1888 GORDON STREET
GUELPH, ONTARIO

TREE PRESERVATION PLAN

Prepared for:
Tricar Development Inc.
3800 Colonel Talbot Road
London ON N6P 1H5
(519)-652-8905

Prepared by:
Stantec Consulting Ltd.
49 Frederick Street
Kitchener ON N2H 6M7
(519) 579-4410

Project No. 1614-01285

January 10, 2017

Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled 1888 GORDON STREET, GUELPH, ONTARIO, TREE PRESERVATION PLAN was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of Tricar Development Inc. (the "Client"). The material in it reflects Stantec's best judgment with the best information available at the time of preparation.

Prepared by _____
(signature)

Ms. Jennifer Koskinen, HBESfcon
ISA Certified Arborist ON-1234A
Phone: (519) 585-7442
Fax: (519) 579-8664
jennifer.koskinen@stantec.com

Reviewed by _____
(signature)

Mr. Tim McCormick, OALA, CSLA
Landscape Architect
Team Lead – Landscape Architecture Kitchener
ISA Certified Arborist ON-0899A
Phone: (519) 585-7451
Fax: (519) 579-8664
tim.mccormick@stantec.com

Stantec

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1.1
1.1 EXISITING SITE 1.1

2.0 METHODOLOGY 2.1
2.1 TREE CONDITION RATING 2.1

3.0 OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS 3.1
3.1 OBSERVATIONS 3.1
3.2 ANALYSIS 3.1
3.2.1 Trees to be Removed 3.1
3.2.2 Tree Protection Fencing 3.2
3.3 COMPENSATION 3.2

4.0 CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT 4.1
4.1 CONSTRUCTION IMPACT 4.1
4.1.1 Potential Construction Impacts to Trees 4.1
4.1.2 Soil Compaction and Root Damage 4.1
4.1.3 Mechanical Damage 4.1
4.1.4 Root Damage 4.1
4.2 PROTECTING AND MANAGING TREES DURING CONSTRUCTION 4.2

5.0 DISCLAIMER 5.1

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. DETAILED TREE INVENTORY. LOCATED AT THE END OF SECTION 3.0

LIST OF APPENDICIES

APPENDIX A – TABLE 1. DETAILED TREE INVENTORY
APPENDIX B – TREE MANAGEMENT PLAN, DRAWING L-900, AND L-901

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stantec Consulting Limited (Stantec) has been retained by Tricar Development Inc. to prepare a Tree Preservation Plan (TPP) for the proposed future development at 1888 Gordon Street in Guelph, Ontario. The TPP has been prepared to support the Site Plan Approval.

1.1 EXISITING SITE

The development site is located adjacent within the southwest corner of Gordon Street and Poppy Drive East. The property is approximately 3.19ha (7.88) acres. The property includes an existing residential property, and land previously owned by the adjacent Brock Road Nursery. The residential site is comprised of multiple treed groupings, hedgerows, and a treed area sloping down to a wetland which is located in the southwest corner of the site. The land previously owned by the nursery includes open grass areas with multiple planted hedgerows of mature trees and isolated naturalized areas. A row of trees borders the site to the south with a residential property on the adjacent land. A new development borders the site to the east. There is newly planted buffer along this eastern property boundary. There are two properties to the north, a residential property, and Brock Nursery. Gordon Street borders the site to the west.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The tree inventory and assessment was conducted by Ms. Jennifer Koskinen, HBESfcon, Certified Arborist, and Ms. Brooke Milsom, BLA, Landscape Architectural Intern on February 23rd, 2016, and February 29th, 2016. A subsequent visit was completed by Ms.Koskinen on February 29th, 2016, to complete a detailed inventory of the trees located within the wetland located at the southwest corner of the site. Our inventory and assessment includes the trees located within the property boundary, and trees on adjacent lands that may be impacted by the development or proposed grading work.

The detailed inventory data was collected for any trees 10 cm diameter at breast height (DBH) and greater. Inventory data includes tree species, general health condition, DBH, and dripline radius. Trees located within the property area were tagged with a numbered steel tree tag (i.e., trees #1801, #1802, #1803 etc.). Trees located in dense planted vegetation units have been grouped in a vegetation unit identified with a letter ID, i.e 'A', B', 'C' etc. Trees within the vegetation units have been included in the detailed inventory. Tree data has been compiled in the Table 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, located in Appendix A.

Tree locations have been identified on the TPP, Drawing L-900 and L-901, located in Appendix 'A'.

2.1 TREE CONDITION RATING

Outlined below are the detailed guidelines utilized for the classification of condition rating:

Excellent: (Vigour Class 6: Healthy)
No major branch mortality: crown is reasonably normal with less than 10% branch or twig mortality; no signs of decay.

Good: (Vigour Class 5: Light Decline)
Branch mortality, twig dieback in 11-25% of the crown: broken branches or crown missing based on presence of old snags is less than 26%; minor evidence of decay.

Fair: (Vigour Class 4: Moderate Decline)
Branch mortality, twig dieback in 26-50% of the crown: broken branches or crown area missing based on presence of old snags is 50% or less; decay evident.

Poor: (Vigour Class 3: Severe Decline)
Branch mortality, 50% or more of the crown dead: broken branches or crown area missing based on presence of old snags in more than 50%; decay resulting in high hazard assessment.

Dead: (Vigour Class 2: Dead due to Natural Causes)
Tree is dead, either standing or down: phloem under bark has brown streaks: few epicormic shoots may be present.

Dead: (Vigour Class 1: Dead due to Human Causes)
Tree removed: tree has been sawed or girdled by human activity.

3.0 OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 OBSERVATIONS

The study area contained predominantly native tree species, with some isolated non-native trees throughout. The trees surrounding the residential home were manicured landscaped trees and shrubs. Trees located within the wetland depression (Unit L) and hedgerows along the property line and east of the house were naturally occurring trees and predominantly native species. Hedgerows and tree units located in the east area of the property (Units 'D' to 'I') appeared to be planted and were predominantly conifer species. Tree species included in the inventory are:

> Balsam Fir (*Abies balsamea*), Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*),Red Maple (*Acer rubrum*), Norway Maple (*Acer platanoides*), Hawthorn sp. (*Crataegus sp.*), Ash sp.(*Fraxinus sp.*), Apple sp. (*Malus sp.*), White Mulberry (*Morus alba),* White Spruce (*Picea glauca*), Colorado Spruce (*Picea pungens*),Red Pine (*Pinus resinosa*), White Pine (*Pinus strobus*), Scots Pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), Cherry sp. (*Prunus sp.*), Black Cherry (*Prunus serotina*),Golden Weeping Willow (*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*), Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), Basswood (*Tilia americana*), Elm sp. (*Ulmus sp.*)

3.2 ANALYSIS

3.2.1 Trees to be Removed

Based on the proposed Site Plan and associated grading, the development has been designed to maximize the development area which has resulted in minimal opportunity for tree preservation.

Tree Removal Summary

The following is a summary of the total inventoried trees located within the subject property; trees to be retained; trees to be removed; and trees that require compensation:

- Total trees inventoried in area = 766
- Trees to be retained = 28
- Trees to be removed = 738
- Removals that are invasive species or trees in poor condition (with greater than 70% dead crown), or dead trees, without compensation = 17
- Trees to be removed with compensation = 721*

*excluding invasive species and trees in poor condition (with greater than70% dead crown) or dead trees.

3.2.2 Tree Protection Fencing

Proposed Tree Protection Fencing (TPF) has been recommended fo the trees to be retained along the property edge in the north west and south west borders of the site.

The TPF details conform to the current City of Guelph standard details and have been provided on the TPP, drawing L-901. Detailed information for TPF maintenance, installation and tree protection recommendations has been identified in Section 4.0 of this report. Refer to TPP, Drawing L-900 and L-901 in Appendix 'A' for the individual locations of the trees to be retained and proposed locations of Tree Protection Fencing.

3.3 COMPENSATION

The City of Guelph requires compensation for the loss of canopy cover for trees in fair to excellent condition, with the exception of invasive species. The City requires a replacement ration of 3:1, or $500 cash in lieu for each tree removed.

There will be 721 trees that removed will require compensation. As such that represents 2163 native trees planted for compensation, or cash in lieu of as mentioned above. The proposed compensation trees will be included as part of the detailed Landscape Plan.

4.0 CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT

4.1 CONSTRUCTION IMPACT

4.1.1 Potential Construction Impacts to Trees

Trees are living organisms that react to changes in their environment. Trees can be damaged during construction without showing signs of damage until several years later. Most of the impacts relate to the removal of roots that results in the slow death of the tree as a result of its inability to absorb sufficient water and nutrients. Contained within this section are descriptions of the potential impacts this project may have on the trees, and impact mitigation methods that are intended to aid in the design and construction process.

4.1.2 Soil Compaction and Root Damage

The leading cause of construction damage to trees is compaction of the soil around the roots or within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ). The TPZ is the area around the tree or group of trees in which no grading or construction activity may occur (Harris 1992). Equipment entering into a TPZ compresses the air pockets around the roots inhibiting the tree from absorbing nutrients and water. This damage ultimately reduces the health of the tree. Accordingly, during the removal stage, equipment use within the preservation zones should be restricted to ensure that the tree's roots are not disturbed, thereby, assisting in maintaining their continued health. The TPZ is protected and delineated by the TPF.

4.1.3 Mechanical Damage

Equipment can physically damage the trees through striking the trunk, limbs and/or roots. Felled trees can also cause damage during the tree removal stage of construction. Some damage is unavoidable due the close proximity of adjacent trees; however, through the use of proper equipment and Best Management Practices (BMP) the damage can be minimized. The Contractor should be held responsible for all avoidable damage to the trees during all stages of development. Note: trees shall be felled away from adjacent trees to be retained to prevend damage to their stems, branches and crown.

4.1.4 Root Damage

The success of tree preservation is dependent not only on protecting the root zone from compaction and damage, it is also contingent upon the ability to ensure that the structural roots within the root plate are not disturbed. Impacts to this area may result in the structural failure of these trees.

Excavating soil within the dripline of a tree can damage roots by tearing and splitting. This damage can later lead to rot, which can kill the tree. When excavating the top 30-60 cm of soil adjacent to trees, care must be taken to minimize ripping or tearing of roots. Excavation should cleanly sever the roots prior to stripping and removal of soil. Exposed roots, greater than 2.5 cm diameter, shall be pruned back to the soil face to prevent damage to the tree. No work should be completed within the dripline of preservation trees without the approval of the Project Arborist.

4.2 PROTECTING AND MANAGING TREES DURING CONSTRUCTION

The following recommendations are presented to provide appropriate tree protection and management during the construction for this project.

1. Tree protection fencing shall be installed to protect trees identified for preservation. TPF installation must conform to details and City of Guelph standards identified on the Tree Management Plan drawings located in Appendix 'B'. Upon installation of the tree protection fencing, the Contractor shall contact the Project Arborist to review and approve the fencing and its location prior to commencement of any site work. This shall be coordinated with City staff for approval. The protection fencing shall remain intact throughout the entire protection. The fencing will be inspected weekly and, if required, repaired. The fencing shall be removed at the completion of all site works.

2. Upon receiving the necessary project approvals and prior to the commencement of tree removals, all trees designated for preservation must be flagged in the field. All designated preservation areas must be left standing and undamaged during site works. Removals are to be completed outside of migratory bird nesting season from April 1 to August 31. Removals may take place during this restricted time only if the requirements of the Migratory Birds Convention Act are met and nesting activity is routinely monitored by qualified individuals (i.e., Wildlife Biologists).

3. The TPZ is the area around a retained tree that is to be protected by tree protection fencing. The TPZ is not to be used for any type of storage (e.g. storage of debris, construction material, surplus soils, and construction equipment). No trenching or tunneling for underground services shall be located within the TPZ. Construction equipment shall not be allowed to idle or exhaust within the TPZ.

4. Trees shall not have any rigging cables or hardware of any sort attached or wrapped around them, nor shall any contaminants be dumped within the protective areas. Furthermore, no contaminants shall be dumped or flushed where they may come into contact with the feeder roots of the trees. In the event that roots from retained trees are exposed, or if it is necessary to remove limbs or portions of trees after construction has commenced, the Project Arborist shall be informed and the proper actions conforming to City Policies and By-laws shall be carried out.

5. Upon completion of the tree removals, all felled trees are to be removed from the site. No lumber or brush from the clearing is to be stored on the site. Any chipping, cutting or brush cleanup are to be completed outside of the bird nesting season. These works may take place during this restricted time only if the requirements of the Migratory Birds Convention Act are met and nesting activity is routinely monitored by qualified individuals (i.e. ,Wildlife Biologists.

6. The following is the process that shall be carried out if tree removals are requested during the restricted time indicated in the Migratory Birds Convention Act:

   - Contact a qualified individual (i.e., Wildlife Biologist) to determine if nesting birds are within the tree removal disturbance area. Stantec has a qualified bird specialist on staff that can be contacted

   - If the bird specialist has determined that there are nesting birds onsite, there will be no tree removals/chipping conducted within the boundary set out by the specialist. Tree removals can resume within this area at the end of the nesting season, August 31, or if the migratory bird specialist has determined the birds have left

   - If the bird specialist determines there are no migratory birds nesting within the disturbance area, the contractor has 3 days to conduct removals. At the end of 3 days, if removals and chipping is not complete, the bird specialist will return to the site and proceed with another assessment. If there are still no birds, work can resume for another 3 days. This process will continue until all removals and chipping is complete.

5.0 DISCLAIMER

The assessment of the trees presented within this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of the above-ground parts of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay, evidence of insect presence, discoloured foliage, the general condition of the trees and the surrounding site, as well as the proximity of property and people. None of the trees examined were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realized that trees are living organisms and their health and vigour is constantly changing. They are not immune to changes in site conditions or seasonal variations in the weather.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the trees recommended for retention are healthy, no guarantees are offered or implied, that these trees or any part of them will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behavior of any single tree or group of trees in all circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure if provided with the necessary combinations of stresses and elements. This risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate and the trees should be re-assessed periodically. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of inspection.

APPENDIX A

TABLE 1. DETAILED TREE INVENTORY

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
1	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	27, 29	4	Good		REMOVE	3
2	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	32	5	Poor		REMOVE	3
3	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	(2)22	4	Good		REMOVE	3
4	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	18, 20	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
5	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	38	3.5	Good		REMOVE	3
6	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
7	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		Retain	0
8	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
9	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		Retain	0
10	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
11	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
12	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	11	2	Good		Retain	0
13	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		Retain	0
14	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
15	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
16	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
17	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	11	2	Good		Retain	0
18	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	13	2	Good		Retain	0
19	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		Retain	0
20	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	11	2	Good		Retain	0
21	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	11	2	Good		Retain	0
22	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	1	Good		Retain	0
23	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	11	1	Good		Retain	0
24	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	22	3	Good		REMOVE	3
25	*Tilia americana*	Basswood	(4)<10, 13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
26	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	(2)10, 12, 15	2.5	Fair		REMOVE	3
27	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	28	3.5	Poor		REMOVE	3
28	*Prunus sp.*	Cherry Sp.	13	2	Poor		REMOVE	3
29	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	20	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
30	*Prunus sp.*	Domestic cherry	30	5	Good		Retain	0
31	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
32	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	11	2.5	Good		REMOVE	3
33	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
34	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
35	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
36	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	(2)10, 13, 15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
37	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
38	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
39	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	(2)10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
40	*Prunus sp.*	Cherry Sp.	15	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
41	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
42	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
43	*Malus sp.*	Domestic Apple	(2)11	2.5	Good		REMOVE	3
44	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
45	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	12	1.5	Good		REMOVE	3
46	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	26	3	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
47	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	19	4	Good		REMOVE	3
48	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
49	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
50	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	22	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
51	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	19	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
52	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	5	Good		REMOVE	3
53	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
54	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
55	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
56	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
57	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	34	6	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
58	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	26	5	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
59	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	29	5	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
60	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	(3)10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
61	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	(2)10, 12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
62	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12, 13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
63	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
64	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
65	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
66	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
67	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
68	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	19	2	Good		REMOVE	3
69	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
70	*Abies balsamea*	Balsam Fir	13	2.5	Good		REMOVE	3
71	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	21	4	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
72	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	23	5	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
73	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	21	5	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
74	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
75	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
76	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	23	3	Good		REMOVE	3
77	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	25	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
78	*Abies balsamea*	Balsam Fir	17	2.5	Good		REMOVE	3
79	*Abies balsamea*	Balsam Fir	10	2.5	Good		REMOVE	3
80	*Ulmus sp.*	Elm Sp.	36	6	Good		REMOVE	3
81	*Ulmus sp.*	Elm Sp.	46	8	Good		REMOVE	3
82	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
83	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	11, 14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
84	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	13, 14	3.5	Good		REMOVE	3
85	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	27	4	Good		REMOVE	3
86	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	12, (2)16	4	Good		REMOVE	3
87	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	33	5	Good		REMOVE	3
88	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	19	4	Good		REMOVE	3
89	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	32	6	Good		REMOVE	3
90	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	46	6	Good		REMOVE	3
91	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	42	6	Fair		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
92	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	31	6	Good		REMOVE	3
93	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
94	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	13	4.5	Fair		REMOVE	3
95	*Ulmus sp.*	Elm Sp.	20	6	Poor		REMOVE	3
96	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	25	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
97	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	12	3.5	Good		REMOVE	3
98	*Ulmus sp.*	Elm Sp.	18, 23	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
99	*Ulmus sp.*	Elm Sp.	23	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
100	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
101	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	13	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
102	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	19	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
103	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	18	3	Poor		REMOVE	3
104	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	(5)10	3	Poor		REMOVE	3
105	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	23	5	Poor		REMOVE	3
106	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	16, 17	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
107	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	15	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
108	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	20, 26	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
109	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	28	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
110	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	15, 18	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
111	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	24	5	Good		REMOVE	3
112	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
113	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	20, 21	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
114	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	(2)18	4.5	Poor		REMOVE	3
115	*Malus sp.*	Domestic Apple	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
116	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	17	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
117	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	18	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
118	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	13	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
119	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	20, 25	4.5	Poor		REMOVE	3
120	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	12, (2)18, 20	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
121	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	(4)14	5	Poor		REMOVE	3
122	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	12	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
123	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	14, 15	5	Poor		REMOVE	3
124	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	10, (2)16, (2)18	6.5	Good		REMOVE	3
125	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	25	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
126	*Malus sp.*	Domestic Apple	36, 40	7	Fair		REMOVE	3
127	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	25	7	Fair		REMOVE	3
128	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	(2)20, 21	7	Fair		REMOVE	3
129	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	28, 30, 35	7	Fair		REMOVE	3
1299	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple			Poor	Already tagged from previous inventory for adjacent development	REMOVE	3
1300	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry			Poor	Already tagged from previous inventory for adjacent development	REMOVE	3
1444	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple			Fair	Already tagged from previous inventory for adjacent development	REMOVE	3
187	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10, 31	5	Good		REMOVE	3
188	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	17	4	Good		REMOVE	3
189	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	13	4	Good		REMOVE	3
190	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	14	3	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
191	*Crataegus Sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	17	4	Good		REMOVE	3
192	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21	4.5	Good		REMOVE	3
193	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	26	5	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
194	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
195	*Acer platanoides*	Norway Maple	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
196	*Prunus sp.*	Cherry Sp.	(3)<10, 15	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
197	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
198	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	5	Good		REMOVE	3
199	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	3	Dead		REMOVE	3
200	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
201	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
202	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	3	Poor		REMOVE	3
203	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15, 21, 25	6	Good		Retain	0
615	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	13	4	Good	Dense buckthorn adjacen to trees	REMOVE	3
616	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
617	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
618	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
619	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	21	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
620	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	38	5	Good		REMOVE	3
621	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14,21	3	Good		REMOVE	3
622	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14,21,18,18,40	5	Fair		Retain	0
623	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
624	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	50,42	6	Good		Retain	0
625	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		Retain	0
626	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
627	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	38	6	Good		Retain	0
628	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23	5	Good		Retain	0
629	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23,20 (2)	7	Good		REMOVE	3
630	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	35	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
631	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	55	5	Good		REMOVE	3
632	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	13				REMOVE	3
633	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	17,12,18	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
634	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	20	4	Good		Retain	0
635	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
636	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	4	Good		REMOVE	3
637	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	33	8	Good		REMOVE	3
638	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	34	5	Good		REMOVE	3
639	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	31	6	Good		REMOVE	3
640	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
641	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	11	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
642	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14	4	Good		REMOVE	3
643	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
644	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	25	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
645	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	28	5	Good		REMOVE	3
646	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	4	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
647	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	28	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
648	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
649	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23,25	6	Good		REMOVE	3
650	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	16	3	Good		Retain	0
651	*Pinus strobus*	White Pine	16		Poor		Retain	0
652	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	35		Poor		REMOVE	3
653	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	40	5	Good		REMOVE	3
654	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14	5	Good		REMOVE	3
655	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
656	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
657	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
658	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
659	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
660	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
661	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	5	Good		REMOVE	3
662	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
663	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	2	Poor		REMOVE	3
664	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
665	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
666	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	11	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
667	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
668	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
669	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
670	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
671	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
672	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	21	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
673	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	13	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
674	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	25	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
675	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
676	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
677	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	4	Good		REMOVE	3
678	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	5	Good		REMOVE	3
679	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
680	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	30	5	Good		REMOVE	3
681	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	28		Good		REMOVE	3
682	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21,18		Good		REMOVE	3
683	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	24		Good		REMOVE	3
684	*Salix sp.*	Willow	30	7	Good		REMOVE	3
685	*Salix sp.*	Willow	25	6	Good		REMOVE	3
686	*Salix sp.*	Willow	48	10	Good		REMOVE	3
687	*Salix sp.*	Willow	30	7	Good		REMOVE	3
688	*Salix sp.*	Willow	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
689	*Salix sp.*	Willow	28,12	5	Good		REMOVE	3
690	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
691	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	23	4	Poor		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
692	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
693	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	18	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
694	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	20	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
695	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	(3)10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
696	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	28,30	8	Good		REMOVE	3
697	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	18,25	6	Good		REMOVE	3
698	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	(2)10	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
699	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
700	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	5	Good		REMOVE	3
701	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
702	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
703	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12,10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
704	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21,(2)<10		Fair		REMOVE	3
705	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
706	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14		Good		REMOVE	3
Unit D								
D1	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	30	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D2	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	23	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D3	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D4	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	30	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D5	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D6	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D7	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
D8	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D9	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D10	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D11	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D12	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D13	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D14	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D15	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D16	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D17	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D18	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D19	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D20	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D21	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D22	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D23	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D24	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	24	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D25	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	24	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D26	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D27	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D28	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D29	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
D30	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	27	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D31	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D32	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D33	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D34	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D35	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D36	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D37	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D38	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D39	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D40	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D41	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D42	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D43	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D44	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D45	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D46	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	22	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D47	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D48	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D49	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D50	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D51	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D52	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D53	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D54	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D55	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D56	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D57	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
D58	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
D59	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
D60	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
Unit E								
E1 to E8	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	1.5	Good	8 trees	REMOVE	24
Unit F								
F1	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F2	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F3	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F4	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F5	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F6	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F7	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F8	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F9	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F10	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F11	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
F12	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	10	1	Good		REMOVE	3
F13	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F14	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	10	1	Good		REMOVE	3
F15	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F16	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F17	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F18	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
F19	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	29	4	Good		REMOVE	3
F20	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	32	4	Good		REMOVE	3
F21	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	28	3	Good		REMOVE	3
F22	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	32	5	Good		REMOVE	3
Unit G								
G1	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G2	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G3	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G4	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G5	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G6	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G7	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G8	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G9	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G10	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G11	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G12	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G13	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G14	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G15	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G16	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G17	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G18	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G19	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G20	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G21	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	24	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G22	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G23	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G24	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G25	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G26	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G27	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G28	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G29	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G30	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G31	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G32	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	24	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G33	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
G34	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G35	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G36	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G37	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G38	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G39	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G40	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G41	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G42	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G43	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G44	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G45	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G46	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G47	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G48	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	21	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G49	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G50	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G51	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G52	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G53	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G54	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G55	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G56	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G57	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G58	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G59	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G60	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G61	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G62	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G63	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G64	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G65	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	21	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G66	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	21	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G67	*Pinus strobus*	White Spruce	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G68	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G69	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G70	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	22	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G71	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	19	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G72	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	19	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G73	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	19	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G74	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	19	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G75	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G76	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G77	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G78	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	19	3	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
G79	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G80	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	1	Good		REMOVE	3
G81	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G82	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G83	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G84	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G85	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G86G	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	21	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G87	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G88G	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G89	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G90	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G91	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G92	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G93	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G94	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G95	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G96	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G97	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G98	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G99	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G100	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	1	Good		REMOVE	3
G101	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G102	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G103	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G104	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G105	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	26	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G106	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G107	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G108	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G109	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G110	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	21	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G111	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G112	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G113	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G114	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G115	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G116	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G117	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G118	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G119	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G120	*Picea glauca*	White	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G121	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	12	1	Good		REMOVE	3
G122	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G123	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
G124	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G125	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G126	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G127	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G128	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G129	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G130	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G131	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G132	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	17	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G133	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G134	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G135	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G136	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G137	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G138	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G139	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G140	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	14	2	Good		REMOVE	3
G141	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G142	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G143	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	1	Good		REMOVE	3
G144	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G145	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	24	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G146	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	24	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G147	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G148	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G149	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G150	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	21	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G151	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G152	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	26	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G153	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G154	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
G155	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
G156	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
Unit H								
H1	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H2	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H3	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H4	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H5	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H6	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H7	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H8	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H9	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H10	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H11	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
H12	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H13	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H14	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H15	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H16	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H17	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H18	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H19	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H20	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H21	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H22	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H23	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H24	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	22	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H25	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	22	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H26	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H27	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	25	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H28	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H29	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H30	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H31	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H32	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H33	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H34	*Picea abies*	Norway Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H35	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H36	*Picea pungens*	Colorado Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H37	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H38	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H39	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H40	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H41	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H42	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H43	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	24	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H44	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H45	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H46	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H47	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H48	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H49	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
H50	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H51	*Acer rubrum*	Red Maple	(2)10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
H52	*Acer rubrum*	Red Maple	10, 12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H53	*Acer rubrum*	Red Maple	(3)<10, 10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H54	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
H55	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
Unit I								
I1	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I2	*Picea abies*	Norway Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I3	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I4	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I5	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I6	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I7	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I8	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I9	*Pinus resinosa*	Red Pine	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I10	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I11	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I12	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I13	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I14	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I15	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I16	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	17	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I17	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I18	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I19	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I20	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I21	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I22	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I23	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	20	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I24	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I25	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I26	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I27	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	16	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I28	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I29	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I30	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I31	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I32	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I33	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I34	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I35	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I36	*Pinus sylvestris*	Scots Pine	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
I37	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
I38	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	18	3	Good		REMOVE	3
I39	*Picea glauca*	White Spruce	16	4	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
Unit J								
J1	*Prunus serotina*	Black Cherry	18	7	Poor		REMOVE	3
J2	*Prunus serotina*	Black Cherry	18	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
J3	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
J4	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
J5	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
J6	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	(2)12, 18	6	Good		REMOVE	3
J7	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	56	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
J8	*Malus sp.*	Domestic Apple	67	6	Good		REMOVE	3
J9	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	13	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
J10	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10, 18	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
J11	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	18	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
J12	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J13	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J14	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	10	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
J15	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J16	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	13	4	Good		REMOVE	3
J17	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	14	4	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
J18	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	13	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J19	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	14	3	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
J20	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J21	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J22	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	20	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
J23	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J24	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J25	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J26	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	14	3	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
J27	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	40 to 50	7	Poor		REMOVE	3
J28	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J29	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J30	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
J31	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	12, 18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
J32	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	13	3	Poor	Evidence of EAB	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
J33	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	35, 42, 45	5	Poor		REMOVE	3
J34	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	30, (2)32	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
J35	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	35	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
J36	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	51	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
J37	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	40	6	Fair		REMOVE	3
J38	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	42, 45	10	Good		REMOVE	3
J39	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	40	6	Good		REMOVE	3
J40	*Prunus serotina*	Black Cherry	25, 30	6	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
Unit K								
K1	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	21	4	Good		REMOVE	3
K2	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	18	5	Good		REMOVE	3
K3	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	15	5	Poor		REMOVE	3
K4	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	18	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
K5	*Morus alba*	White Mulberry	15	4	Good		REMOVE	3
K6	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
K7	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
K8	*Rhamnus Sp.*	Buckthorn Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	0 - poor condition
K9	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
K10	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
K11	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
Unit L								
615	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	13	4	Good		REMOVE	3
616	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
617	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
618	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	Green Ash	23	4	Good		REMOVE	3
619	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	21	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
620	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	38	5	Good		REMOVE	3
621	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14,21	3	Good		REMOVE	3
622	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14,21,18,18,40	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
623	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
624	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	50,42	6	Good		REMOVE	3
625	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
626	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
627	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	38	6	Good		REMOVE	3
628	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23	5	Good		REMOVE	3
629	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23,20 (2)	7	Good		REMOVE	3
630	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	35	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
631	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	55	5	Good		REMOVE	3
632	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	13	2	Good		REMOVE	3
633	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	17,12,18	5	Fair	Canopy covered in vines, <10% live crown.	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
634	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	20	4	Good		REMOVE	3
635	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
636	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	4	Good		REMOVE	3
637	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	33	8	Good		REMOVE	3
638	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	34	5	Good		REMOVE	3
639	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	31	6	Good		REMOVE	3
640	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
641	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	11	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
642	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14	4	Good		REMOVE	3
643	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
644	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	25	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
645	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	28	5	Good		REMOVE	3
646	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	4	Good		REMOVE	3

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
647	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	28	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
648	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
649	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	23,25	6	Good		REMOVE	3
650	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	16	3	Good		REMOVE	3
651	*Pinus strobus*	White Pine	16	3	Poor	<10% live crown	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
652	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	35	4	Poor		REMOVE	3
653	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	40	5	Good		REMOVE	3
654	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14	5	Good		REMOVE	3
655	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	11	3	Good		REMOVE	3
656	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
657	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
658	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
659	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash Sp.	10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
660	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
661	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	5	Good		REMOVE	3
662	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
663	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	2	Poor	<10% live crown	REMOVE	0 - poor condition
664	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
665	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
666	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	11	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
667	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
668	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	11	2	Good		REMOVE	3
669	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	15	3	Good		REMOVE	3
670	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
671	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
672	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	21	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
673	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	13	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
674	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	25	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
675	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
676	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	2	Good		REMOVE	3
677	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	4	Good		REMOVE	3
678	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	10	5	Good		REMOVE	3
679	*Crataegus sp.*	Hawthorn Sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
680	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	30	5	Good		REMOVE	3
681	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	28	4	Good		REMOVE	3
682	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21,18	4	Good		REMOVE	3
683	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	24	4	Good		REMOVE	3
684	*Salix sp.*	Willow	30	7	Good		REMOVE	3
685	*Salix sp.*	Willow	25	6	Good		REMOVE	3
686	*Salix sp.*	Willow	48	10	Good		REMOVE	3
687	*Salix sp.*	Willow	30	7	Good		REMOVE	3
688	*Salix sp.*	Willow	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
689	*Salix sp.*	Willow	28,12	5	Good		REMOVE	3
690	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21	5	Good		REMOVE	3
691	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	23	4	Poor	<10% live crown	REMOVE	0 - poor condition

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory, 1888 Gordon Street, Guelph, Ontario
Data Collected: February 25th, 29th, 2016; and September 21, 2016.

Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Dripline Radius (m)	Condition	Comments	Action	City Compensation at 3:1
692	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
693	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	18	5	Fair		REMOVE	3
694	*Fraxinus sp.*	Ash sp.	20	4	Fair		REMOVE	3
695	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	(3)10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
696	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	28,30	8	Good		REMOVE	3
697	*Salix alba 'Vitellina'*	Golden Willow	18,25	6	Good		REMOVE	3
698	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	(2)10	3	Fair		REMOVE	3
699	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	2	Good		REMOVE	3
700	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	15	5	Good		REMOVE	3
701	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	3	Good		REMOVE	3
702	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12	4	Good		REMOVE	3
703	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	12,10	3	Good		REMOVE	3
704	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	21,(2)<10	2	Fair		REMOVE	3
705	*Ulmus americana*	White Elm	10	4	Good		REMOVE	3
706	*Acer negundo*	Manitoba Maple	14	3	Good		REMOVE	3
Unit M								
M1 to M42	*Thuja occidentalis*	Eastern White Cedar	10 to 20	2	Good	42 trees. Also 40 <10 cedars. Red osier dogwood in understory.	REMOVE	126

Total removed trees =	**738**
Total retained trees =	**28**
Total removed trees without compensation=	**17**
Total removed trees with compensation =	**721**
TOTAL # OF COMPENSATION TREES =	**2163**

APPENDIX B

TREE MANAGEMENT PLAN, DRAWING L-900, L-901



WINDOW #1

Stantec
300 Hagey Blvd. Suite 100
Waterloo, ON. N2L 0A4
Tel. 519.579.4410
www.stantec.com

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Key Map



Legend

- Existing Deciduous Tree
- Existing Coniferous Tree
- 0000 — Tree to be Retained and Protected Identification Tag
- 0000 — Tree to be Removed Identification Tag
- 340.00 — Existing Contours
- 340.00 — Proposed Spot Elevations
- Proposed Tree Protection Fencing
- Existing Tree to be Retained and Protected, Not Inventoried
- Existing Vegetation Unit to be Removed

Revision	By	Appd.	YY.MM.DD
File Name: 161401285_L-TM.dwg	EH	JK	EH	16.04.19
	Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD

Permit-Seal

CERTIFIED ARBORIST ISA
JENNIFER KOSKINEN
ON-1234A

Client/Project

TRICAR DEVELOPMENT INC.

1888 Gordon St

Guelph ON

Title

Tree Management Plan

Project No.	Scale	
161401285	1:400 (0 4 12 20m)	
Drawing No.	Sheet	Revision
L-900	1 of 2	0

ORIGINAL SHEET - ARCH D

\\V10161A\active\161401285\design\drawing\landscape\sheet_files\161401285_L-TM.dwg
2017-09-14 2:49:19 PM, TIQUIE, EPC



WINDOW #2

1:400 0 4 12 20m



WINDOW #3 - UNIT B

1:100 0 1 3 5m

NOTES:

1. ATTACHMENT OF FENCE TO TREES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED.
2. ANY EXPOSED ROOTS ARE TO BE HAND PRUNED USING PROPER ARBORICULTURAL PRACTICES.
3. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL ANY CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT OR VEHICLES BE PLACED WITHIN THE TREE PROTECTION ZONE.
4. ALL TREE PROTECTION TO BE ERECTED PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AND IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL CONSTRUCTION HAS BEEN COMPLETED. OBTAIN WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO REMOVAL OF FENCING.
5. ALL TREE PROTECTION FENCING SHALL BE REMOVED PRIOR TO PROJECT FINAL ACCEPTANCE.
6. SEDIMENT CONTROL FENCING WILL BE INSTALLED INDEPENDENTLY UNDER DIRECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTOR.



TD 1

PROPOSED TREE PROTECTION FENCING WITH SEDIMENT CONTROL

N.T.S.

Stantec

300 Hagey Blvd. Suite 100
Waterloo, ON, N2L 0A4
Tel. 519.579.4410
www.stantec.com

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Key Map



N.T.S

Legend

- Existing Deciduous Tree
- Existing Coniferous Tree
- Tree to be Retained and Protected Identification Tag
- Tree to be Removed Identification Tag
- Existing Contours
- Proposed Spot Elevations
- Proposed Tree Protection Fencing
- Existing Tree to be Retained and Protected, Not Inventoried
- Existing Vegetation Unit to be Removed

Revision	By	Appd.	YY.MM.DD
File Name: 161401285_L-TM.dwg	EH	JK	RH	16.04.19
	Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD

Permit-Seal

CERTIFIED ARBORIST ISA

JENNIFER KOSKINEN
0N-1234A

Client/Project

TRICAR DEVELOPMENT INC.

1888 Gordon St

Guelph ON

Title

Tree Management Plan

Project No.	Scale	
161401285	AS SHOWN	
Drawing No.	Sheet	Revision
L-901	2 of 2	0

V:\01614\active\161401285\design\drawing\landscape\sheet_files\161401285_L-TM.dwg
2017/01/09 4:45 PM By: Houle, Erin

ORIGINAL SHEET - ARCH D