# INFORMATION ITEMS

# Week Ending April 15, 2016

## **REPORTS**

1. None

#### **CORRESPONDENCE**

- 1. Eastern Ontario Warden's Caucus re: Ontario Energy Board Generic Proceeding EB-2016-004
- 2. Township of North Stormont re: Ontario Energy Board Generic Proceeding EB-2016-004
- Township of North Frontenac re: Independent Electrical System
   Operator Review of Request for Proposal Process for the Award of Renewable Energy Contracts
- 4. Municipality of Dutton Dunwich re: Request Changes to IESO Process
- 5. Township of Warwick re: Physician Recruitment
- 6. Municipality of Bluewater re: Physician Recruitment

## **BOARDS & COMMITTEES**

1. Committee of Adjustment Meeting Minutes – April 14, 2016

#### ITEMS AVAILABLE IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE

1. None



c/o County of Renfrew, 9 International Drive, Pembroke, ON K8A 6W5

Pembroke, April 6, 2016

Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge St. PO Box 2319 Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Re: Ontario Energy Board Generic Proceeding EB-2016-004

Dear Ms. Walli,

Regarding the Ontario Energy Board's Generic Proceeding EB-2016-004, the Eastern Ontario Wardens' Caucus would like to provide the following submission as a letter of comment.

As an organization representing the interests of municipal taxpayers in rural Eastern Ontario, the EOWC supports the initial application from Union Gas (EB-2015-0179) to expand natural gas service to rural and remote communities. Beyond that, however, the EOWC also supports any similar efforts from other entities, such as Enbridge Gas, that wish to provide natural gas services to rural and remote communities that do not currently have access to such services.

The expansion of the infrastructure required to provide natural gas to rural communities that would otherwise not receive this service would allow for significant economic benefits for every sector: residential, commercial and industrial. Natural gas is the most reliable, efficient, and economical form of energy, but due to the dispersed nature of our population – coupled with our geography and geology – many areas remain underserviced or are simply not serviced at all.

As the economic development of our region is a priority issue, the expansion of the natural gas network is of great importance to everyone who lives and does business in rural Eastern Ontario. If certain conditions were put into place, such as those being considered by the Ontario Energy Board, it may become financially feasible to extend natural gas to the EOWC region.

The EOWC is an incorporated non-profit organization comprised of the elected Wardens of the 13 County Councils in rural Eastern Ontario, stretching from Northumberland in the west to the Quebec border in the east, with a combined population of 750,000. In closing, on behalf of the EOWC, I strongly encourage the Board to consider and approve any measures raised in the Generic Proceeding EB-2016-004 that may allow for the provision of natural gas in our region.

Best regards,

Peter Emon

Chair, 2016, Eastern Ontario Wardens' Caucus



The Corporation of the Township of

# NORTH STORMONT RESOLUTION

Date: April 12, 2016

Port 1

Resolution No. 609

## **MOVED BY:**

Deputy Mayor Bill McGimpsey Councillor Jim Wert Councillor François Landry Councillor Randy Douglas

	,
D	

# **SECONDED BY:**

Deputy Mayor Bill McGimpsey
Councillor Jim Wert
Councillor François Landry
Councillor Randy Douglas

**WHEREAS** the OEB file **EB-2015-0179** (dated July 23, 2015) application and pre-filed evidence from Union Gas Limited is seeking approval of its proposed Community Expansion Program with intent to support the expansion of infrastructure necessary to provide natural gas to communities that would otherwise not receive natural gas service (Rural areas);

**WHEREAS** the Ontario Energy Board issued a Notice for Public Hearing **EB-2016-004** (dated January 2016) regarding OEB's intent to review the following issues in May 2016:

- 1. Should the OEB implement new ratemaking mechanisms including changes to current economic tests to encourage utilities to expand natural gas distribution service to new communities? If so, what should these new mechanisms be?
- 2. Should the OEB consider imposing conditions or making other changes to Municipal Franchise Agreements and Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to reduce barriers to natural gas expansion?
- 3. Does the OEB have the authority to require the ratepayers of one utility to subsidize the costs of another utility to expand into new communities? If so, under what circumstances (if any) would this be appropriate?

**WHEREAS** the Eastern Ontario Warden's Caucus's letter of comment (dated April 6, 2016) regarding the Ontario Energy Board's Generic Proceeding EB-2016-004 with intent for the Warden Caucus to support the initial application from Union Gas (EB-2015-0179) and also similar entities that wish to expand natural gas service to rural and remote communities;

WHEREAS the Township of North Stormont has signed a 20 year Franchise Agreement with Enbridge and has attempt many communications with them to have natural gas service in the West part of our Township without any responses or actions being taken by Enbridge;

AGAINST
DEFEATED:
CAO/Clerk



# The Corporation of the Township of

# NORTH STORMONT RESOLUTION

Date: April 12, 2016

Dat 2

Resolution No. <u>609</u>

## **MOVED BY:**

Deputy Mayor Bill McGimpsey Councillor Jim Wert Councillor François Landry Councillor Randy Douglas

	/
<b>\</b>	

# **SECONDED BY:**

Deputy Mayor Bill McGimpsey
Councillor Jim Wert
Councillor François Landry
Councillor Randy Douglas

**WHEREAS** the West part of the Township of North Stormont is being served by Union Gas and that the separation is even made on the West side of a road (**serviced by Union Gas**) versus the East side of the same road (**unserved by Enbridge**);

**WHEREAS** the Township of North Stormont made representation with Union Gas to endeavor possible development of the natural gas services on the East side of the Township;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the Council of Township of North Stormont that the OEB examine the proposal to expand natural gas services and make it available to all of Eastern Ontario rural areas such as the United Counties of Stormont, Dundas & Glengarry and the United Counties of Prescott-Russell as it is vital to our Economic Development and to Business expansion and retention as well as the increase of employability in our sector.

**AND BE IT ALSO RESOLED THAT** this resolution supports the letter of comment sent to the Ontario Energy Board by the Eastern Ontario Warden's Caucus.

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** this resolution be circulated to all municipal and regional councils in Ontario requesting that they endorse and support this resolution and communicate their support to the Premier, the OEB and the Ministers of Economic Development, Employment and Infrastructure, Energy, and Agriculture and Rural Affairs.

Passed by the Council of the Township of North Stormont on April 12, 2016

Recorded Vote:	AGAINST			
CARRIED: Demis Note  Mayor	DEFEATED:			
Declaration of Conflict of interest:				
☐ Disclosed His/Her/Their interest☐ Vacated His/Her/Their Seat☐ Deferred☐	CAO/Clerk			



P.O. Box 97, Plevna, Ontario K0H 2M0
Tel: (613) 479-2231 or 1-800-234-3953, Fax: (613) 479-2352
www.northfrontenac.ca

April 13, 2016

All Municipalities Via Email

Attention: All Municipalities within Ontario

Dear Clerks:

Re: Independent Electrical System Operator Review of Request for Proposal Process for the Award of Renewable Energy Contracts

Please be advised the Council of the Corporation of the Township of North Frontenac passed the following Resolution at the March 18, 2016 Council Meeting:

Moved by Councillor Good, Seconded by Councillor Inglis #155-16

WHEREAS the Independent Electrical System Operator has requested input on the RFP process used to award renewable energy contracts:

**AND WHEREAS** the government indicated that new contracts would be directed to willing host communities with the Minister of Energy indicating on March 7 that it would be 'almost impossible' for a contract to be granted under the current process without municipal agreement;

**AND WHEREAS** three of the five contracts announced on March 10 2016 did not have municipal support for the project;

**AND WHEREAS** the current process does not meet the government's standards for openness and transparency because municipal Councils are asked to support power projects based on little or no detail and further, the recipient municipalities are unable to determine the basis on which individual contracts were awarded;

**AND WHEREAS** the province has not demonstrated that renewable energy projects are of sufficient strategic importance in meeting Ontario's electricity generation requirements and/or carbon emission reduction targets to warrant the province taking action to override municipal decisions;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Council of the Township of North Frontenac requests:

- That the Municipal Support Resolution become a mandatory requirement in the IESO process;
- That the rules be amended to require that the resolution related to this support must be considered in an open Council meeting held after the community engagement meeting organized by the proponent;
- That full details of the project, including siting of project elements and site consideration reports, are required to be made available at the community engagement meeting and to the Council before the resolution is considered;
- 4. That the terms of any municipal agreement related to the project also need be discussed In open Council and that such agreements cannot contain terms that limit the municipality's ability to exercise Municipal Act powers relative to the project;
- 5. That the process includes the requirement for the municipality to provide comments on the project directly to the IESO;
- That any points for Aboriginal participation in a given power project be limited to the First Nation who has a comprehensive claim on the land where the project will be built;
- That any announcement of the successful bidders includes an explanation of the points awarded to each bid.

**AND THAT** this Resolution be provided to the President of IESO; Minister of Energy; All Municipalities within the Province; Randy Hillier, MPP; and AMO.

**AND THAT** the Mayor is authorized to do a press release. **Carried** 

Please provide the Resolution to your Council for consideration of the request for support.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

Tara Mieske

Clerk/Planning Manager

Tara Mieske

TM/bh



# **COUNCIL RESOLUTION**



Wednesday April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Moved by:

Seconded by:

WHEREAS Invenergy was awarded a contract for the Strong Breeze Wind Project despite the fact that the Council of the Municipality of Dutton Dunwich surveyed the community as to whether its citizens were in favour or opposed to having an IWT project, and 84% of respondents stated they were not in favour;

**AND WHEREAS** Invenergy was awarded a contract for the Strong Breeze Wind Project despite the lack of municipal support;

**AND WHEREAS** Invenergy was awarded a contract for the Strong Breeze Wind Project with support from six Ontario First Nations communities, none of which are local First Nation Bands, and some of which are 1,000 km away from Dutton Dunwich.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Municipality of Dutton Dunwich requests:

- 1. That the "Municipal Support Resolution" becomes a mandatory requirement in the IESO process;
- 2. That any points for Aboriginal participation in a given power project be limited to the First Nation who has a comprehensive claim on the land where the project will be built;
- 3. That any announcement of the successful bidders includes an explanation of the points awarded to each bid.
- 4. AND THAT this resolution be forwarded to the Chair of the Board & President of IESO, the Minister of Energy, Elgin-Middlesex-London MPP Jeff Yurek, AMO and all municipalities within the Province.

X	Recorded Vote	Yeas	<u>Nays</u>
•	I.Fleck _	_ 1	
	D. McKillop	\	
	M. Hentz	1	
	B. Purcell	7	<del></del>
	C. McWilliam - N	Mayor 👤	· ·

Carried:

Mayor

Defeated:

Mayor



# TOWNSHIP OF WARWICK

"A Community in Action"
6332 Nauvoo Road, R.R. #8, Watford, ON N0M 2S0

Township Office: (519) 849-3926 / 1-877-849-3926 Works Department: (519) 849-3923

Watford Arena: (519) 876-2808 Fax: (519) 849-6136

Website: www.warwicktownship.ca E-mail: info@warwicktownship.ca

April 14, 2016

Dear Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario VIA E-MAIL

# **RE: Physician Recruitment**

Please be advised that at the regular Council meeting of April 11, 2016, Warwick Township Council approved the following resolution:

WHEREAS Warwick Township Council supports and endorses the Municipality of Bluewater's resolution dated February 16, 2016;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Township of Warwick hereby requests that the Minister of Health and Long Term care reinstate incentives for physicians to practice in rural areas of Ontario, and that the minister return to the table with Ontario's doctors and work together through mediation-arbitration to reach a fair deal that protects the quality, patient-focused care Ontario families deserve;

AND THAT copies of this resolution be sent to the Premier of Ontario, the federal and provincial Ministers of Health, the Ontario College of Physicians and Surgeons and all municipalities in Ontario.

- Carried.

A copy of the resolution approved by the Municipality of Bluewater is enclosed for your reference.

Kindest Regards,

Adulul

Amanda Gubbels Clerk/Deputy Administrator Township of Warwick



14 Mill Avenue PO Box 250 ZURICH ON NOM 2T0 519-236-4351 or 519-565-5212 Fax: 519-236-4329

www.municipalityofbluewater.ca

February 19, 2016

Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario VIA – Email

Dear Premier Wynne,

Please be advised that the Council of the Municipality of Bluewater passed the following motion at their Council meeting on February 16, 2016:

Moved by Councillor Zimmerman, seconded by Councillor Hill that:

Whereas Ontario's growing and aging population is putting an increasing strain on our publicly-funded health care system;

And Whereas since February 2015, the Ontario government has made an almost 7% unilateral cut to physician services expenditures which cover all the care doctors provide to patients – including cuts to programs which are specifically designed to act as incentives for physicians to practice in rural areas:

And Whereas the decisions Ontario makes today will impact patients' access to quality care in the years to come and these cuts will threaten access to the quality, patient-focused care Ontarians need and expect:

And Whereas Ontario in experiencing a growing rural population as retirees move to the countryside;

And Whereas many rural municipalities in Ontario have formed physician recruitment and retention committees and strategies to deal with the reality of physician retirements and shortages;

And Whereas rural areas in Ontario are already at a distinct disadvantage in recruiting family physicians due to a number of factors;

Now Therefore Be It Resolved that the Council of the Municipality of Bluewater hereby requests that the Minister of Health and Long Term Care reinstate incentives for physicians to practice in rural areas of Ontario, and that the minister return to the table with Ontario's doctors and work together through mediation-arbitration to reach a fair deal that protects the quality, patient-focused care Ontario families deserve;

And Be It Further Resolved that copies of this resolution be sent to the Premier of Ontario, the federal and provincial Ministers of Health, the Ontario College of Physicians and Surgeons, and all municipalities in Ontario. Carried.

If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind Regards,

Charlew Ourlock

Charlene Overholt Manager of Corporate Services/Clerk



# COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES

The Committee of Adjustment for the City of Guelph held its Regular Meeting on Thursday April 14, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. in Council Chambers, City Hall, with the following members present:

B. Birdsell, Chair

K. Ash, Vice Chair (arrived at 4:03 p.m.)

M. Bosch S. Dykstra L. Janis D. Kendrick P. Ross

Regrets: None

Staff Present: L. Sulatycki, Planner

T. Di Lullo, Secretary-Treasurer

D. McMahon, Council Committee Assistant

#### Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and General Nature Thereof

There were no disclosures.

#### **Approval of Minutes**

Moved by M. Bosch Seconded by P. Ross

THAT the Minutes from the March 24, 2016 Special Meeting of the Committee of Adjustment, be approved as circulated.

## CARRIED

# Requests for Withdrawal or Deferral

There were no requests.

# **Current Applications**

Application: A-27/16

Owner: 2414660 Ontario Limited

Agent: Michael Fry, D.G. Biddle & Associates Limited

Location: 146 Speedvale Avenue West

In Attendance: Michael Fry

Rick Eleveld Frank Valeriote Linda Hathorn

Secretary-Treasurer T. Di Lullo noted that comments were received after the comment deadline from Mr. P. Lee, Ms. R. Leal, Mr. R. Eleveld, Mr. F. Valeriote, and Mr. L. McDougall with concerns about the application. Copies of the correspondence were provided to the members.

Chair B. Birdsell questioned if the sign had been posted in accordance with Planning Act requirements and if the staff comments were received. Mr. M. Fry, agent for the owner, replied that the sign was posted and comments were received.

Mr. M. Fry outlined the application. He indicated that he had recently met with residential neighbours to address concerns about lighting and landscaping.

In response to questions from member M. Bosch, Mr. M. Fry indicated that 1 parking space per 33 square metres of office space is required and therefore 8 parking spaces are required based on the office size. Mr. M. Fry indicated that the Zoning By-law does not differentiate employee parking from the parking space requirements and he said that from his experience a gas bar usually has 1 to 2 employees present at a time.

In response to questions from member L. Janis, Mr. M. Fry indicated that he was unsure of the office tenant and that the convenience store will be a typical gas bar convenience store.

Ms. L. Hathorn, resident at 26 Kimberley Drive, questioned what would happen to the proposal if the minor variance was approved or not approved. She expressed concerns about the building design, parking, lighting, and hours of operation.

In response to a question from member M. Bosch, Planner L. Sulatycki indicated that the development is currently going through the site plan approval process, which will address landscaping and buffering. She noted the gas bar is already a permitted use on the property. Mr. M. Fry said the fence would meet the Zoning By-law requirement which is typically a 1.8 metre high fence and would meet sight line requirements.

In response to question from member P. Ross, Mr. M. Fry stated the hours of operation have not been determined by the owner.

Having considered whether or not the variance(s) requested are minor and desirable for the appropriate development and use of the land and that the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law and the Official Plan will be maintained, and that this application has met the requirements of Section 45(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13 as amended,

Moved by S. Dykstra Seconded by P. Ross

THAT in the matter of an application under Section 45(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P13, as amended, a variance from the requirements of Section 4.13.4.2 of

Zoning By-law (1995)-14864, as amended, for 146 Speedvale Avenue West, to permit fourteen (14) off-street parking spaces for the proposed gas bar, convenience store and office space, when the By-law requires one (1) parking space per 16.5 square metres of gross floor area for the convenience store and one (1) parking space per 33 square metres for the office space [a total of 22 spaces for the gas bar, convenience store and office space], be **APPROVED**, subject to the following condition:

1. The owner agrees to submit and receive approval from the City, in accordance with Section 41 of The Planning Act, a fully detailed site plan indicating the location of the building, landscaping, parking, circulation, access, lighting, grading, drainage and servicing to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Planning Services and the General Manager/City Engineer. Furthermore, the owner shall develop the said lands in accordance with the approved site plan.

#### REASONS:

This application is approved, as it is the opinion of the Committee that, with the above noted condition of approval, this application meets all four tests under Section 45(1) of the Planning Act.

CARRIED

Application: B-14/16

Owner: Beneditto Di Renzo and Mario Di Renzo

Agent: Sergio Manera, McElderry & Morris

Location: 237 Janefield Avenue

In Attendance: Sergio Manera

Paul Di Renzo Ben Di Renzo

Chair B. Birdsell questioned if the sign had been posted in accordance with Planning Act requirements and if the staff comments were received. Mr. S. Manera, agent for the owner, replied that the sign was posted and staff comments were received.

Mr. S. Manera suggested minor amendments to clarify conditions 1 and 2. He suggested that the words "to be" be added to condition 1, before the words "registered on title". For condition 2, he suggested that the words "will be" be added before the words "registered on title". Mr. S. Manera outlined the purpose of the application.

In response to a question from member M. Bosch, Secretary-Treasurer T. Di Lullo replied that she had no concerns with the proposed amendments to the conditions.

No members of the public spoke in support or opposition to the application.

Having had regard to the matters under Section 51(24) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13 as amended, and having considered whether a plan of

subdivision of the land in accordance with Section 51 of the said Act is necessary for the proper and orderly development of the land,

Moved by D. Kendrick Seconded by M. Bosch

THAT in the matter of an application under Section 53(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P13, as amended, consent for the creation of an easement on Registered Plan 649, Part of Block 'L', Part 4 of Reference Plan 61R-2930, known municipally as 237 Janefield Avenue, an easement with frontage on Janefield Avenue of 6.09 metres, and an area of 752.85 metres, for storm sewage, sanitary sewage, water and other public utilities in favour of the owner of 601 Scottsdale Drive (Registered Plan 649, Block 'K'), be **APPROVED**, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That prior to endorsation of the deeds, the servient tenement lands (237 Janefield Avenue, lands to be retained, Part of Block L, Registered Plan 649, being Part 3, Reference Plan 61R-2930), grants an easement approximately 6.096-metres (20.0 feet) wide by approximately 117.45-metres (385.34 feet) to approximately 123.49-metres (405.15 feet) over Part 4, Reference Plan 61R-2930, to be registered on title, in favour of the dominant tenement lands (601 Scottsdale Drive, Block K, Registered Plan 649), for protection of an existing 250mm (0.83 feet) and 300mm (1.0 feet) sanitary sewer, an existing 600mm (2.0 feet) storm sewer; and for water and other public utilities.
- 2. That prior to endorsation of the deeds, the solicitor for the owner of the servient tenement lands (237 Janefield Avenue, lands to be retained, Part of Block L, Registered Plan 649, being Part 3, Reference Plan 61R-2930), certifies that the easement, being Part 4, Reference Plan 61R-2930, in favour of the dominant tenement lands (601 Scottsdale Drive, Block K, Registered Plan 649, has been granted and will be registered on title.
- 3. That upon fulfilling and complying with all of the above-noted conditions, the documents in triplicate with original signatures to finalize and register the transaction be presented to the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment along with the administration fee required for endorsement.
- 4. That all required fees and charges in respect of the registration of all documents required in respect of this approval and administration fee be paid, prior to the endorsement of the deed.
- 5. That the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment be provided with a written undertaking from the applicant's solicitor, prior to endorsement of the deed, that he/she will provide a copy of the registered deed/instrument as registered in the Land Registry Office within two years of issuance of the consent certificate, or prior to the issuance of a building permit (if applicable), whichever occurs first.

#### **REASONS:**

This application is approved, as it is the opinion of the Committee that, with the above noted conditions of approval, this application meets the criteria of section 51(24) of the Planning Act to which all consent applications must adhere.

#### CARRIED

Application: A-28/16

Owner: Fabpiovesan Holdings Inc.

Agent: Joe Lakatos, A.J. Lakatos Planning Consultant

Location: 53 College Avenue West

In Attendance: Joe Lakatos

Chair B. Birdsell questioned if the sign had been posted in accordance with Planning Act requirements and if the staff comments were received. Mr. J. Lakatos, agent for the owner, replied that the sign was posted and comments were received.

Mr. J. Lakatos outlined the application.

In response to a question from member M. Bosch, Mr. J. Lakatos confirmed that the same owner owns both units.

In response to a question from member M. Bosch, Planner L. Sulatycki explained that the property is zoned R.1B and permits single detached dwellings. She indicated that additional approval from the Committee would be needed to establish any accessory apartments.

In response to questions from member L. Janis, Mr. J. Lakatos confirmed that there are tenants in both units and there is only one unit on each side and that there is no existing accessory apartment.

In response to questions from member S. Dykstra, Planner L. Sulatycki explained that accessory apartments can be determined from the presence of an additional kitchen or full bathroom on the floor plans. She indicated that a building permit application has been submitted and there is no appearance of an accessory apartment in either unit. She indicated that if accessory apartments are created illegally in the future, they would be investigated on a complaint basis. Planner L. Sulatycki indicated that the authority to extend or enlarge a legal non-conforming uses is a provision under section 45 in the Planning Act.

No members of the public spoke in support or opposition to the application.

Having considered a change or extension in a use of property which is lawfully non-conforming under the By-law as to whether or not this application has met the requirements of Section 45(2) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13 as amended,

Moved by L. Janis Seconded by K. Ash

THAT in the matter of an application under Section 45(2)(a)(i) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.P13, as amended, for permission to enlarge/extend the legal non-conforming use at 53 College Avenue West, to permit the proposed addition and roof modifications to the existing semi-detached dwelling, be **APPROVED**, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That the applicant shall submit to the City, in accordance with Section 41 of the Planning Act, a detailed site plan, indicating the location of buildings, landscaping, parking, circulation, access, tree preservation, grading and drainage on the said property to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Planning, Urban Design and Building Services and the General Manager/City Engineer, prior to the creation of the parking lot as shown on the submitted site plan, and furthermore the applicant agrees to develop the said lands in accordance with the approved plan.
- 2. That prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant make arrangements with the Technical Services Department of Guelph Hydro Electric Systems Inc. for the possible relocation of the existing overhead hydro services. This would be at the applicant's expense.

#### **REASONS:**

This application is approved, as it is the opinion of the Committee that, with the above noted conditions of approval, this application conforms with Section 45(2) of the Planning Act.

#### CARRIED

#### Other Business

Secretary-Treasurer T. Di Lullo noted that an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) regarding the minor variance application for 51 Vanier Drive (File A-11/16) was received on March 29, 2016. A copy of the appellant form was provided to the Committee members.

#### Adjournment

Moved by D. Kendrick Seconded by S. Dykstra

THAT the hearing of the Committee of Adjustment be adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

CARRIED

B. Birdsell Chair T. Di Lullo Secretary-Treasurer