

COMMITTEE AGENDA



Consolidated as of July 4, 2014

TO Operations, Transit & Emergency Services Committee

DATE July 8, 2014

LOCATION Council Chambers, Guelph City Hall, 1 Carden Street

TIME 5:00 p.m.

Please turn off or place on non-audible all cell phones, PDAs, Blackberrys and pagers during the meeting.

DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND GENERAL NATURE THEREOF

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES – June 3, 2014 open meeting minutes

PRESENTATIONS (Items with no accompanying report)

a) None

CONSENT AGENDA

The following resolutions have been prepared to facilitate the Committee's consideration of the various matters and are suggested for consideration. If the Committee wishes to address a specific report in isolation of the Consent Agenda, please identify the item. The item will be extracted and dealt with separately. The balance of the Operations, Transit & Emergency Services Committee Consent Agenda will be approved in one resolution.

ITEM	CITY PRESENTATION	DELEGATIONS	TO BE EXTRACTED
OTES-2014.24 Overnight On-Street Parking Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rodney Keller, General Manager, Public Works Nancy Button, Traffic Technologist II 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paul Kelly, resident Cynthia Folzer, resident <p><u>Correspondence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paul Kelly Amy Moroz Amie Willoughby Mal MacKeigan Alex Mendes Thana Dharmarajah Ruban Rasiah Sandra Brandon Kratofil Cynthia Folzer 	√

		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Jake and Joan DeBruyn• Sarah Sarwary• Bob Moore• Liz Muller• Marjorie Bellai• Laura Andrews	
--	--	--	--

Resolution to adopt the balance of the Operations, Transit & Emergency Services Committee Consent Agenda.

ITEMS EXTRACTED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA

Once extracted items are identified, they will be dealt with in the following order:

- 1) delegations (may include presentations)
- 2) staff presentations only
- 3) all others.

STAFF UPDATES AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

NEXT MEETING – August 5, 2014

Letters to THE TRIBUNE

Sudden parking enforcement is discriminatory

I am one of many residents who unfortunately do not have a driveway. Many homes and streets in the St. George's Park area were built before cars even existed.

This has contributed to the ever-increasing problem of on-street parking by non-residents, particularly those who don't want to pay for parking while they work downtown or go to hockey games.

I have been parking on a little side street called New Street for more than a year and a half with no parking tickets or warning tickets ever administered. I was astonished to

find one on my windshield last week. It was for parking more than two hours during the day. I have always used it to park overnight and park long-term. Although there are signs posted, they have never actually been enforced. The worst part about the bylaw on New Street is that it discriminates against people who live there and don't work the typical 9-to-5 shifts. The bylaw allows overnight parking for residents from 2 a.m. to 6 a.m., not from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

The best part about this is when I went to the parking enforcement at city hall to find

out why this ticket was suddenly administered for parking during the day. When I told them that I had been using it for over a year and a half, their consistent response was that "I was lucky" for not getting a ticket.

I'm pretty sure anyone who violates a parking bylaw for over a year and a half is beyond lucky. When I asked for leniency, the best they could reduce it was by \$10. The ticket should have been a warning ticket or been forgiven due to total negligence by the city to never have enforced the bylaw before. The solution is simple. For my area and

other older areas of Guelph, designate vehicle permits to residents who can prove residency either from utility bills or household lease/rent agreements and ownership of their vehicle(s). This would dramatically reduce the incidence of those who use this area as their parking lot during the day for their jobs downtown.

Lastly, I would like to wish the city of Guelph good luck with the parking issues related to the new Riverside condominiums.

Kiel Moreau
Guelph

Overnight on-street parking review Survey / Comment Sheet

Please return by Wed, March 12, 2014 to:

nancy.button@guelph.ca or to

Nancy Button, Traffic Technologist, Public Works
1 Carden Street, Guelph, ON N1H 3A1

Or fill in the online survey at guelph.ca/parking

Name: PAUL KELLY

Email: _____

Address: _____

Postal Code: _____

Currently, where parking is legally permitted on city streets, overnight parking is also allowed during the spring, summer and fall from May 1 to October 31. On-street parking is allowed up to a maximum 48 consecutive hours. Overnight on-street parking is restricted from 2-6 a.m. during the winter season from November 1 to April 30.

Exemptions to the overnight on-street parking restriction include 1) for visitors, driveway repair and other compassionate reasons, 2) through a Residential Overnight Permit, issued under certain strict criteria and for a fee, and 3) on some streets, generally in older areas of the city where some residences have no driveways and no options to provide off-street parking.

Please take a moment to fill out this survey and help us develop overnight on-street parking restrictions that enable the city to function safely and effectively.

1. When should the City of Guelph restrict overnight on-street parking?

☐ Year round

☒ Only during the winter

☐ Not at all

☐ Other NO BLANKET COVER

ITS NOT IF ITS AS

Def:

2. If the City restricts winter overnight on-street parking, for what dates? SHOULD APPLY

Start date:

☐ November 1 (as per the current by-law)

☐ November 15

☐ December 1

☒ Other (please specify) REVIEW

End date:

☐ March 15

☐ April 1

☐ April 15

☐ April 30 (as per the current by-law)

☐ May 15

☐ Other (please specify)

A BLANKET POLICY FOR THE WHOLE CITY IS INAPPROPRIATE AND UNRESPONSIVE TO DEMANDS (AS)

3. If the City restricts overnight on-street parking, for what times of day? SHOULD APPLY

Start time:

☐ 11 p.m.

☐ 12 midnight

☐ 1 a.m.

☐ 2 a.m. (as per the current by-law)

☒ 3 a.m.

☐ Other (please specify)

End time:

☒ 5 a.m.

☐ 6 a.m. (as per the current by-law)

☐ 7 a.m.

☐ Other (please specify)

SHOULD IT APPLY TO ALL AREAS
WHAT ABOUT OVERNIGHT AND SHIFT WORKERS

Received Mar 3/14
NB.

received
offer
Mar 12
Inadvis

1063

AS

4. If the City restricts overnight on-street parking, where and how should the restriction apply? Please check all that apply:

MAPS

- ☐ On collector streets
- ☐ On arterial streets
- ☐ On Guelph Transit bus routes
- ☐ On narrow streets with roadways less than 7m wide

DEFINE

WHERE ARE THESE

- ☐ On streets with a posted speed of 60 km/h or greater
- ☐ On streets with an average daily traffic volume of 2,000 vehicles or more
- ☐ On streets where private off-street parking is available or could be made available for each residence

WHERE IN CITY

NOT

- ☐ On any street unless it already has permissive overnight on-street parking

APPLIES FOR

NOT

- ☐ On any street except where requested by residents of the street

TAXPAYERS NOT ADMINISTRATORS

- ☐ On at least one side of the street

FEEES

- ☐ After a heavy snowfall or freezing rain
- ☐ With exemptions available for overnight guests or driveway repairs or other compassionate reasons

WHAT AREAS

- ☐ Other (please specify)

5. For how many consecutive hours should a vehicle be permitted to park on-street?

- ☐ 3 hours
- ☐ 4 hours
- ☐ 12 hours
- ☐ 18 hours
- ☐ 24 hours
- ☐ 48 hours (as per the current by-law)
- ☐ Other (please specify)

Please provide additional comments or suggestions. Feel free to add extra pages.

The wording and structure of your survey is confusing and incomplete and will lead to administration making decisions without proper public input or lack of it.

This is a money generating exercise more than a policy issue. The parking authority is a headache.

Collection Notice: Personal Information, as defined by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA) is collected under the authority of the Municipal Act, 2001 and will be used in accordance with the provisions of MFIPPA. Personal information on this form will be used for the purpose of assisting the City of Guelph in making a decision on this overnight on-street parking review. Any personal information such as name and address included in a submission from the public may become part of the public record. Questions regarding the parking review should be directed to 519-822-1260 extension 2041 or nancy.button@guelph.ca. Questions regarding the collection, use and disclosure of information should be directed to the City of Guelph's Access, Privacy and Records Specialist at 519-822-1260 extension 2349 or tina.mckinnon@guelph.ca.

2 of 3

Paul Kelly

Exercise that only pays its bills is proven by the unbelievable rate increases of fines and fees compared to other fee collecting areas and departments of the city one to two hundred percent in 5 to 10 years verses 1 to 3 percent in other areas.

The survey does not mention fees or other costs of penalties levied which I think would be one of the biggest influences on people's decisions. Why this information left is out will this be disclosed to the council upon presentation for bylaw implementation and fee structure?

Will the fact that the city makes no monies from the enforcement of these rules by fines and fees much above the cost of the parking authority be declared to city council?

The implementation of these fees and fines renders much of the parking authorities' reason for its existence a self serving exercise in money acquisition. This leads to fees and fines to be punitive in nature not helpful in its control over the city and its cars, much of the time with no input from the public and no rationale for its decisions other than from its own supposedly superior intellect who's first purpose is a revenue generation, self serving administration. That they are here to serve the city and its people is questionable by the punitive decisions and nature of what they do.

On a more personal basis I will tell you of my experience in the parking and fees problem with the city.

I bought my house in 1993 at the time there was no parking restrictions on the street. The house was built in 1896 with no driveway or space for one in the future. I bought it in good faith believing the city would act with good character and respect for its citizens.

Three years later the city issued a business permit to a taxi company with which I believe was seven to eight cars, to operate in the city and did not require them to have a base for their cars to operate from. They along with other city employees and downtown workers mostly from outside the city started to use my street where; (I pay taxes) for their parking lot, partially due to increases in city lot fees. As for the taxi company they paid no taxes for property or parking, and only a minor business fee.

393

I lost the ability to park on my street (where I pay taxes) because the city refused to do anything about the taxi company, especially. I therefore had to ask for a two hour restriction and the parking authority would issue nine permits to residents to park 24 hours a day. For I believe \$25.00 a year. I received one permit for an administrative fee that I thought reasonable, (I am the only house on the street without a driveway or parking of any kind.) I therefore have no option for parking. All other cars parked on the street have parking lots or driveways, and I doubt buy permits as they have no need.

The fee now is close to \$200.00 if you restrict the overnight parking my total will go up to close to \$400.00 my son has a car for work and student activities, my total now will be close to \$800.00 and I have no option, everyone else parking on the street does. To me this is a tax that the city is imposing on me, alone, as I have no option; no one else has to pay.

I have talked to people in your administration about special exemptions for permits for me and others in my position and I am told that it can not be done. It seems exceedingly strange that someone has the authority to issue permits (as many as they decide) but cannot decide if someone could be exempt from paying the permit fee due to exceptional circumstances.

I can understand, not issuing a permit to people not living or owning property on the street, but to force a person, who can prove he resides and pays taxes on the street, to pay for such a permit fee and has no other option, is unfair. If anyone can prove he resides and pays taxes for a house on Green Street and has no option or recourse to parking should, I feel, to be treated fairly, be allowed an exemption on the fee. There can not be that many circumstance where by this could not be considered. I do not believe this to be detrimental to the city.

Around the city I have observed special parking allowances and signs including; my own street, and yet I can not find out who and how these are issued. In the past I have inquired at the parking authority, and they continuously tell me that they have no authority to change fees, issue permits or erect signs.

At one time I tried to get the two hour limit removed and go back to unlimited parking. A questionnaire was then distributed on our street to see if residents agreed. Of course the answer was no, as they it was of no interest, or concern to them as they already have parking. Obviously this is not the way to address special circumstances such as mine, as the questionnaire was inappropriate for any special circumstances.

Hello,

I am a relatively new member to the Guelph community (5 years) and having read the overnight on-street parking review, I would like to share my vote to retain the current by-law.

Reasons:

At a time when revenue is so critical any decision that reduces revenue by \$160K per year should not be entertained at this point.

Alternative 2 - which many city staff proposed includes too many revisions...each one having wide consequences that I believe should be considered individually. Some of the items seem reasonable with little or no impact on a regular city resident while other changes will be quite impactful. For this reason, if city staff are keen to make changes...each of those changes should have an opportunity to reviewed and discussion on each item.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my view.

Sincerely,

Amy Moroz, M.Ed.,C.Psych.

Hello Parking Committee,

I live in an older area of town. My husband and I do not have a driveway at our home. We require overnight street parking year-round.

If the by-law changes, we will have nowhere to leave our vehicles overnight. Our neighbours are in the same situation.

I hope you'll consider the needs of homeowners like us when you finalize details of this by-law.

Had this been an issue when we purchased our home 5 years ago, we would not have considered this property. It's very inconvenient to have to fret about it now.

Amie Willoughby

Please keep the exemption in place for Goodwin Dr, Thank You,

Mal MacKeigan

Hello Clerk,

My name is Alex Mendes, I am a resident and owner of a condo unit at Trafalgar court (off of Goodwin drive on the south end of Guelph).

I understand the city is reviewing its overnight parking policy. The residents of my condo complex are extremely appreciative of the opportunity to park year round overnight on Goodwin drive. The infrastructure i.e. parking spaces within the condo complex is not enough to cover the demand. Continuing having the opportunity to park overnight year round on the street is imperative. Without it, it will cause countless issues not only to residents but to the city as well with a steady stream of complaints from Guelph residents in the area.

There are no negative aspects in my humble opinion which would warrant a need to revoke the year-round overnight parking status. Snow plows are still able to perform their duties, and it does not pose a safety risk.

It would be greatly appreciated from all residents of Trafalgar court if we can continue having the opportunity to park year-round overnight on Goodwin drive.

Regards,

Alex Mendes

Hello,

As a Goodwin Drive property owner, I feel that the city should keep year-round parking on that street.

There are already extremely limited visitor parking spots for the units on Goodwin, and in the winter during snowstorms, it often takes 2-3 days for the snow to be properly shoveled out of our own parking spots.

Last winter, I had to try to find street parking for a couple days until my own parking spot was cleared.

Again, given there is a shortage of visitor parking spots, I believe the city should do its best to keep year-round parking for the residents on Goodwin Drive. I see no harm.

Thank you,

Thana Dharmarajah

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to you in regards to the upcoming review of overnight on-street parking, specifically Goodwin Drive. I have been a resident of Lynch Circle which abuts Goodwin Drive for the past 6 plus years. For the record, I had written to the city years ago in regards to the on-street parking issue on Goodwin, which has only got worse over the years.

The issue is especially exacerbated in the winter, where there seems to be no shortage of residents/ visitors to the – Trafalgar Court complexes, who use on-street parking overnight exemption.

The result is that the street is a nightmare whether walking, driving or even waiting for public transit at that location.

There are many issues that have been caused by the abuse of the overnight exemption by the residents of Trafalgar Court.

- A) The main issues seems to be that some residents of that complex leave their vehicles on-street for not just overnight but for many days. As evidenced by the snow plows that have to get by them, after a major snowfall.
- B) The winter last year was bad in terms of snow and ice, and the street could not be cleaned properly as residents parked on that street continuously.
- C) Due to the road being constricted, it was a virtual one way road for many winter days, as cars could not get by each other safely, leading to increased congestion.
- D) As a result of the above, there was also a reduction in visibility.
- E) With the opening of the new Zehrs and increased traffic on Goodwin, the situation will only get worse in the future.

The city and residents of Trafalgar Court need to work together so that this pressure point on Goodwin does not become a safety issue.

Thank you,

Ruban Rasiah

I wish to submit written comments to Committee about the report regarding the above.

I hear there is a meeting to discuss and decide year-round parking on Goodwin Drive at 5:00 PM on Tuesday July 8/14.

We are encouraged to send our comments in for this meeting to you before July 4th, so I am writing to you to send in my comments.

It is extremely difficult to drive in Goodwin drive because of the cars parked on the road, especially in the winter when the cars take up lots of road space because of snow. Some cars are not even moved for days from their parking spot and it is a danger to other vehicles on that road. The snow also turns to ice and the road is shrunk to only one car lane.

It should be the builder's responsibility to provide ample parking for the building they build without having to opt for all year round parking on the road and it should also be city's responsibility not to approve such high rise buildings where there are no sufficient parking. If all year round parking is allowed for Goodwin, then more such requests will come for other high rises that are being built on Gordon or

elsewhere in the city which will become a major hassle for all drivers. In essence parking policy must be the same for all streets in Guelph and builders of high rises should not get special privileges like this.

I strongly suggest the city to reject all year round parking at Goodwin Drive keeping the convenience and road safety of all drivers in mind.

cheers

Sandra

To whom it may concern,

As a tenant of Goodwin Drive of two years, I do understand the challenges created this winter by the amount of heavy snow, and the volume of on-street parking. I have been very appreciative of the city allowing free on-street parking along Goodwin, and I am sure that many of my neighbours are also grateful.

The problems generated this year were frustrating for many, due to the lack of opportunity for the city to properly remove snow from this particular street, there were many occasions upon returning home from work that my car got stuck in the snow as I simply drove it into an unploughed area. I even went to Canadian Tire to purchase a small shovel which I kept in the trunk of my car for these occasions. Like many commuters I set my alarm early on weekdays just to ensure I not only had enough time to safely travel to work, but also ample time to shovel myself out to ensure I arrived at work on time. I personally feel like a decision to interrupt the ability to park on the street during select winter months based on this past winter unfairly punishes certain residents of the community such as myself.

My girlfriend is a veterinary student in Guelph, part of her program requires her to be able to access the school within 15-20 minutes at any given time if she is on call. I work in Mississauga as a teacher, my school starts at 8:10, in the winter months I need to be on the road by 6:30 on a good day. I do believe the chair of the condo board has backed the idea of removing on street parking in the past, stating that if people needed more parking they had the opportunity to pay for an additional parking spot at the time of construction. However, as tenants and not owners this was not a possibility for us. We require two cars, but only have one parking space available. The decision to remove on street parking will likely require us to move, as there are a severe lack of alternative parking arrangements available to us (I believe it was the decision of a city planner to original recommend only 1.25 parking spaces in the Trafalgar Square community per unit).

I understand it is easy to complain, but progress is better served with a suggestion, so here is what I propose:

Instead of removing on-street parking, make it mandatory that all cars be moved off of the street by a predetermined time in the morning (e.g., 8:00 am), it allows those who truly need the parking space a chance to use it, while still providing the city with an opportunity to remove the snow, to provide a safer environment.

Implement a nice fine for those who fail to comply. Those who truly need the space will go out of their way to comply with the established time of removal.

In closing, I would strongly urge that the city take into account people who truly need the extra parking, as there is no suitable alternative. Where would these cars go for the proposed months of no parking? I do agree that something needs to be done about the many who do not move their cars for weeks at a time. This is inexcusable, I spent 2 hours on Superbowl Sunday digging my car out instead of just leaving it because there was "too much snow".

Thank you for taking the time to consider my opinion on the matter.

Concerned citizen,

Brandon Kratofil

Please distribute the following comments to the Operations, Transit and Emergency Services Committee for the July 8 meeting.

I agree that the 2nd alternative is the best of the three options; however, although staff should have the authority to declare a temporary on-street parking ban in case of winter storms during November or March, a temporary on-street parking ban should be limited to a maximum of 12 hours which would be more than enough time for snow removal.

Restricting overnight on-street parking on Guelph Transit bus routes during the period of winter parking restrictions is acceptable, but ensure that sidewalks on Guelph Transit bus routes are walkable and that citizens have access to bus stops (which, in many cases was not possible this past winter; for example, Gordon Street from downtown to the University was not plowed, sanded or salted for more than two months during the worst of last winter and staff told the newspaper that the sidewalk was in poor condition because not enough people were walking on it.) Parking permits, although not mentioned in this staff report, are a problem in Guelph. Many downtown employees purchase permits for more than \$50. per month to park on specific side streets such as Cardigan Street and then when they come to work find that all the parking spaces are occupied. Purchasing a parking permit for \$300 per year does not guarantee one a parking place. At a public consultation meeting regarding parking on a residential street, it was suggested that parking permits in other cities in Canada and the U.S. be explored. The residential permit parking program in Toronto provides on-street parking for vehicles owned by residents without off street parking facilities. The permit allows one to park in an area that includes their address provided there are enough parking spaces, if not, they are placed on a waiting list. Permit holders may ignore parking restrictions as long as there is a NO PARKING except by permit sign posted. The fee for a residential permit is \$14.04 per month.

Toronto's residential permit system is less expensive than Guelph's and is more likely to provide on-street parking for residents.

Cynthia Folzer

Hello

Thank you for the opportunity to reply to the staff report on overnight on-street parking.

We appreciate the hard work of staff and council to balance the needs of individuals and the general needs of the City, and we appreciate the thoughtful approach by staff to address these issues.

We are generally supportive of the proposed Alternative 2.

As worded, it is unclear whether there is any limit or restriction on how the first bullet "Provide staff with the authority to declare a temporary on-street parking ban;" would apply to existing streets that have 'permissive on-street overnight parking' (such as Durham St where we live).

Our concern is that if staff declare a temporary ban to clear snow during non-daytime hours, that our concerns expressed below in our feedback to the original plan would still occur - i.e. no nearby alternatives, missed notices and inevitable violations, etc.

To address this concern we suggest the following as possible clarifications:

- Recommended: Identify clear limits on how staff could declare a temporary on-street parking ban for streets that have 'permissive on-street overnight parking' such as making those temporary bans only during daytime working hours of 8:30 to 4:30 when many people are away from home or can make arrangements to move a vehicle; or,
- Exempt streets which have 'permissive overnight on-street parking' from being subject to staff declarations of a temporary ban; or,
- Identify some alternative parking location or solution for those who have no parking alternative like us, with the alternatives being less than the 900 m and 1000 m away (as is our case currently to the downtown lots); and
- Provide a clear communications method for temporary on-street parking bans.

With regards to the rationale that City service delivery suffers when areas with permissive overnight on-street parking are not plowed, thus necessitating a temporary ban on all streets, it is our feeling that these concerns are limited (and we acknowledge that it is not clear that this rationale is being applied to this temporary ban issue). In particular: garbage already isn't collected if it's placed in an unsafe place; emergency services would be equally challenged to respond if a plow leaves a mountain at the end of a driveway or a smaller mountain beside my car which I then manually remove from time to time on my own; and transit routes aren't subject to permissive overnight on-street parking. So it is our feeling that City service delivery should not be used as a rationale for staff declarations for temporary on-street parking on streets that otherwise have 'permissive overnight on-street parking'.

Thank you for the opportunity of input.
Regards

Jake and Joan DeBruyn

Hello,

I am currently a home owner at Goodwin Drive and I am aware that the city is going to be discussing on July 8, 2014 if there will be any changes to overnight parking. I wanted to add that if the city decides to restrict parking overnight, then I would have no where to park my vehicle. I believe that there are many other residents that are in the same position as I am and I wanted the city to take this into consideration because there is no other option for us. I do not find it fair that condo owners like my self have to pay for the consequence for the shortage of parking places that have been assigned. I believe that all condo owners should be able to park at least one vehicle on the road at all times with a little parking pass proving that they live in the condos on Goodwin Drive. Please take my advice into consideration because as I mentioned before, that I have no choice but to park on the side of the road overnight and I believe the people that are complaining about the parking overnight are not considering anyone else expect themselves. Thank you for taking the time to read my e-mail and I hope that the city will make a right decision that will allow us to park overnight on Goodwin Drive.

Sincerely,

Sarah Sarwary

Dear Jake and Joan,

Thank you for keeping me in the loop on your submission of comments to Council on the over-night parking issue.

I am familiar with your street and agree that, with no off-street parking available to your lot, it would be very difficult for you to conform to even a temporary ban.

From time to time, Council and staff are reminded that what works for the south end does not necessarily work for the heritage part of Ward 3.

I would hope that the Council will appreciate the thoughtful tone and constructive intent of your comments, and will seriously consider either recommendation 1, 2, or 3 and recommendation 4. On recommendation 4, i.e. clear communication, it should be possible with modern technology that a temporary ban would trigger an email/ text message to be pushed out to inboxes / mobile phones to alert the residents.

I realize that you have addressed your message to the Clerk so that it gets on the Council agenda; I might also suggest that you send it directly to nancy.button@guelph.ca, if you have not already done so. This step might allow

Nancy to prepare an opinion on your recommendations and advise Council on how to move ahead, and prevent it being sent back to staff and stalled till the next meeting.

I hope that helps --

Bob Moore

Traffic and Parking
PUBLIC WORKS

July 3, 2014.

Attention Clerk's Office,

Re: Overnight On -Street. Parking. Review

I am a Condo unit owner located at Goodwin Dr. I have lived here with no on street parking and with on -street parking. One of our largest issues we have had to deal with is a lack of on site parking for both residents and visitors. When the city agreed to on -street parking it was extremely helpful. Even with on -street parking we continue to have problems. A majority of the units have couples, families and unrelated students with 2 cars. There are 230 units and we require approximately 400 spaces plus visitors parking. We were allotted 330 in compliance with city planning rules. As you can see we extremely short!! Through our condo fees we pay a great deal to manage on -site parking.

I understand that snow clearing is one of the reasons cited for cancelling on-street parking on Goodwin Dr. T

This winter was one of the worst for snow fall and as a result cities had a difficult time removing it. Most of the city streets were impacted by the amount of snow. It was also suggested that city buses and garbage trucks were delayed . I travelled the street every day and had no difficulty. Garbage trucks only come on Friday and do not pick up garbage on our side of Goodwin as no homes face it and we do not get garbage service. If you were to suggest side street parking I could see garbage truck issues. I did call both Public works and Traffic this winter about a clean up and was told a work order had been made but it never happened.

In the report it stated that there would be a loss of ticket revenue and additional costs for signs. Cities want to build using less of everything, less maintenance all around. The density of units in our complex is far less than the single family homes that surround us. When distributed over the area we occupy ,we use less than a block of road, which equates to less side walks, lighting, sewer lines etc. which supports the city's bottom line rather than takes away from it. Truly taxes generated and saved could probably pay for a few signs.

If you remove overnight parking where would you suggest that the cars go? The report also shows some people believe that we park on the street so we don't have to pay parking fees. All other parking in the area is private or commercial. Perhaps the loss of tickets revenues can be made from city winter parking passes at the Westminster library lot. Also the suggestion here is that people who use over night

on street are breaking the by law for less than real need. This is far from the case for those living in Goodwin Dr.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on this important matter. I trust that staff and council will make the best decision in relation to the needs of, Goodwin Drive. Please feel free to contact me for further discussion.

Respectfully submitted.

Liz Muller