

Special City Council Meeting Agenda



Consolidated as of September 15, 2017

Monday, September 18, 2017 – 6:00 p.m.
Council Chambers, Guelph City Hall, 1 Carden Street

Please turn off or place on non-audible all electronic devices during the meeting.

Please note that an electronic version of this agenda is available on guelph.ca/agendas.

Changes to the original agenda have been highlighted.

Authority to move into closed meeting

That the Council of the City of Guelph now hold a meeting that is closed to the public, pursuant to the Municipal Act, to consider:

- C-CON-2017.4 Solid Waste Resources Business Service Review Interim Report – Material Recovery Facility Findings**
Section 239 (2)(b)(d) of the Municipal Act relating to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees and labour relations or employee negotiations.

Open Meeting– 7:00 p.m.

Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and General Nature Thereof

Closed Meeting Summary

Special Council - Workshop – Solid Waste Resources Service Review

CON-2017.39 Solid Waste Resources Business Service Review Interim Report

Presentation:

Tomoko King, Manager Corporate Project Management Office
Peter Busatto, General Manager, Environmental Services

Delegations:

Paul Clulow, President, CUPE local 241
Janice Folk-Dawson
Andrew Cleary, President, ATU local 1189

Marty Williams, Executive Director, Downtown Guelph Business Association
Ted Pritchard, Fair Tax Campaign
Pat Fung

Correspondence:

Pat Fung

Recommendation:

That the report IDE-17-107, "Solid Waste Resources Business Service Review Interim Report" dated September 18, 2017, be received.

Adjournment

Solid Waste Resources Delegation Sept 18, 2017

2015 BMA Report	Cost per tonne	Cost per capita
Guelph	\$137	\$29
Average	\$114	\$10
Median	\$90	\$9

Waste collection costs from 2015 BMA report indicated the cost per tonne was \$137 and that it was well above the Ontario average and the Ontario median as per the above chart.

Per Business Service Review 2017 09 18:

Cost per tonne \$109.68 in Guelph compared to \$128.22 per Dillon Consulting.

How did the City achieve this reduction in cost per tonne. There unfortunately is no similar report of Cost per Tonne in the 2016 BMA report, so there is no way of telling if the Dillon numbers and BMA numbers are consistent. Assuming that they are, how all of a sudden did the City go from being 17% above the average to being 14% below the average? That is a swing of 31%age points which is dramatic. What changed?

The cost per capita numbers in the BMA report for 2016 are \$32 per capita in Guelph versus \$14 average which in relative terms is consistent with the 2015 report. Based on this, it is difficult to imagine that the cost per tonne for collections has improved as much as it appears.

Why is the City using benchmarks from Dillon Consulting when it pays for similar data from BMA which we pay for as well? Is this counterproductive? Is this the best use of our resources? The two sets of statistics cannot both be correct. How did the City decide whose numbers to use?

The report says 12 municipalities were selected. The chart for cost per tonne only show 5 unnamed municipalities. Why aren't the municipalities named, and why are only 5 shown on the charts? The average is only for the 5 unnamed municipalities plus Guelph. Why aren't the others included in the average? What would the numbers look like if the other 7 municipalities are included?

Pat Fung, B. Comm, CPA, CA

Ward 6