		А	А			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	7.3	9.7			
Approach LOS			А			
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delay			7.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		42.0%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	C LOVOI (
Analysis reliou (min)			13			

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC Synchro 9 Report Page 19

HCM Unsignalized 12: Maltby Rd. & V	Interse ictoria F	ction C Rd. (Ea	Capacit ast)	y Anal	ysis		Existing Trafffic Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hou
	٦	-	-	•	1	~	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्भ	4		Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	225	11	14	22	18	276	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	225	11	14	22	18	276	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.94	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	239	12	15	23	19	294	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC. conflicting volume	38				516	26	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	38				516	26	
tC. single (s)	4.2				6.6	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tE (s)	2.3				3.7	3.3	
p0 queue free %	84				95	72	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1534				410	1046	
Direction Lane #	ED 1	\M/D 1	CD 1			1010	
Direction, Lane #	201		3D I				
Volume Loft	251	38	313				
Volume Leit	239	22	204				
	1524	1700	294				
LSIT Mahama ta Casasitu	1534	1700	900				
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.02	0.33				
Queue Lengin 95in (m)	4.4	0.0	11.5				
Control Delay (S)	/.5	0.0	10.0				
Lane LUS	A	0.0	В				
Approach Delay (s)	7.5	0.0	10.6				
Approach LUS			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			8.6				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		44.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service	А
Analysis Period (min)			15				

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_AM_calibrated.syn IFC Synchro 9 Report Page 20

Lane Corup EBL EBT WBL WBT NBT NBT SBL SBT Lane Configurations 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 53 7 Fulure Volume (vph) 252 614 113 391 155 483 173 537 Lane Corup Flow (vph) 252 614 113 391 155 483 173 537 Protected Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Permitted Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Vinimum Initia (s) 5.0		٠	→	1	+	1	Ť	` ∖⊧	Ļ	
ane Configurations n	ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Traffic Volume (vph) 252 614 113 391 155 483 173 537 uture Volume (vph) 252 614 113 391 155 483 173 537 are Group Prove pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Vince 10P hase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Vince 10P hase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Vince 10P hase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Vince 10P hase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Vince 10P hase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Vince 10P hase 5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5	ane Configurations	٦	≜ †≯	٦	≜ †⊅	۲	∱ Ъ	۲	∱1 ≽	
Luture Volume (vph) 252 614 113 391 155 483 173 537 ame Group Flow (vph) 265 749 119 508 163 683 182 693 Ium Type propert NA pm-pt NA pm-pt NA pm-pt NA Protected Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 1 6 Protected Phase 7 4 3 8 7 2 0 9 2 6 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 Protected Phase 7 7 4 3 8 7 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% Protected Phase 7 7 4 3 3 8 7 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 Protected Phase 7 7 4 1 1 7 8 20 9 21.6 26.3 22.4 27.5 Drotected Phase 7 7 8 7 7 5 0 194.1 153.6 314.0 Protected Phase 7 7 194.1 153.6 140.0 Protected Phase 7 7 194.1 153.6 140.0 Protected Phase 7 7 194.1 153.6 140.0 Protected Phase 7 1.6 Cordon S1.& Clair RL Protected Phase 7 7 96 (R) Protected Phase 7 1.6 Cordon S1.& Clair RL Protected Phase 7 1.6 Cordon S1.& Clair RL Pro	Fraffic Volume (vph)	252	614	113	391	155	483	173	537	
ane Group Flow (vph) 265 749 119 508 163 683 182 693 furn Type pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA protected Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Velector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 0 0 35.0 10.0 30 4.0 3.	uture Volume (vph)	252	614	113	391	155	483	173	537	
Type pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA pm+pt NA Protected Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Protected Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Printed Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Printed Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Printed Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Printing (s) 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 </td <td>ane Group Flow (vph)</td> <td>265</td> <td>749</td> <td>119</td> <td>508</td> <td>163</td> <td>683</td> <td>182</td> <td>693</td> <td></td>	ane Group Flow (vph)	265	749	119	508	163	683	182	693	
Producted Phases 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 2 6 6 6 Witch Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Witch Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Witch Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Minimum Initial (s) 5.0<	urn Type	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Permitted Phases 4 8 2 6 Delector Phase 7 4 3 8 5 2 1 6 Minimum Initial (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Total Split (s) 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 Total Split (s) 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 31.1% 31.	Protected Phases	7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6	
belector Phase witch Phase finimum Initial (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 finimum Split (s) 7.0 35.0 7.0 35.0 7.0 35.0 total Split (s) 7.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 there of the second	Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
which Phase Animum Initial (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 Inimum Split (s) 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 iolal Split (s) 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% III.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% III.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% III.1% 30.60 III.1% III.1% 38.9% III.1% 38.9% III.1% 38.9% III.1% 38.9% III.1% III.1% 38.9% III.1%	Detector Phase	7	4	3	8	5	2	1	6	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Switch Phase									
tinimum Split (s) 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 9.5 24.0 otal Split (s) 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 otal Split (s) 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% tellow Time (s) 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 ull-Red Time (s) 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 os Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 control Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	/linimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
otal Split (s) 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 10.0 35.0 otal Split (%) 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% otal Split (%) 0.1.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% ull-Red Time (s) 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 ost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 ost Time Adjust (s) 0.0	linimum Split (s)	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	
otal Split (%) 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% 11.1% 38.9% ellow Time (s) 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 H-Red Time (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 ost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 otal Los Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 ead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag ead Lag ead/Lag Optimize? Yes Yes <td< td=""><td>otal Split (s)</td><td>10.0</td><td>35.0</td><td>10.0</td><td>35.0</td><td>10.0</td><td>35.0</td><td>10.0</td><td>35.0</td><td></td></td<>	otal Split (s)	10.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	10.0	35.0	
Pellow Time (s) 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 ull-Red Time (s) 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 ost Time A(g) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 otal Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 colal Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 cad-Lag Optimize? Yes	otal Split (%)	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	38.9%	11.1%	38.9%	
UI-Red Time (s) 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 ost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 ead-Lag Optimize? Yes	'ellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
ost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	II-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	
iotal Lost Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 ead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag ead/Lag Optimize? Yes	ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ead/Lag Lead Lag Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag	otal Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	
ead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes </td <td>.ead/Lag</td> <td>Lead</td> <td>Lag</td> <td>Lead</td> <td>Lag</td> <td>Lead</td> <td>Lag</td> <td>Lead</td> <td>Lag</td> <td></td>	.ead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Vecal Mode None C-Max None C-Max None Max None Max /c Ratio 0.67 0.66 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Ontrol Delay 41.6 43.7 17.5 20.9 21.6 26.3 22.4 27.5 Dueue Length 50th (m) 49.3 75.3 13.5 33.3 16.8 50.2 18.9 53.1 Dueue Length 50th (m) 79.9 75.0 194.1 153.6 314.0 314.0 'urm Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 314.0 314.0 'urm Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 314.0 314.0 'uravation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 'gold Cap Reductn 0	.ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	Max	None	Max	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	/c Ratio	0.67	0.66	0.46	0.46	0.54	0.61	0.57	0.62	
Ducue Delay 0.0	Control Delay	41.6	43.7	17.5	20.9	21.6	26.3	22.4	27.5	
fold Delay 41.6 43.7 17.5 20.9 21.6 26.3 22.4 27.5 Dueue Length 50th (m) 49.3 75.3 13.5 33.3 16.8 50.2 18.9 53.1 Dueue Length 50th (m) 73.9 94.8 25.1 48.2 29.4 69.1 32.3 72.1 Internal Link Dist (m) 775.0 194.1 153.6 314.0 jum Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 Base Capacity (vph) 397 1141 262 1116 304 1118 319 1117 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 <td>Queue Delay</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td>	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Ducue Length 50th (m) 49.3 75.3 13.5 33.3 16.8 50.2 18.9 53.1 Ducue Length 95th (m) 73.9 94.8 25.1 48.2 29.4 69.1 32.3 72.1 termal Link Dist (m) 775.0 194.1 153.6 314.0 'um Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 'ase Capacity (vph) 397 1141 262 1116 304 1118 319 1117 staraction Cap Reductn 0	otal Delay	41.6	43.7	17.5	20.9	21.6	26.3	22.4	27.5	
Ducue Length 95th (m) 73.9 94.8 25.1 48.2 29.4 69.1 32.3 72.1 Internal Link Dist (m) 775.0 194.1 153.6 314.0 um Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 Base Capacity (vph) 397 1141 262 1116 304 1118 319 1117 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Springe Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0	Queue Length 50th (m)	49.3	75.3	13.5	33.3	16.8	50.2	18.9	53.1	
Internal Link Dist (m) 775.0 194.1 153.6 314.0 Furn Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 Sase Capacity (vph) 397 1141 262 1116 304 1118 319 1117 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Sycle Length: 90	Queue Length 95th (m)	73.9	94.8	25.1	48.2	29.4	69.1	32.3	72.1	
Turn Bay Length (m) 75.0 25.0 50.0 140.0 Base Capacity (vph) 397 1141 262 1116 304 1118 319 1117 Stavation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Splitback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Splitback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Eveloced v/c Ratio 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Eveloced v/c Ratio 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Eveloced v/c Ratio Intersection Intersection Intersection Intersection Vigits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. Intersection Intersection Intersection Intersection Intersection <td< td=""><td>nternal Link Dist (m)</td><td></td><td>775.0</td><td></td><td>194.1</td><td></td><td>153.6</td><td></td><td>314.0</td><td></td></td<>	nternal Link Dist (m)		775.0		194.1		153.6		314.0	
Jase Capacity (vph) 397 1141 262 1116 304 1118 319 1117 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Storage Cap Reduct Intersection Summary Storage Cap Reduct Intersection Storage Cap Reduct Intersection Storage Cap Reduct Intersection Splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. Intersection Storage Cap Reduct Intersection Storage Cap Reduct Intersection	Turn Bay Length (m)	75.0		25.0		50.0		140.0		
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v(Ratio 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 90 Spills and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. p_{01} p_{02} p_{03} p_{04} (R) 10 s 35 s p_{06} p_{07} p_{08} (R) 10 s 35 s p_{06} p_{07} p_{08} (R) 10 s 35 s p_{06} p_{07} p_{08} (R)	Base Capacity (vph)	397	1141	262	1116	304	1118	319	1117	
pilliback Cap Reductin 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.67 0.66 0.45 0.46 0.54 0.61 0.57 0.62 Intersection Summary Sycle Length: 90 Solution defined by the set of t	Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Intersection Summary Sycle Length: 90 Sycle Length: 90 Intersection Stream Intersection Cover Intersectin Cover In	educed v/c Ratio	0.67	0.66	0.45	0.46	0.54	0.61	0.57	0.62	
ycle Length: 90 c/cutated Cycle Length: 90 Jiffset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection latural Cycle: 70 Jointrol Type: Actuated-Coordinated Jointol Type: A	ntersection Summary									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection Iatural Cycle: 70 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 5 3 5	Cycle Length: 90									
OffSet: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection Latural Cycle: 70 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. • g1 • g2 • g2 • g3 • g4 (R) • g3 • g4 • g4 • g3 • g4	ctuated Cycle Length: 90									
Iatural Cycle: 70 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd.	Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 4	:EBTL an	d 8:WBT	L, Start of	Green, N	Aaster Int	ersection		
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. $\searrow g_1$	Vatural Cycle: 70									
Splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. • ρ1 • ρ2 • ρ3 • ρ4 (R) • 0s • 35 s • ρ5 • ρ6 • ρ7 • ρ8 (R) • 0s • 35 s	Control Type: Actuated-Coc	rdinated								
splits and Phases: 1: Gordon St. & Clair Rd. • 01 • 02 • 03 • 03 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05 • 05										
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Splits and Phases: 1: Go	don St. &	Clair Rd.							
0.0 s $35 s$ $10 s$ $35 s$ $0.0 s$ $35 s$	01 02					60	13	404 (P)	
▲ Ø5 ↓ [®] Ø6 Ø7 ↓ € Ø8 (R) 10 5 35 5 35 5	10 s 35 s					10 s	.5	35 s	Ŋ	
10 s 35 s 10 s 35 s 10 s 35 s	▲ ar bac					. الح		+	D)	
	10 c 25 c					10 c	17	75 c	K)	
	200 C					10 5		000		

_	-		•	-	 	A	T.		×	÷	-
EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
۲	∱1 ≽		٦	≜ †}		٦	≜ †}		٦	ħ₽	
252	614	98	113	391	91	155	483	166	173	537	122
252	614	98	113	391	91	155	483	166	173	537	122
1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0	
1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	
1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
1.00	0.98		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.97	
0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
1780	3485		1611	3394		1735	3352		1803	3401	
0.39	1.00		0.23	1.00		0.26	1.00		0.27	1.00	
729	3485		387	3394		477	3352		507	3401	
0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.05
265	646	103	119	412	96	163	508	175	182	565	128
0	14	0	0	22	0	0	38	0	0	22	120
265	735	0	119	486	0	163	645	0	182	671	0
17	755	7	7	100	17	2	015	11	11	0/1	2
17		,	,			2		1			-
1%	1%	2%	12%	3%	1%	1%	1%	8%	0%	2%	7%
nmint	NA	270	nmint	N/A	170	nm i nt	NA	070	nmunt	NIA	11
рш+рі 7	11/4		pin+pi 2	Q		рштрі Б	2		μπτρι 1	6	
/	4		2 0	0		J 2	2		6	U	
36.1	20.1		25.0	20.0		36.0	20.0		36.0	20.0	
26.1	27.1		25.0	27.0		26.0	27.0		24.0	29.0	
0.40	29.1		0.40	29.0		0.40	29.0		0.40	29.0	
2.0	6.0		2.0	6.0		2.0	6.0		2.0	6.0	
2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
3/4	0.21		248	1093		288	1080		303	1095	
-0.00	0.21		0.04	0.14		0.04	0.19		CU.U5	CU.20	
CU.23	0.75		0.15	0.14		0.18	0.40		0.19	0.(1	
0.71	0.65		0.48	0.44		0.57	0.60		0.60	0.61	
20.5	26.1		18.4	24.1		18.5	25.6		18.6	25.8	
1.94	1.59		0.84	0.86		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
5.6	2.7		1.4	1.3		2.5	2.4		3.3	2.6	
45.2	44.3		16.9	22.0		21.1	28.0		22.0	28.3	
D	D		В	C		C	C		C	C	
	44.5 D			21.0			26.7			27.0	
	D			C			C			C	
		31.1	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		C			
city ratio		0.66									
		90.0	Su	um of lost	time (s)			18.0			
ion		74.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service	;		D			
	EBL ** 252 252 252 1000 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 265 0 265 0 265 0 265 0 265 17 1% pm+pt 7 4 36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 3.0 374 c0.06 c0.23 0.71 20.5 D b city ratio	EBL EBT Y 41- 252 614 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 9.95 0.95 1.00 1.00 9.98 0.95 0.095 265 646 0 14 265 646 0 14 265 646 0 14 265 646 0 14 265 129.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1 36.1 29.1	EBL EBT EBR * * * 252 614 98 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 10 0.40 0.32 3.0 6.0 3.0 374 1126 0.065 20.5 26.1 1.94 1.94 1.59 5.6 2.7 45.2 44.3 D	EBL EBT EBR WBL Y 41- Y 252 614 98 113 1900 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 3.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.00 0.95 1.00 0.00 0.95 1.00 0.00 0.95 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.023 729 3485 1611 0.39 1.00 0.23 729 3485 387 0.95 0.95 0.95 265 646 103 119 0 14 0 0 265 735 0 119 0 14 0 0 265 735 119 0 14 0	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT 1 1 1 1 1 1 252 614 98 113 391 252 614 98 113 391 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.97 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.97 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 9.05 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 265 646 103 119 412 0 14 0 0 22 265 735 0 119 486 17 7 7 7 7 1% 1% 2% 1%	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR Y Y1 Y Y1 Y1 Y1 252 614 98 113 391 91 252 614 98 113 391 91 252 614 98 113 391 91 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 0.95 1.00 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.97 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 265 646 103 119 412 96 0 17 7 7 17 1% 1% 2% 12% 3% 1% 9 <td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.023 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.26 729 3485 1611 3394 477 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 265 646 103 119 412 96 163 0 14 0 0 22 0 0 <td< td=""><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBL 1</td><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 483 166 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 483 166 1000 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 99 1.00 0.96 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.96 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.96 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95</td><td>EB EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL 1</td><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT SBT 1</td></td<></td>	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.023 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.26 729 3485 1611 3394 477 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 265 646 103 119 412 96 163 0 14 0 0 22 0 0 <td< td=""><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBL 1</td><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 483 166 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 483 166 1000 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 99 1.00 0.96 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.96 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.96 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95</td><td>EB EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL 1</td><td>EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT SBT 1</td></td<>	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBL 1	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 483 166 252 614 98 113 391 91 155 483 166 1000 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 99 1.00 0.96 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.96 1.00 0.98 1.00 0.97 1.00 0.96 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95	EB EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL 1	EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT SBT 1

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

	٠	-	1	+	1	1	- \	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations		\$		\$	٦	≜ †⊳	٦	۴Þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	0	46	5	5	816	38	708	
Future Volume (vph)	2	0	46	5	5	816	38	708	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	3	0	96	5	942	41	773	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4		8	5	2	1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	8	8	5	2	1	6	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	10.0	50.0	10.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	11.1%	55.6%	11.1%	55.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0		6.0	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag		0.0		0.0	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Load-Lag Ontimizo?					Vos	Vos	Vos	Vos	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Max	None	Max	
v/c Ratio	NUTC	0.01	NOTIC	0.47	0.01	0.38	0.08	0.20	
Control Delay		0.01		27.4	2.8	7.4	2.00	5.0	
Ομομο Ποίαν		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		0.0		27.4	2.8	7.4	2.9	5.0	
Oueue Length 50th (m)		0.0		7.5	0.2	34.2	11	16.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)		0.0		21.2	0.2	56.0	3.7	10.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		247.7		21.2	0.7	1837.2	5.7	152.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)		271.1		200.4	65.0	1037.2	27.0	133.0	
Rase Canacity (vnh)		539		506	627	2468	517	2666	
Starvation Can Reducte		0 0 0		500 A	027	2400 N	0	2000	
Snillhack Can Poductn		0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Can Reductin		0		0	0	0	0	0	
Doducod v/c Datio		0.01		0 10	0.01	0.36	0.09	0.20	
NEUULEU VIL RAIIU		0.01		0.19	0.01	0.30	0.08	0.29	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.7									
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Unc	oordinated	1							
Splits and Phases: 2: Gor	don St. &	Poppy Dr							
Ø1 (02								404	
10 s 50 s								0 s	
1 m								€	
10 c 5 0 c								▼ Ø8	
10 5 50 5							3	iu s	

IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 3 P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn

HCM Signalized Int 2: Gordon St. & Pop	HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Gordon St. & Poppy Dr.										Cond	itions ak Hour
	٨	ţ	*	4	ţ	•	<	t	1	ŕ	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			4		۲	ŧ₽		۲	đ₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	0	1	46	5	38	5	816	51	38	708	3
Future Volume (vph)	2	0	1	46	5	38	5	816	51	38	708	3
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		6.0			6.0		3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95	
Frt		0.95			0.94		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		0.97			0.97		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1722			1711		1770	3508		1770	3537	
Elt Permitted		0.84			0.83		0.36	1.00		0.27	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1496			1466		668	3508		500	3537	
Peak-hour factor PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (vph)	2	0.72	1	50	5	41	5	887	55	41	770	3
RTOR Reduction (vnh)	0	3	0	0	37	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	59	0	5	939	0	41	773	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	0	Perm	NA	0	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	1 0.111	4		1 0.111	8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	Ū		2	-		6	0	
Actuated Green, G (s)		72		Ū	72		52.8	51.7		57.8	54.2	
Effective Green a (s)		7.2			7.2		52.8	51.7		57.8	54.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.09			0.09		0.68	0.67		0.75	0.70	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			6.0		3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)		138			136		470	2340		431	2473	
v/s Patio Prot		150			150		0.00	c0 27		c0.00	0.22	
v/s Ratio Porm		0.00			c0.04		0.00	00.27		0.07	0.22	
v/c Patio		0.00			0.43		0.01	0.40		0.07	0.21	
Uniform Dolay, d1		21.0			22.2		3.0	5.0		2.10	4.5	
Drogrossion Eactor		1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00		2.0	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.0			2.2		0.0	0.5		0.1	0.3	
Dolay (s)		31.0			35.4		4.0	6.4		2.0	1.8	
Loval of Sarvica		51.7 C			55.4 D		4.0	۸.4		Δ. /	4.0 Λ	
Approach Dolay (s)		21.0			25.4		A	6.4		A	47	
Approach LOS		31.9 C			35.4 D			0.4 A			4.7 A	
		U			D			~			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Intersection Summary									-			
HCM 2000 Control Delay			7.2	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		A			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.39									_
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			77.5	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		47.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	5		A			_
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

	٦	→	4	+	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
ane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	≜ †}	٦	≜ †⊅		Ł	1		Ł	1
raffic Volume (vph)	106	803	24	428	11	3	36	21	3	77
uture Volume (vph)	106	803	24	428	11	3	36	21	3	77
ane Group Flow (vph)	115	886	26	515	0	15	39	0	26	84
im Type	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
rotected Phases	7	4	3	8		2			6	
ermitted Phases	4		8		2		2	6		6
etector Phase	7	4	3	8	2	2	2	6	6	6
witch Phase										
inimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
inimum Split (s)	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
otal Split (s)	10.0	47.0	10.0	47.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0
otal Split (%)	11.1%	52.2%	11.1%	52.2%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%
ellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
II-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
otal Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
ead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag						
ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						
ecall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
/c Ratio	0.22	0.48	0.07	0.31		0.03	0.07		0.06	0.16
ontrol Delay	8.8	15.5	11.3	19.2		22.6	2.1		23.0	6.3
ueue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
otal Delay	8.8	15.5	11.3	19.2		22.6	2.1		23.0	6.3
ueue Length 50th (m)	8.2	45.5	2.7	37.8		1.9	0.0		3.4	0.0
ueue Length 95th (m)	15.4	77.4	m5.2	48.6		6.6	2.7		9.4	10.3
ternal Link Dist (m)		186.5		775.0		114.2			150.9	
urn Bay Length (m)	55.0		45.0				20.0			20.0
ase Capacity (vph)	524	1851	387	1674		481	526		460	533
tarvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
pillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
torage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
educed v/c Ratio	0.22	0.48	0.07	0.31		0.03	0.07		0.06	0.16
torsoction Summary		-								
velo Longth: 00										
renated Cycle Longth 00										
ffsat: 86 / (96%) Reference	od to pha	SO A.ERT	and 8-W	VRTI St	art of Grov	on				
atural Cyclo: 60		30 4.EDT		VDIL, SU		511				
atural Cycle. 00	rdinatod									
Volume for 95th percent	tilo nuovo	is motoro	d hy upst	roam sig	nal					
Volume for 75th percent	ine queue	13 metere	u by upsi	i cam sigi	iai.					
plits and Phases: 3. Pon	ov Dr./Cla	airfields D	r. & Clair	Rd.						
sho ana i naoos. − 0, i 0p	101.101	ioids Di			A	- 1				
1/jØ2			₹ ¢)3	¢04 (R)				
3.5			10 5		4/S					
Ø6			_ ~ _	07	🗸 🗸 🖉	R)				
2 -			10 s		47 s					

	٠	-	~	~	←	•	•	ŧ	*	5	T	1
Movement	EDI	EDT	EPD	1//DI	W/DT	1//PD	NDI	NDT	NPD	CDI	CDT	CDI
Lano Configurations	EDL	41	EDK	VVDL		WDR	NDL			JDL	301	JDI
Traffic Volumo (vph)	106	803	12	24	129	16	11	1	36	21	3	7
Future Volume (vph)	100	803	12	24	420	40	11	3	36	21	3	7
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	100	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	100
Total Lost time (s)	3.0	6.0	1700	3.0	6.0	1700	1700	6.0	6.0	1700	6.0	61
Lane Litil Eactor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Edite Ottil: 1 detoi	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.8
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3531		1770	3488			1791	1583		1784	1583
Elt Permitted	0.41	1.00		0.27	1.00			0.86	1.00		0.82	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	757	3531		505	3488			1606	1583		1535	1583
Peak-hour factor PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93
Adi Flow (vph)	115	873	13	26	465	50	12	3	30	23	3	84
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	0	27	0	0	50
Lane Group Flow (vph)	115	885	0	26	506	0	0	15	12	0	26	2!
Turn Tyne	nm+nt	NΔ		nm+nt	NA		Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	7	4		3	8		1 01111	2	1 0/11/	1 0/111	6	1 0111
Permitted Phases	4			8			2	_	2	6	-	ť
Actuated Green, G (s)	51.0	45.4		45.0	42.4			27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0
Effective Green, a (s)	51.0	45.4		45.0	42.4			27.0	27.0		27.0	27.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.57	0.50		0.50	0.47			0.30	0.30		0.30	0.30
Clearance Time (s)	3.0	6.0		3.0	6.0			6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	491	1781		289	1643			481	474		460	474
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.01	c0.25		0.00	0.15							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.12			0.04				0.01	0.01		c0.02	0.02
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.50		0.09	0.31			0.03	0.02		0.06	0.05
Uniform Delay, d1	9.2	14.7		11.7	14.7			22.3	22.2		22.4	22.4
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.47	1.29			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	0.2	1.0		0.1	0.4			0.1	0.1		0.2	0.2
Delay (s)	9.5	15.7		17.3	19.4			22.4	22.3		22.7	22.6
Level of Service	A	В		В	В			С	С		С	(
Approach Delay (s)		15.0			19.3			22.3			22.6	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.1	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.34									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		48.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service	;		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

Image: Second	Queues 4: Hwy. 6 Northbou	und Off-	Ramp	& Lair	d Rd.	Existing Traffic Conditions Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour
Lane Group EBT WBT NBL NBR Lane Configurations ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑	-	+	ţ	1	۲	
Lane Configurations ++ ++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Traffic Volume (vph) 563 664 25 163 Future Volume (vph) 563 664 25 163 Lane Group Flow (vph) 626 738 28 181 Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 Minimum Split (s) 24.0 25.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 46.0 46.0 All-Red Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 3.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Ueue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Outeue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 60.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 28.2 20.5 15.7.0 17.6 Turn Bay Length (m) 10.0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Ca	Lane Configurations	††		٦	1	
Future Volume (vph) 563 664 25 163 Lane Group Flow (vph) 626 738 28 181 Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases - 2 Minimum Split (s) 24.0 25.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 46.0 Total Split (s) 42.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead-Lag Optimize? - - v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 Oueue Delay 10.4 0.4 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 Oueue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 Oueue Length 95th (m) 51.0 6.5 00 Oueue Length 95th (m) 51.0 6.5 00 Dueue Leng	Traffic Volume (vph)	563	664	25	163	
Lane Group Flow (vph) 626 738 28 181 Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 2 Minimum Split (s) 24.0 25.0 25.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 34.0 46.0 Total Split (s) 42.5% 57.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag U 4.2 10.9 6.5 Counco Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Queue Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 210.3 <td>Future Volume (vph)</td> <td>563</td> <td>664</td> <td>25</td> <td>163</td> <td></td>	Future Volume (vph)	563	664	25	163	
Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 2 Minimum Split (s) 24.0 25.0 25.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 34.0 46.0 46.0 Total Split (s) 42.5% 42.5% 57.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 4.0 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? - - - - - V/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 - - - - Oueue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -	Lane Group Flow (vph)	626	738	28	181	
Protected Phases 4 8 2 Permitted Phases 2 Minimum Split (s) 24.0 25.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 34.0 46.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 3.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Split (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? V/c Ratio 0.5 V/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.3 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 65.0 17.6 Tum Bay Length (m) 100.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Tum Bay Length (m) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storag	Turn Type	NA	NA	Prot	Perm	
Permitted Phases 2 Minimum Spitt (s) 24.0 25.0 25.0 Total Spitt (s) 34.0 44.6 46.0 Total Spitt (%) 42.5% 57.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead-Lag Optimize? V/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 Total Lost Time (s) 20.5.6 15.7.6 17.6 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 60.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0	Protected Phases	4	8	2		
Minimum Split (s) 24.0 24.0 25.0 Total Split (s) 34.0 34.0 46.0 Total Split (s) 42.5% 57.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Ucast Time (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 210.0 6.5 0.0 10.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 1203 879 719 <td< td=""><td>Permitted Phases</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td></td<>	Permitted Phases				2	
Total Split (s) 34.0 34.0 46.0 46.0 Total Split (s) 42.5% 57.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 3.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Split (%) 0.50 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize?	Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Spiti (%) 42.5% 42.5% 57.5% 57.5% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize?	Total Split (s)	34.0	34.0	46.0	46.0	
Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag	Total Split (%)	42.5%	42.5%	57.5%	57.5%	
All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 3.0 3.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Unimize? V/C Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Intersection Summary	All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	
Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Optimize? v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Oueue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Oueue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Oueue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 10.03 0.25	Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize? v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 65.0 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 8ase Capacity (vph) 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0	Lead/Lag					
v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Control Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Tirm Bay Length (m) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	v/c Ratio	0.52	0.61	0.03	0.25	
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 6.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	Control Delay	18.4	24.2	10.9	6.5	
Total Delay 18.4 24.2 10.9 6.5 Oueue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Oueue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 50th (m) 35.6 50.6 2.2 6.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	Total Delay	18.4	24.2	10.9	6.5	
Queue Length 95th (m) 51.0 69.0 6.3 17.6 Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Intersection Summary	Queue Length 50th (m)	35.6	50.6	2.2	6.5	
Internal Link Dist (m) 282.0 205.6 157.0 Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Intersection Summary Turn Storage Table	Queue Length 95th (m)	51.0	69.0	6.3	17.6	
Turn Bay Length (m) 100.0 Base Capacity (vph) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0	Internal Link Dist (m)	282.0	205.6	157.0		
Base Capacity (vph) 1203 1203 879 719 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25	Turn Bay Length (m)				100.0	
Starvation Cap Reductn 0	Base Capacity (vph)	1203	1203	879	719	
Spillback Cap Reductn 0	Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Intersection Summary	Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.25 Intersection Summary	Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Intersection Summary	Reduced v/c Ratio	0.52	0.61	0.03	0.25	
	Intersection Summary					

Cycle Length: 80 Actuated Cycle Length: 80 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:NBL and 6:, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 50 Control Type: Pretimed

Splits and Phases: 4: Hwy. 6 Northbound Off-Ramp & Laird Rd.

▲ Ø2 (R)	→ Ø4
46 s	34 s
	← _{Ø8}
	34 s

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 7

HCM Signalized Intersection	on Capa	acity A	nalysi	s	
4: Hwy. 6 Northbound Off-	Ramp &	& Laird	Rd.		

Existing Traffic Conditions Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

Page 8

Movement EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL NBR Lane Configurations ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑
Lane Configurations ↑↑ ↑
Traffic Volume (vph) 563 0 664 25 163 Future Volume (vph) 563 0 0 664 25 163 Hore Volume (vph) 563 0 0 664 25 163 Hore Volume (vph) 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000
Future Volume (vph) 563 0 0 664 25 163
Ideal Flow (uppp) 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000
iuear Fiuw (vpripi) 1400 1400 1400 1400 1400
Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0
Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 1.00 1.00
Frt 1.00 1.00 0.85
Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00
Satd. Flow (prot) 3438 3438 1805 1369
Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00
Satd. Flow (perm) 3438 3438 1805 1369
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90
Adj. Flow (vph) 626 0 0 738 28 181
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 52
Lane Group Flow (vph) 626 0 0 738 28 129
Heavy Vehicles (%) 5% 2% 2% 5% 0% 18%
Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm
Protected Phases 4 8 2
Permitted Phases 2
Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0
Effective Green, g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49
Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1203 1203 879 667
v/s Ratio Prot 0.18 c0.21 0.02
v/s Ratio Perm c0.09
v/c Ratio 0.52 0.61 0.03 0.19
Uniform Delay, d1 20.7 21.5 10.7 11.6
Progression Factor 0.80 1.00 1.00 1.00
Incremental Delay, d2 1.6 2.3 0.1 0.6
Delay (s) 18.1 23.9 10.7 12.2
Level of Service B C B B
Approach Delay (s) 18.1 23.9 12.0
Approach LOS B C B
Intersection Summary
HCM 2000 Control Delay 20.0 HCM 2000 Level of Service C
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.37
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 80.0 Sum of lost time (s) 13.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 36.5% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
c Critical Lane Group

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn Synchro 9 Report IFC

Queues 5: Laird Rd. & Hwy	. 6 Sou	thbour	d Off-	Ramp	Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour
	→	+	1	1	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	<u>††</u>	<u>††</u>	ሻሻ	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	250	395	344	40	
Future Volume (vph)	250	395	344	40	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	272	429	374	43	
Turn Type	NA	NA	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases	4	8	6		
Permitted Phases				6	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	34.0	34.0	46.0	46.0	
Total Split (%)	42.5%	42.5%	57.5%	57.5%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.34	0.23	0.06	
Control Delay	18.9	31.7	12.3	3.9	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	18.9	31.7	12.3	3.9	
Queue Length 50th (m)	15.8	26.4	16.9	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	24.8	40.9	25.2	4.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)	199.6	282.0	265.0		
Turn Bay Length (m)				40.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	1226	1250	1610	750	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.22	0.34	0.23	0.06	
Intersection Summary					
Cvcle Lenath: 80					
Actuated Cycle Length: 80					
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2	and 6:SI	3L, Start	of Green	
Natural Cycle: 50			,		
Control Type: Pretimed					
Splits and Phases: 5: Lai	rd Rd. & Hi	NY. 6 SOL	thbound	Utt-Ramp	
					→ Ø4
					34 s
₩ 06 (R)					 <i>∅</i> 8

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 9 HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 5: Laird Rd. & Hwy. 6 Southbound Off-Ramp

Existing Traffic Conditions Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

Movement EBL EBT WBT WBR SBL SBR Lane Configurations ↑↑
Lane Configurations ↑↑ ↓↑
Traffic Volume (vph) 0 250 395 0 344 40 Future Volume (vph) 0 250 395 0 344 40 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 0.97 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Stdt. Flow (port) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 21
Future Volume (vph) 0 250 395 0 344 40 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 0.97 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Stat. Flow (port) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Stat. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 21
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 0.97 1.00 Ft 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Std. Flow (port) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Ftl Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 21
Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0 Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 0.97 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 Std. Flow (prot) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 21
Lane Util. Factor 0.95 0.95 0.97 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 Satd. Flow (prot) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (pert) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Flt Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (pert) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 21
Frit 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 FII Protected 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 3505 3574 3303 1495 FII Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 21
Fit Protected 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Fit Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Satd. Flow (prot) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Fit Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Fit Permitted 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Satal. Flow (perm) 3505 3574 3303 1495 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Adj. Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 43 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 22 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 272 429 0 374 21
Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 3% 1% 2% 6% 8%
Turn Type NA NA Prot Perm
Protected Phases 4 8 6
Permitted Phases 6
Actuated Green, G (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0
Effective Green. g (s) 28.0 28.0 39.0 39.0
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.35 0.49 0.49
Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 7.0 7.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1226 1250 1610 728
v/s Ratio Prot 0.08 c0.12 c0.11
v/s Ratio Perm 0.01
v/c Ratio 0.22 0.34 0.23 0.03
Uniform Delay, d1 18.3 19.2 11.8 10.7
Progression Factor 1.00 1.60 1.00 1.00
Incremental Delay, d2 0.4 0.6 0.3 0.1
Delay (s) 18.7 31.3 12.2 10.7
Level of Service B C B B
Approach Delay (s) 18.7 31.3 12.0
Approach LOS B C B
Intersection Summary
HCM 2000 Control Delay 21.1 HCM 2000 Level of Service C
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.28
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 80.0 Sum of lost time (s) 13.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 36.5% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
c Critical Lane Group

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

	≯	→	4	+	•	Ť	>	Ļ	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	≜ †}	٦	≜ †}	٦	4	٦	4Î	
Traffic Volume (vph)	232	527	48	305	111	106	56	73	
Future Volume (vph)	232	527	48	305	111	106	56	73	
ane Group Flow (vph)	244	787	51	400	117	148	59	236	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4	1 01111	8	1 01111	2	1 0/111	6	
Permitted Phases	4		8	0	2	-	6	0	
Detector Phase	7	4	8	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	1	т	0	0	2	2	0	U	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Snlit (s)	0.5	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Solit (s)	10.0	55.0	45.0	45.0	24.0	35.0	24.0	35.0	
Total Split (%)	11 10/	61 1%	40.0	40.0 50.0%	28 00/	28.0%	28 00/	39.0%	
Vollow Timo (s)	2.0	1.1/0	30.0 %	30.0 %	30.7/0	J0.7/0	JO.7/0	J0.7/0	
All Dod Time (s)	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lost Timo Adjust (s)	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
LOST TIME AUJUST (S)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lood/Log	0.C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Leau/Lay	Leau		Lay	Lay					
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	C Mari	Yes	Yes	Maria	Maria	Maria	Maria	
Recall Wode	None	C-IVIAX	C-IVIAX	C-IVIAX	NIAX	NIAX	NIAX 0.1F	NIAX	
V/C Ratio	0.42	0.42	0.18	0.27	0.36	0.25	0.15	0.38	
Control Delay	16.0	15.1	14.3	12.5	27.5	20.8	23.2	13.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	16.0	15.1	14.3	12.5	27.5	20.8	23.2	13.3	
Queue Length 50th (m)	31.0	49.1	6.9	27.1	16.2	17.0	7.6	15.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	46.7	64.8	16.3	38.4	32.0	32.2	17.1	34.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)	105.0	194.1	50.0	563.0	15.0	111.7		152.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	125.0		50.0		45.0		20.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	583	1864	289	1484	321	598	383	619	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.42	0.42	0.18	0.27	0.36	0.25	0.15	0.38	
Intersection Summarv									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90									
Offset: 50 4 (56%) Referen	nced to pha	se 4·FBT	1 and 8.V	VBTL St	art of Gree	n			
Natural Cycle: 60	loou to prid	oo nebi	L and on	1012,00		511			
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
control rype. netadica co	Jamatea								
Splits and Phases: 6: Fa	rlev Dr. & C	lair Rd.							
	noj bir a c	ian rea.		A					
) Ø2			-	-04 (R)					
55 5			55	*	-				
- 06			-	Ø7	• V 9	18 (R)			
V 00									

EBL 232 1900 3.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	EBT ↑↓ 527 527 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00	EBR 220 220 1900	WBL 48 48 1900 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	WBT 305 305 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99	WBR 75 75 1900	NBL 111 111 1900 6.0 1.00	NBT 106 106 1900 6.0 1.00	NBR 34 34 1900	SBL 56 56 1900 6.0	SBT 73 73 1900 6.0	SBR 151 151 1900
 322 232 232 1900 3.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890 	 ♣₽ 527 527 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00 	220 220 1900	* 48 48 1900 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	↑ 305 305 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99	75 75 1900	* 111 111 1900 6.0 1.00	106 106 1900 6.0	34 34 1900	56 56 1900 6.0	↑ 73 73 1900 6.0	151 151 1900
232 232 1900 3.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	527 527 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00	220 220 1900	48 48 1900 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	305 305 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99	75 75 1900	111 111 1900 6.0 1.00	106 106 1900 6.0	34 34 1900	56 56 1900 6.0	73 73 1900 6.0	151 151 1900
232 1900 3.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	527 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00	220 1900	48 1900 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	305 1900 6.0 0.95 0.99	75 1900	111 1900 6.0 1.00	106 1900 6.0	34 1900	56 1900 6.0	73 1900 6.0	151 1900
1900 3.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	1900 6.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00	1900	1900 6.0 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	1900 6.0 0.95 0.99	1900	1900 6.0 1.00	1900 6.0	1900	1900 6.0	1900 6.0	1900
3.0 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	6.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00		6.0 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	6.0 0.95 0.99		6.0 1.00	6.0		6.0	6.0	
1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	0.95 0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00		1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00	0.95 0.99		1.00	1.00				
1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	0.99 1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00		1.00 0.99 1.00	0.99			1.00		1.00	1.00	
1.00 1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	1.00 0.96 1.00 3330 1.00		0.99			1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98	
1.00 0.95 1799 0.47 890	0.96 1.00 3330 1.00		1.00	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.98	1.00	
0.95 1799 0.47 890	1.00 3330 1.00		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.96		1.00	0.90	
1799 0.47 890	3330 1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
0.47 890	1.00		1793	3371		1742	1815		1704	1667	
890			0.35	1.00		0.54	1.00		0.66	1.00	
	3330		668	3371		998	1815		1189	1667	
0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
244	555	232	51	321	79	117	112	36	59	77	159
0	51	0	0	24	0	0	13	0	0	83	0
244	736	0	51	376	0	117	135	0	59	153	0
6		8	8		6	16		15	15		16
-		-	-					1			
0%	3%	1%	0%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
nm+nt	NA	170	Perm	NA	070	Perm	NA	070	Perm	NA	
7	4		T CHI	8		T GIIII	2		T CHI	6	
4			8	0		2	2		6	0	
49.0	49.0		39.0	39.0		29.0	29.0		29.0	29.0	
/0.0	/0 0		30.0	30.0		20.0	20.0		20.0	20.0	
0.54	0.54		0.43	0.43		0.32	0.32		0.32	0.32	
3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	
3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
555	1012		280	1/60		221	59/		202	527	
0.03	0.22		207	0.11		JZT	0.07		303	0.00	
c0.00	0.22		0.08	0.11		c0 12	0.07		0.05	0.07	
0.44	0.41		0.00	0.26		0.36	0.23		0.05	0.20	
10.0	12.0		15.6	16.20		22.4	22.2		21.0	22.2	
1 / 0	12.0		0.70	0.82		1 00	1.00		1 00	1 00	
0.4	0.5		13	0.02		3.2	0.0		0.0	1.00	
16.7	17 /		13.7	13.8		26.6	23.3		22.6	24.1	
10.7 R	17.4 R		13.7 R	13.0 R		20.0	23.5		22.0	24.1	
D	17.2		D	12.9		C	24.7		C	22.6	
	17.2 B			13.0 B			24.7 C			23.0 C	
		18.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		B			
v ratio		0.43	T N	2000	LOVEI UL .	SCIVICC		J			
5 1010		90.0	Si	im of lost	time (s)			15.0			
n		67.3%	10		of Sorvice			13.0 C			
/11		15	IC	O LEVEL	JI JEI VILE			C			
		10									
	0.95 244 0 244 6 pm+pt 7 4 49.0 49.0 0.54 3.0 555 c0.03 3.0 555 c0.03 0.20 0.04 10.9 1.49 0.4 10.9 1.49 0.4 10.9 1.49 0.4 10.9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	0.95 0.95 244 555 0 51 244 736 6 0% 3% pm+pt NA 7 4 49.0 49.0 49.0 49.0 49.0 49.0 0.54 0.54 3.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 555 1813 c0.03 0.22 c0.20 0.44 0.41 10.9 12.0 1.49 1.41 0.4 0.5 16.7 17.4 B B 17.2 B v ratio	0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 0 51 0 244 736 0 6 8 0% 3% 1% pm+pt NA 7 4 4 4 9.0 49.0 49.0 49.0 0.54 0.54 3.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 555 1813 c0.03 0.22 c0.20 0.44 0.41 10.9 12.0 1.49 1.41 0.4 0.5 16.7 17.4 B B 17.2 B 18.4 y ratio 0.43 90.0 on 67.3% 15	0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 51 0 51 0 0 244 736 0 51 6 8 8 0% 3% 1% 0% pm+pt NA Perm 7 4 8 49.0 49.0 39.0 0.54 0.54 0.43 3.0 6.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 555 1813 289 c0.03 0.22 c0.03 0.555 1813 289 c0.03 0.22 c0.08 0.44 0.41 0.18 10.9 12.0 15.6 1.49 1.41 0.79 0.4 0.5 1.3 16.7 17.4 13.7 B B B yratio 0.43 90.0 St	0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 51 321 0 51 0 0 24 244 736 0 51 376 6 8 8 8 0% 3% 1% 0% 4% pm-pt NA Perm NA 7 4 8 4 4 8 49.0 39.0 39.0 0.54 0.54 0.43 0.43 0.33.0 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 0.22 0.11 c0.08 0.44 0.41 0.18 0.26 0.4 0.5 1.3 0.4 16.7 <td>0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 51 321 79 0 51 0 0 24 736 0 24 736 0 244 736 0 51 376 0 6 8 8 6 0% 3% 1% 0% 4% 0% 0% pm-pt NA Perm NA 7 4 8 4 9.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 30.0 3.</td> <td>0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 51 321 79 117 0 51 0 0 24 0 0 244 736 0 51 376 0 117 6 8 8 6 16 0% 3% 1% 0% 4% 0% 2% pm-pt NA Perm NA Perm 7 4 8 2 49.0 49.0 39.0 39.0 29.0 0.54 0.54 0.43 0.32 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 3.0<!--</td--><td>0.95 0.96 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.95 1.3 0.4 0</td><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td>0.95 0.44 0.490 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.05 0.43 <t< td=""><td>0.95 <th< td=""></th<></td></t<></td></td>	0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 51 321 79 0 51 0 0 24 736 0 24 736 0 244 736 0 51 376 0 6 8 8 6 0% 3% 1% 0% 4% 0% 0% pm-pt NA Perm NA 7 4 8 4 9.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 30.0 3.	0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 244 555 232 51 321 79 117 0 51 0 0 24 0 0 244 736 0 51 376 0 117 6 8 8 6 16 0% 3% 1% 0% 4% 0% 2% pm-pt NA Perm NA Perm 7 4 8 2 49.0 49.0 39.0 39.0 29.0 0.54 0.54 0.43 0.32 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 3.0 </td <td>0.95 0.96 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.95 1.3 0.4 0</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>0.95 0.44 0.490 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.05 0.43 <t< td=""><td>0.95 <th< td=""></th<></td></t<></td>	0.95 0.96 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.95 1.3 0.4 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.95 0.44 0.490 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.05 0.43 <t< td=""><td>0.95 <th< td=""></th<></td></t<>	0.95 0.95 <th< td=""></th<>

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 12

Existing Traffic Conditions

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

	ار	+	4	t	•	t	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	≜ †}	٦	≜t ≯		4	۲	4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	108	593	16	398	22	3	16	8	
Future Volume (vph)	108	593	16	398	22	3	16	8	
ane Group Flow (vph)	111	630	16	437	0	48	16	68	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	pm+pt	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4	3	8		2		6	
Permitted Phases	4		8		2		6		
Detector Phase	7	4	3	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase									
Vinimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vinimum Split (s)	9.5	24.0	9.5	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (s)	9.0	48.0	9.0	48.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	
Total Split (%)	10.0%	53.3%	10.0%	53.3%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	
V/c Ratio	0.20	0.33	0.03	0.26		0.10	0.04	0.13	
Control Delay	5.7	9.0	7.5	14.2		15.3	22.8	8.5	
Jueue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		15.0	0.0	0.0	
Outrie Longth Foth (m)	5.7	9.0	1.5	14.2		10.3	22.0	0.0	
Queue Length Soth (III)	4.0	45.2	1.1	23.0		3.4	2.1	10.6	
Internal Link Dist (m)	7.4	E42.0	3.0	34.1		102.0	0.9	10.0	
Turn Bay Longth (m)	55.0	303.0	30.0	1233.2		105.0		102.0	
Rase Canacity (vnh)	564	1026	505	1700		466	402	510	
Starvation Can Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reducto	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.20	0.33	0.03	0.26		0.10	0.04	0.13	
ntersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90									
Offset: 86.4 (96%), Referer	nced to pha	se 4:EBT	L and 8:V	NBTL, Sta	art of Gre	en			
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated								
Splits and Phases: 7: Be	aver Mead	UW Dr. &			*				
33 s			9 s	03	+¢4 (R 18 s)			
105			, ار	77	t (10 /10)			
¥ 00			- 9	97 🕴	_	/			

7: Beaver Meadow Dr. & Clair Rd. Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour 1 1 ٦ ← 1 ~ ŧ - \mathbf{i} € ۴ EBR Movement EBL EBT WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NRR SBI SBT SBR Lane Configurations **ħ**₽ **≜**î, \$ 4 ٦ ۳ 7 Traffic Volume (vph) 108 593 398 22 3 58 18 16 26 21 16 8 593 398 58 Future Volume (vph) 108 18 16 26 22 3 21 16 8 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 0.95 1.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00 0.99 Flpb, ped/bikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.99 1.00 Frt 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.99 0.94 1.00 0.87 Flt Protected 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.98 1.00 1.00 0.95 3501 Satd. Flow (prot) 1768 3521 1769 1682 1757 1593 Flt Permitted 0.46 1.00 0.41 1.00 0.87 0.73 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 850 3521 766 3501 1504 1342 1593 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 Adj. Flow (vph) 111 611 19 16 410 27 23 3 22 16 8 60 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 0 0 0 15 0 0 42 0 0 2 5 Lane Group Flow (vph) 111 628 16 432 33 16 26 0 0 0 0 0 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 2 1 1 2 3 3 3 Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 1 2 Turn Type NA NA Perm NA Perm NA pm+pt pm+pt Protected Phases 4 8 2 6 - 3 Permitted Phases 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 51.0 46.8 44.4 43.2 27.0 27.0 27.0 Effective Green, g (s) 51.0 46.8 44.4 43.2 27.0 27.0 27.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.57 0.52 0.49 0.48 0.30 0.30 0.30 Clearance Time (s) 3.0 6.0 3.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 530 1830 391 1680 451 402 477 v/s Ratio Prot c0.01 c0.18 0.00 0.12 0.02 c0.02 v/s Ratio Perm 0.11 0.02 0.01 v/c Ratio 0.21 0.34 0.04 0.26 0.07 0.04 0.05 9.1 12.6 11.7 13.9 22.5 22.3 22.4 Uniform Delay, d1 Progression Factor 0.64 0.73 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 0.2 0.5 0.0 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 9.7 14.3 22.8 6.0 11.7 22.5 22.6 Delay (s) Level of Service В С С С Α Α B Approach Delay (s) 9.1 14.2 22.8 22.6 Approach LOS А В С С Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 12.2 HCM 2000 Level of Service В HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.25 15.0 Actuated Cycle Length (s) 90.0 Sum of lost time (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.5% ICU Level of Service А Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 14

Existing Traffic Conditions

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

	∕	\rightarrow	1	1	Ļ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۳	1	٦	1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	416	57	76	308	204	339	
Future Volume (vph)	416	57	76	308	204	339	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	438	60	80	324	215	357	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	4			2	6		
Permitted Phases		4	2			6	
Detector Phase	4	4	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	
Total Split (s)	33.0	33.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	55.0%	55.0%	45.0%	45.0%	45.0%	45.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (S)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Leau/Lay Load Lag Optimizo?							
Docall Modo	Nono	Nono	Min	Min	Min	Min	
v/c Ratio	0.67	0.00	0.22	0.57	0.37	0.50	
Control Delay	16.7	5.7	13.8	17.7	14.5	4.7	
Oueue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	16.7	5.7	13.8	17.7	14.5	4 7	
Queue Length 50th (m)	24.2	11	4 1	18.8	11.5	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	60.8	7.0	15.1	50.3	33.0	15.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)	1233.2			2005.5	465.2		
Turn Bay Length (m)		10.0	65.0			20.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	1167	1117	632	973	993	971	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.38	0.05	0.13	0.33	0.22	0.37	
Intersection Summary							
Cyclo Longth: 60							
Actuated Cycle Length: 12							
Natural Cycle: 50							
Control Type: Actuated-Uno	oordinater	4					
Source The Actual of One							
Splits and Phases: 8: Vic	toria Rd. (F	ast)/Vict	oria Rd. 8	Clair Rd			
				1			
) Ø2				22	04 5		
				33			
🕈 Ø6							

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC Synchro 9 Report Page 15

~ ٦ t Ŧ \mathbf{r} 1 SBR Movement EBL FBR NBL NBT SBT Lane Configurations 1 ٦ - 7 ٦ - 🛧 1 Traffic Volume (vph) 416 57 308 204 339 76 57 339 Future Volume (vph) 416 76 308 204 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 Total Lost time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lane Util. Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frt 1.00 0.85 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.85 Flt Protected 0.95 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) 1703 1615 1805 1827 1863 1509 1.00 1.00 1.00 Flt Permitted 0.95 1.00 0.62 1827 1509 Satd. Flow (perm) 1703 1615 1185 1863 Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 Adj. Flow (vph) 438 60 80 324 215 357 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 21 244 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 438 39 80 324 215 113 4% Heavy Vehicles (%) 6% 0% 0% 2% 7% Turn Type Prot Perm Perm NA NA Perm Protected Phases 2 4 6 Permitted Phases 4 2 6 Actuated Green, G (s) 16.2 16.2 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 Effective Green, g (s) 16.2 16.2 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.39 0.39 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32 Clearance Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 Lane Grp Cap (vph) 669 635 373 576 587 476 v/s Ratio Prot c0.26 c0.18 0.12 v/s Ratio Perm 0.02 0.07 0.07 v/c Ratio 0.65 0.06 0.21 0.56 0.24 0.37 Uniform Delay, d1 10.2 7.8 10.4 11.7 10.9 10.4 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Incremental Delay, d2 2.3 0.0 0.3 1.3 0.4 0.3 12.5 13.0 10.7 Delay (s) 7.8 10.6 11.3 Level of Service В В В Α B B Approach Delay (s) 12.0 12.5 10.9 Approach LOS В В В Intersection Summary HCM 2000 Control Delay 11.7 HCM 2000 Level of Service В HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio 0.61 Actuated Cycle Length (s) Sum of lost time (s) 12.0 41.2 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.0% ICU Level of Service А Analysis Period (min) 15 c Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

8: Victoria Rd. (East)/Victoria Rd. & Clair Rd.

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn Sy IFC

Synchro 9 Report Page 16

Existing Traffic Conditions

Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis	
9: Clair Rd. & Laird Rd.	

Existing Traffic Conditions Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

	→	7	F	+	•	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	4			۰	Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	800	4	30	485	1	117
Future Volume (Veh/h)	800	4	30	485	1	117
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	870	4	33	527	1	127
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			874		1465	872
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			874		1465	872
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			96		99	64
cM capacity (veh/h)			772		135	350
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NE 1			
Volume Total	874	560	128			
Volume Left	0	33	1			
Volume Right	4	0	127			
cSH	1700	772	346			
Volume to Capacity	0.51	0.04	0.37			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	1.1	13.3			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.2	21.4			
Lane LOS		A	C			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.2	21.4			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.2			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		64.1%	IC	U Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn	Synchro 9 Report
IEC	Page 17
IFC	raye 17

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing Traffic Conditio 10: Gordon St. & Maltby Rd. Weekday Afternoon Peak H												
	٨	+	¥	4	ţ	٠	<	t	1	*	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			د	1		4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	31	10	48	6	6	0	35	946	12	5	710	30
Future Volume (Veh/h)	31	10	48	6	6	0	35	946	12	5	710	30
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	34	11	52	7	7	0	38	1028	13	5	772	33
Pedestrians												
Lane width (m)												
Walking Speed (m/s)												
Pight turn flaro (vob)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)								None			None	
Upstream signal (m)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1906	1916	788	1960	1919	1028	805			1041		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1906	1916	788	1960	1919	1028	805			1041		
tC, single (s)	*4.8	*4.6	*4.4	*5.6	*5.0	6.2	4.1			4.3		
tC, 2 stage (s)	*0.0	*** *	+0.0		10 5							
tF (s)	^3.2	^3.0	^3.0	3.5	^3.5	3.3	2.2			2.4		
pu queue free %	80	94	92	92	95	100	95			99		
civi capacity (ven/n)	170	190	625	92	149	287	815			603		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1							
Volume Total	97	14	1066	13	810							
Volume Left	34	7	38	0	5							
Volume Right	52	0	015	13	33							
CSH Volume to Conseitu	280	0.12	815	0.01	0.01							
Oucure Longth (Eth (m)	0.34	0.12	0.05	0.01	0.01							
Control Delay (s)	23.0	3.3 /1.0	1.2	0.0	0.2							
Lane LOS	23.7 C	+1.0 F	A	0.0	0.2 A							
Approach Delay (s)	23.9	41.0	1.4		0.2							
Approach LOS	С	E										
Intersection Summany												
Average Delay			2.2									
Intersection Canacity Litilize	ation		2.3	10		of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)	10011		15	IC.					L			
 User Entered Value 												

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

HCM Unsignalized	Interse	Existing Traffic Conditions					
11: Victoria Rd. (W	/est) & N	Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour					
-	_	~		Ļ	*	*	
		•	•		1	/	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	F			র্শ	Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	8	216	18	6	330	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	32	8	216	18	6	330	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	34	8	227	19	6	347	
Pedestrians					1		
Lane Width (m)					3.6		
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.2		
Percent Blockage					0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			43		512	39	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			43		512	39	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.7	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.8	3.3	
p0 queue free %			86		99	66	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1571		403	1029	
Direction Lane #	ER 1	W/R 1	NR 1				
Volumo Total	12	2/6	252				
Volume Loft	42	240	303				
Volume Leit	0	227	247				
	1700	1571	1002				
Volume to Conseitu	0.02	0.14	0.25				
Oucus Longth OFth (m)	0.02	0.14	12.0				
Queue Lengin 95th (III)	0.0	4.0	12.0				
Control Delay (S)	0.0	1.2	10.5				
Lane LUS Approach Dolou (c)	0.0	A 7.0	10.5				
Approach LOS	0.0	1.2	10.5				
Approach LOS			D				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			8.6				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		47.0%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn	Synchro 9 Report
IFC	Page 19

	٦	-	-	•	6	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	4		Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	345	17	10	31	35	230
Future Volume (Veh/h)	345	17	10	31	35	230
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (vph)	363	18	11	33	37	242
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (m)					3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.2	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	45				772	28
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	45				772	28
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	77				87	77
cM capacity (veh/h)	1555				280	1046
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	381	44	279			
Volume Left	363	0	37			
Volume Right	0	33	242			
cSH	1555	1700	768			
Volume to Capacity	0.23	0.03	0.36			
Queue Length 95th (m)	7.3	0.0	13.3			
Control Delay (s)	7.7	0.0	12.3			
Lane LOS	А		В			
Approach Delay (s)	7.7	0.0	12.3			
Approach LOS			B			

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

12: Maltby Rd. & Victoria Rd. (East)

Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 9.1 49.5% А ICU Level of Service 15

Existing Traffic Conditions Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour

Page 20

Synchro 9 Report P:\59\76\06 Clair Maltby SP\Traffic Analysis\Phase 1\Synchro\Draft 2 - December 2017\EX_PM_calibrated.syn IFC

Appendix D – Access Design Guidelines







Appendix E – Existing Traffic Data







	Turning Movement Count (3 . CLAIR RD & GORDON ST)																									
Start Time			1	E Approa CLAIR R	ch D		_		5	Approa	ch ST		_		w	CLAIR RE	zh D		_		N G	I Approad	sh ST		Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)
otar mic	Right E:N	Thru E:W	Left E:S	U-Turn E:E	Peds E:	Approach Total	Right S:E	Thru S:N	Left S:W	U-Turn S:S	Peds S:	Approach Total	Right W:S	Thru W:E	Left W:N	U-Turn W:W	Peds W:	Approach Total	Right N:W	Thru N:S	Left N:E	U-Turn N:N	Peds N:	Approach Total		
07:00:00	3	76	48	0	2	127	9	47	15	0	1	71	26	38	21	0	0	85	39	111	4	0	2	154	437	
07:15:00	4	108	56	0	2	168	13	63	28	0	0	104	30	37	23	0	0	90	53	93	11	0	3	157	519	
07:30:00	7	126	65	0	0	198	15	67	28	0	0	110	24	41	26	0	1	91	52	102	5	0	1	159	558	
07:45:00	14	161	58	0	0	233	25	76	24	0	0	125	30	58	21	0	0	109	53	112	11	0	3	176	643	2157
08:00:00	15	128	46	0	2	189	13	112	30	0	2	155	15	63	34	0	1	112	45	84	12	0	3	141	597	2317
08:15:00	7	134	41	0	0	182	25	128	18	0	0	171	23	66	23	0	0	112	51	82	15	0	5	148	613	2411
08:30:00	22	185	49	0	1	256	15	103	40	0	1	158	32	83	34	0	1	149	65	101	13	0	1	179	742	2595
08:45:00	10	133	42	0	0	185	16	135	21	0	2	172	19	81	54	0	1	154	65	94	33	0	1	192	703	2655
***BREAK													-													
16:00:00	18	86	24	0	0	128	35	128	30	0	3	193	28	147	60	0	2	235	22	119	42	0	1	183	739	
16:15:00	26	106	34	0	2	166	31	132	25	1	0	189	23	163	43	0	0	229	29	122	40	0	4	191	775	
16:30:00	20	80	26	0	6	126	45	132	36	0	1	213	23	168	60	0	0	251	37	135	46	0	6	218	808	
16:45:00	27	97	30	0	1	154	37	167	54	0	0	258	21	134	60	0	0	215	24	139	29	1	2	193	820	3142
17:00:00	19	115	26	0	2	160	42	149	35	0	5	226	27	174	74	0	2	275	31	129	46	0	3	206	867	3270
17:15:00	25	99	31	0	2	155	42	135	30	0	1	207	27	138	58	0	0	223	30	134	52	1	6	217	802	3297
17:30:00	15	116	26	0	3	157	44	135	30	0	3	209	20	156	63	0	4	239	21	124	38	0	7	183	788	3277
17:45:00	19	82	28	0	3	129	38	131	34	0	7	203	10	115	47	0	5	172	27	129	42	0	2	198	702	3159
Grand Total	251	1832	630	0	26	2713	445	1840	478	1	26	2764	378	1662	701	0	17	2741	644	1810	439	2	50	2895	11113	-
Approach%	9.3%	67.5%	23.2%	0%			16.1%	66.6%	17.3%	0%			13.8%	60.6%	25.6%	0%			22.2%	62.5%	15.2%	0.1%				
Totals %	2.3%	16.5%	5.7%	0%		24.4%	4%	16.6%	4.3%	0%		24.9%	3.4%	15%	6.3%	0%		24.7%	5.8%	16.3%	4%	0%		26.1%	-	-
Heavy	6	45	66	0		-	66	49	25	0		-	32	59	21	0		-	43	35	5	0			-	-
Heavy %	2.4%	2.5%	10.5%	0%		-	14.8%	2.7%	5.2%	0%		-	8.5%	3.5%	3%	0%		-	6.7%	1.9%	1.1%	0%		-	-	-
Bicycles	0	1	0	0		-	0	3	0	0		-	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	0	0		-	-	-
Bicycle %	0%	0.1%	0%	0%		-	0%	0.2%	0%	0%		-	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%		-	-	-

Turning Movement Count

Page 1 of 5

BAC17Y6P

6	Spectrum
	spectrum

Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR RD & GORDON ST Date: Wed, Nov 22, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

BA Group 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Suite 300 Toronto ON, CANADA, M4V 1K9

	Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM Weather: Mostly Cloudy (-1.9 °C)																								
Start Time			1	E Approa	ch D		S Approach GORDON ST							W Approach CLAIR RD						N Approach GORDON ST					
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	1
08:00:00	15	128	46	0	2	189	13	112	30	0	2	155	15	63	34	0	1	112	45	84	12	0	3	141	597
08:15:00	7	134	41	0	0	182	25	128	18	0	0	171	23	66	23	0	0	112	51	82	15	0	5	148	613
08:30:00	22	185	49	0	1	256	15	103	40	0	1	158	32	83	34	0	1	149	65	101	13	0	1	179	742
08:45:00	10	133	42	0	0	185	16	135	21	0	2	172	19	81	54	0	1	154	65	94	33	0	1	192	703
Grand Total	54	580	178	0	3	812	69	478	109	0	5	656	89	293	145	0	3	527	226	361	73	0	10	660	2655
Approach%	6.7%	71.4%	21.9%	0%		-	10.5%	72.9%	16.6%	0%		-	16.9%	55.6%	27.5%	0%		-	34.2%	54.7%	11.1%	0%		-	-
Totals %	2%	21.8%	6.7%	0%		30.6%	2.6%	18%	4.1%	0%		24.7%	3.4%	11%	5.5%	0%		19.8%	8.5%	13.6%	2.7%	0%		24.9%	-
PHF	0.61	0.78	0.91	0		0.79	0.69	0.89	0.68	0		0.95	0.7	0.88	0.67	0		0.86	0.87	0.89	0.55	0		0.86	
Heavy	1	18	19	0		38	19	23	10	0		52	15	22	9	0		46	14	9	2	0		25	-
Heavy %	1.9%	3.1%	10.7%	0%		4.7%	27.5%	4.8%	9.2%	0%		7.9%	16.9%	7.5%	6.2%	0%		8.7%	6.2%	2.5%	2.7%	0%		3.8%	
Lights	53	562	159	0		774	50	455	99	0		604	74	271	136	0		481	212	352	71	0		635	
Lights %	98.1%	96.9%	89.3%	0%		95.3%	72.5%	95.2%	90.8%	0%		92.1%	83.1%	92.5%	93.8%	0%		91.3%	93.8%	97.5%	97.3%	0%		96.2%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	14	0		14	10	10	0	0		20	9	10	0	0		19	0	0	2	0		2	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	0%	7.9%	0%		1.7%	14.5%	2.1%	0%	0%		3%	10.1%	3.4%	0%	0%		3.6%	0%	0%	2.7%	0%		0.3%	-
Buses	1	17	1	0		19	2	11	6	0		19	0	7	6	0		13	11	9	0	0		20	-
Buses %	1.9%	2.9%	0.6%	0%		2.3%	2.9%	2.3%	5.5%	0%		2.9%	0%	2.4%	4.1%	0%		2.5%	4.9%	2.5%	0%	0%		3%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	1	4	0		5	7	2	4	0		13	6	5	3	0		14	3	0	0	0		3	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	0.2%	2.2%	0%		0.6%	10.1%	0.4%	3.7%	0%		2%	6.7%	1.7%	2.1%	0%		2.7%	1.3%	0%	0%	0%		0.5%	-
Pedestrians		-		-	3	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-		-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
Pedestrians%	-	-	-		14.3%		-	-	-	-	23.8%			-		-	14.3%		-	-	-	-	47.6%		-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-		0	-	-	-	-	-	0			-		-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk%	-	-	-		0%		-	-	-	-	0%			-		-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%		-	-		0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		



Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR RD & GORDON ST Date: Wed, Nov 22, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM Weather: Partiy Cloudy (-3 °C)																									
Start Time			E	E Approa	ich ID				5	6 Approa	ch ST		_		W	/ Approa	ch D				1	Approact	ch ST		Int. Total (15 min)
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:30:00	20	80	26	0	6	126	45	132	36	0	1	213	23	168	60	0	0	251	37	135	46	0	6	218	808
16:45:00	27	97	30	0	1	154	37	167	54	0	0	258	21	134	60	0	0	215	24	139	29	1	2	193	820
17:00:00	19	115	26	0	2	160	42	149	35	0	5	226	27	174	74	0	2	275	31	129	46	0	3	206	867
17:15:00	25	99	31	0	2	155	42	135	30	0	1	207	27	138	58	0	0	223	30	134	52	1	6	217	802
Grand Total	91	391	113	0	11	595	166	583	155	0	7	904	98	614	252	0	2	964	122	537	173	2	17	834	3297
Approach%	15.3%	65.7%	19%	0%			18.4%	64.5%	17.1%	0%		-	10.2%	63.7%	26.1%	0%			14.6%	64.4%	20.7%	0.2%			-
Totals %	2.8%	11.9%	3.4%	0%		18%	5%	17.7%	4.7%	0%		27.4%	3%	18.6%	7.6%	0%		29.2%	3.7%	16.3%	5.2%	0.1%		25.3%	-
PHF	0.84	0.85	0.91	0		0.93	0.92	0.87	0.72	0		0.88	0.91	0.88	0.85	0		0.88	0.82	0.97	0.83	0.5		0.96	
Heavy	1	11	13	0		25	14	8	6	0		28	2	8	2	0		12	9	8	0	0		17	-
Heavy %	1.1%	2.8%	11.5%	0%		4.2%	8.4%	1.4%	3.9%	0%		3.1%	2%	1.3%	0.8%	0%		1.2%	7.4%	1.5%	0%	0%		2%	
Lights	90	380	100	0		570	152	575	149	0		876	96	606	250	0		952	113	529	173	2		817	-
Lights %	98.9%	97.2%	88.5%	0%		95.8%	91.6%	98.6%	96.1%	0%		96.9%	98%	98.7%	99.2%	0%		98.8%	92.6%	98.5%	100%	100%		98%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	1	9	8	0		18	5	3	3	0		11	2	7	1	0		10	1	4	0	0		5	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	1.1%	2.3%	7.1%	0%		3%	3%	0.5%	1.9%	0%		1.2%	2%	1.1%	0.4%	0%		1%	0.8%	0.7%	0%	0%		0.6%	-
Buses	0	0	1	0		1	1	4	1	0		6	0	1	0	0		1	8	4	0	0		12	-
Buses %	0%	0%	0.9%	0%		0.2%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0%		0.7%	0%	0.2%	0%	0%		0.1%	6.6%	0.7%	0%	0%		1.4%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	2	4	0		6	8	1	2	0		11	0	0	1	0		1	0	0	0	0		0	-
Redestrians	0%	0.5%	3.3%	0%	11	176	4.0%	0.2%	1.3%	0%	7	1.2%	0%	0%	0.49%	0%	2	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16	0%	
Pedestrians%					29.7%	-					18.9%	-					5 4%	-					43.2%	-	
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-				0						0	-					0.470					-	1	_	
Bicycles on Crosswalk%					0%						0%						0%						2.7%		
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0		-
Bicycles on Road%	-	-			0%						0%		-				0%			-		-	0%		

Turning Movement Count

Page 3 of 5

BAC17Y6P







0	Spectrum
	•

BA Group 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Suite 300 Toronto ON, CANADA, M4V 1K9

Peak Hour: 07:30 AM - 08:30 AM Weather: Mostly Cloudy (-1.9 °C)											
W Approach Start Time	SWApproach SApproach RApproach EApproach	N Approach HANLON PRWYNBON RAMP (FROM WBLARD)	EApproach LARD RD	S Approach HANLON PRWY NE OFF R AMP	SW Approach HANLON PRWYNBON RAWP (FROM EE LARD)	W Approach Int. LARD RD Total (15					
Beam <		A A	Image Image <th< th=""><th><th column<<="" th=""><th>UP A PULIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE V</th><th>Horne Horne <t< th=""></t<></th></th></th></th<>	<th column<<="" th=""><th>UP A PULIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE V</th><th>Horne Horne <t< th=""></t<></th></th>	<th>UP A PULIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE V</th> <th>Horne Horne <t< th=""></t<></th>	UP A PULIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE VIE V	Horne Horne <t< th=""></t<>				
Turning Movement Court			Page 2 of 5			BAC17Y6P					
Spectrum		Location Name JAPR Date West, Nov 22, Peak Nour: 04:15 PM - Nearmin	rring Movement Coart RD & HARLON RWY NB OFF RAMP 2017 Destryment Last Theo Dagia 05:15 DM User Party Cloudy (.3 *6 Expense	D) Sagranis	24 Apresió	BA Group 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Sulls 300 Torons DN, CANADA, M4V 119 Wagnesh M.					
Bartian Instrument of the second	number log number number<		Horizon Horizon <t< th=""><th>Image Image <th< th=""><th>Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<></th><th>Image Image <th< th=""></th<></th></th<></th></t<>	Image Image <th< th=""><th>Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<></th><th>Image Image <th< th=""></th<></th></th<>	Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	Image Image <th< th=""></th<>					



FA G: pSe 86 Bg Cloa AvTnST WTdg BS@f 500 t p:pngp ON, CANA) A, F 8V 2K1

	Turning Movement Count (2. LAIRD RD & HANLON PKWY BF O)) RAMPS	
W Approach BApproach NEApproach NApproach	EApproach NApproach NEApproach NEApproach HANLON POWYEROMA, AFP HUNLON PRIVYEROM, AFP HU	EApproach BApproach WApproach Int. LAD) (.) HANLON PRAYERON (AFPIN (OF eRLAD) E LAD) (.) Total Total
BastTime (alg tics PTud Asspoci W6 We We topi De topi topi NW Ne Ne topi	11:5 RTo: PTud Amppol (aig 11:5 LTb; Horu U- PTud Amppol (aig (aig LTb; LTb; Horu U- PTud Amppol (aig away away away away away away away awa	1:5 LTG U- PTad Ascepcel (alig 15:5 LTG U- PTad Ascepcel (alig 1:5 TS) LTG U- PTAd Asc
078080 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		and
		57 0 0 0 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 61 0 0 0 6 63 354
078690 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 22 0 251 0 0 260 0 <th>40 0 0 238 0</th>	40 0 0 238 0
046060 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 4 0 43 0 0 10 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 59 0	98 0 0 0 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
045080 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 22 0 202 0 0 0 225 0 <th>83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 74 0 0 0 42 378 2253 92 0 0 0 1 0</th>	83 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 74 0 0 0 42 378 2253 92 0 0 0 1 0
048680 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 28 0 20 0 0 256 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 55 0	89 0 0 0 71 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 71 0 0 0 0
298080 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 0 61 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 0 0 12 0	48 0 0 0 276 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 86 0 0 0 6 346
2363060 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 20 0 13 0 0 202 0 0 0 202 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 79 0	13 0 0 0 294 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 60 0 0 0 64 534
296080 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 22 0 48 0 0 19 0	200 0 0 0 245 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 20 78 0 0 0 0 0 591 48 0 0 0 259 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
276060 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 74 0 0 0 47 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 46 0	225 0 0 0 214 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 20 94 0 0 0 0 74 535 2564
278080 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		96 0 0 0 229 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 94 0 0 0 0 77 342 2522 90 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
278680 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 20 0 71 0 0 41 0	A 0 0 44 0
SmatG 0 <th>0 0 0 0 251 0 260 0 0 2 2915 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 446 0</th> <th>2048 0 0 0 2191 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 226 449 0 0 0 0 112 d111 -</th>	0 0 0 0 251 0 260 0 0 2 2915 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 446 0	2048 0 0 0 2191 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 226 449 0 0 0 0 112 d111 -
Approach6 0% 0% 0% 0% -	0% 0% · 47% 0% 125% 0% 0% · 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% · 88.1% 0%	66.2% 0% 0% · 0% 0% 0% 0% · 20.2% 41.8% 0% 0% 0% · 8 ·
10520° 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01,	0 0 - 8 0 72 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 224 0	20-mi on, on, estan on, on, on, on, on, an, an, on, on, on, an, an, estan estan - 88 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 7 50 0 0 0 - 8 -
Nexv16 0% 0% 0% 0% -	0% 0% · 2.1% 0% 8.1% 0% 0% · 0% 0% 0% 0% · 255% 0%	82% 0% 0% · 0% 0% 0% 0% · 07% 58% 0% 0% 0% · 8 ·
Ficture 6 0% 0% 0% 6% -		225 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
tSnav FpvTmTmgCpShg	PorT2#6	RAC27YBP
t Snar FpvTmTrgCpSrg	PorT2p16	RACZ719P
t Snarr F pvTmTrgCpBrg	PorT2pf6	RACZ7YSP
t Snar FpvTimgCpBig	PorT2pf6	RACZ7YBP
t Snar FpvTmTrgCpSrg	PorT2pf6	RAC27Y9P
t Snær FpvTmTrgCpSrg	Por T2 pf 6	RAC2719P
I Snar F pvTmTngCpBrg	PyrT2pf8	RACZY9P
t Snær F pvTmTrgCpBrg	PerT2 gf8	PAC2719P
t Snar F pvīmīrgCp9rg	Pp:T2 <i>p</i> f6	PAC2719P
t Smar F pvfmTrgCpSrg	PcT2¢f0	RACZ7Y8P
t Snær FpvTmTrgCpBrg	PorT2p16	RACZ799P
t Snær F pvTmTrgCpBrg	PerT2p18	RACZ719P
t Snarr F pvTinTrgCρBrg	PerT2gf8	R4C2719P
t Snar F pv?m?rgcpBrg	۱ Snorr E primityGoDig Lipogen NormRAB (۱) S HANLON POWY BEROMI, AF P (۱) SHANLON POWY BEROMI, AF P	FRAC2719P PAC2719P 86 Bg Close Antice TW 100 JG 705 10 pg rgs Oni, CAN 103 US 95 501
t Snar F pv?m?rgcp9g	Extent F.pr/Init/gCASg Lpcogen Novm TiucAgi, () & HANILON PROVY BROUMI(AF P .) gffWTu Npr 00, 3227	RAC279P BLC pS 85 Bg Clos Arrifet W Tag BSg Too 1 ppropON, CANA, A F BV 2x1
t Snar F pv?m?rgcp9g	۱.Snerr F primitingCgRig Lpcogen NormExAD () () & HANLON PROVY BROMM (AF P) ექWTLN Npr 33, 3827) TaipymitingLinut i Tp) or idi December ya Marginez ya Margi	RAC2790P BE Bg Close Art In ET WI Bg Bg Close Art In ET WI Bg Bg Close It progr CNL CANA) A. F. RV 2x1
1 Snar F pvînîngCp9tg Spectrum	EXPLORE FOR INTEGRADE LSTORE FOR INTEGRADE DigRNTEL	RACE7Y9P PAG 496 PAG 496 PA
1 Snar F pv?m?rgCpBy Spectrum <u>Vagenta Magenta Magenta Magenta</u> <u>Vagenta Magenta Magenta Magenta</u> <u>Vagenta Magenta Magenta</u> <u>Magenta</u> Magenta		PAC279P
1 Snar F pv?m?n;c;c;b;g Spectrum:	Furtiges State: Furtimized State	RCC279P
\$\$Rur F pvTmTrgCpStg Spectrum	<text></text>	PAC279P
Strar F pv/mingCpBig	ENERGENERATION	RCC279P
	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	MC279P MC270P
	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	Image: Description of the state of
<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	Function Encoded
		Micropio
<section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	
	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	
	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	<text></text>
<section-header></section-header>	<text></text>	<text></text>
<section-header><section-header> York puriming-pipe Spectrum Norm Norm<!--</th--><th><text><section-header><text></text></section-header></text></th><th><text></text></th></section-header></section-header>	<text><section-header><text></text></section-header></text>	<text></text>
	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	
	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	<text></text>
	<text></text>	<text></text>
<section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header>	<text><section-header></section-header></text>	<text></text>



RA G:pSs 86 Bg Clos AvTnST WTdg BSaff 500 t p:pngp ON, CANA) A, F 8V 2K1

ALTOWARD DESCRIPTION OF A CONTRACT OF A C	.	j ognin na, nji ov, ovači j rajvjin inglitova n i ji												
	WApproach BApproach NEJ	Bartine Nagenasis Kargenasis Kargenasis<												
	BiartTime (algili:SPTud Asspoci tppi PTud Asspoci As	repoli (alg LTg PTud Aerpoli (iid PTud Aerpoli (alg PTud Aerpoli (alg II:S LTg Hou U- PTud Aerpoli (alg TTg LTg ISn PTud Aerpoli (alg ITg LTg ISn PTud Isga	n PTad Ascepti (alg tils LTB U- tag (alg tils LTB U- tag (alg tils LTB U- tag tils tils LTB U- tag tils tils LTB U- tag tils tils tils tils tils tils tils tils	its LTG U- pTud Ascepti (al.g. tits PTG; LTG U- pTud Ascepti and										
	2285000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2285000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 0	0 0 79 0 13 0 0 0 294 0 0 0 1 0 0 77 0 299 0 0 0 244 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4 60 0 0 0 64 534 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 294										
	238680 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 278080 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 10 0 0 11 0	0 0 60 0 48 0 0 0 259 0 0 1 0 0 46 0 225 0 0 214 0 0	0 0 0 0 6 64 0 0 0 95 214 0 0 0 0 0 0 74 585										
to the second se	SranGTotal 0 0 0 0 0 0 Ammarkh 0% 0% - - - -	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 210 0 516 0 0 0 946 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 51 300 0 0 0 341 2:10										
to the second se	Approache Un. Un Total76 0%. 0%. 0%. 0%.	- 0% 0% - 0% 0% 0% - 200% 0% 0% 0% 0% - 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	n 823% 0% 67.7% 0% 0% - 0% 0% 1 N. 0% 223% 0% 212% 0% 0% 66.8% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0% - 22.8% 48.9% 0% 0% 0% 0% - 8 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 22.5% 8										
to the second se	PH0 0 0 0 0 0 Heav's 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 045 0 015 0 0.18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 0 21 0 0 23 0 0 0 0	0 0 0.46 0 0.47 0 0 0.49 0 0 0 0 50 0 8 0 0 58 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0.22 0.48 0 0 0 0.44 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 4 0 0 0 23 8										
	Heav%2 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Light7 0 0 0 0 0	ON ON ON ON ON ON ON EPK EPK EPK EPK ON ON ON ON ON ON EPK EPK EPK ON ON ON ON ON EPK EPK EPK ON ON ON ON ON EPK EPK EPK EPK EPK ON	5. 0% 235% 0% 2% 0% 0% 0% 6% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0325% 52% 0% 0% 0% 82% 82% 8 0 0 0 0 0 56 383 0 0 0 377 8										
	Light76 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 128% 0% 188% 0% 0% 185% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 20 0 0 22 0 0 0 0	% 0% 41.7% 0% 11% 0% 0% 18% 0% 0% 0 0 22 0 8 0 0 26 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0% 41.7% 19.4% 0% 0% 0% 16.4% 8 0 0 0 0 2 9 0 0 7 8										
Note the second	Bingle 68 Thurs-7 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 52% 0% 0% 0% 0%	5. 075 5.475 075 275 075 075 3.275 075 075 0	on, on, on, 2,0% 3,8% on, on, on, 2,8% a										
terment Ter	Fu2e76 0% 0% 0% 0%			0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 07% 8										
te shage te to the second seco	Articulate/Truc-7 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 26% 0% 2% 0% 0% 0% 0%	s ons earliers ons ons ons ons ons ons	ens. ens. ens. ens. ens. ens. ens. ens.										
to show the second seco	PeGe7trian7 - 0 - 0 - PeGe7trian76 - 0% 0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· 0 · · · · · · 0 · · · ·	0 0 - 8 0% 0% 8										
te la su a la l	Fictule7on Read 0 0 0 - 0 -	- 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 8										
<page-header><text></text></page-header>	Rost													
Image: Specific statute Specific sta														
<page-header> Image: Section of the section of</page-header>														
<page-header><text><image/></text></page-header>														
<page-header><text><text><image/></text></text></page-header>														
<page-header><text><text><image/></text></text></page-header>														
<page-header><page-header><text></text></page-header></page-header>														
<page-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></page-header>														
<page-header><text></text></page-header>														
<page-header><page-header><text><text><complex-block></complex-block></text></text></page-header></page-header>														
<text><text><text></text></text></text>														
<text><text><text></text></text></text>														
<page-header><image/></page-header>														
		Por T 5 pl 6		RAC27Y9P										
<page-header></page-header>	t Sinanr F pvTmTngCpSng													
	t Sinair F pvTmTngCpSng													
	t Snær F pv/TmTngCpShg													
<page-header></page-header>	tSnar Fpr/mTngCpSng													
	ι Sowr FρvTinTingCρ9η													
	L Streer F pr/TmTrgCpStrg	LSnar F p/TinTngCpSig Lpcogen N=Th120 () () + H-NLCN PKVY B) () gMVTL Np 33.327) () hgymrfurf Lard (Tp)	AF P 1 M	РА С. рба 86 Bg Cloa A/ThGT VYSg ISGF 500 1 рэгця Ол. ОАУА), А F 87 261										
	1.Snar F ρ/ΤπΤιφΩρθιg	t Sraw F prihit TrgCpStg Lpcogen NemTikAB) () & HANLON PKNY VB OM) ogfWTLik Npi 33,3327) TelpymTrgLTakt i Tp) Pear Hoursyley y AM Sybe y AM WesthersMOTIKCL	AF P 14 GOV(#19C5	FA GL¢Bs as Bg Cloal AvTrGT WTSg SSg 500 1 p.pag-DN, CANA) A, F 8V 2k1										
	t tar F primitgCpBg	Ever F.p./mrg2p8 Exogen NorthUB () () # HNLCN PROVED OWN) g/WFUL No. 33.327) Bagen Trg2Tool 17 ()	AP P SOURCE STORE CONTRACTORE	Propriorities and the second s										





November 5, 2015

Turning Movements Report - Full Study





Turning Movements Report - PM Period

Location CLAIR RD W @ CI Municipality. GUELPH Traffic Cont. Major Dir None	_AIRFIEL[GeoID Count Date. Count Time Peak Hour	I730 Thursday, 17 September, 2015 . 03:00 PM — 06:00 PM 04:30 PM — 05:30 PM	
Cyclists: 6 Total Truck % Trucks Cars	77 5% 4 73	CLAIRFIELDS D 256 101 3 21 0% 0% 0 0 3 21	R W 	Peds 0 Peds ∧ 4 ↓
CLAIR RD W 1437 921 106 1% 1 105 921 2% 20 783 12 0% 0 12		→ × × s		46 0 0% 46 401 27 6% 428 498 24 0 0% 24 1358 840 20 2% 860
Peds Cyclists: 33	39 0 0% 39	11 0 0% 11 89	3 36 0 0 0% 0% 3 36 50	Cars Trucks Truck % Total Cyclists: 9

November 5, 2015

Page 2 of 4

Page 3 of 4



Turning Movements Report - MD Period



Morning Pe	ak Diagraı	m	Specified From: 6: To: 9:	I Period 30:00 30:00	Or Fr To	ne Hour Pe om: 7:45:00 o: 8:45:00	ak D
Municipality: Guelp Site #: 00000 ntersection: Gordo 'FR File #: 1 Count date: 13-Sec	h)06804 on St & Poppy Dr -p-2016		Weather Clear Person(s Lena	conditions) who cour	: nted:		
* Non-Signalized I	ntersection **		Major Ro	ad: Gordor	St ru	ns N/S	
North Leg Total: 1300 North Entering: 636 North Peds: 2 Peds Cross: ⋈	Cyclists 0 17 Trucks 0 18 Cars 0 592 Totals 0 627	0 1 1 1 8 6		Cyclists 25 Trucks 22 Cars 617 Totals 664	_	East Leg Total: East Entering: East Peds: Peds Cross:	68 33 3 ∑
Cyclists Trucks Cars Tota 0 0 6 6	uls 🖓 💭	G W	ordon St		Cars 21 1 <u>11</u> 33	TrucksCyclist00000000	ts Totals 21 1 11
Cyclists Trucks Cars Tota	ils			Po	ppy Dr		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Cordon St	。 (小 介		Cars 28	Trucks Cyclist 3 4	ts Totals 35
Peds Cross: X West Peds: 5 West Entering: 7 West Leg Total: 13	Cars 605 Trucks 18 Cyclists <u>17</u> Totals 640	Ca Truc Cyclii	urs 5 593 ks 0 22 sts <u>0 24</u> als 5 639	20 618 2 24 3 27 25		Peds Cross: South Peds: South Entering: South Leg Tota	1 669 I: 1309
		Com	ments				

November 5, 2015

Page 4 of 4

Mid-day Peak Diagram	Specified Period One Hour Peak From: 11:30:00 From: 12:15:00 To: 13:30:00 To: 13:15:00													
Municipality: Guelph Weather conditions: Site #: 000006804 Clear Intersection: Gordon St & Poppy Dr Person(s) who counted: TFR File #: 1 Lena Count date: 13-Sep-2016 Major Road: Gordon St runs N/S														
** Non-Signalized Intersection **	Major Road: Gordon St runs N/S													
North Leg Total: 1055 Cyclists 0 21 0 21 North Entering: 511 Trucks 0 12 1 13 North Peds: 0 Cars 2 442 33 47 Peds Cross: ► Totals 2 475 34	Cyclists 21 Trucks 15 Cars 508 Totals 544													
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals	Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 60 2 0 62 4 0 0 4 33 0 0 97 2 0													
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 0 0 5 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 4 4 5 0 0 5 Gordon St	Poppy Dr Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 69 2 1 72													
Peds Cross: X Cars 479 Ca West Peds: 0 Trucks 12 Truck West Entering: 5 Cyclists 21 Cyclists West Leg Total: 11 Totals 512 Totals	rs 0 448 35 483 Peds Cross: ▶< ks 0 13 1 14 South Peds: 0 ts 0 21 1 22 South Entering: 519 is 0 482 37 South Leg Total: 1031													
Comr	nents													

Afternoon Pea	ak Diagra	Specifi From: To:	ed Perio 15:00:00 18:00:00	d	One Hour Peak From: 16:30:00 To: 17:30:00									
Municipality: Guelph Site #: 00000068(Intersection: Gordon St TFR File #: 1 Count date: 13-Sep-20	04 & Poppy Dr 16		Weathe Clear Person Lena	er condit (s) who	ions: counte	ed:								
** Non-Signalized Intersection ** Major Road: Gordon St runs N/S														
North Leg Total: 1605 Cyc North Entering: 749 Tru North Peds: 0 Peds Cross: ►	lists 0 13 ucks 0 14 Cars <u>3 681</u> otals <u>3 708</u>	0 13 0 14 38 72 38	3 4 122	Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals	18 6 832 856	East Leg Total: 178 East Entering: 89 East Peds: 2 Peds Cross: X								
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals 0 0 8 8 Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals Poppy	or	G ₩ ₩	ordon St			Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 38 0 38 5 0 0 45 1 0 38 1 0								
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals 0 1 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1		Gordon St	s (1		Poppy [c	y Dr Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 39 0 0 89								
Peds Cross: X C West Peds: 0 Trr West Entering: 3 Cyc West Leg Total: 11 Tc	Cars 727 ucks 15 lists <u>13</u> otals 755	Ca Truc Cyclis Tota	rs 0 7 ks 0 5 sts <u>0 1</u> als <u>0 8</u>	793 51 5 0 8 0 116 51	844 5 18	Peds Cross: ► South Peds: 0 South Entering: 867 South Leg Total: 1622								
I		Com	nents			- I								

Poppy Dr & Gordon St														
Total Count Diagram														
Municipality: Guelph Weather conditions: Site #: 000006804 Clear Intersection: Gordon St & Poppy Dr Person(s) who counted: TFR File #: 1 Lena Count date: 13-Sep-2016 Major Road: Gordon St runs N/S														
Kon-Signalized Intersection ** Major Road: Gordon St runs N/S														
*** Non-Signalized Intersection ** Major Road: Gordon St runs N/S North Leg Total: 9726 Cyclists 1 133 0 134 Cyclists 146 East Leg Total: 1025 East Entering: 534 East Entering: 534 East Peds: 12 Peds Cross: 12 4667 Totals 4809 Peds Cross: X														
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals 2 1 54 57 Poppy Dr	rdon St Cars Trucks Cyclists Totals 269 15 2 286 17 0 0 17 <u>222 4 5</u> 231 508 19 7													
Cyclists Trucks Cars Totals 2 2 10 14 5 2 0 12 14 5 1 1 17 19 5	Poppy Dr													
5 3 39 Gordon St	۲ ۲ 473 10 8 491													
Peds Cross: X Cars 4684 Car West Peds: 23 Trucks 115 Truck West Entering: 47 Cyclists 139 Cyclists West Leg Total: 104 Totals 4938 Total	s 18 4266 258 4542 Peds Cross: ▶ s 0 101 5 106 South Peds: 3 s 1 142 6 149 South Entering: 4797 s 19 4509 269 South Leg Total: 9735													
Comn	ients													

Poppy Dr & Gordon St Traffic Count Summary

Intersection: (Gordon	St & Pop	opy Dr		Count D	ate: 13-Sep-20	016	Munic	^{ipality:} Gu	ielph			
	North	n Appro	ach Tot	als					Sout	h Appro	ach Tot	als	
Hour Ending	Left	Thru	Right	yclists Grand Total	Total Peds	North/South Total Approaches	Hou Endir	r ng	Left	Thru	Right	yclists Grand Total	Total Peds
7:00:00 8:00:00 9:00:00 12:00:00 13:00:00 15:00:00 16:00:00 17:00:00 18:00:00	6 5 12 20 42 14 35 30 44	276 671 607 487 487 237 573 675 702	0 4 1 3 6 1 2 3 1	282 680 620 510 508 252 610 708 747	1 0 3 1 7 0 1 0 2	433 1127 1259 981 1022 521 1292 1505 1574	7:00 8:00 9:00 12:00 13:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00	:00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00	1 6 3 2 0 0 1 1 2 3	137 430 610 444 472 248 640 760 768	13 11 26 25 20 20 41 35 56	151 447 639 471 514 269 682 797 827	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0
Totals:	208 East	4688	21 ach Tota	4917 als	15	9714			19 Wes	4509 t Appro	269 ach Tot	4797 als	3
Hour Ending	Left	Thru	Right	Grand Total	Total Peds	East/West Total Approaches	Hou Endir	r ng	Left	Thru	Right	yclists Grand Total	Total Peds
7:00:00 8:00:00 9:00:00 12:00:00 13:00:00 15:00:00 16:00:00 17:00:00 18:00:00	7 10 15 26 34 22 31 51 35	0 0 1 2 4 1 3 3 3 3	6 17 24 26 61 29 33 41 49	13 27 400 54 99 52 67 95 87	0 2 4 1 1 0 1 3 0	14 33 44 59 109 52 73 105 92	7:00 8:00 9:00 12:00 13:00 15:00 16:00 17:00	:00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00 :00	0 3 2 1 0 0 1 5 2	0 0 1 2 3 0 4 2 2	1 3 1 2 7 0 1 3 1	1 6 4 5 10 0 6 10 5	1 6 2 2 0 0 4 2 6
Totals:	231	17	286	534	12	581	L _		14	14	19	47	23
Hours En	dina	0.00	Calc	ulated V	alues f	or Trattic Cr	ossing	g Ma	ajor Stre	et			



	Turning Movement Count (1 . CLAIR RD & FARLEY DR)																									
0	N Approach E Approach FARLEY DR CLAIR RD													S	Approa	ch DR				W	Approa	ch D		Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)	
Start 11me	Right N:W	Thru N:S	Left N:E	U-Tum N:N	Peds N:	Approach Total	Right E:N	Thru E:W	Left E:S	U-Turn E:E	Peds E:	Approach Total	Right S:E	Thru S:N	Left S:W	U-Turn S:S	Peds S:	Approach Total	Right W:S	Thru W:E	Left W:N	U-Turn W:W	Peds W:	Approach Total		
07:00:00	29	0	5	0	0	34	7	86	1	0	0	94	0	4	2	0	0	6	5	32	6	0	0	43	177	
07:15:00	38	3	6	0	0	47	5	103	2	0	0	110	2	2	4	0	0	8	10	35	6	0	0	51	216	
07:30:00	44	2	9	0	0	55	27	126	0	0	0	153	3	2	5	0	1	10	1	46	13	0	2	60	278	
07:45:00	47	1	7	0	1	55	22	153	4	0	0	179	2	2	4	0	0	8	9	37	22	0	0	68	310	981
08:00:00	27	1	9	0	0	37	10	127	3	0	0	140	0	3	6	0	1	9	8	72	16	0	0	96	282	1086
08:15:00	30	5	6	0	1	41	14	131	6	0	0	151	1	3	5	0	1	9	9	69	24	0	1	102	303	1173
08:30:00	43	3	3	0	1	49	20	195	9	0	0	224	3	3	7	0	0	13	9	75	25	0	0	109	395	1290
08:45:00	45	11	7	0	1	63	17	140	9	0	1	166	2	4	12	0	0	18	20	75	36	0	1	131	378	1358
***BREAK																										
16:00:00	40	12	10	0	1	62	11	54	7	0	1	72	9	14	28	0	0	51	40	144	52	0	1	236	421	
16:15:00	32	17	10	0	1	59	17	79	4	0	0	100	14	18	28	0	1	60	43	110	55	0	1	208	427	
16:30:00	27	20	16	0	2	63	12	74	6	0	5	92	7	15	25	0	2	47	63	136	54	0	6	253	455	
16:45:00	38	21	5	0	1	64	14	85	15	0	3	114	12	27	23	0	3	62	58	119	50	0	4	227	467	1770
17:00:00	42	20	18	0	1	80	27	78	10	0	2	115	7	28	22	0	0	57	44	129	51	0	5	224	476	1825
17:15:00	27	20	13	0	0	60	12	71	12	0	4	95	8	27	35	0	1	70	57	148	57	0	2	262	487	1885
17:30:00	44	12	20	0	4	76	22	71	11	0	6	104	10	24	31	0	4	65	61	131	74	0	5	266	511	1941
17:45:00	27	18	13	0	3	58	10	65	11	0	7	86	10	22	29	0	3	61	67	107	51	0	1	225	430	1904
Grand Total	580	166	157	0	17	903	247	1638	110	0	29	1995	90	198	266	0	17	554	504	1465	592	0	29	2561	6013	-
Approach%	64.2%	18.4%	17.4%	0%			12.4%	82.1%	5.5%	0%		-	16.2%	35.7%	48%	0%		-	19.7%	57.2%	23.1%	0%		-	-	-
Totals %	9.6%	2.8%	2.6%	0%		15%	4.1%	27.2%	1.8%	0%		33.2%	1.5%	3.3%	4.4%	0%		9.2%	8.4%	24.4%	9.8%	0%		42.6%	-	-
Heavy	3	0	2	0			2	93	0	0		-	2	2	5	0		-	8	87	11	0				-
Heavy %	0.5%	0%	1.3%	0%		-	0.8%	5.7%	0%	0%		-	2.2%	1%	1.9%	0%		-	1.6%	5.9%	1.9%	0%		-	-	-
Bicycles	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0		-	0 1 0 0 -				0	1	0	0		-	-	-		
Bicycle %	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	0% 0.5% 0% 0% - 0%						0%	0.1%	0%	-	-			

Turning Movement Count

Page 1 of 5

BAC17C5Y

Spectrur	n
----------	---

Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR RD & FARLEY DR Date: Tue, Dec 19, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

BA Group 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Suite 300 Toronto ON, CANADA, M4V 1K9

	Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM											09:00 AM	Meather: Overcast (2.1 °C)												
Start Time		N Approach FARLEY DR								E Appro	ach RD		_		5	Approad	h)R				v	CLAIR RE	ch D		Int. Total (15 min)
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
08:00:00	27	1	9	0	0	37	10	127	3	0	0	140	0	3	6	0	1	9	8	72	16	0	0	96	282
08:15:00	30	5	6	0	1	41	14	131	6	0	0	151	1	3	5	0	1	9	9	69	24	0	1	102	303
08:30:00	43	3	3	0	1	49	20	195	9	0	0	224	3	3	7	0	0	13	9	75	25	0	0	109	395
08:45:00	45	11	7	0	1	63	17	140	9	0	1	166	2	4	12	0	0	18	20	75	36	0	1	131	378
Grand Total	145	20	25	0	3	190	61	593	27	0	1	681	6	13	30	0	2	49	46	291	101	0	2	438	1358
Approach%	76.3%	10.5%	13.2%	0%			9%	87.1%	4%	0%			12.2%	26.5%	61.2%	0%			10.5%	66.4%	23.1%	0%		-	
Totals %	10.7%	1.5%	1.8%	0%		14%	4.5%	43.7%	2%	0%		50.1%	0.4%	1%	2.2%	0%		3.6%	3.4%	21.4%	7.4%	0%		32.3%	-
PHF	0.81	0.45	0.69	0		0.75	0.76	0.76	0.75	0		0.76	0.5	0.81	0.63	0		0.68	0.58	0.97	0.7	0		0.84	-
Heavy	1	0	0	0		1	0	33	0	0		33	1	1	0	0		2	3	31	3	0		37	-
Heavy %	0.7%	0%	0%	0%		0.5%	0%	5.6%	0%	0%		4.8%	16.7%	7.7%	0%	0%		4.1%	6.5%	10.7%	3%	0%		8.4%	
Lights	144	20	25	0		189	61	560	27	0		648	5	12	30	0		47	43	260	98	0		401	-
Lights %	99.3%	100%	100%	0%		99.5%	100%	94.4%	100%	0%		95.2%	83.3%	92.3%	100%	0%		95.9%	93.5%	89.3%	97%	0%		91.6%	
Single-Unit Trucks	1	0	0	0		1	0	8	0	0		8	1	1	0	0		2	3	14	1	0		18	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0.7%	0%	0%	0%		0.5%	0%	1.3%	0%	0%		1.2%	16.7%	7.7%	0%	0%		4.1%	6.5%	4.8%	1%	0%		4.1%	
Buses	0	0	0	0		0	0	7	0	0		7	0	0	0	0		0	0	8	2	0		10	-
Buses %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	1.2%	0%	0%		1%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	2.7%	2%	0%		2.3%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0		0	0	18	0	0		18	0	0	0	0		0	0	9	0	0		9	
Articulated Trucks %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	3%	0%	0%		2.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	3.1%	0%	0%		2.1%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-		-	-	-	2	-	-
Pedestrian s%	-	-	-	-	37.5%		-	-	-	-	12.5%		-	-	-	-	25%			-	-	-	25%		-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-		-	-	-	0	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk%	-	-	-		0%		-	-	-		0%		-	-	-	-	0%			-	-	-	0%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-		0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-



Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR RD & FARLEY DR Date: Tue, Dec 19, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM Weather:													Veather: Mostly Cloudy (2.8 °C)												
Start Time			I	N Approa	ch DR				1	E Approa	ch D		S Approach FARLEY DR								Int. Total (15 min)				
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:45:00	38	21	5	0	1	64	14	85	15	0	3	114	12	27	23	0	3	62	58	119	50	0	4	227	467
17:00:00	42	20	18	0	1	80	27	78	10	0	2	115	7	28	22	0	0	57	44	129	51	0	5	224	476
17:15:00	27	20	13	0	0	60	12	71	12	0	4	95	8	27	35	0	1	70	57	148	57	0	2	262	487
17:30:00	44	12	20	0	4	76	22	71	11	0	6	104	10	24	31	0	4	65	61	131	74	0	5	266	511
Grand Total	151	73	56	0	6	280	75	305	48	0	15	428	37	106	111	0	8	254	220	527	232	0	16	979	1941
Approach%	53.9%	26.1%	20%	0%			17.5%	71.3%	11.2%	0%			14.6%	41.7%	43.7%	0%			22.5%	53.8%	23.7%	0%		-	-
Totals %	7.8%	3.8%	2.9%	0%		14.4%	3.9%	15.7%	2.5%	0%		22.1%	1.9%	5.5%	5.7%	0%		13.1%	11.3%	27.2%	12%	0%		50.4%	
PHF	0.86	0.87	0.7	0		0.88	0.69	0.9	0.8	0		0.93	0.77	0.95	0.79	0		0.91	0.9	0.89	0.78	0		0.92	-
Heavy	0	0	2	0		2	0	12	0	0		12	0	0	2	0		2	1	16	1	0		18	•
Heavy %	0%	0%	3.6%	0%		0.7%	0%	3.9%	0%	0%		2.8%	0%	0%	1.8%	0%		0.8%	0.5%	3%	0.4%	0%		1.8%	-
Lights	151	73	54	0		278	75	293	48	0		416	37	106	109	0		252	219	511	231	0		961	•
Lights %	100%	100%	96.4%	0%		99.3%	100%	96.1%	100%	0%		97.2%	100%	100%	98.2%	0%		99.2%	99.5%	97%	99.6%	0%		98.2%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	2	0		2	0	6	0	0		6	0	0	2	0		2	1	2	1	0		4	
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	0%	3.6%	0%		0.7%	0%	2%	0%	0%		1.4%	0%	0%	1.8%	0%		0.8%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0%		0.4%	
Buses	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	2	0	0		2	-
Buses %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0.4%	0%	0%		0.2%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0		0	0	6	0	0		6	0	0	0	0		0	0	12	0	0		12	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	2%	0%	0%		1.4%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	2.3%	0%	0%		1.2%	
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	
Pedestrians%	-	-	-	-	13.3%		-	-	-	-	31.1%		-	-	-	-	15.6%		-	-	-	-	33.3%		-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk%		-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	2.2%		-	-	-	-	2.2%		-	-	-	-	2.2%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%		-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		

Turning Movement Count

Page 3 of 5

BAC17C5Y







	running movement count (2. CLAIR RD & BEAVER MEADOW DR)																									
Start Time	N Approach BEAVER MEADOW DR							E Approach CLAIR RD						S Approach BEAVER MEADOW DR							`	Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)			
	Right N:W	Thru N:S	Left N:E	U-Turn N:N	Peds N:	Approach Total	Right E:N	Thru E:W	Left E:S	U-Tum E:E	Peds E:	Approach Total	Right S:E	Thru S:N	Left S:W	U-Turn S:S	Peds S:	Approach Total	Right W:S	Thru W:E	Left W:N	U-Turn W:W	Peds W:	Approach Total		
07:00:00	24	0	2	0	0	26	1	69	3	0	1	73	2	1	1	0	0	4	2	30	5	0	0	37	140	
07:15:00	18	0	4	0	0	22	0	94	5	0	0	99	4	1	6	0	0	11	0	38	4	0	0	42	174	
07:30:00	20	2	0	0	0	22	4	137	4	0	0	145	4	2	3	0	0	9	4	51	12	0	0	67	243	
07:45:00	25	0	6	0	0	31	2	148	3	1	0	154	5	1	4	0	0	10	2	41	4	0	0	47	242	799
08:00:00	17	1	9	0	0	27	1	120	3	0	0	124	6	3	5	0	0	14	5	63	10	0	0	78	243	902
08:15:00	27	1	11	0	0	39	6	124	3	0	2	133	8	6	12	0	1	26	6	63	17	0	0	86	284	1012
08:30:00	35	2	2	0	0	39	8	197	7	0	0	212	7	1	3	0	0	11	4	61	20	0	0	85	347	1116
08:45:00	17	2	5	0	2	24	9	137	2	0	0	148	6	1	4	0	0	11	5	66	15	0	0	86	269	1143
***BREAK																										
16:00:00	10	1	5	0	0	16	3	70	3	0	1	76	5	2	2	0	0	9	6	141	20	1	0	168	269	
16:15:00	10	0	2	0	0	12	3	92	6	0	1	101	9	1	5	0	0	15	5	141	18	0	0	164	292	
16:30:00	13	2	5	0	1	20	8	87	5	0	0	100	6	1	6	0	0	13	5	161	21	0	0	187	320	
16:45:00	12	4	5	0	1	21	5	106	4	0	0	115	2	0	6	0	0	8	6	131	29	0	0	166	310	1191
17:00:00	24	1	3	0	0	28	8	105	2	0	3	115	6	2	4	0	0	12	5	145	28	0	0	178	333	1255
17:15:00	9	1	3	0	0	13	5	100	5	0	0	110	7	0	6	0	1	13	2	156	30	1	3	189	325	1288
17:30:00	13	2	5	0	0	20	4	97	6	0	0	107	3	1	4	0	0	8	3	158	22	1	0	184	319	1287
17:45:00	4	1	2	0	0	7	3	95	3	0	0	101	2	1	2	0	0	5	8	118	23	1	0	150	263	1240
Grand Total	278	20	69	0	4	367	70	1778	64	1	8	1913	82	24	73	0	2	179	68	1564	278	4	3	1914	4373	-
Approach%	75.7%	5.4%	18.8%	0%		-	3.7%	92.9%	3.3%	0.1%			45.8%	13.4%	40.8%	0%		-	3.6%	81.7%	14.5%	0.2%		-	-	-
Totals %	6.4%	0.5%	1.6%	0%		8.4%	1.6%	40.7%	1.5%	0%		43.7%	1.9%	0.5%	1.7%	0%		4.1%	1.6%	35.8%	6.4%	0.1%		43.8%	-	-
Heavy	4	0	4	0		-	2	97	5	0		-	5	1	1	0		-	2	92	4	0		-	-	-
Heavy %	1.4%	0%	5.8%	0%		-	2.9%	5.5%	7.8%	0%			6.1%	4.2%	1.4%	0%		-	2.9%	5.9%	1.4%	0%				-
Bicycles	0	0	0	0		-	0	2	0	0		-	0	0	0	0		-	1	1	0	0		-	-	-
Bicycle %	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%		-	0%	0%	0%	0%		-	1.5%	0.1%	0%	0%		-		-


Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR RD & BEAVER MEADOW DR Date: Tue, Dec 19, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

							Pe	eak H	our: (08:00	AM -	09:00 AM	Wea	ther:	Over	cast (2	2.1 °C	C)							
Start Time			BEAV	N Approa	sh IOW DR	1			E	Approac CLAIR RE	h)				5 BEAV	Approad	h OW DR				1	V Approa CLAIR R	ch D		Int. Total (15 min)
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
08:00:00	17	1	9	0	0	27	1	120	3	0	0	124	6	3	5	0	0	14	5	63	10	0	0	78	243
08:15:00	27	1	11	0	0	39	6	124	3	0	2	133	8	6	12	0	1	26	6	63	17	0	0	86	284
08:30:00	35	2	2	0	0	39	8	197	7	0	0	212	7	1	3	0	0	11	4	61	20	0	0	85	347
08:45:00	17	2	5	0	2	24	9	137	2	0	0	148	6	1	4	0	0	11	5	66	15	0	0	86	269
Grand Total	96	6	27	0	2	129	24	578	15	0	2	617	27	11	24	0	1	62	20	253	62	0	0	335	1143
Approach%	74.4%	4.7%	20.9%	0%			3.9%	93.7%	2.4%	0%		-	43.5%	17.7%	38.7%	0%			6%	75.5%	18.5%	0%			
Totals %	8.4%	0.5%	2.4%	0%		11.3%	2.1%	50.6%	1.3%	0%		54%	2.4%	1%	2.1%	0%		5.4%	1.7%	22.1%	5.4%	0%		29.3%	-
PHF	0.69	0.75	0.61	0		0.83	0.67	0.73	0.54	0		0.73	0.84	0.46	0.5	0		0.6	0.83	0.96	0.78	0		0.97	
Heavy	2	0	0	0		2	2	38	3	0		43	3	1	0	0		4	1	34	2	0		37	-
Heavy %	2.1%	0%	0%	0%		1.6%	8.3%	6.6%	20%	0%		7%	11.1%	9.1%	0%	0%		6.5%	5%	13.4%	3.2%	0%		11%	
Lights	94	6	27	0		127	22	540	12	0		574	24	10	24	0		58	19	219	60	0		298	-
Lights %	97.9%	100%	100%	0%		98.4%	91.7%	93.4%	80%	0%		93%	88.9%	90.9%	100%	0%		93.5%	95%	86.6%	96.8%	0%		89%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0		0	0	8	2	0		10	1	0	0	0		1	0	16	0	0		16	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	1.4%	13.3%	0%		1.6%	3.7%	0%	0%	0%		1.6%	0%	6.3%	0%	0%		4.8%	-
Buses	2	0	0	0		2	2	9	1	0		12	1	1	0	0		2	0	9	2	0		11	
Buses %	2.1%	0%	0%	0%		1.6%	8.3%	1.6%	6.7%	0%		1.9%	3.7%	9.1%	0%	0%		3.2%	0%	3.6%	3.2%	0%		3.3%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0		0	0	21	0	0		21	1	0	0	0		1	1	9	0	0		10	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	3.6%	0%	0%		3.4%	3.7%	0%	0%	0%		1.6%	5%	3.6%	0%	0%		3%	-
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Pedestrians%	1	1	-	-	40%		1	-			40%		-		-	-	20%		-	-			0%		-
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
Bicycles on Road%	-	-	-	-	U%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-

Turning Movement Count

Page 2 of 5

BAC17C5Y



Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR RD & BEAVER MEADOW DR Date: Tue, Dec 19, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis BA Group 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Suite 300 Toronto ON, CANADA, M4V 1K9

							Pea	k Hou	ır: 04	:30 PN	/ - 05	:30 PM W	eathe	r: Mo	ostly	Cloud	y (2.8	°C)							
Start Time			BEA	N Approa	ach DOW DF	t				E Approa	ach RD				BEAV	S Approa	ch DOW DR				,	V Approa	ich ID		Int. Total (15 min)
	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:30:00	13	2	5	0	1	20	8	87	5	0	0	100	6	1	6	0	0	13	5	161	21	0	0	187	320
16:45:00	12	4	5	0	1	21	5	106	4	0	0	115	2	0	6	0	0	8	6	131	29	0	0	166	310
17:00:00	24	1	3	0	0	28	8	105	2	0	3	115	6	2	4	0	0	12	5	145	28	0	0	178	333
17:15:00	9	1	3	0	0	13	5	100	5	0	0	110	7	0	6	0	1	13	2	156	30	1	3	189	325
Grand Total	58	8	16	0	2	82	26	398	16	0	3	440	21	3	22	0	1	46	18	593	108	1	3	720	1288
Approach%	70.7%	9.8%	19.5%	0%			5.9%	90.5%	3.6%	0%			45.7%	6.5%	47.8%	0%			2.5%	82.4%	15%	0.1%		-	
Totals %	4.5%	0.6%	1.2%	0%		6.4%	2%	30.9%	1.2%	0%		34.2%	1.6%	0.2%	1.7%	0%		3.6%	1.4%	46%	8.4%	0.1%		55.9%	-
PHF	0.6	0.5	0.8	0		0.73	0.81	0.94	0.8	0		0.96	0.75	0.38	0.92	0		0.88	0.75	0.92	0.9	0.25		0.95	
Heavy	1	0	0	0		1	0	13	1	0		14	1	0	0	0		1	0	18	1	0		19	-
Heavy %	1.7%	0%		0%		1.2%	0%	3.3%	6.3%	0%		3.2%	4.8%	0%	0%	0%		2.2%		3%	0.9%	0%		2.6%	
Lights	57	8	16	0		81	26	385	15	0		426	20	3	22	0		45	18	575	107	1		701	-
Lights %	98.3%	100%	100%	0%		98.8%	100%	96.7%	93.8%	0%		96.8%	95.2%	100%	100%	0%		97.8%	100%	97%	99.1%	100%		97.4%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	1	0	0	0		1	0	7	1	0		8	0	0	0	0		0	0	5	1	0		6	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	1.7%	0%	0%	0%		1.2%	0%	1.8%	6.3%	0%		1.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0.8%	0.9%	0%		0.8%	
Buses	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0		1	0	3	0	0		3	-
Buses %	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	4.8%	0%	0%	0%		2.2%	0%	0.5%	0%	0%		0.4%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0		0	0	1 50/	0	0		1 40/	0	0	0	0		0	0	1 70/	0	0		1.40/	-
Redectrians	0%	0%	0%	0%	2	0%	0%	1.3%	0%	0%	2	1.4976	0%	0%	0%	0%	1	0%	0%	1.7%	0%	0%		1.4%	
Pedestrians%					22.2%	-					33.3%	-					11 1%	-					33.3%	-	
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0	-	
Bicycles on Boad%	-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	-	0%		-		-	-	0%		
-,																									







					Turning	Movem	nent Co	ount (6	. CLA	AIR ROAD E &	VICTO	ria RC) S)				
Stort Time			S App VICTOR	roach IA RD	s			W App Clair	roach ROAD	E			N App VICTOR	roach IA RD	S	Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)
Start Time	Thru S:N	Left S:W	U-Turn S:S	Peds S:	Approach Total	Right W:S	Left W:N	U-Turn W:W	Peds W:	Approach Total	Right N:W	Thru N:S	U-Turn N:N	Peds N:	Approach Total		
07:00:00	16	4	0	0	20	12	33	0	0	45	47	59	0	0	106	171	
07:15:00	23	8	0	0	31	19	33	0	0	52	86	63	0	0	149	232	
07:30:00	41	15	0	0	56	13	34	0	0	47	93	73	0	0	166	269	
07:45:00	50	10	0	0	60	15	61	0	0	76	135	75	0	0	210	346	1018
08:00:00	52	10	0	0	62	9	59	0	0	68	84	56	0	0	140	270	1117
08:15:00	67	11	0	0	78	8	57	0	0	65	107	51	0	0	158	301	1186
08:30:00	57	8	0	0	65	8	51	0	0	59	107	48	0	0	155	279	1196
08:45:00	48	19	0	0	67	11	50	0	0	61	91	60	0	0	151	279	1129
BREAK	(-					-					-	
16:00:00	62	10	0	0	72	14	86	0	0	100	68	38	0	0	106	278	
16:15:00	66	12	0	0	78	13	109	0	0	122	81	56	0	0	137	337	
16:30:00	77	15	0	0	92	18	105	0	0	123	66	61	0	0	127	342	
16:45:00	78	18	0	0	96	11	103	0	0	114	76	47	0	0	123	333	1290
17:00:00	79	21	0	0	100	13	99	0	0	112	96	50	0	0	146	358	1370
17:15:00	74	22	0	0	96	15	109	0	0	124	101	46	0	0	147	367	1400
17:30:00	58	12	0	0	70	15	91	0	0	106	81	43	0	0	124	300	1358
17:45:00	70	16	0	0	86	11	70	0	0	81	64	28	0	0	92	259	1284
Grand Total	918	211	0	0	1129	205	1150	0	0	1355	1383	854	0	0	2237	4721	-
Approach%	81.3%	18.7%	0%		-	15.1%	84.9%	0%		-	61.8%	38.2%	0%		-	-	-
Totals %	19.4%	4.5%	0%		23.9%	4.3%	24.4%	0%		28.7%	29.3%	18.1%	0%		47.4%	-	-
Heavy	39	7	0		-	5	104	0			87	27	0		-	-	-
Heavy %	4.2%	3.3%	0%		-	2.4%	9%	0%		-	6.3%	3.2%	0%		-	-	-
Bicycles	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Bicycle %	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
urning Movement C	ount							P	age 1 of	6							BAC17Y6P



Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR ROAD E & VICTORIA RD S Date: Wed, Nov 22, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

BA Group 45 St. Clair Avenue West, Suite 300 Toronto ON, CANADA, M4V 1K9

				Peak	Hour: 07:45 A	M - 08:	45 AM	Wea	ther:	Mostly Cloudy	(-1.9 °	C)				
Start Time			S App VICTOR	roach NA RD	S			W App Clair I	roach ROAD	E			N App VICTOR	roach NA RD	S	Int. Total (15 min)
	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
07:45:00	50	10	0	0	60	15	61	0	0	76	135	75	0	0	210	346
08:00:00	52	10	0	0	62	9	59	0	0	68	84	56	0	0	140	270
08:15:00	67	11	0	0	78	8	57	0	0	65	107	51	0	0	158	301
08:30:00	57	8	0	0	65	8	51	0	0	59	107	48	0	0	155	279
Grand Total	226	39	0	0	265	40	228	0	0	268	433	230	0	0	663	1196
Approach%	85.3%	14.7%	0%			14.9%	85.1%	0%			65.3%	34.7%	0%			-
Totals %	18.9%	3.3%	0%		22.2%	3.3%	19.1%	0%		22.4%	36.2%	19.2%	0%		55.4%	-
PHF	0.84	0.89	0		0.85	0.67	0.93	0		0.88	0.8	0.77	0		0.79	-
Heavy	11	4	0		15	1	29	0		30	22	5	0		27	-
Heavy %	4.9%	10.3%	0%		5.7%	2.5%	12.7%	0%		11.2%	5.1%	2.2%	0%		4.1%	-
Lights	215	35	0		250	39	199	0		238	411	225	0		636	-
Lights %	95.1%	89.7%	0%		94.3%	97.5%	87.3%	0%		88.8%	94.9%	97.8%	0%		95.9%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	5	0	0		5	0	14	0		14	15	2	0		17	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	2.2%	0%	0%		1.9%	0%	6.1%	0%		5.2%	3.5%	0.9%	0%		2.6%	-
Buses	6	4	0		10	1	2	0		3	5	2	0		7	-
Buses %	2.7%	10.3%	0%		3.8%	2.5%	0.9%	0%		1.1%	1.2%	0.9%	0%		1.1%	-
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0		0	0	13	0		13	2	1	0		3	-
Articulated Trucks %	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	5.7%	0%		4.9%	0.5%	0.4%	0%		0.5%	-



Turning Movement Count Location Name: CLAIR ROAD E & VICTORIA RD S Date: Wed, Nov 22, 2017 Deployment Lead: Theo Daglis

				Pea	ak Hour: 04:30	PM - 0	5:30 PI	/I We	ather:	Partly Cloudy	(-3 °C	;)				
Start Time			S App VICTOR	roach IA RD	S	_		W App Clair I	roach ROAD	E	_		N App VICTOF	roach RIA RD	S	Int. Total (15 min)
	Thru	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Left	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	Right	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	Approach Total	
16:30:00	77	15	0	0	92	18	105	0	0	123	66	61	0	0	127	342
16:45:00	78	18	0	0	96	11	103	0	0	114	76	47	0	0	123	333
17:00:00	79	21	0	0	100	13	99	0	0	112	96	50	0	0	146	358
17:15:00	74	22	0	0	96	15	109	0	0	124	101	46	0	0	147	367
Grand Total	308	76	0	0	384	57	416	0	0	473	339	204	0	0	543	1400
Approach%	80.2%	19.8%	0%			12.1%	87.9%	0%			62.4%	37.6%	0%			-
Totals %	22%	5.4%	0%		27.4%	4.1%	29.7%	0%		33.8%	24.2%	14.6%	0%		38.8%	-
PHF	0.97	0.86	0		0.96	0.79	0.95	0		0.95	0.84	0.84	0		0.92	-
Heavy	12	0	0		12	0	23	0		23	25	3	0		28	-
Heavy %	3.9%	0%	0%		3.1%	0%	5.5%	0%		4.9%	7.4%	1.5%	0%		5.2%	
Lights	296	76	0		372	57	393	0		450	314	201	0		515	-
Lights %	96.1%	100%	0%		96.9%	100%	94.5%	0%		95.1%	92.6%	98.5%	0%		94.8%	-
Single-Unit Trucks	5	0	0		5	0	14	0		14	17	2	0		19	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	1.6%	0%	0%		1.3%	0%	3.4%	0%		3%	5%	1%	0%		3.5%	-
Buses	6	0	0		6	0	2	0		2	0	1	0		1	-
Buses %	1.9%	0%	0%		1.6%	0%	0.5%	0%		0.4%	0%	0.5%	0%		0.2%	-
Articulated Trucks	1	0	0		1	0	7	0		7	8	0	0		8	-
Articulated Trucks %	0.3%	0%	0%		0.3%	0%	1.7%	0%		1.5%	2.4%	0%	0%		1.5%	-

Turning Movement Count

Page 3 of 6

BAC17Y6P









Vr T- i- gNB pGBm St Mkpr - t Apaotip- Nu om SNB EAVR NO ONLINACDOCL NIV OotSMI SPWI pGM7V7II 10100005xpymS tMSoP.1WhSpM0ogid

RENVT[prs 621/11H194coiTN#CG5-rSN/ISdtvWritSH61 | VpT[p-tpN0CLVH84ELEOEVM36,M1*9

									Turr	ning N	love	ment Coun	t (7 . I	MALT	BYR	D & G	ORD	ON ST)								
Ctart Time			I	EApproa	ich ØO				s	Approa	ch NIV				W	Approa BEAVR NO	ch DO				l N	N Approa	ach NIV		Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)
Start Time	Dight N:L	VhTr N:u	ASet N:1	fUVrT- N:N	n SPd N:	EssTpoahW/ptoc	Dight I :N	VhTr I∶L	ASet I:u	fUV/rT⊧ I:I	n SPd I:	EssTpoahW/ptoc	Dight u:I	VhTr u:N	ASet u:L	fUVrT- u:u	n SPd u :	EssTpoahWptoc	Dight L:u	VhTr L∶I	ASet L:N	fUVrT- L:L	n SPd L:	EssTpoahNVptoc		
10:11:11	I	1	I	I	1	I.	7	02	0	I	1	46	1	7	7	I	1	2	4	101	1	I	1	109	734	
0:12:11	1	7	Т	1	1	7	1	92	11	I	1	1 2	5	5	5	1	1	9	71	130	1	1	1	140	51 5	
10:51:11	7	7	I	1	1	6	7	95	2	I	1	111	4	7	4	1	1	14	75	131	1	1	1	146	51 3	
10:62:11	1	5	I	1	1	6	5	170	6	I	Т	156	4	1	2	I	1	16	10	149	7	1	1	71 4	531	1750
14:11:11	7	1	1	1	1	6	2	135	15	I	Т	141	3	6	3	1	1	10	4	165	1	1	1	121	525	1577
4:12:	I	Т	5	1	1	5	1	126	9	I	Т	136	6	6	2	I	1	15	4	152	1	1	1	166	576	1565
14:51:11	7	Т	7	1	1	6	1	101	11	T	T	147	1	6	0	7	1	16	12	136	1	1	1	109	509	1613
14:62:11	1	5	Т	1	1	5	1	154	7	T	T	16	2	5	11	1	1	14	11	150	1	1	1	169	511	1533
889RDNE*	888												-												-	
13:11:11	1	1	1	T	1	7	5	710	0	- I	1	710	-11	7	6	I	1	13	11	160	5	1	1	137	590	
13:12:11	1	7	1	1	1	5	7	143	9	I.	1	190	11	5	9	1	1	77	6	103	7	1	1	147	61.6	
13:51 :11	I	1	7	1	1	5	5	773	11	I	Т	761	11	1	4	I	1	71	2	141	1	1	1	143	669	
13:62:11	1	1	7	1	1	7	2	706	11	1	1	749	9	5	2	1	1	10	6	149	1	1	1	196	217	1027
10:11:11	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	774	17	1	1	761	16	5	2	1	1	77	11	101	7	1	1	145	664	14 5
10:12:11	1	7	7	1	1	6	6	714	7	1	1	776	16	5	15	1	1	51	11	101	1	1	1	147	661	1459
10:51 :11	7	1	1	T	1	6	1	753	11	- I	1	760	9	1	0	I	1	10	9	123	1	I	1	132	655	1475
10:62:11	1	2	1	I	1	3	Т	192	5	I	1	194	3	7	11	1	T	14	5	129	5	I	1	132	540	101.4
Grand Total	11	72	13	- I	1	21	57	7043	176	- I	1	7967	119	61	11 0	5	1	70	130	7316	14	1	1	7411	6063	U
Approach%	19 K 8.	69.	51 H6 .	Ι.		U	114.	96 KO .	6K7.	Τ.		U	6614.	1217.	59 KG .	114.		U	3.	95 H 6.	I KB.	Ι.		U		U
Totals %	I K7.	I H6.	I H6.	Ι.		1 16.	I K2.	63.	7.	Ι.		6412.	7.	I KO.	114.	Τ.		6H2.	7H\$.	65M.	I H6.	Ι.		63K7.		U
Heavy	7	1	1	1		U	2	156	7	I		U	6	3	3	I.		U	5	171	2	1		U		U
Heavy %	71.	6.	316.	Ι.		U	1216.	6H8.	116.	Ι.		U	516.	16 K 8.	218.	Ι.		U	114	6H3.	70H\$.	Ι.		U	-	U
Bicycles	I	I	I.	1		U	I.	T	I.	I		U	1	1	I.	T		U	1	1	1	1		U	-	U
Bicycle %	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.	L.		U	Τ.	Τ.	Τ.	Ι.		U	L	7H6.	- L.	L		U	Τ.	L	1.	L		U	-	U



VrT-i-gN9 pGBmS-tMpr-t Apaotip-NulomSN8 EAVR 10/00N8/MCDOCLN/V OotSNI SPW1 pG777/071 10100000ScopymS-tMSoP/WnSpM0ogid

							Peak	Hour	r: 07 :4	5 AM	- 08:	45 AM NWW	/eathe	er: Mo	stly 0	loud	y (-1.	9 °C)							
Start Time			E	Approac EAVR NO	h O				s V	Approa	ch VIV				W	Approact	:h 10				N V	Approact	h ∦V		Int. Total (15 min)
	Dight	VhTr	ASat	fUVr∓	n SPd	EssTpoahWptoc	Dight	VhTr	ASet	f UVr T-	n SPd	EssTpoahWptoc	Dight	VhTr	ASet	fUVr⊺F	n SPd	EssTpoahWptoc	Dight	VhTr	ASet	fUVr∓	n SPd	EssTpoahWptoc	
10:62:11	1	5	1	1	Т	6	5	170	6	1	1	156	4	1	2	1	1	16	10	149	7	1	1	71 4	531
14:11:11	7	1	1	1	1	6	2	135	15	1	1	141	3	6	3	1	1	10	4	165	1	1	1	121	525
4:12:	1	1	5	1	1	5	1	126	9	1	Т	136	6	6	2	1	1	15	4	152	1	1	1	166	576
14:51:11	7	1	7	I	Т	6	1	101	11	I	Т	147	1	6	0	7	1	16	12	136	- I	I	1	109	509
Grand Total	2	6	3	I	I.	12	11	312	53	I	1	331	19	15	75	5	1	24	64	351	5	I	1	347	1416
Approach%	55 H6 .	73 K 0.	61.	Т.		U	1K2.	95.	216.	Т.		U	57H4.	77 H6 .	59 KO .	217.		U	0.	97 H2 .	I H6.	Τ.		U	-
Totals %	I H6.	I H6.	I K6.	Ι.		114.	I KO.	65 H6 .	7H2.	Ι.		63H0.	116.	I H9.	1 K 3.	I K7.		614.	5H6.	66 K3 .	I K7.	Ι.		6417.	-
PHF	I K85	I 165	1 162			I H9 6	1 1/2	I H9	1 1639			I H91	I K29	I K61	I K67	I H64		I K82	I H01	I K45	I H64			I K87	
Heavy	1	T	1	T		7	5	23	1	I		31	1	6	7	1		0	1	56	7	1		50	-
Heavy %	71.	I.	13 KO .	I.		15H6.	5I.	914.	7H\$.	1.		914.	216.	51 K8.	4H0.	1.		17K1.	714.	246.	33H0.	1.		216.	
Lights	6	6	2	I		15	0	229	52	I		3l 1	14	9	71	5		21	60	290	1	I.		362	-
Lights %	41.	111.	45H6.	Ι.		43H0.	01.	91 H9 .	90K7.	Ι.		91 H9 .	96 HO .	3917.	91 H5 .	111.		4010.	90 K9 .	96 K 8.	55H6.	Ι.		9613.	
Single-Unit Trucks	I	I	1	1		1	5	71	I	I		76	I	5	7	1		2	1	10	I	1		14	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	Ι.	Ι.	13 KO .	Ι.		3Ю.	51.	5H6.	Ι.	Ι.		518.	Ι.	75M.	4H0.	Ι.		413.	7KI.	7 KO .	Ι.	Ι.		713.	-
Buses	1	I	I	I		1	I	71	1	I		71	1	1	I	1		7	I	3	7	1		4	-
Buses %	71.	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.		3H0.	Ι.	5H6.	1.	1.		5.	216.	OHO.	1.	1.		516.	Ι.	1.	33H0.	Ι.		117.	-
Articulated Trucks	I	I	I	I		1	I	12	1	I		13	I	I	I	1		1	I	11	I	I		11	-
Articulated Trucks %	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.		Т.	Ι.	7 H 6.	7H\$.	Ι.		716.	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.		Ι.	Ι.	1 KO .	Ι.	Ι.		113.	-
Bicycles on Road	1	I	I	I	I	U	1	I	1	I	1	U	I	1	I	1	1	U	I	I	1	1	1	U	
Bicycles on Road%	U	U	U	U		M	U	U	U	U		M	U	U	U	U		M	U	U	U	U		M	-

VrT-i-gNB/pG8mS-tN%apr-t

nogSMM/del2l

RE%10 3n

Spectrum

VrTi-i-gNB pGSmS-tMcpr-t Apaotip-NLomSNB EAVR 100 ON&INCDOCL NIV CotSNL SPULI pGN7/VII 101000005cepymS-tNAsoP1WhSpNDogdd

REIMNTjørs 621/1114614aoiT1142GS-rS1v1/SchtWiritS161/I VpTjo-tpCLv184ELEOEv143/6, 1v11*9

							Pea	ak Ho	ur: 04	1:30 PI	м - о	5:30 PMNWW	Neath	er: P	artly (Cloud	у (-З	°C)							
Start Time				E Appro BEAVR	ach NDO				s	Approac	sh ∥∨				W	Approad	ch DO					N Approa	ch MV		Int. Total (15 min)
	Dight	VhTr	ASat	fUVr∓	n SPd	EssTpoahWiptoc	Dight	VhTr	ASet	fUVr⊤	n SPd	EssTpoah/Wptoc	Dight	VhTr	ASet	fUVr∓	n SPd	EssTpoahWptoc	Dight	VhTr	ASet	fUVr∓	n SPd	EssTpoah/Wptoc	
13:51 :1 1	1	1	7	- I	1	5	5	773	11	1	1	761	11	1	4	1	- I	71	2	141	1	- I	1	143	669
13:62:11	1	1	7	- I	1	7	2	706	11	1	Т	749	9	5	2	1	1	10	6	149	1	1	1	196	217
10:11:11	1	5	1	T	1	5	T	774	17	- I	1	761	16	5	2	1	Т	77	11	101	7	1	1	145	664
10:12:11	1	7	7	1	1	6	6	714	7	1	Т	776	16	5	15	1	1	51	11	101	1	1	1	147	661
Grand Total	1	3	3	I	I	17	17	963	52	- I	1	995	64	11	51	1	T	49	51	011	2	I	I	062	1839
Approach%	Т.	21.	21.	Τ.		U	117.	92 H5 .	5H2.	Т.		U	25 H9 .	1117.	5614	Т.		U	6.	92H6.	I KO.	Т.		U	
Totals %	Ι.	I H6.	I H5.	Ι.		I HO.	I HO.	2116.	110.	Ι.		26.	7H3.	I K2.	1 HO .	Ι.		614	116.	54H3.	I H6.	Ι.		6I H2.	-
PHF	1	1 1/2	I H02	I.		I K02	1 K3	1 1413	I K05	I		I K43	1 1413	I K45	1 1/3	1		I KO 6	I H34	I H96	I K85	I		I H93	
Heavy	I	I	I	I		I	1	70	1	I		79	7	I	5	I		2	1	73	1	I		74	-
Heavy %	. I.	I.	I.	1.		I.	4H5.	7H9.	7149.	1.		719.	617.	1.	960.	1.		216.	5H6.	5H0.	71.	1.		5141.	
Lights	T	3	3	I		17	11	919	56	T		936	63	11	74	I.		46	79	346	6	I		010	-
Lights %	Ι.	111.	111.	Ι.		111.	91 KO .	9014.	9014.	Ι.		9014.	9214	111.	91 HG.	Ι.		96H6.	93 K 0.	93H6.	41.	Ι.		9317.	-
Single-Unit Trucks	I.	I.	I.	I.		I.	I	9	1	1		11	7	I.	I	1		7	1	13	1	1		14	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	Ι.	Т.	Ι.	Ι.		Т.	Ι.	1.	7H9.	Ι.		1.	6K7.	Т.	Т.	Ι.		717.	5H6.	7 H 6.	71.	Т.		7H6.	-
Buses	I.	I	I	I.		I.	I	3	1	1		3	I	I	5	1		5	1	2	1	1		2	
Buses %	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.	Ι.		Ι.	Ι.	I KB.	Ι.	Ι.		I K3.	Ι.	Ι.	9 HO .	Ι.		5H6.	Ι.	I KO.	Ι.	Ι.		I KO.	-
Articulated Trucks	I	I	I	I		I	1	17	I	I		15	I	I	I	1		I	I	2	1	1		2	-
Articulated Trucks %	1.	I.	I.	I.		I. 	4H5.	116.	I.	I.		116.	I.	Т.	Т.	I.		L	I.	I KO.	Т.	I.		I KO.	-
Bicycles on Road					1	U		1	1	1	1	U			1	1	1	U	1	1		1	1	U	-
Bicycles on Road%	U	U	U	U		м	U	U	U	U		м	U	U	U	U	÷	M	U	U	U	U		M	-





TcliWUe 6ys(sin C6cin r624MMIE4(sRe ArTít RD) VICTORIA RD SLEORTh ru:N D4nsRd s0YE6yBBM26%9 Ds136#(sin rs40 PT-s6 D4U3MW

				Т	urning Movem	nent Co	ount (8	. MAL	TBY F	D & VICTORIA	RDS	6 (NOF	TH LEO	G))			
Start Time		VICTO	N App RIA RD	roach SLEOF	RTh ru: N			E App e Ar T	ft RD				WAp eAr	proach Tft RD	1)	Int. Total (15 min)	Int. Total (1 hr)
Start Time	RWJ-n ERd	rspn ERu	oaTcli ERE	gs07 EP	A111642- T6r43	RWU∔n uRE	T-lc uRd	oaTcli uRu	gs07 uP	A111642- T6n43	T-lc d Ru	rspn dRE	oaTcli dRd	gs07 d P	A111642- T6r43		
59 F 55F55	89	9	5	5	9*	В	К	5	5		В	B%	5	5	BK	%5B	
59 P% P 55	9%	%B	5	5	GK		к	5	5	G	%	B9	5	5	BG	%%/a	
59 FK 5F55	G5		5	5	G	8		5	5	%%	к	* K	5	5	* 8	% B	
59P*.P55	95	v	5	5	9v		В	5	5	9	В	. *	5	5	. 8	% B	. 5.
5GP55P55	8.	к	5	5	8G	*	8	5	5	%5	*	8v	5	5	9K	% %	*
5GP% P55	8%	%	5	5	8B	9	%	5	5	G	В	. v	5	5	8%	%%%	. 88
5GPK5P55	*8	%	5	5	*9	•	%	5	5		в	. 8	5	5	. G	%/5	. K*
5GP*. 155	85	%8	5	5	98	v	К	5	5	%8	к	* v	5	5	. B	% 5	. KB
,,,fRuAM	И,,,																
%8 F 55F55	*%	G	5	5	*v	%5	В	5	5	%B	*	9G	5	5	GB	% K	
%8 P% P 55	. K	9	5	5	85		к	5	5	G	В	. G	5	5	85	%BG	
%8FK5F55	8v	%5	5	5	9v	%%	5	5	5	%%	*	G‰	5	5	G	%9.	
%8P*.P55		v	5	%	8*	В		5	5	9		v%	5	5	v8	%89	8%K
%9F55F55	. B	%5	5	5	8B	v	%	5	5	%5	*	v%	5	5	v.	%89	8K9
%9 P% P 55	. *	8	5	5	85	v	*	5	5	%К	*	GB	5	5	G8	% v	88G
%9 FK 5F55	* v	*	5	5	. K		к	5	5	G	•	8K	5	5	89	%BG	8B%
%9P".P55	K%		5	5	K8	9	*	5	5	%%	В	9.	5	5	99	%B*	. 9G
Grand Total	vB*	%%/K	5	%	%5K9	%55	*8	5	5	% 8	*G	vv9	5	5	%5*.	2228	a
Approach%	Gvn%&	%5ma&	5&		а	8Gm&	K%m&	5&		а	* n68&	v. m%	5&		а	-	а
Totals %	* %m&	. m/&	5&		* 8m&	* m&	Brf%&	5&		8n6&	BnB&	**n90&	5&		* 8m/&	-	а
Heavy	B.	%5	5		а		8	5		а	К	* B	5		а	-	а
Heavy %	Bn9&	Grt&	5&		а	. &	%K&	5&		а	8n K &	* nB8&	5&		а	-	а
Bicycles	5	5	5		а	5	5	5		а	%	5	5		а	-	а
Bicycle %	5&	5&	5&		а	5&	5&	5&		а	Brf%&	5&	5&		а	-	а
cl iWUe 6ys(sin C	6ci n							g4	4Us %6p8	ł							f AC%9t 8g



TcliWUe 6ys(sin C6cin r624nWol E4(sPe ArTít RD) VICTORIA RD SLEORTh ru:N D4nsRisoYE6yBBYB5%9 Ds136#K(sin rs40 PT-s6 D4U3W)

f A : |6c1 *. SmnC34WAysics d s7n/ScWs/K55 T6l6in6 OEYCAEADAYe * V % M/

				Peak	Hour: 07:30 A	M - 08:	30 AM	Wea	ther:	Mostly Cloudy	(-1.9 °	C)				
Start Time		VICTO	N App RIA RD	roach S LEOF	RTh ru: N			E App e Ar T	ft RD				W App e Ar T	ft RD		Int. Tota (15 min)
	RWV⊦n	rspn	oaTcli	gs07	A111642- T6n43	RWU⊦n	T-Ic	oaTcli	gs07	A111642- T6n43	T-Ic	rspn	oaTcli	gs07	A111642- T6n43	
59FK5F55	G5		5	5	G	8		5	5	%%	К	*К	5	5	*8	% B
59P. P55	95	v	5	5	9v		В	5	5	9	В	.*	5	5	. 8	% B
5GP55F55	8.	К	5	5	8G	*	8	5	5	%5	*	8v	5	5	9K	%%
5GP% P55	8%	%	5	5	8B	9	%	5	5	G	В	. v	5	5	8%	%K%
Grand Total	B98	%G	5	5	Bv*	BB	%	5	5	K8	%%	BB.	5	5	BK8	566
Approach%	vKnar&	8rf%&	5&		а	8% n% &	KGnø&	5&		а	* n9&	v.nK&	5&		а	-
Totals %	* Gn6&	KnB&	5&		. %ana &	Knar&	Bm &	5&		8m &	%6107 &	Kvn6&	5&		* %9&	-
PHF	5n68	5m	5		5n0-8	5r9v	5mG	5		5m68	5n8v	5n68	5		5n0#%	-
Heavy	9	٠	5		%%	к	к	5		8	В	%9	5		%	-
Heavy %	Bm &	BBnB&	5&		Kn9&	%Kn88&	B%n†&	5&		%8n9&	%GnB&	9n88&	5&		G1%&	
Lights	B8v	%	5		BGK	%√	%%	5		K5	v	B5G	5		B%9	-
Lights %	v9m&	99n6&	5&		v8nK&	G8nħ&	9G168&	5&		GKnK&	CP/a66&	vBm%&	5&		v%m&	-
Single-Unit Trucks	*	5	5		*	5	%	5		%	%	v	5		%5	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	%1118	5&	5&		%n &	5&	9n9/&	5&		BnG&	vn1%&	* &	5&		* nB&	-
Buses	В	·	5		8	К	В	5			%	G	5		v	-
Buses %	5n9&	BBnB&	5&		B&	%Kn88&	%* n H &&	5&		%Knar&	vn%&	Kn88&	5&		Kn6&	-
Articulated Trucks	%	5	5		%	5	5	5		5	5	5	5		5	-
Articulated Trucks %	5m%	5&	5&		5nK&	5&	5&	5&		5&	5&	5&	5&		5&	-
Pedestrians	а	а	а	5	а	а	а	а	5	а	а	а	а	5	а	-
Pedestrians%	а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		-
Bicycles on Road	5	5	5	5	а	5	5	5	5	а	5	5	5	5	а	-
Bicycles on Road%	а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		-



TcliWUE 6ys(sin C6cin r624MMIE4(sRe ArTft RD) VICTORIA RD SLEORTh ru:N D4nsRd s0YE6yBBYBE%90 Ds136#(sin rs40 PT-s6 D4U3MW

			NApr	roach				E Ann	roach				WAR	nroach		Int Total
Start Time		VICTO	RIARD	SEOF	Th ru: N			e ArT	ft RD				e Ar T	ft RD		(15 min)
	RWJ⊦n	rspn	oaTcli	gs07	A111642- T6n43	RWJ-n	T-Ic	oaTcli	gs07	A111642- T6n43	T-Ic	rspn	o aTcli	gs07	A111642- T6n43	
%8 FK 5F55	8v	%5	5	5	9v	%%	5	5	5	%%	*	G%	5	5	G	%9.
%8P*.P55		v	5	%	8*	В		5	5	9		v%	5	5	v8	%89
%9F55F55	. B	%5	5	5	8B	v	%	5	5	%5	*	v%	5	5	٧.	%89
%9P% P55	.*	8	5	5	85	v	*	5	5	%⊀	*	GB	5	5	G8	% v
Grand Total	BK5	ĸ	5	%	B8.	K%	%5	5	5	*%	%9	К*.	5	5	K8B	668
Approach%	G8n6&	%KnB&	5&		а	9. m8&	B*nħ&	5&		а	*n98&	v.nK&	5&		а	-
Totals %	K* m*&	. nB&	5&		Kvn98&	*n68&	%m&	5&		8rf%&	Bm &	. %168&	5&		.*nB&	-
PHF	5nGK	5nQG	5		5៧ជី	5n9	5m	5		5n9v	5nGa	5m/r.	5		5m/*	-
Heavy		%	5		8	%	5	5		%	5	%%	5		%%	
Heavy %	BnB&	Bna&	5&		BnK&	KnB&	5&	5&		Brň &	5&	KnB&	5&		K&	-
Lights	BB.	K*	5		B. v	K5	%5	5		*5	%9	KK*	5		K. %	
Lights %	v9n6&	v9n%&	5&		v9n9i&	v8n6&	%55&	5&		v9n8&	%55&	v8n6&	5&		v9&	-
Single-Unit Trucks	*	5	5		•	5	5	5		5	5		5			-
Single-Unit Trucks %	%198&	5&	5&		%n &	5&	5&	5&		5&	5&	%n &	5&		%ስ&	-
Buses	5	%	5		%	%	5	5		%	5		5			-
Buses %	5&	Bnø&	5&		5m&	KnB&	5&	5&		Brħ &	5&	%n &	5&		%ስ&	-
Articulated Trucks	%	5	5		%	5	5	5		5	5	%	5		%	-
Articulated Trucks %	5m%&	5&	5&		5m&	5&	5&	5&		5&	5&	5nK&	5&		5nK&	-
Pedestrians	а	а	а	%	а	а	а	а	5	а	а	а	а	5	а	-
Pedestrians%	а	а	а	%55&		а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		-
Bicycles on Road	5	5	5	5	а	5	5	5	5	а	%	5	5	5	а	-
Bicycles on Road%	а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		а	а	а	5&		-

TcliWUe 6ys(sinC6cin

g4UsK6p8

fAC%9t8g







TcliWUe 6ys(sinC6cin

g4Us.6p8

fAC%9t8g

6	Spectrum
9	Spectrum

DLS1 POLG1 & r, r*009 fL*O nfW100 * Ut, rALB Nn D1 MLY% Jably 26 DKV & NLY% JUy KYD(balE5) % to ABR ruBJ f8blp BbjdcsULLBB/sef#, r*00 hrtu ADTrfL% tCl: 1 NU5 SiLe oiU (229 -t ISDN 8r*LrUR:r:08U LIOU0/dd Df Si*OUK. BOIN. N%/NB0G ov 1d61

			т	urning Moveme	ent Cou	nt (9 . N	IALTE	Y RD & VICTOR	RIA RE) S (SO	UTH L	EG))		
		v	/ Appro	ach		s	Appro	ach		I	E Appro	ach	Int. Total	Int. Total
Start Time	VICTO R A	dtsl. Ræ	hru: RA	NeeSt tWTUDf OD-	nr RO AR	VICTO Æ	hru: A	NeeSt tWTUDf O-	DTSL EAR	nr RO EA	hru: EA	NeeSt t WTUDf O -	(15 min)	(1 hr)
dsAddAdd	р	0	d	а	р	cl	d	рс	0	ai	d	al	la	
dsAciAdd	d	i	d	i	d	ро	d	ро	i	ag	d	s0	cdp	
ds A0d Add	с	- I	d	cd	с	0g	d	01	0	SS	d	gc	c0d	
dsAoiAdd	р	s	d	I	с	i 0	d	io	s	a0	d	sd	c00	oac
dgAddAdd	i	s	d	ср	р	aa	d	ag	s	il	d	aa	соа	i cc
dgAciAdd	d	s	d	s	d	io	d	io	i	is	d	ар	cp0	i Op
dg A0d Add	0	g	d	сс	р	i d	d	ip	р	os	d	ol	сср	i co
dgAoi Add	0	0	d	s	с	ip	d	i 0	i	ia	d	ac	срс	i dp
7771 V EN6	777													
caAddAdd	d	g	d	g	d	si	d	si	0	0s	d	ос	сро	
caAciAdd	0	i	d	g	d	io	d	io	0	ip	d	ia	ccg	
caA0dAdd	р	I	d	сс	с	SS	d	sg	i	ao	d	al	ci g	
caAoi Add	р	cd	d	ср	0	gs	d	١c	i	i 0	d	ig	cac	i ac
csAddAdd	с	g	d	I	с	gi	с	ga	0	OS	d	ic	соа	i g0
csAciAdd	0	i	d	g	d	gc	d	gc	0	ip	d	ia	coi	acd
csA0dAdd	d	а	d	а	d	ар	d	ар	a	oa	d	ip	cpd	i sp
csAoiAdd	0	0	d	а	0	so	d	ss	0	0c	d	Oi	ccg	i pl
Grand Total	0d	cdi	d	c0i	cg	lic	с	l al	si	gso	d	l ol	2053	4
Approach%	pp2p3	ss2g3		4	c2 3	l g2c3		4	s2 3	l p2c3		4		4
Totals %	c2 3	i 2c3		a2a3	d2 3	oa203		os2p3	02s3	op2a3		oa2p3	-	4
Heavy	0	i		4	р	рр		4	0	pd		4	-	4
Heavy %	cd3	o2g3		4	cc2c3	p203		4	i 203	p203		4	-	4
Bicycles	d	с		4	d	d		4	d	d		4	-	4
Bicycle %	d3	c3		4	d3	d3		4	d3	d3		4	-	4

DLS'l*OLC6f8r, r*OD9fL*O



DLSI*OLG:f87, r*009 fL*O n fW100 * U t, rACG Nn D1 MUY%LahtJ&D DK V&NU% JU by KYD(bdE5) % t© ABR ruBJ f8bp/Babdosbullub/sref#, r*00wrtu ADTrf9%. CI:

		F	Peak H	lour: 07:30 AM ·	08:30		Neath	er: Mostly Cloue	dy (-1.9	9 °C)			
Otout Time		W	/ Appro	ach		s	6 Appro	ach		E	E Appro	ach	Int. Total
Start Time	V ICTO	DTSL	hru:	NeeSt WTUDf OD-	nr RO	V ICTO	hru:	NeeSt WTUDf OD-	DTSL	nr PO	hru:	NeeSt tWTUDf OD-	(15 min)
ds A0d Add	с	I	d	cd	с	0g	d	01	0	SS	d	gc	c0d
dsAoiAdd	р	s	d	I	с	i 0	d	io	s	a0	d	sd	c00
dgAddAdd	i	s	d	ср	р	aa	d	ag	s	il	d	aa	coa
dgAciAdd	d	s	d	s	d	iо	d	io	i	i s	d	ар	cp0
Grand Total	g	0d	d	0g	0	рсс	d	pci	р0	pi a	d	psl	532
Approach%	pc2c3	sg2 3		4	c2 3	l g2c3		4	g2p3	l c2g3		4	-
Totals %	c2 3	i 2a3		s2c3	d2g3	0l 2s3		od2o3	o203	og2c3		i p2o3	-
PHF	d2o	d2g0		d2s1	d2	d2g		d2sl	d2gp	d2g0		d2ga	-
Heavy	р	С		0	d	а		a	d	р		p	-
Heavy %	pi 3	0203		s2 3	d3	p2g3		p2g3	d3	d2g3		d2s3	-
Lights	а	pl		Oi	0	pdi		pdl	p0	pi o		pss	-
Lights %	si 3	l a2s3		l p2c3	cdd3	ls2p3		l s2p3	cdd3	II 2p3		11203	-
Single-Unit Trucks	d	d		d	d	d		d	d	d		d	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	d3	d3		d3	d3	d3		d3	d3	d3		d3	-
Buses	р	С		0	d	а		а	d	р		р	-
Buses %	рі З	0203		s2 3	d3	p2g3		p2g3	d3	d2g3		d2s3	-
Articulated Trucks	d	d		d	d	d		d	d	d		d	-
Articulated Trucks %	d3	d3		d3	d3	d3		d3	d3	d3		d3	-
Pedestrians	4	4	d	4	4	4	d	4	4	4	d	4	-
Pedestrians%	4	4	d3	U	4	4	d3	U	4	4	d3	U	-
Bicycles on Road	d	d	d	4	d	d	d	4	d	d	d	4	-
Bicycles on Road%	4	4	d3	U	4	4	d3	U	4	4	d3	U	-

DLS1*00Gf8r, r*009fL*O

ht Cr ወ/ መሞሀ

Spectrum

DLS*I*O405.f8r, r*009.fL*O
n fW/00,*Ut, rA0G Nn D1 MU/% Joho) & DKV & NU/% Ub/KYD(b) E5)
%at@ABRruBUf8bpbBpbdcsUUUUB%are-f#, r*00/artuADDTrfb%atC-I:

1 NU5 SiLe oiU (249 -tiSb)\8r*LrUR r: OBU LlO/00 dd DfSI*O:K. BB9 N. N%NBBC6 ov 1d61

1 N9 csMah

	Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PMUUWeather: Partly Cloudy (-3 °C)												
Otent Time		W	Appro	ach		s	Approa	ich		E	Appro	ach	Int. Total
Start Time	V ICTO	DTSL	hru:	NeeSt WTUDf O -	nr PO	V ICTO	hru:	NeeSt WTUDf O -	DTSL	nr PO	hru:	NeeSt WTUDf OD-	(15 min)
caA0dAdd	р	I	d	сс	с	SS	d	sg	i	ao	d	al	ci g
caAoi Add	р	cd	d	ср	0	gs	d	١c	i	i 0	d	i g	cac
cs Add Add	с	g	d	I	с	gi	с	ga	0	os	d	ic	coa
csAciAdd	0	i	d	g	d	gc	d	gc	0	ip	d	ia	coi
Grand Total	g	0p	d	od	a	00d	с	00a	cg	pca	d	p0o	610
Approach%	pd3	gd3		4	c2g3	l g2p3		4	s2s3	l p203		4	-
Totals %	c203	i 2p3		a2a3	c3	i o2c3		ii 2c3	03	0i 2b3		0g2o3	-
PHF	d2as	d2g		d2g0	d20g	d2 i		d2 p	d2	d2go		d2gi	-
Heavy	с	с		р	р	сс		c0	р	0		i	-
Heavy %	cp2 3	02:3		i 3	00203	0203		02 3	cc2c3	c2b3		p2c3	-
Lights	s	0c		0g	0	0cl		0p0	ca	pc0		ppl	-
Lights %	gs2 3	l a2 3		l i 3	aa2s3	l a2s3		l a2c3	gg2 3	l g2a3		l s2 3	-
Single-Unit Trucks	d	d		d	р	а		g	р	0		i	-
Single-Unit Trucks %	d3	d3		d3	00203	c2g3		p2o3	cc2c3	c2o3		p2c3	-
Buses	С	d		с	d	i		i	d	d		d	-
Buses %	cp2 3	d3		p2 3	d3	c2 3		c2 3	d3	d3		d3	-
Articulated Trucks	d	с		с	d	d		d	d	d		d	-
Articulated Trucks %	d3	02:3		p2 3	d3	d3		d3	d3	d3		d3	-
Pedestrians	4	4	d	4	4	4	С	4	4	4	d	4	-
Pedestrians%	4	4	d3	U	4	4	cdd3	U	4	4	d3	U	-
Bicycles on Road	d	с	d	4	d	d	d	4	d	d	d	4	-
Bicycles on Road%	4	4	d3	U	4	4	d3	U	4	4	d3	U	-







Turning Movements Report - Full Study





November 5, 2015

Page 1 of 4

November 5, 2015

Page 2 of 4



November 5, 2015

Turning Movements Report - AM Period





Turning Movements Report - PM Period

Loca	ation CLAIR RD W @ LAI	IRD RD			GeoID	1725	
Muni	icipality. GUELPH				Count Date	. Thursday, 08 Oc	ctober, 2015
Traff	ic Cont.				Count Time	. 03:00 PM —	06:00 PM
Мајо	or Dir None				Peak Hour.	. 04:30 PM —	05:30 PM
	Cyclists:0 Total Truck % Trucks Cars	0 0% 0 0	0 0% 0 0	0 0% 0 0	0 0% 0 0	Peds 0 Cyclists: 8	Peds ∧ o ↓ V
1311	507 4% 21 486		↓ w			0 0 0% 485 21 4% 4 30 5 14%	0 506 541 35 1458
_		Å	<	\rightarrow	∆ T —⊳	905 12 1%	917
	Peds Cyclists: 11	34 5 13% 39	_	1 0 0% (1 11 157	0 113 0 4 1% 3% 0 117 8	Cars Trucks Truck % T Cyclists: 1	otal

November 5, 2015

Page 3 of 4

Page 4 of 4

Appendix F – Vehicle Delay Surveys





Project No:	5976-06
Project:	Clair Maltby Secondary Plan
Study Location:	Maltby Rd EB to Gordon St
Municipality:	City of Guelph
Study Date:	Wednesday November 22, 2017
Study Time:	7:00-9:00 & 16:00-18:00

Delay Study

	Overall	Left Turn	Through	Right Turn	0	Courtesy Gap (se	ec)		2-Stage Gap (sec)	
	Delay (sec)	Delay (sec)	Delay (sec)	Delay (sec)	Left Turn	Through	Right Turn	Left Turn	Through	Right Turn
2-HR Period 07:00-00:30										
Minimum Delay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	21	27	30	8	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	46	54	79	15	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	78	74	105	23	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	122	122	111	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	105	45	23	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	105	46	23	36	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	100%	98%	100%	103%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AM Peak Hour 7:45 - 8:45										
Minimum Delay	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	29	35	47	10	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	62	62	100	19	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	101	79	108	35	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	122	122	111	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	55	22	13	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	55	23	13	19	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	100%	96%	100%	105%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2-HR Period 16:00-18:00										
Minimum Delay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	27	39	34	16	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	53	74	62	33	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	81	89	87	48	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	164	164	162	125	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	164	62	18	84	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	162	61	18	83	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	101%	102%	100%	101%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PM Peak Hour 16:30 - 17:30										
Minimum Delay	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	24	32	39	16	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	43	59	57	27	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	64	77	118	41	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	164	164	162	106	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	89	31	10	48	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	89	31	10	48	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Project No:	5976-06
Project:	Clair Maltby Secondary Plan
Study Location:	Maltby Rd WB to Gordon St
Municipality:	City of Guelph
Study Date:	Wednesday November 22, 2017
Study Time:	7:00-9:00 & 16:00-18:00

Delay Study

	Overall	Left Turn	Through	Right Turn	0	Courtesy Gap (se	ec)		2-Stage Gap (se	c)
	Delay (sec)	Delay (sec)	Delay (sec)	Delay (sec)	Left Turn	Through	Right Turn	Left Turn	Through	Right Turn
2-HR Period 07:30-09:30										
Minimum Delay	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	17	29	15	10	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	30	46	26	21	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	43	47	30	25	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	47	47	30	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	24	6	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	24	6	11	7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AM Peak Hour 7:45 - 8:45										
Minimum Delay	0	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	20	29	19	10	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	34	46	26	20	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	46	47	28	25	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	47	47	29	27	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	15	6	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	15	6	4	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	100%	100%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2-HR Period 16:00-18:00										
Minimum Delay	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	37	32	46	4	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	70	58	92	8	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	108	68	121	11	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	150	74	150	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	30	10	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	27	10	14	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	111%	100%	121%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PM Peak Hour 16:30 - 17:30										
Minimum Delay	0	0	5	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average Delay	41	27	51	-	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
85th Percentile	73	41	93	-	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
95th Percentile	116	63	130	-	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!	#NUM!
Maximum Delay	150	74	150	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Vehicles Measured	15	6	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total from Traffic Count	12	6	6	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sample	125%	100%	150%	#DIV/0!	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Appendix G – Corridor Growth Traffic Analysis Calculations



Background Traffic Growth/Decline Summary

Location:Gordon Street Background Growth, South of Clair RoadTime Period:2008toAnalyst:IFC

Weekday AM Peak Hour									
Direction	Percent								
Direction	Change								
Northbound	0.20%								
Southbound	-0.80%								
Eastbound	0.00%								
Westbound	0.00%								

Weekday PM Peak Hour									
Direction	Percent								
	Change								
Northbound	0.62%								
Southbound	0.80%								
Eastbound	0.00%								
Westbound	0.00%								

Gordon Street Background Growth, South of Clair Road

Gordon Street Background Growth, South of Clair Road

	1		4		6		9		10		
Movement	2008		2011		2013		20	16	2017		
	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	
NBT	661	832	579	813	630	1111	638	831	656	904	
SBT	660	702	726	697	705	1005	635	757	628	748	



Year	х	Y		
2008	1	626		
2017	10	638		
Year	Х	Y		
2008	1	702		
2017	10	646		

Growth/year NB					
1	1 0.20%				
Growth/year SB					
-6 -0.80%					

N-S Average -0.3%



Background Traffic Growth/Decline Summary

Location:Gordon Street Background Growth, North of Maltby RoadTime Period:2008toAnalyst:IFC

Weekday AM Peak Hour						
Direction	Percent					
Direction	Change					
Northbound	-0.30%					
Southbound	-0.03%					
Eastbound	0.00%					
Westbound	0.00%					

Weekday PM Peak Hour					
Direction	Percent				
Northbound	0.47%				
Southbound	0.27%				
Eastbound	0.00%				
Westbound	0.00%				

Gordon Street Background Growth, North of Maltby Road

Gordon Street Background Growth, North of Maltby Road

	1		5		8		10		10	
Movement	20	2008 2012 2015		2012		2017				
	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm
NBT	661	1074	785	1019	704	1371	643	977		
SBT	655	845	851	852	693	1109	682	745		



Year	х	Y	
2008	1	710	
2017	10	689	
Year	Х	Y	
2008	1	722	
2017	10	719	

10

2017

898

Growth/year NB						
-2 -0.30%						
	Currently (users CD					
Growth	lugar SP					
Growth	/year SB					
Growth, 0	/year SB -0.03%					

N-S Average 0%



Background Traffic Growth/Decline Summary

Location:Clair Road Background Growth, East of Gordon StreetTime Period:2008toAnalyst:IFC

Weekday AM Peak Hour						
Direction	Percent					
Direction	Change					
Northbound	0.00%					
Southbound	0.00%					
Eastbound	4.01%					
Westbound	4.07%					

Weekday PM Peak Hour						
Direction	Percent					
Northbound	0.00%					
Southbound	0.00%					
Eastbound	4.07%					
Westbound	5.37%					

Clair Road Background Growth, East of Gordon Street

Clair Road Background Growth, East of Gordon Street

	1		6		9		10		10	
Movement	20	08	20	13	20	16	20	17		
	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm
EBT	298	662	372	1049	382	978	435	953		
WBT	600	370	749	639	871	592	812	595		



4.0%

Year	х	Y	Growth	/year EB	E-W Average
2008	1	299	12	4.01%	
2017	10	418			
Year	Х	Y	Growth/	year WB	
2008	1	607	25	4.07%	
2017	10	854			



Background Traffic Growth/Decline Summary

Location:Clair Road Background Growth, West of Gordon StreetTime Period:2008toAnalyst:IFC

Weekday AM Peak Hour						
Direction	Percent					
Direction	Change					
Northbound	0.00%					
Southbound	0.00%					
Eastbound	3.51%					
Westbound	3.66%					

Weekday PM Pe	eak Hour
Direction	Percent Change
Northbound	0.00%
Southbound	0.00%
Eastbound	3.39%
Westbound	3.97%

Clair Road Background Growth, West of Gordon Street

	1		6		9		10		10	
Movement	20	08	20	13	20	16	20	17		
	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm	am	pm
EBT	379	726			461	957	527	964		
WBT	674	465			902	600	915	668		



Year	х	Y
2008	1	377
2017	10	509
Year	Х	Y
2008	1	675
2017	10	922



Background Traffic Growth/Decline Summary

Location:Victoria Road Background Growth, South of Clair RoadTime Period:2008toAnalyst:IFC

Weekday AM Pe	eak Hour
Direction	Percent
Direction	Change
Northbound	16.37%
Southbound	16.47%
Eastbound	0.00%
Westbound	0.00%

Weekday PM Pe	eak Hour
Direction	Percent Chanae
Northbound	25.48%
Southbound	11.40%
Eastbound	0.00%
Westbound	0.00%

Victoria Road Background Growth, South of Clair Road

Victoria Road Background Growth, South of Clair Road

	1		5		6		7		10	
Movement	20	08	20	12	20	13	20	14	20	17
	am	pm								
NBT	124	171	138	89	91	142	239	338	265	384
SBT	129	128	107	191	169	178	273	279	270	261



Year	х	Y	Grov
2008	1	92	15
2017	10	241	
Year	Х	Y	Grov
2008	1	101	17
2017	10	267	

15 16.37% Growth/year SB
Growth/year SB
Growth/year SB
17 16.47%

N-S Average 16%



Appendix A – Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) Details





Appendix B – Detailed Collision Data





Appendix C – Synchro Analysis Worksheets





Appendix D – Access Design Guidelines





Appendix E – Existing Traffic Data





Appendix F – Vehicle Delay Surveys





Appendix G – Corridor Growth Traffic Analysis Calculations



