



Downey Road, Guelph Transportation Improvement Study

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

October 2016

Project Summary

Project Number

150730

October 2016

Client

City of Guelph 1 Carden Street Guelph ON N1H 3A1

Client Contact

Jennifer Juste

Consultant Project Team

Jim Mallett, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., PTOE Matt Brouwer, P.Eng.

Shelburne Commercial Centre Transportation Impact Study

List of Revisions

Version	Date	Description
1	August 2016	Draft for Comment
2	August 2016	Revised Draft
3	October 2016	Final for Submission

Signatures and Seals



📕 paradigm

with

Engineer's Seal

Signature

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof (the "project") and except for approval and commenting municipalities and agencies in their review and approval of this project, should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authorization of Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited being obtained. Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited being reacted being composed of the consequence of this document being used for a purpose other than the project for which it was commissioned. Any person using or relying on the document for such other purpose agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no responsibility or liability or liability or liability or this document to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned and the approval and commenting municipalities and agencies for the project.

To the extent that this report is based on information supplied by other parties, Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no liability for any loss or damage suffered by the client, whether through contract or tort, stemming from any conclusions based on data supplied by parties other than Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited and used by Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited in preparing this report.



Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

22 King Street South Suite 300 Waterloo ON N2J 1N8 p: 519.896.3163 www.ptsl.com

Executive Summary

Content

Downey Road is a two-lane residential arterial road that runs though the Kortright Hills neighbourhood in the City of Guelph, west of the Hanlon Expressway. Several separate transportation studies and projects have been undertaken in this community over the past several years by the City of Guelph and the Ministry of Transportation. As part of those projects, extensive engagement with residents of the community were undertaken. Issues raised by the residents consistently included the desire for traffic calming on Downey Road or to change the classification as an Arterial Road.

This study reviews the traffic conditions on Downey Road, makes a recommendation on the current classification of Downey Road, and recommends a traffic calming plan.

Existing Conditions

Review of the traffic conditions indicate:

- Average weekday daily volumes range from 7100 vehicles at the south end of the study area to 15000 vehicles approaching the Hanlon Expressway.
- 85th percentile speeds range from 58 to 65 km/h within the residential areas of Downey Road, and are higher on either ends of the study area at the transitions to the residential areas.
- A review of the collision history does not indicate a concern as the number of collisions is similar or less than other roads with the same classification and volumes.
- ▶ The number of trucks/buses observed during the week represented between 1% and 2% of the total volume. The percentage of tractor trailers observed represented less than 0.5% of the total volume.

The role and function of Downey Road is consistent with its arterial designation.

Recommended Traffic Calming Plan

The recommended traffic calming for Downey Road is illustrated in **Figure ES.1**. It includes a roundabout at Niska Road, pedestrian refuge islands at Hazelwood Drive and Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Drive, a raised intersection at Teal Drive, speed cushions with chokers at four locations, and enhanced pedestrian crosswalk pavement markings at the signalized intersection of Ptarmigan Drive.



Conclusions

As a result of the study, the following conclusions were made:

- Speeding is an issue of concern on Downey Road. 85th percentile speeds are as high as 65 km/h within the residential area, and even higher just outside the residential areas. Speeding is at least partially attributable to the width of Downey Road (14.5 metres).
- There is high support from the residents and community stakeholders for some form of traffic calming on Downey Road.
- Changing of the classification of Downey Road from an arterial road is not justified.
- Traffic calming devices, bike lanes and travel lanes can all be accommodated within the current width of Downey Road.
- On-Street parking can be accommodated on a single side of the road only.
- A signal or roundabout should be installed at the intersection of Downey Road and Niska Road, despite the volumes not technically meeting the threshold for signalization as the stop-controlled leg operates well over capacity and the volumes were only slightly under the threshold during the mid-day peak.
- A roundabout at the Niska Road intersection would serve a dual purpose of traffic control and traffic calming.
- Left-turn lanes are warranted on Downey Road at Hazelwood Drive (southbound), Pheasant Run Drive (northbound), and Teal Drive (southbound).

Recommendations

The recommended traffic calming plan includes:

- Reduction of the travel lane width to 3.25 metres.
- Inclusion of bike lanes along entirety of Downey Road.
- Single sided on-street parking where feasible.
- ▶ Roundabout at the intersection of Downey Road and Niska Road.
- Pedestrian Refuge Island on the south leg of the intersection of Downey Road and Hazelwood Drive.
- Enhanced pedestrian crosswalk pavements markings at the signalized intersection of Downey Road and Ptarmigan Drive.
- Pedestrian Refuge Island on the north leg of the intersection of Downey Road and Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive.
- Raised intersection at Downey Road and Teal Drive.



- Centre Island Pedestrian Refuge / Entry Feature along with Speed Bars on the northbound lane leading from the higher speed rural section of Downey Road to the residential area.
- ▶ 4 sets of Speed Cushions with Chokers.







Recommended Traffic Calming Plan

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure ES.1

Contents

	Introduction	1
1.1 1.2	Background Scope	1 1
2	Traffic Conditions and Issues	3
 2.1 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.3.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 	Existing Traffic Control Existing Volumes Traffic Operations Analysis Signal Warrant Analysis Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis ATR Collected Data Volume Speed Collision History Pedestrian Crossing Data Classification of Downey Road Potential Downey Road Closure Hanlon Expressway Interchange Reconfiguration	3 3 8 12 12 12 13 13 19 21 22
3	Traffic Calming for Arterial Roadways	. 23
3.1 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Potential Traffic Calming Devices Roundabout Neighbourhood Traffic Circle Choker or Curb Extension	23 23 23
3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.2	Pedestrian Refuge Island Speed Cushions Raised Intersection Speed Bars or Dragon's Teeth Entrance Feature Spacing of Traffic Calming Devices	23 24 24 24 24 25 25
3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.2 4	Pedestrian Refuge Island Speed Cushions Raised Intersection Speed Bars or Dragon's Teeth Entrance Feature Spacing of Traffic Calming Devices Public Consultation .	23 24 24 24 24 25 25
3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.2 4 4.1 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2	Pedestrian Refuge Island Speed Cushions Raised Intersection Speed Bars or Dragon's Teeth Entrance Feature Spacing of Traffic Calming Devices Public Consultation . Public Workshop 1 Information Presented Public Feedback Public Workshop 2 Information Presented Public Feedback	23 24 24 24 25 25 25 28 28 28 28 28 28 30 30
3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.2 4 4.1 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 5	Pedestrian Refuge Island Speed Cushions Raised Intersection Speed Bars or Dragon's Teeth Entrance Feature Spacing of Traffic Calming Devices Public Consultation . Public Workshop 1 Information Presented Public Feedback Public Workshop 2 Information Presented Public Feedback Public Feedback	23 24 24 25 25 25 25 28 28 28 28 28 28 30 30 30
3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.2 4 4.1 4.1.1 4.1.2 4.2 4.2.1 4.2.2 5 5.1 5.2 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.2	Pedestrian Refuge Island. Speed Cushions	23 24 24 24 25 25 25 28 28 28 30 30 30 30 30 30 33 36 36 36



5.2.4 5.2.5	Enhanced Pedestrian Crosswalk Pavement Marking at Ptarmiga Pedestrian Refuge Island at Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek D	ın37 Prive
		38
5.2.6	Raised Intersection at Teal Drive	38
5.2.7	Entry Feature south of Teal Drive	38
5.3	Recommended Plan Concept	39
6	Conclusions and Recommendations	53
6.1	Conclusions	53
6.2	Recommendations	53

Appendix

Appendix A – Traffic Counts

Appendix B – Traffic Operation Reports

Appendix C – Signal Justification Worksheets

Appendix D – Workshop Engagement



Figures

2
Volumes – AM Peak Hour5
Volumes – PM Peak Hour6
Warrants10
Warrants11
ay Daily Volumes14
peeds15
d on Vehicle / Pedestrian Collisions16
ons 2011 – 201517
strian Crossing of Downey Road18
Iffic Calming Devices26
Iffic Calming Devices27
Road Cross-Section34
Downey Road Cross-Section35
Downey Road Cross-Section with Speed
hoker40
Downey Road Cross Section with Left-
destrian Island Refuge41
Plan42
Plan Concept at Niska Road43
Plan Concept between Niska and
44
Plan Concept at Hazelwood Drive45
Plan Concept between Hazelwood and
46
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and 48
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and 48 Plan Concept at Pheasant Run Drive /
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and 48 Plan Concept at Pheasant Run Drive / /e49
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and 48 Plan Concept at Pheasant Run Drive / /e49 Plan Concept between Pheasant Run and
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and 48 Plan Concept at Pheasant Run Drive / /e49 Plan Concept between Pheasant Run and 50
46 Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive47 Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and 48 Plan Concept at Pheasant Run Drive / 79 Plan Concept between Pheasant Run and 50 Plan Concept at Teal Drive51

Tables

Table 2.1:	Existing Peak Hour Traffic Operations	7
Table 4.1:	Devices Used on Workshop Maps	29
Table 4.2:	Summary of Workshop 2 Responses	32



1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Downey Road is a two-lane residential arterial road that runs though the Kortright Hills neighbourhood in the City of Guelph, west of the Hanlon Expressway. Downey Road is the continuation of the arterial road Kortright Road West, which is east of the Hanlon Expressway. Downey Road serves as a connection between the Hanlon Expressway and Kortright Road West, and the Hanlon Creek Business Park to the south and Puslinch County beyond.

Several separate transportation studies and projects have been undertaken in this community over the past several years by the City of Guelph and the Ministry of Transportation. As part of those projects, extensive engagement with residents of the community were undertaken. Issues raised by the residents consistently included the desire for traffic calming on Downey Road or to change the classification as an Arterial Road.

1.2 Scope

The purpose of this study is:

- Collect and analyse traffic data, including volumes, class and speed.
- Recommend whether changing the classification of Downey Road from Arterial Road is appropriate.
- Recommend traffic calming solutions to reduce speed and enhance pedestrian and cycling experience on Downey Road.

As part of this study, two sets of public workshops were held to gather the feedback of residents and community stakeholders on the issues facing Downey Road and on the potential solutions.

The study area of the project consists of Downey Road from Woodland Glen Drive in the north to the end of the residential area, south of Teal Drive in the south. **Figure 1.1** shows the study area.







Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730



2 Traffic Conditions and Issues

2.1 Existing Traffic Control

Downey Road is currently a three lane road, with a single lane for both directions of traffic and a centre two-way left-turn lane. The curb to curb width is 14.5 metres along the entire study area, with the exception of widening at the signalized intersection with Ptarmigan Road.

The intersection of Downey Road and Ptarmigan Road is controlled by a traffic signal. The remainder of the intersections within the study area are stop-controlled with priority assigned to Downey Road.

2.2 Existing Volumes

Turning movement counts were collected by Paradigm in April 2016. The AM and PM peak hours are summarized in **Figure 2.1a** and **Figure 2.1b**. The detailed count data is included in **Appendix A**.

2.2.1 Traffic Operations Analysis

Intersection level of service (LOS) is a recognized method of quantifying the average delay experienced by drivers at intersections. It is based on the delay experienced by individual vehicles executing the various movements. The delay is related to the number of vehicles desiring to make a particular movement, compared to the estimated capacity for that movement. The capacity is based on a number of criteria related to the opposing traffic flows and intersection geometry.

The highest possible rating is LOS A, under which the average total delay is equal or less than 10.0 seconds per vehicle. When the average delay exceeds 80 seconds for signalized intersections, 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections or when the volume to capacity ratio is greater than 1.0, the movement is classed as LOS F and remedial measures are usually implemented, if they are feasible. LOS E is usually used as a guideline for the determination of road improvement needs on through lanes, while LOS F may be acceptable for left-turn movements at peak times, depending on delays.

The operations of intersections in the study area were evaluated with the existing turning movement volumes using Synchro 9.

The intersection analysis considered two separate measures of performance:

- The volume to capacity ratio for each intersection; and
- The LOS for each turning movement. LOS is based on the average control delay per vehicle.



The existing intersection operations are summarized in **Table 2.1** indicating the existing levels of service (LOS), volume to capacity ratios (V/C) and 95th percentile queues experienced within the study area, for the AM and PM peak hours. Detailed Synchro reports are provided in **Appendix B**.

The analysis indicates that sidestreet movements on Niska Road currently experience LOS F conditions during both the AM and PM peak hours. Additionally, the sidestreet movements on Woodland Glen Drive also experience LOS F conditions during the PM peak hour. The remaining intersections and movements operate within acceptable levels of delay during the peak hours.







Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Existing Traffic Volumes AM Peak Hour

Figure 2.1a





Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

paradigm TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS

Figure 2.1b

ā		Control Type	MOE	Direction / Movement / Approach																
erio	Intersection			Eastbound				Westbound					Northbound			Southbound				
Analysis P				Left	Through	Right	Approach	Left	Through	Right	Approach	Left	Through	Right	Approach	Left	Through	Right	Approach	Overall
k Hour	1 - Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q	D 29 0.53 23		D 29 0.53 23	D 29					A 4 0.12 3	A 0 0.33 0		A 2		A 0 0.19 0	A 0 0.17 0	A 0	4
	2 - Downey Road & Niska Road	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q	F 76 0.85 52		F 76 0.85 52	F 76					A 9 0.01 0	A 0 0.46 0		A 0		A 0 0.22 0	A 0 0.11 0	A 0	9
	3 - Downey Road & Hazelwood Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q					C 16 0.13 4		C 16 0.13 4	C 16		A 0 0.43 0	A 0 0.43 0	A 0	A 9 0.02 1	A 0 0.22 0		A 0	1
AM Pe	4 - Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive	TCS	LOS Delay V/C Q	C 24 0.64 41		C 24 0.64 41	C 24					A 8 0.18 14	A 10 0.50 65		A 10		A 8 0.35 39	A 8 0.35 39	A 8	B 12
	5 - Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q	C 18 0.23 7	C 18 0.23 7	C 18 0.23 7	C 18	C 18 0.06 2	C 18 0.06 2	C 18 0.06 2	C 18	A 8 0.01 0	A 0 0.35 0	A 0 0.35 0	A 0	A 9 0.00 0	A 0 0.00 0	A 0 0.00 0	A 0	2
	6 - Downey Road & Teal Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q					B 14 0.27 9		B 14 0.27 9	В 14		A 0 0.28 0	A 0 0.28 0	A 0	A 8 0.04 1	A 0 0.22 0		A 1	2
PM Peak Hour	1 - Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q	F 173 1.16 82		F 173 1.16 82	F 173					A 5 0.14 4	A 0 0.26 0		A 2		A 0 0.38 0	A 0 0.29 0	A 0	17
	2 - Downey Road & Niska Road	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q	F 293 1.47 123		F 293 1.47 123	F 293					B 11 0.01 0	A 0 0.31 0		A 0		A 0 0.50 0	A 0 0.14 0	A 0	37
	3 - Downey Road & Hazelwood Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q					B 14 0.04 1		B 14 0.04 1	В 14		A 0 0.31 0	A 0 0.31 0	A 0	A 9 0.04 1	A 0 0.48 0		A 0	0
	4 - Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive	TCS	LOS Delay V/C Q	B 19 0.56 27		B 19 0.56 27	B 19					A 9 0.23 10	A 7 0.36 40		A 8		B 14 0.71 130	B 14 0.71 130	B 14	B 12
	5 - Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q	E 36 0.26 8	E 36 0.26 8	E 36 0.26 8	E 36	C 21 0.03 1	C 21 0.03 1	C 21 0.03 1	C 21	A 10 0.05 1	A 0 0.27 0	A 0 0.27 0	A 0	A 8 0.01 0	A 0 0.01 0	A 0 0.01 0	A 0	2
	6 - Downey Road & Teal Drive	TWSC	LOS Delay V/C Q					B 15 0.16 5		B 15 0.16 5	B 15		A 0 0.27 0	A 0 0.27 0	A 0	A 9 0.11 3	A 0 0.35 0		A 2	2
MOE - N	E - Measure of Effectiveness Q - 95th Percentile Queue Length							TCS -	Traffic	Contro	l Signal			RBT -	Rounda	about				

TABLE 2.1: EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS

TCS - Traffic Control Signal TWSC - Two-Way Stop Control AWSC - All-Way Stop Control

LOS - Level of Service

Delay - Average Delay per Vehicle in Seconds



2.2.2 Signal Warrant Analysis

Using the turning movement volumes gathered, calculations to determine if signals are warranted at the intersections within the study area were completed. Signal warrants are also used to determine if a roundabout is warranted.

For the intersection of Downey Road and Niska Road, it was found that despite the poor levels of service experienced at both peak hours, the warrant for a signal is technically not met. The signal warrant calculation is based on 8 hours of traffic data. The reason that the warrant is not met is the mid-day volumes on Downey Road dip slightly below the threshold (by 14% to 19%). Therefore, a signal can not be recommended on the basis of the warrant calculations and current volumes alone. However, given the over capacity operations during the peak hours and the near threshold volumes during the mid-day peak, it can be argued that continued unsignalized control at this intersection will lead to further degrading operations and that signalization or a roundabout should be considered for this intersection. Signalization or roundabout control was also recommended in the Niska Road Bridge Environmental Assessment¹, completed before this study.

For the intersection of Downey Road and Woodland Glen Drive, it was found that a signal is not warranted. The warrant calculations were not as close to the threshold as they were for the Niska calculations, as the widening of Downey Road to 4 lanes at this intersection raises the threshold to be met. Signalization is not warranted at this intersection, as an alternate route to the Hanlon Expressway is possible by travelling north on Woodland Glen Drive and the close proximity to the Hanlon Expressway would add challenges to implementation of a signal or roundabout at this intersection.

The signal warrant calculations worksheets are included in Appendix C.

2.2.3 Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Currently, there is a centre two-way left-turn lane along the length of Downey Road, which acts as left-turn lanes at each intersection. To anticipate the potential loss of this centre lane, left-turn lane warrant analyses were completed to determine which locations would require dedicated left-turn lanes.

The left-turn lane warrants are shown in **Figure 2.2a** and **Figure 2.2b** and indicate that:

- A southbound left-turn lane with 15 metres of storage is warranted at Hazelwood Drive,
- A northbound left-turn lane with 15 metres of storage is warranted at Pheasant Run Drive, and

¹ Niska Road Improvements Schedule C Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Environmental Study Report, R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, February 2016.



 A southbound left-turn lane with 25 metres of storage is warranted at Teal Drive.







Left-Turn Lane Warrants

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 2.2a





Left-Turn Lane Warrants

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 2.2b

2.3 ATR Collected Data

Automated Traffic Recorders (ATR) were deployed in five locations along Downey Road between 26 April 2016 and 2 May 2016 and recorded volume, class and speed of vehicles continuously over those seven days. The locations were:

- between Hanlon Expressway and Woodland Glen Drive
- between Niska Road and Hazelwood Drive
- between Hazelwood Drive and Ptarmigan Drive,
- between Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive and Teal Drive;
- south of Teal Drive, at the edge of the residential area.

2.3.1 Volume

Figure 2.3 shows the average weekday daily volumes on Downey Road. The surveys show that traffic volumes are higher at the north end of Downey Road, as traffic generated by the Kortright Hills neighbourhood generally goes to / comes from the north (towards Guelph and the Hanlon Expressway), as is seen in the turning movement counts presented in **Section 2.2**. Average weekday daily volumes range from 7100 vehicles at the south end of the study area to 15000 vehicles approaching the Hanlon Expressway.

The number of trucks/buses observed during the week represented between 1% and 2% of the total volume. The percentage of tractor trailers observed represented less than 0.5% of the total volume.

2.3.2 Speed

The speeds of all vehicles were recorded by the ATRs over the seven days they were deployed. The 85th percentile speed represents the speed that 85% of vehicles are traveling at or below and is the metric generally used for transportation planning purposes. **Figure 2.4** shows the observed 85th percentile speeds on Downey Road. The results show that in the residential areas, the 85th percentile speeds range from between 58 km/h and 65 km/h. To the north, approaching the Hanlon Expressway, the 85th percentile speeds were observed to be 67 km/h southbound and 69 km/h northbound. To the south, at the transition to the residential area, the 85th percentile speeds were observed to be 78 km/h southbound and 71 km/h northbound.

Within the residential area, speeds are a concern and it should be the goal of traffic calming efforts to reduce the 85th percentile speed to at least the posted speed limit of 50 km/h. When dealing with vehicle collisions involving pedestrians, the difference between 65 km/h and 50 km/h in terms



of risk of severe injury or death is significant. **Figure 2.5** shows the effect of speed on vehicle / pedestrian collisions².

2.4 Collision History

Collision history for the past 5 years (2011 - 2015) was provided by the City of Guelph and is summarized in **Figure 2.6**. The intersection with the highest number of collisions is the signalized intersection at Ptarmigan with 8 collisions over the five-year period. The data does not indicate a concern as the number of collisions is similar or less than other roads with the same classification and volumes.

2.5 Pedestrian Crossing Data

The number of pedestrians crossing Downey Road was recorded along with the turning movement counts at the intersections within the study area. The data gathered was for 8 hours, between the hours of 7:00am – 9:00am, 11:00am – 2:00pm, and 3:00pm – 6:00pm. **Figure 2.7** shows the pedestrian volumes crossing Downey Road during the 8 hours collected. Not unexpected, the location with the most pedestrian crossings occurred at the signalized intersection at Ptarmigan Road. The most pedestrian crossings at an unsignalized intersection occurred at Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive, followed by Hazelwood Drive. It should be noted that the weather was rainy during the morning hours, which may have impacted pedestrian volumes during the first two hours of the count. Also, midblock crossings were not counted as part of the study.

² Source: Impact Speed and Pedestrian's Risk of Severe Injury or Death, AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, September 2011







Average Weekday Daily Volumes

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 2.3





85th Percentile Speeds

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 2.4



Figure 1. Risk of severe injury (left) and death (right) in relation to impact speed in a sample of 422 pedestrians aged 15+ years struck by a single forward-moving car or light truck model year 1989–1999, United States, 1994–1998. Risks are adjusted for pedestrian age, height, weight, body mass index, and type of striking vehicle, and standardized to the distribution of pedestrian age and type of striking vehicle for pedestrians struck in the United States in years 2007–2009. Dotted lines represent point-wise 95% confidence intervals. Serious injury is defined as AIS score of 4 or greater and includes death irrespective of AIS score.

Source: Impact Speed and Pedestrian's Risk of Severe Injury or Death, AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, September 2011



Effects of Speed on Vehicle / Pedestrian Collisions

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730





Reported Collisions 2011-2015

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 2.6





Observed Pedestrian Crossings of Downey Road

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 2.7

2.6 Classification of Downey Road

In cities and towns, street networks perform most efficiently and safely from both a traffic operations and a road safety perspective if roads are designated and operate to serve their intended purposes. This includes the efficient travel for all modes and the safety and convenience of all road users. According to the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)³, road classification is "the orderly grouping of roads into systems according to the type and degree of service they provide to the public."

Road classification systems designate streets into different groups according to the type of service each is intended to provide. This is a fundamental tool for urban development and road management. Grouping roads with similar functions can improve transportation planning, facility design, and traffic operations.

Equally as important, classification systems can help protect against the adverse impacts of traffic in neighbourhoods. By providing a comprehensive network of appropriately classed facilities with well-functioning operations, traffic within neighbourhoods can be minimized and limited to that which has a genuine need to be there. In the absence of such systems, the quality of urban life is negatively affected as traffic would increasingly infiltrate into neighbourhoods to avoid congestion.

Downey Road is a two-lane arterial road that runs through the City of Guelph's Kortright Hills West community, west of the Hanlon Expressway (Highway 6). Downey Road serves as a connection between the Hanlon Expressway, the Hanlon Creek Business Park to the south and Puslinch Township and beyond. Downey Road is also the continuation of the arterial road network connection to Kortright Road West.

Downey Road was designated as an arterial road in 1988. It is part of the major north-south arterial road network as outlined in the City's Official Plan, serving as one of the key linkages between the County and City. The Kortright Hills West residential community is nearly fully built-out and has been developed in phases over the past 30 years.

The location and function of Downey Road in the City's roadway system and its direct connections to the balance of the municipal arterial grid and the provincial highway network has long-established the intended arterial function for Downey Road. Renaming, or re-classifying Downey Road would be contrary to the intended function of the facility. Further, the design of the network is such that arterial traffic demands are likely to result on Downey Road regardless.

According to the Transportation Association of Canada, Arterial Streets are intended to primarily serve through traffic, usually on a continuous route. Generally, Arterial Streets distribute large volumes of traffic (people and

³ Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, Transportation Association of Canada, 1999.



goods) between other Provincial Highway, Arterial Streets and Collector Streets. The primary purpose of these streets is to provide mobility for people and goods through and within the City.

Minor Arterial Streets are characterized by uninterrupted flow (except at signalized intersections), accommodation of all vehicle types, some degree of access control and volumes between 5,000 and 20,000 vehicles per day on a two-lane cross section. Cyclists are frequently accommodated with dedicated on-road facilities. Pedestrians are supported with sidewalks on both sides of the street and appropriate crossings.

The role and function of Downey Road is consistent with its arterial designation. Current traffic volumes fall between 7,100 and 15,000 vehicles per day within the study area, on-road cycling is permitted and pedestrians are supported with sidewalks on both sides of the street with connections to collector and local streets and neighbourhood trails.

Recent advances in traffic engineering and transportation planning include the notion of "complete streets" and "context sensitive solutions"⁴. These concepts are based on the notion that roads are not explicitly built for the exclusive movement of cars, rather that they must accommodate all road users. Further, roadways and their design must reflect the environment and surrounding features through which they pass.

This process can be used on Downey Road to recognize that while it's role is to provide an arterial link to move traffic in and around Guelph, it also passes through a neighbourhood and needs to provide a safe and comfortable environment for pedestrians and cyclists within the Kortright Hills neighbourhood. In particular, the presence of school-aged children crossing Downey Road at unprotected locations must be recognized if all users are to be adequately served.

The principles of context sensitive solutions promote planning and designing transportation facilities that⁵:

- Meet the needs of users and stakeholders;
- Are compatible with their setting and preserve scenic, aesthetic, historic and environmental resources;
- Respect design objectives for safety, efficiency, multimodal mobility, capacity and maintenance; and
- Integrate community objectives and values relating to compatibility, livability, sense of place, urban design, cost and environmental impacts.

⁵ Designing Walkable Urban Thoroughfares: A Context Sensitive Approach, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2010



⁴ Designing Walkable Urban Thoroughfares: A Context Sensitive Approach, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2010

Various parameters contribute to the overall roadway user experience and guidelines are provided with respect to the design of roadway facilities. Downey Road is considered a "suburban arterial avenue" according to the context sensitive solutions guidelines.

Based on the characteristics of such facilities, they are defined as a walkable low-to-medium speed (40 to 55 km/h) urban arterial or collector thoroughfares, generally shorter in length, and serving access to abutting land. They also serve as primary pedestrian and bicycle routes and may serve local transit routes. They do not exceed 4 lanes, and access to land is a primary function. Goods movement is typically limited to local routes and deliveries. They may feature a raised landscaped median. They may serve commercial or mixed-use sectors and usually provide curb parking.

The process supports the notion that to provide for all road users in the neighbourhood and maintain the intended function of Downey Road it needs to be designed in a manner to support all users. This is consistent with the City of Guelph's Complete Streets Policy and its Cycling Master Plan which has identified the need for a dedicated cycling facility on Downey Road.

2.7 Potential Downey Road Closure

The closure of Downey Road has been raised as a potential solution by various parties and stakeholders in eliminating the current issues related to the conflicts between user groups on Downey Road. There are a number of important issues that relate to this as a potential solution:

Affect on Overall Transportation System: Downey Road is an important arterial link in the City of Guelph Transportation Network. It provides an important link for the the local residents. Estimates prepare by the City of Guelph show that full closure will divert about 6000 vehicles per day from Downey Road between Niska Road and Ptarmigan Drive, the majority of which reappear on the Hanlon Expressway. However, the closure of Downey Road also affects Ptarmigan Drive and Hazelwood Drive as area residents use these links to connect to the balance of the network instead of Downey Road. Traffic forecasts prepared by the City of Guelph suggest that over 6,000 vehicles per day will remain on Downey Road even with its closure.

The City of Guelph Transportation Master Plan relies on Downey Road to serve its forecast transportation needs. To fully evaluate the impact of the closure would require a Class Environmental Assessment be undertaken, as well as a Transportation Master Plan update to fully assess the impacts on the balance of the transportation network. Furthermore, a closure of Downey Road would require an Official Plan Amendment, subject to the Municipal Act of the Province of Ontario and bylaws of the City of Guelph on public notices.



- Geometry: The closure of Downey Road does not alter the width of Downey Road and therefore may also have no impact on the speed of traffic using Downey Road.
- Emergency Vehicle Access: The closure of Downey Road will potentially increase the travel time and distance the emergency vehicle will need to travel to access the residential area south of the closure. This has potentially significant community impacts.

Based on the above, the impacts of closing Downey Road outweigh the benefits and it is not recommended for further consideration.

2.8 Hanlon Expressway Interchange Reconfiguration

The Ministry of Transportation has identified in its planning that over time the Hanlon Expressway will be converted to limited access, grade-separated control. The current configuration at Kortright Road will revert to a partial interchange with access to and from the south only. Travel demands to and from the north will be diverted to the Stone Road and Laird Road interchanges.



3 Traffic Calming for Arterial Roadways

The potential traffic calming solutions for Downey Road were chosen with consideration given to the continued classification of Downey Road as an arterial road.

3.1 Potential Traffic Calming Devices

The following traffic calming devices were considered for implementation on Downey Road and were presented to the public during the consultation process. Example photos of these devices are shown in **Figure 3.1a** and **Figure 3.1b**.

3.1.1 Roundabout

A roundabout is a traffic control device with traffic calming benefits. A roundabout is a raised island located in the centre of an intersection which requires vehicles to travel around in a counter-clockwise pattern. All approaches must yield to the vehicles in the circulating lane. Traffic operations are improved by allowing multiple non-conflicting movements to occur simultaneously (e.g. southbound right-turns and eastbound left-turns) and not requiring complete stops if the circulating lane is clear. Traffic calming is provided as the central island acts as a horizontal deflection device, which slows vehicles down. Pedestrian safety is improved by the lower speeds and the shortened crossing distance between curb and splitter island.

The primary disadvantage of the roundabout is cost and property requirements.

3.1.2 Neighbourhood Traffic Circle

A neighbourhood traffic circle is similar to a roundabout in that traffic circulates around a circular centre island in a counter-clockwise direction, with entry lanes yielding to the circulating traffic. The difference is that a traffic circle requires less land than a roundabout, but also has a lower capacity. As vehicles must navigate around the centre island, a traffic circle is very effective at reducing speeds and provides opportunity for aesthetic improvements. Drawbacks include being difficult for large trucks to navigate and a lower capacity compared to roundabouts.

3.1.3 Choker or Curb Extension

A choker or curb extension is a horizontal intrusion of the curb into the roadway resulting in a narrower roadway. The curb can be extended on one or both sides to reduce the road width to as little as 6.0m for two-way traffic. The purpose of a curb extension is to help reduce vehicle speeds, improve pedestrian safety by reducing the crossing distance, improve pedestrian visibility and prevent parking in close proximity to the intersection. In



essence, this measure helps to address all of the key issues identified in this study. Additionally, chokers can have aesthetic value.

The primary disadvantage is their impact on on-street parking and ease of street maintenance. Construction cost can vary greatly depending upon how they are installed and whether drainage changes are required.

3.1.4 Pedestrian Refuge Island

A pedestrian refuge island is an elevated median constructed on the centerline of a two-way road to reduce the overall width of adjacent lanes. The purpose of a median island is to help reduce vehicle speeds and improve pedestrian safety and visibility by providing a central refuge area so that the entire street need not be crossed at once.

The primary disadvantages of centre medians are that they may require parking restrictions in the vicinity of the median, they can restrict driveway access if adjacent to residential homes and street maintenance is less convenient.

3.1.5 Speed Cushions

Speed cushions are similar to speed humps, except tracks are provided at about 1.8 metres apart to allow for wide-track vehicles (such as fire trucks and transit buses) to "straddle" the hump. The tracks are too wide for a regular passenger vehicle to straddle and therefore one side of tires must go over the raised pavement. Winter maintenance is not an issue, as plows service them like a normal speed hump and salt and friction from tires will clear out the tracks.

The disadvantage to speed cushions is that they are slightly more expensive than speed humps.

3.1.6 Raised Intersection

A raised intersection is an elevated section of pavement that spans the entire intersection, usually including crosswalks. The change in elevation is similar to what a vehicle would experience at a speed hump and therefore are as effective as a speed hump at reducing speeds. It provides traffic calming to all legs of an intersection. The disadvantage to a raised intersection is a higher cost than a regular speed hump, and that all vehicles traveling over it (including fire trucks and transit buses) experience the rough ride the device creates.

3.1.7 Speed Bars or Dragon's Teeth

Speed bars (or Dragon's Teeth) are pavement marking placed at regular intervals (depending on the design speed of the road, bars are placed at intervals where drivers will pass four bars per second). The rationale to using speed bars is to increase drivers' perception of speed and cause them to reduce speed. Speed bars are effective in transition areas between


higher speeds and slower speeds by gradually reducing the spacing between bars.

The difference between speed bars and dragon's teeth is the shape of the pavement marking. Speed bars are rectangular while dragon's teeth are triangular.

3.1.8 Entrance Feature

An entrance feature is a use of a road narrowing device (e.g. islands or chokers) that is designed to create a visual change that conveys to the driver that they are entering a different traffic environment. The narrowing of the road also helps to slow driver speeds and signage can be used to reinforce the neighbourhood environment. Entrance features usually include signage and landscaping. The advantage of an entrance feature is in its aesthetic qualities and the use of the road narrowing device provides traffic calming and pedestrian crossing benefits. The primary disadvantages of entrance features are that they may require parking restrictions in the vicinity of the feature, they can restrict driveway access if adjacent to residential homes and street maintenance is less convenient.

3.2 Spacing of Traffic Calming Devices

Research of traffic calming devices has shown that the optimal spacing of devices for achieving consistent speed reduction is between 130 and 150 metres. If the space is too much, then vehicles have time to increase their speed back to what is comfortable, and in the case of Downey Road, the comfortable speed would likely be the 85th percentile speeds shown in **Section 2.3.2**.





Roundabout



Neighbourhood Traffic Circle



Choker



Pedestrian Refuge Island



Examples of Traffic Calming Devices

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 3.1a



Speed Cushion



Dragon's Teeth



Raised Intersection



Speed Bars



Examples of Traffic Calming Devices

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 3.1b

4 Public Consultation

Two sets of Public workshops were held to present information on the study to the public and community stakeholders, as well as to gather feedback on issues and potential solutions. 117 people attended the two sets of workshops and the summary of the attendees is included in **Appendix D**.

4.1 Public Workshop 1

The first set of workshops were held in the afternoon of 18 May 2016 at Guelph City Hall and in the evening of 19 May 2016 at Kortright Hills Public School.

4.1.1 Information Presented

The first PIC presented the data collected on Downey Road (as shown in Chapter 2) and introduced the concept of traffic calming and potential traffic calming options that are suitable for Downey Road. After the presentation, residents and community stakeholders were asked to mark up maps of the Downey Road study area with their ideas for traffic calming.

Eight traffic calming devices were discussed in detail during the PIC presentations:

- Roundabouts
- Neighbourhood Traffic Circles
- Mid-Block or Intersection Chokers
- Pedestrain Refuge Islands
- Speed Cushions
- Raised Intersections
- Lane Striping
- Speed Bars or Dragon's Teeth

4.1.2 Public Feedback

Workshop 1 was attended by at least 76 individuals over the two sessions; participants worked in groups of 4-8 peers to mark up the study area maps. Additional input was collected online using MindMixer, for those who were unable to attend the Workshops. The City received 40 additional interactions online for Workshop 1.

Of the eight traffic calming devices specifically mentioned in the presentation, the most popular devices were speed cushions (included on 8 plans), chokers (7), traffic circles (6), pedestrian refuge islands (6), and speed bars / dragon's teeth (6). A summary of all the devices used by workshop attendees on the workshop maps is shown in **Table 4.1**.



Devices Shown in Presentation	Total
Speed Cushion	8
Choker	7
Traffic Circle	6
Pedestrian Refuge Island	6
Dragon/Speed Bars	6
Raised Intersection	5
Roundabout	4
Lane Striping	1
Devices Not Shown in Presentation	Total
Crosswalk	6
Traffic Signal @ Niska	5
Keep Onstreet Parking	4
Centre Median (Entry Feature)	2
Keep Centre Turning Lane	2
Electronic Speed Sign	2
No Bike Lanes	2
Rumble Strips	2
Entrance Feature	1
Multi-use Path (in lieu of bike lanes)	1
Remove Centre LT Lane	1
Crosswalk	1
Retime Signal @ Ptarmigan	1
Traffic Signal @ Woodland Glen	1
Traffic Signal @ Phesant Run	1
Change Classification	1
Close Downey	1
Speed Humps	1
All Way Stop	1
Parking Lot w/ EV Charging	1

TABLE 4.1: DEVICES USED ON WORKSHOP MAPS

The locations which were mentioned the most included the intersections of Downey Road with Niska Road, Hazelwood Drive, and Teal Drive, and the section of Downey Road south of Teal Drive at the beginning of the residential area.

At Niska Road, the predominant type of solution that the public indicated was an improved form of traffic control, either roundabout, traffic circle or traffic control signals.

At Hazelwood, the focus was on pedestrian crossings with a generic "crosswalk" label being used at this location as well as pedestrian island refuges.



At Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive, the suggestions of the public were varied and included chokers, raised intersections, and generic "crosswalk" labels.

South of Teal Drive, speed bars or dragon's teeth was a popular suggestion, along with speed humps, an "entrance feature", and speed cushions.

4.2 Public Workshop 2

The second set of public workshops were held in the evening of 23 June 2016 at Kortright Hills Public School and in the afternoon of 28 June 2016 at Guelph City Hall.

4.2.1 Information Presented

The second workshop presented the participants with three conceptual options for traffic calming on Downey Road. The workshop asked participants what they liked best and didn't like about each option, according to criteria pulled out from the decision-making criteria agreed upon by the Study Team. It was made clear that these concepts were not meant as a "choose one or the others" type of evaluation, but rather it was intended that the public comment on what they liked and disliked about each concept.

The first concept had an emphasis on horizontal deflection, which takes vehicles out of their straight path and also narrows the roadway and creates "visual friction" to slow down the natural speed of drivers. This concept included a roundabout, pedestrian refuge islands and chokers. It also included speed cushions in mid-block locations to keep to the ideal distance between devices.

The second concept had an emphasis on vertical deflection, which creates a raised portion of pavement and requires drivers to slow down to pass over them comfortably. This concept included speed cushions and raised intersections.

Both the first and second concepts included an entry feature / pedestrian refuge island at the south end of the study area south of Teal Drive. Speed bars or dragon's teeth were included leading into the neighbourhood at the south end of the study area.

The third concept focussed mainly on a pavement markings solution and represented a minimal intervention concept. It included narrow travel lanes, bike lanes and on-street parking along with speed bars or dragon's teeth at both ends of the study area.

4.2.2 Public Feedback

Workshop 2 collected input from at least 42 participants, plus an additional 41 interactions online.



As part of the feedback for Workshop 2, residents and community stakeholders were asked to rate each concept according to how well it met their expectations, how much they think it would encourage them to drive more slowly, and how comfortable they would feel as a driver, a pedestrian and a cyclist. **Table 4.2** summarizes these responses.

The results of the feedback indicated that the first and second concept rated higher than the third concept, and while the ratings for the first and second concept were close, the first concept rated slightly higher or equal to the second concept in each question posed to the public.

This indicated that the in general, the public desired a plan with a higher level of intervention, which focussed on not just on vehicles speeds but also on pedestrian crossing safety.



TABLE 4.2: SUMMARY OF WORKSHOP 2 RESPONSES

Question: How does this de expectations?	sign option	align with y	our
Response	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C
Exceeds expectations	0%	4%	0%
Meets most expectations	54%	32%	10%
Meets some expectations	42%	36 %	29%
Does not meet expectations	4%	28%	62%

Statement: I would be encouraged to drive more slowly.

Response	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C
Strongly Agree	32%	24%	0%
Somewhat Agree	61%	56 %	25%
Somewhat Disagree	4%	12%	25%
Strongly Disagree	4%	8%	50%

Statement: I would feel more comfortable turning at intersections along Downey Road.

Response	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C
Strongly Agree	17%	12%	0%
Somewhat Agree	62%	52%	27%
Somewhat Disagree	14%	12%	18%
Strongly Disagree	7%	24%	55%

Statement: I would feel more comfortable crossing the street on foot.

Response	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C
Strongly Agree	29%	19%	0%
Somewhat Agree	54%	54%	14%
Somewhat Disagree	13%	12%	27%
Strongly Disagree	4%	15%	59%

Statement: I would feel more comfortable with bicycle riders using Downey Road.

Response	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C
Strongly Agree	34%	25%	29 %
Somewhat Agree	48%	46 %	29 %
Somewhat Disagree	10%	8%	14%
Strongly Disagree	7%	21%	29 %

Statement: There would be sufficient parking near the													
Response	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C										
Strongly Agree	30%	33%	30%										
Somewhat Agree	61%	57%	40%										
Somewhat Disagree	4%	5%	5%										
Strongly Disagree	4%	5%	25%										



5 Recommended Plan

Based on analysis of the available data, feedback from residents and community stakeholders and consultations with City of Guelph staff, a recommended improvement plan for Downey Road was developed and is presented in this chapter. The focus of the plan was to:

- Calm Traffic (speed reductions)
- Improve safety for all road users
- Facilitate pedestrian crossing opportunities
- Implement bike lanes, as recommended by the City of Guelph Official Plan.
- Minimize construction by working within the current road width.

5.1 Cross-Section

The curb to curb width of Downey Road measures 14.5 metres and has two travel lanes with a continuous centre two-way left-turn lane. **Figure 5.1** illustrates the current cross section.

The recommended cross-section includes two travel lanes measuring 3.25 metres wide. A standard travel lane is usually approximately 3.3 metres wide, so a slight narrowing was recommended for a traffic calming effect. The cross-section also includes 1.5 metre bike lanes on both directions and a single 1.9 metre parking lane (which when combined with the 0.5 metres of curb and gutter space, is effectively 2.4 metres). Buffer lanes are used to fill out the rest of the 14.5 metre width of Downey Road; a 0.5 metre buffer lane between the parking lane and bike lane and 0.8 metre buffer lanes between the bike lanes and travel lanes. **Figure 5.2** illustrates the recommended cross-section.







Current Downey Road Cross-Section

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730





Recommended Downey Road Cross-Section

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

5.2 Recommended Traffic Calming Devices

5.2.1 Midblock Speed Cushions

Speed cushions are vertical deflection devices, similar to speed humps except that grooves are designed into the humps that allow large vehicles (such as fire trucks and transit buses) to traverse without significant disruption, but are too wide to allow passenger vehicles to traverse without travelling over portion of the raised pavement.

The speed cushions are recommended in locations that allow the distance between devices to be maintained at approximately 130 to 150 metres and are not in front of existing driveways.

In addition to the speed cushions, a curb choker should be installed to narrow the width on the side of the road to prevent vehicles from bypassing the cushions if there are no cars parked on-street. **Figure 5.3** shows the recommended cross section at the speed cushion locations.

The recommended locations for speed cushions are:

- Between the driveways of 62 and 66 Downey Road (between Niska Road and Hazelwood Drive),
- Between the driveways of 94 and 96 Downey Road (between Hazelwood Drive and Ptarmigan Drive),
- Between the driveways of 120 and 122 Downey Road (between Ptarmigan Drive and Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive), and
- Between the driveway of 141 and 143 Downey Road (between Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive and Teal Drive).

5.2.2 Roundabout at Niska Road

The residential area of the Kortright Hills neighbourhood begins at Niska Road and is a transition between the 2 lanes per direction cross-section leading to the Hanlon Expressway and the single lane per direction crosssection along the residential area portion. As such, it is an ideal location to introduce a dramatic change of road characteristic which alerts drivers that they are entering a residential area from a higher speed section, which can also be seen as an "entry feature" to the neighbourhood.

As discussed in **Chapter 2**, side street delays on Niska are very high for both the AM and PM peak hours and the intersection nearly warrants a signal at current volumes. In addition, signal or roundabout control was recommended for this intersection in the Niska Road Improvement Study⁶, as it too came to the conclusion that the continued unsignalized control at

⁶ Niska Road Improvements Schedule C Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Environmental Study Report, R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, February 2016.



this intersection will further degrade as natural background traffic growth will contribute to higher delays.

A roundabout provides traffic calming by causing vertical deflection in the vehicle path. The suggested operating speed in a roundabout is generally 30 km/h, and vehicles will need to slow down compared to the straight through movement that currently exists. Given the volumes at this intersection, it is anticipated that a single lane roundabout with a westbound (southbound) right-turn bypass lane from Downey Road onto Niska Road would be sufficient to accommodate the traffic.

Challenges would be presented in the design of a roundabout at this location, as there is an elevation change down from west to east and drainage considerations would need to be made with more land being required than a conventional intersection. Access to driveways must also be maintained, which would require the roundabout to be located northeast of the current intersection.

If a roundabout is not ultimately chosen as a solution at this intersection, it is recommended that this intersection be signalized. However, as signals do not provide any traffic calming benefit, further traffic calming devices should be considered at this intersection, such as speed cushions between Niska Road and Woodland Glen Drive, and a centre island located on the north leg opposite of a northbound left-turn lane.

5.2.3 Pedestrian Refuge Island at Hazelwood Drive

A pedestrian refuge island is recommended for the south leg of the intersection of Downey Road and Hazelwood Drive. On the west side of this crossing is the community mailbox and this is a logical walking path for residents to access Mollison Park. A pedestrian refuge island provides a safer crossing opportunity for pedestrians, as crossing happens in two stages and only a single direction of traffic must be crossed at a time. This effectively reduces the crossing distance from 14.5 metres to two crossings of 5.75 metres. An island in the centre of the road also provides traffic calming by causing horizontal deflection and a visual narrowing of the roadway. As well, a southbound left-turn lane is warranted at the intersection of Downey Road and Hazelwood Drive, creating a shadow lane on the south leg of the intersection, opposite of the turn lane, which provides an ideal location for the island.

Figure 5.4 shows the recommended cross-section at the pedestrian refuge island and left-turn lane locations.

5.2.4 Enhanced Pedestrian Crosswalk Pavement Marking at Ptarmigan

The intersection of Downey Road and Ptarmigan Drive is currently signalized and this study does not recommend any change to that form of traffic control. Providing traffic calming at signalized intersection is challenging. Therefore, the only change recommended at this intersection is to enhance the current crosswalks with ladder crossing pavement markings. While the



traffic calming effect is expected to be minimal by itself, it will reinforce the theme that Downey Road is a residential area and is shared by all users.

5.2.5 Pedestrian Refuge Island at Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive

Similar to Hazelwood Drive, a northbound left-turn lane is warranted at this intersection. A shadow lane on the north leg of the intersection is an ideal location for a pedestrian refuge island.

5.2.6 Raised Intersection at Teal Drive

A raised intersection is an elevated section of pavement that spans the entire intersection, usually including crosswalks. The change in elevation is similar to what a vehicle would experience at a speed hump. The reasons of using speed cushions in other areas of the study area are not as much of a concern at this location, as there is no transit route along this portion of Downey Road, and with this intersection being at the south end of the residential area, the impact on emergency services would be kept to a minimal.

A southbound left-turn lane on Downey Road is warranted at this location.

5.2.7 Entry Feature south of Teal Drive

Downey Road, south of Teal Drive, transitions between residential characteristics and a higher speed rural characteristic. The speed limit south of the residential area is 70 km/h and the road profile is rural (shoulders with no curbs), which encourages drivers to travel at a higher speed. The purpose of the traffic calming device at this location would be to alert the drivers in the change of road characteristics and to reduce travel speed.

A series of speed bars (or "dragons teeth") is recommended leading up to the residential area. Speed bars are pavement markings along the sides of a lane which create visual cues for a driver to give the impression of how fast they are travelling. These bars are gradually spaced closer together to give the impression of increasing speed, to encourage the driver to reduce their speed.

At the end of the speed bars, just immediately south of the southernmost house on Downey Road, a centre island is recommended. The purpose of this island is twofold: it provides opportunity to create an entry feature (landscaping or signage indicating a residential area) and it acts as a pedestrian refuge island, as currently a trail crossing is on the east side of Downey Road in this location. To complete the crossing, a sidewalk connection should be made on the west side of Downey Road.



5.3 Recommended Plan Concept

Figure 5.5 shows an overall concept of the recommended plan. **Figures 5.6a** though **Figure 5.6j** show detailed sections of the recommended plan concept.





Recommended Downey Road Cross-Section with Speed Cushions and Choker

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730





Recommended Downey Road Cross-Section with Left-Turn Lane or Pedestrian Island Refuge

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730





Recommended Plan

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730





Recommended Plan Concept at Niska Road

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 5.6a





Recommended Plan Concept between Niska and Hazelwood

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 5.6b





Recommended Plan Concept at Hazelwood Drive

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 5.6c





Recommended Plan Concept between Hazelwood and Ptarmigan

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 5.6d





Recommended Plan Concept at Ptarmigan Drive

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 5.6e



Recommended Plan Concept between Ptarmigan and Pheasant Run

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 5.6f





Recommended Plan Concept at Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 5.6g





Recommended Plan Concept between Pheasant Run and Teal

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730

Figure 5.6h





Recommended Plan Concept at Teal Drive

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 5.6i





Recommended Plan Concept south of Teal Drive

Downey Road, Guelph – Transportation Improvement Study 150730 Figure 5.6j

6 **Conclusions and Recommendations**

6.1 Conclusions

As a result of the study, the following conclusions were made:

- Speeding is an issue of concern on Downey Road. 85th percentile speeds are as high as 65 km/h within the residential area, and even higher just outside the residential areas. Speeding is at least partially attributable to the width of Downey Road (14.5 metres).
- There is high support from the residents and community stakeholders for some form of traffic calming on Downey Road.
- Changing of the classification of Downey Road from an arterial road is not justified.
- Traffic calming devices, bike lanes and travel lanes can all be accommodated within the current width of Downey Road.
- On-Street parking can be accommodated on a single side of the road only.
- A signal or roundabout should be installed at the intersection of Downey Road and Niska Road, despite the volumes not technically meeting the threshold for signalization as the stop-controlled leg operates well over capacity and the volumes were only slightly under the threshold during the mid-day peak.
- A roundabout at the Niska Road intersection would serve a dual purpose of traffic control and traffic calming.
- Left-turn lanes are warranted on Downey Road at Hazelwood Drive (southbound), Pheasant Run Drive (northbound), and Teal Drive (southbound).

6.2 **Recommendations**

The recommended traffic calming plan includes:

- ▶ Reduction of the travel lane width to 3.25 metres.
- Inclusion of bike lanes along entirety of Downey Road.
- Single sided on-street parking where feasible.
- ▶ Roundabout at the intersection of Downey Road and Niska Road.
- Pedestrian Refuge Island on the south leg of the intersection of Downey Road and Hazelwood Drive.
- Enhanced pedestrian crosswalk pavements markings at the signalized intersection of Downey Road and Ptarmigan Drive.
- Pedestrian Refuge Island on the north leg of the intersection of Downey Road and Pheasant Run Drive / Quail Creek Drive.



- ▶ Raised intersection at Downey Road and Teal Drive.
- Centre Island Pedestrian Refuge / Entry Feature along with Speed Bars on the northbound lane leading from the higher speed rural section of Downey Road to the residential area.
- ▶ 4 sets of Speed Cushions with Chokers.



Appendix A

Traffic Counts





Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 22 King Street South, Suite 300

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

			Downe East	ey Road bound					Downe West	ey Road tbound					Northboun North	d Approach bound			Woodland Glen Drive Southbound							
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total	
7:00 AM	8	88	0	0	0	96	0	50	9	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	9	0	0	26	181	
7:15 AM	10	123	0	0	0	133	0	110	13	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	18	0	0	31	287	
7:30 AM	13	158	0	0	0	171	0	123	6	0	0	129	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	13	0	1	30	330	
7:45 AM	12	171	0	0	0	183	0	134	13	0	0	147	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	13	0	1	25	355	
Hourly Total	43	540	0	0	0	583	0	417	41	0	0	458	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	0	53	0	2	112	1153	
8:00 AM	16	152	0	0	0	168	0	118	19	0	0	137	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	20	0	0	30	335	
8:15 AM	21	229	0	0	0	250	0	109	19	0	0	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	21	0	0	33	411	
8:30 AM	37	199	0	0	0	236	0	113	38	0	0	151	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	26	0	0	42	429	
8:45 AM	36	187	0	0	0	223	0	98	48	0	2	146	0	0	0	0	2	0	18	0	26	0	0	44	413	
Hourly Total	110	767	0	0	0	877	0	438	124	0	2	562	0	0	0	0	2	0	56	0	93	0	0	149	1588	
9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11:00 AM	6	66	0	0	0	72	0	72	10	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	6	0	0	15	169	
11:15 AM	6	80	0	0	0	86	0	75	22	0	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	6	0	1	26	209	
11:30 AM	5	67	0	0	0	72	0	89	16	0	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	7	0	0	24	201	
11:45 AM	6	94	1	0	0	101	0	71	13	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	4	0	0	23	208	
Hourly Total	23	307	1	0	0	331	0	307	61	0	0	368	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	23	0	1	88	787	
12:00 PM	11	77	0	0	0	88	0	97	14	0	0	111	0	0	1	0	0	1	26	0	7	0	0	33	233	
12:15 PM	9	87	0	0	0	96	0	86	12	0	1	98	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	0	7	0	0	23	217	
12:30 PM	4	95	0	0	0	99	1	67	17	0	0	85	0	0	1	0	0	1	12	0	6	0	1	18	203	
12:45 PM	2	71	0	0	0	73	0	83	20	0	0	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	8	0	0	25	201	
Hourly Total	26	330	0	0	0	356	1	333	63	0	1	397	0	0	2	0	1	2	71	0	28	0	1	99	854	
1:00 PM	8	86	0	0	0	94	0	79	5	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	8	0	0	21	199	
1:15 PM	3	76	0	0	0	79	0	73	16	0	0	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	6	0	0	21	189	
1:30 PM	10	79	0	0	0	89	0	83	16	0	0	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	6	0	0	23	211	
1:45 PM	4	98	0	1	0	103	0	76	22	0	0	98	0	0	1	0	0	1	15	1	2	0	0	18	220	
Hourly Total	25	339	0	1	0	365	0	311	59	0	0	370	0	0	1	0	0	1	60	1	22	0	0	83	819	
2:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3:00 PM	11	99	0	0	0	110	0	120	20	0	0	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	11	0	0	23	273	
3:15 PM	16	97	0	0	0	113	0	164	17	0	0	181	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	24	0	1	33	327	
3:30 PM	14	116	0	0	0	130	0	170	25	0	0	195	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	22	0	1	27	352	
3:45 PM	19	114	0	0	0	133	1	135	26	0	0	162	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	0	12	0	2	24	320	
Hourly Total	60	426	0	0	0	486	1	589	88	0	0	678	1	0	0	0	0	1	38	0	69	0	4	107	1272	
4:00 PM	12	134	0	0	0	146	0	180	24	0	0	204	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	19	0	1	32	382	

4:15 PM	19	120	0	0	0	139	0	210	23	0	0	233	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	18	0	1	34	406
4:30 PM	14	139	0	0	0	153	0	219	37	0	0	256	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	22	0	0	41	450
4:45 PM	32	150	0	0	0	182	0	221	33	0	0	254	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	31	0	0	53	489
Hourly Total	77	543	0	0	0	620	0	830	117	0	0	947	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	0	90	0	2	160	1727
5:00 PM	18	145	0	0	0	163	0	223	34	0	0	257	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	26	0	0	48	468
5:15 PM	19	171	0	0	0	190	0	234	44	1	0	279	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	23	0	0	35	504
5:30 PM	20	169	0	0	0	189	0	175	39	0	0	214	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	17	0	0	31	434
5:45 PM	22	159	0	0	0	181	0	149	37	0	0	186	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	21	0	0	44	411
Hourly Total	79	644	0	0	0	723	0	781	154	1	0	936	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	87	0	0	158	1817
6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	443	3896	1	1	0	4341	2	4006	707	1	3	4716	1	0	3	0	3	4	490	1	465	0	10	956	10017
Approach %	10.2	89.7	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	84.9	15.0	0.0	-	-	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	-	-	51.3	0.1	48.6	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	4.4	38.9	0.0	0.0	-	43.3	0.0	40.0	7.1	0.0	-	47.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	4.9	0.0	4.6	0.0	-	9.5	-
Lights	428	3801	1	1	-	4231	2	3912	686	1	-	4601	1	0	2	0	-	3	478	0	453	0	-	931	9766
% Lights	96.6	97.6	100.0	100.0	-	97.5	100.0	97.7	97.0	100.0	-	97.6	100.0	-	66.7	-	-	75.0	97.6	0.0	97.4	-	-	97.4	97.5
Mediums	15	94	0	0	-	109	0	94	21	0	-	115	0	0	1	0	-	1	12	1	12	0	-	25	250
% Mediums	3.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	-	2.5	0.0	2.3	3.0	0.0	-	2.4	0.0	-	33.3	-	-	25.0	2.4	100.0	2.6	-	-	2.6	2.5
Articulated Trucks	0	1	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 22 King Street South, Suite 300

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 3



Turning Movement Data Plot



Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited 22 King Street South, Suite 300

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 4

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM)

			Downe Eastt	ey Road bound					Downe West	ey Road bound			Northbound Approach Northbound							Woodland Glen Drive Southbound						
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total	
8:00 AM	16	152	0	0	0	168	0	118	19	0	0	137	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	20	0	0	30	335	
8:15 AM	21	229	0	0	0	250	0	109	19	0	0	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	21	0	0	33	411	
8:30 AM	37	199	0	0	0	236	0	113	38	0	0	151	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	26	0	0	42	429	
8:45 AM	36	187	0	0	0	223	0	98	48	0	2	146	0	0	0	0	2	0	18	0	26	0	0	44	413	
Total	110	767	0	0	0	877	0	438	124	0	2	562	0	0	0	0	2	0	56	0	93	0	0	149	1588	
Approach %	12.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	77.9	22.1	0.0	-	-	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	-	-	37.6	0.0	62.4	0.0	-	-	-	
Total %	6.9	48.3	0.0	0.0	-	55.2	0.0	27.6	7.8	0.0	-	35.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	3.5	0.0	5.9	0.0	-	9.4	-	
PHF	0.743	0.837	0.000	0.000	-	0.877	0.000	0.928	0.646	0.000	-	0.930	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	0.778	0.000	0.894	0.000	-	0.847	0.925	
Lights	103	739	0	0	-	842	0	422	121	0	-	543	0	0	0	0	-	0	54	0	91	0	-	145	1530	
% Lights	93.6	96.3	-	-	-	96.0	-	96.3	97.6	-	-	96.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	96.4	-	97.8	-	-	97.3	96.3	
Mediums	7	28	0	0	-	35	0	16	3	0	-	19	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	2	0	-	4	58	
% Mediums	6.4	3.7	-	-	-	4.0	-	3.7	2.4	-	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.6	-	2.2	-	-	2.7	3.7	
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	


Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 5



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (8:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)

			Downe East	ey Road bound					Downe West	ey Road bound				,	Northboun North	id Approach	1				Woodland South	Glen Drive bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	6	66	0	0	0	72	0	72	10	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	6	0	0	15	169
11:15 AM	6	80	0	0	0	86	0	75	22	0	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	6	0	1	26	209
11:30 AM	5	67	0	0	0	72	0	89	16	0	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	7	0	0	24	201
11:45 AM	6	94	1	0	0	101	0	71	13	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	4	0	0	23	208
Total	23	307	1	0	0	331	0	307	61	0	0	368	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	23	0	1	88	787
Approach %	6.9	92.7	0.3	0.0	-	-	0.0	83.4	16.6	0.0	-	-	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	-	-	73.9	0.0	26.1	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	2.9	39.0	0.1	0.0	-	42.1	0.0	39.0	7.8	0.0	-	46.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	8.3	0.0	2.9	0.0	-	11.2	-
PHF	0.958	0.816	0.250	0.000	-	0.819	0.000	0.862	0.693	0.000	-	0.876	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	0.813	0.000	0.821	0.000	-	0.846	0.941
Lights	23	298	1	0	-	322	0	299	58	0	-	357	0	0	0	0	-	0	63	0	23	0	-	86	765
% Lights	100.0	97.1	100.0	-	-	97.3	-	97.4	95.1	-	-	97.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	96.9	-	100.0	-	-	97.7	97.2
Mediums	0	9	0	0	-	9	0	8	3	0	-	11	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	0	-	2	22
% Mediums	0.0	2.9	0.0	-	-	2.7	-	2.6	4.9	-	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	0.0	-	-	2.3	2.8
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 7



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 8

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)

			Downe East	ey Road bound					Downe West	ey Road bound				·	Northboun North	d Approach bound	1				Woodland South	Glen Drive bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	11	77	0	0	0	88	0	97	14	0	0	111	0	0	1	0	0	1	26	0	7	0	0	33	233
12:15 PM	9	87	0	0	0	96	0	86	12	0	1	98	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	0	7	0	0	23	217
12:30 PM	4	95	0	0	0	99	1	67	17	0	0	85	0	0	1	0	0	1	12	0	6	0	1	18	203
12:45 PM	2	71	0	0	0	73	0	83	20	0	0	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	8	0	0	25	201
Total	26	330	0	0	0	356	1	333	63	0	1	397	0	0	2	0	1	2	71	0	28	0	1	99	854
Approach %	7.3	92.7	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.3	83.9	15.9	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	-	-	71.7	0.0	28.3	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	3.0	38.6	0.0	0.0	-	41.7	0.1	39.0	7.4	0.0	-	46.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	-	0.2	8.3	0.0	3.3	0.0	-	11.6	-
PHF	0.591	0.868	0.000	0.000	-	0.899	0.250	0.858	0.788	0.000	-	0.894	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.000	-	0.500	0.683	0.000	0.875	0.000	-	0.750	0.916
Lights	26	325	0	0	-	351	1	325	59	0	-	385	0	0	2	0	-	2	69	0	27	0	-	96	834
% Lights	100.0	98.5	-	-	-	98.6	100.0	97.6	93.7	-	-	97.0	-	-	100.0	-	-	100.0	97.2	-	96.4	-	-	97.0	97.7
Mediums	0	5	0	0	-	5	0	8	4	0	-	12	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	1	0	-	3	20
% Mediums	0.0	1.5	-	-	-	1.4	0.0	2.4	6.3	-	-	3.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	2.8	-	3.6	-	-	3.0	2.3
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 9



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 10

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

			Downe Eastl	ey Road bound					Downe West	ey Road bound					Northboun North	d Approach bound					Woodland South	Glen Drive bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	14	139	0	0	0	153	0	219	37	0	0	256	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	22	0	0	41	450
4:45 PM	32	150	0	0	0	182	0	221	33	0	0	254	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	31	0	0	53	489
5:00 PM	18	145	0	0	0	163	0	223	34	0	0	257	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	26	0	0	48	468
5:15 PM	19	171	0	0	0	190	0	234	44	1	0	279	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	23	0	0	35	504
Total	83	605	0	0	0	688	0	897	148	1	0	1046	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	0	102	0	0	177	1911
Approach %	12.1	87.9	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	85.8	14.1	0.1	-	-	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	-	-	42.4	0.0	57.6	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	4.3	31.7	0.0	0.0	-	36.0	0.0	46.9	7.7	0.1	-	54.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	3.9	0.0	5.3	0.0	-	9.3	-
PHF	0.648	0.885	0.000	0.000	-	0.905	0.000	0.958	0.841	0.250	-	0.937	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	0.000	0.852	0.000	0.823	0.000	-	0.835	0.948
Lights	82	599	0	0	-	681	0	889	148	1	-	1038	0	0	0	0	-	0	75	0	102	0	-	177	1896
% Lights	98.8	99.0	-	-	-	99.0	-	99.1	100.0	100.0	-	99.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	-	100.0	99.2
Mediums	1	6	0	0	-	7	0	8	0	0	-	8	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	15
% Mediums	1.2	1.0	-	-	-	1.0	-	0.9	0.0	0.0	-	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.8
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 11



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Woodland Glen Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 12



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

			Niska Road					Downey Road					Downey Road			
Otert Time			Eastbound					Northbound					Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:00 AM	12	2	0	0	14	1	80	0	0	81	34	27	0	0	61	156
7:15 AM	20	0	0	0	20	3	116	0	0	119	78	47	1	0	126	265
7:30 AM	20	1	0	1	21	2	156	0	0	158	95	52	0	0	147	326
7:45 AM	37	2	0	0	39	1	149	0	0	150	90	48	0	0	138	327
Hourly Total	89	5	0	1	94	7	501	0	0	508	297	174	1	0	472	1074
8:00 AM	23	4	0	1	27	1	146	0	0	147	82	54	0	0	136	310
8:15 AM	44	. 1	0	6	45	2	208	0	0	210	91	45	0	0	136	391
8:30 AM	48	2	0	1	50	3	190	0	0	193	94	41	0	0	135	378
8:45 AM	44	1	0	0	45	5	172	0	0	177	82	39	0	0	121	343
Hourly Total	159	8	0	8	167	11	716	0	0	727	349	179	0	0	528	1422
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
11:00 AM	15	0	0	0	15	1	58	0	0	59	45	32	0	0	77	151
11:15 AM	23	1	0	1	24	2	63	0	0	65	51	35	0	0	86	175
11:30 AM	22	1	0	0	23	1	49	0	0	50	63	29	0	0	92	165
11:45 AM	37	4	0	0	41	3	72	0	0	75	52	25	0	0	77	193
Hourly Total	97	6	0	1	103	7	242	0	0	249	211	121	0	0	332	684
12:00 PM	29	3	0	1	32	3	56	0	0	59	73	32	0	0	105	196
12:15 PM	28	1	0	0	29	0	68	0	0	68	64	27	0	0	91	188
12:30 PM	27	2	0	1	29	2	69	0	0	71	51	21	0	0	72	172
12:45 PM	14	0	0	0	14	1	62	0	0	63	61	29	0	0	90	167
Hourly Total	98	6	0	2	104	6	255	0	0	261	249	109	0	0	358	723
1:00 PM	20	0	0	0	20	2	74	0	0	76	66	23	0	0	89	185
1:15 PM	24	1	0	0	25	1	55	0	0	56	51	27	0	0	78	159
1:30 PM	29	2	0	0	31	1	67	0	0	68	54	35	0	0	89	188
1:45 PM	33	2	0	0	35	2	63	0	0	65	64	21	0	0	85	185
Hourly Total	106	5	0	0	111	6	259	0	0	265	235	106	0	0	341	717
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3:00 PM	31	3	0	0	34	2	81	0	0	83	98	33	0	0	131	248
3:15 PM	42	1	0	2	43	4	72	0	0	76	145	44	0	0	189	308
3:30 PM	43	4	0	3	47	1	87	0	0	88	138	58	0	0	196	331
3:45 PM	41	2	0	6	43	1	91	0	0	92	109	35	0	0	144	279
Hourly Total	157	10	0	11	167	8	331	0	0	339	490	170	0	0	660	1166
4:00 PM	46	2	0	0	48	4	98	0	2	102	149	54	0	0	203	353
4:15 PM	49	5	0	3	54	3	95	0	0	98	176	49	0	0	225	377
4:30 PM	46	5	0	1	51	0	103	0	0	103	195	47	0	0	242	396
4:45 PM	55	5	0	3	60	1	133	0	0	134	199	53	0	0	252	446
Hourly Total	196	17	0	7	213	8	429	0	2	437	719	203	0	0	922	1572
5:00 PM	45	6	0	0	51	1	119	0	0	120	203	56	0	0	259	430

5:15 PM	55	2	0	0	57	3	132	0	0	135	186	67	0	0	253	445
5:30 PM	58	0	0	2	58	1	132	0	0	133	149	42	0	0	191	382
5:45 PM	66	3	0	5	69	5	118	0	0	123	130	42	0	0	172	364
Hourly Total	224	11	0	7	235	10	501	0	0	511	668	207	0	0	875	1621
Grand Total	1126	68	0	37	1194	63	3234	0	2	3297	3218	1269	1	0	4488	8979
Approach %	94.3	5.7	0.0	-	_	1.9	98.1	0.0	-	-	71.7	28.3	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	12.5	0.8	0.0	-	13.3	0.7	36.0	0.0	-	36.7	35.8	14.1	0.0	-	50.0	-
Lights	1115	64	0	-	1179	61	3134	0	-	3195	3147	1229	1	-	4377	8751
% Lights	99.0	94.1	-	-	98.7	96.8	96.9	-	-	96.9	97.8	96.8	100.0	-	97.5	97.5
Mediums	11	4	0	-	15	2	98	0	-	100	71	40	0	-	111	226
% Mediums	1.0	5.9	-	-	1.3	3.2	3.0	-	-	3.0	2.2	3.2	0.0	-	2.5	2.5
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	2	0	-	2	0	0	0	-	0	2
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.1	-	-	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	37	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 3



Turning Movement Data Plot



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 4

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM)

			Niska Road Eastbound					Downey Road Northbound	·				Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
8:00 AM	23	4	0	1	27	1	146	0	0	147	82	54	0	0	136	310
8:15 AM	44	1	0	6	45	2	208	0	0	210	91	45	0	0	136	391
8:30 AM	48	2	0	1	50	3	190	0	0	193	94	41	0	0	135	378
8:45 AM	44	1	0	0	45	5	172	0	0	177	82	39	0	0	121	343
Total	159	8	0	8	167	11	716	0	0	727	349	179	0	0	528	1422
Approach %	95.2	4.8	0.0	-	-	1.5	98.5	0.0	-	-	66.1	33.9	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	11.2	0.6	0.0	-	11.7	0.8	50.4	0.0	-	51.1	24.5	12.6	0.0	-	37.1	-
PHF	0.828	0.500	0.000	-	0.835	0.550	0.861	0.000	-	0.865	0.928	0.829	0.000	-	0.971	0.909
Lights	155	6	0	-	161	10	685	0	-	695	334	175	0	-	509	1365
% Lights	97.5	75.0	-	-	96.4	90.9	95.7	-	-	95.6	95.7	97.8	-	-	96.4	96.0
Mediums	4	2	0	-	6	1	31	0	-	32	15	4	0	-	19	57
% Mediums	2.5	25.0	-	-	3.6	9.1	4.3	-	-	4.4	4.3	2.2	-	-	3.6	4.0
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 5



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (8:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)

Chart Time			Niska Road Eastbound					Downey Road Northbound					Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	15	0	0	0	15	1	58	0	0	59	45	32	0	0	77	151
11:15 AM	23	1	0	1	24	2	63	0	0	65	51	35	0	0	86	175
11:30 AM	22	1	0	0	23	1	49	0	0	50	63	29	0	0	92	165
11:45 AM	37	4	0	0	41	3	72	0	0	75	52	25	0	0	77	193
Total	97	6	0	1	103	7	242	0	0	249	211	121	0	0	332	684
Approach %	94.2	5.8	0.0	-	-	2.8	97.2	0.0	-	-	63.6	36.4	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	14.2	0.9	0.0	-	15.1	1.0	35.4	0.0	-	36.4	30.8	17.7	0.0	-	48.5	-
PHF	0.655	0.375	0.000	-	0.628	0.583	0.840	0.000	-	0.830	0.837	0.864	0.000	-	0.902	0.886
Lights	96	6	0	-	102	7	235	0	-	242	207	117	0	-	324	668
% Lights	99.0	100.0	-	-	99.0	100.0	97.1	-	-	97.2	98.1	96.7	-	-	97.6	97.7
Mediums	1	0	0	-	1	0	6	0	-	6	4	4	0	-	8	15
% Mediums	1.0	0.0	-	-	1.0	0.0	2.5	-	-	2.4	1.9	3.3	-	-	2.4	2.2
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	-	1	0	0	0	-	0	1
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.4	-	-	0.4	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.1
Pedestrians	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 7



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 8

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)

			Niska Road Eastbound					Downey Road Northbound					Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	29	3	0	1	32	3	56	0	0	59	73	32	0	0	105	196
12:15 PM	28	1	0	0	29	0	68	0	0	68	64	27	0	0	91	188
12:30 PM	27	2	0	1	29	2	69	0	0	71	51	21	0	0	72	172
12:45 PM	14	0	0	0	14	1	62	0	0	63	61	29	0	0	90	167
Total	98	6	0	2	104	6	255	0	0	261	249	109	0	0	358	723
Approach %	94.2	5.8	0.0	-	-	2.3	97.7	0.0	-	-	69.6	30.4	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	13.6	0.8	0.0	-	14.4	0.8	35.3	0.0	-	36.1	34.4	15.1	0.0	-	49.5	-
PHF	0.845	0.500	0.000	-	0.813	0.500	0.924	0.000	-	0.919	0.853	0.852	0.000	-	0.852	0.922
Lights	98	5	0	-	103	6	250	0	-	256	244	105	0	-	349	708
% Lights	100.0	83.3	-	-	99.0	100.0	98.0	-	-	98.1	98.0	96.3	-	-	97.5	97.9
Mediums	0	1	0	-	1	0	5	0	-	5	5	4	0	-	9	15
% Mediums	0.0	16.7	-	-	1.0	0.0	2.0	-	-	1.9	2.0	3.7	-	-	2.5	2.1
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 9



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 10

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

Chart Time			Niska Road Eastbound		·			Downey Road Northbound	,	,			Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	46	5	0	1	51	0	103	0	0	103	195	47	0	0	242	396
4:45 PM	55	5	0	3	60	1	133	0	0	134	199	53	0	0	252	446
5:00 PM	45	6	0	0	51	1	119	0	0	120	203	56	0	0	259	430
5:15 PM	55	2	0	0	57	3	132	0	0	135	186	67	0	0	253	445
Total	201	18	0	4	219	5	487	0	0	492	783	223	0	0	1006	1717
Approach %	91.8	8.2	0.0	-	-	1.0	99.0	0.0	-	-	77.8	22.2	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	11.7	1.0	0.0	-	12.8	0.3	28.4	0.0	-	28.7	45.6	13.0	0.0	-	58.6	-
PHF	0.914	0.750	0.000	-	0.913	0.417	0.915	0.000	-	0.911	0.964	0.832	0.000	-	0.971	0.962
Lights	201	18	0	-	219	5	480	0	-	485	778	219	0	-	997	1701
% Lights	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	98.6	-	-	98.6	99.4	98.2	-	-	99.1	99.1
Mediums	0	0	0	-	0	0	7	0	-	7	5	4	0	-	9	16
% Mediums	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	1.4	-	-	1.4	0.6	1.8	-	-	0.9	0.9
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 11



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Niska Road Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 12



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

			Ptarmigan Drive				-	Downey Road					Downey Road			
Otant Time			Eastbound					Northbound					Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:00 AM	14	13	0	0	27	7	58	0	0	65	35	2	0	0	37	129
7:15 AM	25	10	0	0	35	10	87	0	0	97	70	6	0	0	76	208
7:30 AM	18	5	0	0	23	16	131	0	0	147	85	10	0	0	95	265
7:45 AM	27	10	0	0	37	14	115	0	0	129	83	10	0	0	93	259
Hourly Total	84	38	0	0	122	47	391	0	0	438	273	28	0	0	301	861
8:00 AM	25	6	0	1	31	9	113	0	1	122	76	8	0	1	84	237
8:15 AM	47	18	0	1	65	25	147	0	0	172	65	18	0	7	83	320
8:30 AM	56	15	0	9	71	31	131	0	3	162	67	24	0	21	91	324
8:45 AM	53	24	0	0	77	30	99	0	0	129	56	25	0	5	81	287
Hourly Total	181	63	0	11	244	95	490	0	4	585	264	75	0	34	339	1168
9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00 AM	12	5	0	1	17	1	37	0	0	38	34	14	0	0	48	103
11:15 AM	18	6	0	0	24	3	47	0	1	50	32	14	0	0	46	120
11:30 AM	11	4	0	0	15	3	37	0	0	40	43	14	0	0	57	112
11:45 AM	13	6	0	0	19	5	44	0	0	49	30	17	0	0	47	115
Hourly Total	54	21	0	1	75	12	165	0	1	177	139	59	0	0	198	450
12:00 PM	15	10	0	0	25	6	38	0	0	44	53	16	0	0	69	138
12:15 PM	16	2	0	0	18	4	48	0	0	52	49	12	0	0	61	131
12:30 PM	15	6	0	1	21	5	47	0	0	52	32	12	0	0	44	117
12:45 PM	14	8	0	1	22	5	44	0	0	49	39	19	0	0	58	129
Hourly Total	60	26	0	2	86	20	177	0	0	197	173	59	0	0	232	515
1:00 PM	18	6	0	1	24	6	44	0	0	50	40	17	0	0	57	131
1:15 PM	10	11	0	0	21	3	37	0	0	40	39	11	0	0	50	111
1:30 PM	14	6	0	2	20	4	48	0	0	52	38	13	0	0	51	123
1:45 PM	18	8	0	0	26	2	46	0	0	48	43	16	0	0	59	133
Hourly Total	60	31	0	3	91	15	175	0	0	190	160	57	0	0	217	498
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3:00 PM	17	5	0	2	22	15	63	0	0	78	62	34	0	1	96	196
3:15 PM	24	24	0	11	48	9	39	0	1	48	90	40	0	33	130	226
3:30 PM	35	17	0	9	52	9	53	0	0	62	105	33	0	4	138	252
3:45 PM	23	10	0	1	33	10	61	0	0	71	91	20	0	1	111	215
Hourly Total	99	56	0	23	155	43	216	0	1	259	348	127	0	39	475	889
4:00 PM	21	18	0	0	39	5	78	0	0	83	104	32	0	0	136	258
4:15 PM	20	17	0	0	37	8	75	0	0	83	146	25	0	0	171	291
4:30 PM	24	18	0	0	42	15	77	0	0	92	147	35	0	1	182	316
4:45 PM	26	21	0	0	47	10	101	0	0	111	163	32	0	0	195	353

Hourly Total	91	74	0	0	165	38	331	0	0	369	560	124	0	1	684	1218
5:00 PM	28	13	0	3	41	15	89	0	1	104	138	45	0	0	183	328
5:15 PM	25	28	0	0	53	17	111	0	1	128	156	30	0	1	186	367
5:30 PM	35	14	0	5	49	9	84	0	0	93	111	25	0	0	136	278
5:45 PM	30	16	0	0	46	10	92	0	0	102	92	26	0	0	118	266
Hourly Total	118	71	0	8	189	51	376	0	2	427	497	126	0	1	623	1239
Grand Total	747	380	0	48	1127	321	2321	0	8	2642	2414	655	0	75	3069	6838
Approach %	66.3	33.7	0.0	-	-	12.1	87.9	0.0	-	-	78.7	21.3	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	10.9	5.6	0.0	-	16.5	4.7	33.9	0.0	-	38.6	35.3	9.6	0.0	-	44.9	-
Lights	708	372	0	-	1080	315	2269	0	-	2584	2369	638	0	-	3007	6671
% Lights	94.8	97.9	-	-	95.8	98.1	97.8	-	-	97.8	98.1	97.4	-	-	98.0	97.6
Mediums	39	8	0	-	47	6	51	0	-	57	44	17	0	-	61	165
% Mediums	5.2	2.1	-	-	4.2	1.9	2.2	-	-	2.2	1.8	2.6	-	-	2.0	2.4
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	-	1	1	0	0	-	1	2
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	48	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	75	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 3



Turning Movement Data Plot



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 4

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (8:00 AM)

			Ptarmigan Drive Eastbound					Downey Road Northbound	,				Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
8:00 AM	25	6	0	1	31	9	113	0	1	122	76	8	0	1	84	237
8:15 AM	47	18	0	1	65	25	147	0	0	172	65	18	0	7	83	320
8:30 AM	56	15	0	9	71	31	131	0	3	162	67	24	0	21	91	324
8:45 AM	53	24	0	0	77	30	99	0	0	129	56	25	0	5	81	287
Total	181	63	0	11	244	95	490	0	4	585	264	75	0	34	339	1168
Approach %	74.2	25.8	0.0	-	-	16.2	83.8	0.0	-	-	77.9	22.1	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	15.5	5.4	0.0	-	20.9	8.1	42.0	0.0	-	50.1	22.6	6.4	0.0	-	29.0	-
PHF	0.808	0.656	0.000	-	0.792	0.766	0.833	0.000	-	0.850	0.868	0.750	0.000	-	0.931	0.901
Lights	170	62	0	-	232	92	471	0	-	563	256	71	0	-	327	1122
% Lights	93.9	98.4	-	-	95.1	96.8	96.1	-	-	96.2	97.0	94.7	-	-	96.5	96.1
Mediums	11	1	0	-	12	3	19	0	-	22	8	4	0	-	12	46
% Mediums	6.1	1.6	-	-	4.9	3.2	3.9	-	-	3.8	3.0	5.3	-	-	3.5	3.9
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	34	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 5



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (8:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)

Otest Time			Ptarmigan Drive Eastbound					Downey Road Northbound	,							
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	12	5	0	1	17	1	37	0	0	38	34	14	0	0	48	103
11:15 AM	18	6	0	0	24	3	47	0	1	50	32	14	0	0	46	120
11:30 AM	11	4	0	0	15	3	37	0	0	40	43	14	0	0	57	112
11:45 AM	13	6	0	0	19	5	44	0	0	49	30	17	0	0	47	115
Total	54	21	0	1	75	12	165	0	1	177	139	59	0	0	198	450
Approach %	72.0	28.0	0.0	-	-	6.8	93.2	0.0	-	-	70.2	29.8	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	12.0	4.7	0.0	-	16.7	2.7	36.7	0.0	-	39.3	30.9	13.1	0.0	-	44.0	-
PHF	0.750	0.875	0.000	-	0.781	0.600	0.878	0.000	-	0.885	0.808	0.868	0.000	-	0.868	0.938
Lights	52	21	0	-	73	12	162	0	-	174	136	58	0	-	194	441
% Lights	96.3	100.0	-	-	97.3	100.0	98.2	-	-	98.3	97.8	98.3	-	-	98.0	98.0
Mediums	2	0	0	-	2	0	3	0	-	3	3	1	0	-	4	9
% Mediums	3.7	0.0	-	-	2.7	0.0	1.8	-	-	1.7	2.2	1.7	-	-	2.0	2.0
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 7



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 8

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)

			Ptarmigan Drive Eastbound		-			Downey Road Northbound					Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	15	10	0	0	25	6	38	0	0	44	53	16	0	0	69	138
12:15 PM	16	2	0	0	18	4	48	0	0	52	49	12	0	0	61	131
12:30 PM	15	6	0	1	21	5	47	0	0	52	32	12	0	0	44	117
12:45 PM	14	8	0	1	22	5	44	0	0	49	39	19	0	0	58	129
Total	60	26	0	2	86	20	177	0	0	197	173	59	0	0	232	515
Approach %	69.8	30.2	0.0	-	-	10.2	89.8	0.0	-	-	74.6	25.4	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	11.7	5.0	0.0	-	16.7	3.9	34.4	0.0	-	38.3	33.6	11.5	0.0	-	45.0	-
PHF	0.938	0.650	0.000	-	0.860	0.833	0.922	0.000	-	0.947	0.816	0.776	0.000	-	0.841	0.933
Lights	58	26	0	-	84	19	176	0	-	195	170	56	0	-	226	505
% Lights	96.7	100.0	-	-	97.7	95.0	99.4	-	-	99.0	98.3	94.9	-	-	97.4	98.1
Mediums	2	0	0	-	2	1	1	0	-	2	2	3	0	-	5	9
% Mediums	3.3	0.0	-	-	2.3	5.0	0.6	-	-	1.0	1.2	5.1	-	-	2.2	1.7
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	-	1	1
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.6	0.0	-	-	0.4	0.2
Pedestrians	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 9



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 10

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

			Ptarmigan Drive Eastbound					Downey Road Northbound	· ·				Downey Road Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	24	18	0	0	42	15	77	0	0	92	147	35	0	1	182	316
4:45 PM	26	21	0	0	47	10	101	0	0	111	163	32	0	0	195	353
5:00 PM	28	13	0	3	41	15	89	0	1	104	138	45	0	0	183	328
5:15 PM	25	28	0	0	53	17	111	0	1	128	156	30	0	1	186	367
Total	103	80	0	3	183	57	378	0	2	435	604	142	0	2	746	1364
Approach %	56.3	43.7	0.0	-	-	13.1	86.9	0.0	-	-	81.0	19.0	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	7.6	5.9	0.0	-	13.4	4.2	27.7	0.0	-	31.9	44.3	10.4	0.0	-	54.7	-
PHF	0.920	0.714	0.000	-	0.863	0.838	0.851	0.000	-	0.850	0.926	0.789	0.000	-	0.956	0.929
Lights	100	79	0	-	179	57	374	0	-	431	600	142	0	-	742	1352
% Lights	97.1	98.8	-	-	97.8	100.0	98.9	-	-	99.1	99.3	100.0	-	-	99.5	99.1
Mediums	3	1	0	-	4	0	4	0	-	4	4	0	0	-	4	12
% Mediums	2.9	1.3	-	-	2.2	0.0	1.1	-	-	0.9	0.7	0.0	-	-	0.5	0.9
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 11



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Ptarmigan Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 12



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

			Pheasant East	t Run Drive bound			Quail Creek Drive Westbound								Downe North	ey Road nbound			Downey Road Southbound												
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total						
7:00 AM	3	0	4	0	1	7	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	66	1	0	0	67	0	50	0	0	0	50	127						
7:15 AM	8	0	11	0	1	19	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	95	0	0	0	98	0	75	1	0	0	76	196						
7:30 AM	12	0	7	0	0	19	4	1	2	0	0	7	1	126	1	0	0	128	1	90	2	0	0	93	247						
7:45 AM	8	0	7	0	0	15	1	1	1	0	0	3	1	123	1	0	0	125	0	98	2	0	0	100	243						
Hourly Total	31	0	29	0	2	60	10	3	3	0	0	16	5	410	3	0	0	418	1	313	5	0	0	319	813						
8:00 AM	3	0	14	0	0	17	0	0	1	0	14	1	1	117	0	0	1	118	0	78	4	0	6	82	218						
8:15 AM	12	0	5	0	1	17	2	0	2	0	8	4	2	159	0	0	3	161	0	79	3	0	1	82	264						
8:30 AM	10	0	15	0	0	25	3	0	4	0	4	7	4	155	0	0	0	159	2	78	2	0	0	82	273						
8:45 AM	11	1	14	0	0	26	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	119	0	0	0	121	1	77	5	0	0	83	232						
Hourly Total	36	1	48	0	1	85	5	0	9	0	28	14	9	550	0	0	4	559	3	312	14	0	7	329	987						
9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
11:00 AM	4	0	. 1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	31	0	0	1	33	0	35	2	0	0	37	75						
11:15 AM	1	0	3	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	47	0	0	0	48	0	37	2	0	0	39	93						
11:30 AM	6	0	5	0	0	11	1	0	1	0	1	2	5	32	0	0	1	37	3	32	7	0	0	42	92						
11:45 AM	6	0	2	0	0	8	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	43	1	0	0	47	2	29	5	0	0	36	93						
Hourly Total	17	0	11	0	0	28	2	2	2	0	1	6	11	153	1	0	2	165	5	133	16	0	0	154	353						
12:00 PM	3	0	2	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	41	1	0	0	47	1	53	7	0	1	61	115						
12:15 PM	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	6	45	6	0	0	57	1	49	3	0	0	53	116						
12:30 PM	6	0	4	0	2	10	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	47	0	0	0	49	0	32	3	0	0	35	96						
12:45 PM	6	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	38	2	0	0	41	1	42	2	0	0	45	97						
Hourly Total	18	0	10	0	2	28	6	0	2	0	0	8	14	171	9	0	0	194	3	176	15	0	1	194	424						
1:00 PM	6	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	43	1	0	0	47	1	44	3	0	0	48	102						
1:15 PM	4	0	3	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	34	3	0	0	40	0	42	4	0	0	46	94						
1:30 PM	2	1	2	0	0	5	1	1	3	0	0	5	1	47	0	0	0	48	0	36	1	0	0	37	95						
1:45 PM	5	1	1	0	0	7	2	2	3	0	0	7	4	38	3	0	0	45	1	47	5	0	1	53	112						
Hourly Total	17	2	7	0	0	26	3	4	6	0	0	13	11	162	7	0	0	180	2	169	13	0	1	184	403						
2:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
3:00 PM	5	0	2	0	. 1	7	1	1	1	0	2	3	1	72	3	0	0	76	1	58	9	0	0	68	154						
3:15 PM	5	0	9	0	1	14	1	0	1	0	8	2	2	48	1	0	0	51	4	106	10	0	5	120	187						
3:30 PM	5	0	3	0	2	8	0	0	4	0	14	4	3	44	1	0	0	48	0	107	12	0	0	119	179						
3:45 PM	5	0	5	0	3	10	0	0	2	0	1	2	6	68	2	0	1	76	2	95	4	0	5	101	189						
Hourly Total	20	0	19	0	7	39	2	1	8	0	25	11	12	232	7	0	1	251	7	366	35	0	10	408	709						
4:00 PM	5	0	3	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	71	0	0	2	79	0	114	9	0	0	123	211						

4:15 PM	4	0	3	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	0	2	5	80	2	0	0	87	1	156	8	0	0	165	261
4:30 PM	8	2	6	0	1	16	0	0	2	0	0	2	15	78	4	0	0	97	1	159	11	0	0	171	286
4:45 PM	6	0	4	0	6	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	102	2	0	2	109	3	184	9	0	2	196	315
Hourly Total	23	2	16	0	7	41	2	0	3	0	2	5	33	331	8	0	4	372	5	613	37	0	2	655	1073
5:00 PM	3	0	3	0	0	6	2	0	1	0	1	3	11	109	1	0	2	121	1	150	6	0	1	157	287
5:15 PM	4	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	2	1	8	123	1	0	0	132	2	169	9	0	0	180	319
5:30 PM	11	0	7	0	0	18	1	0	1	0	0	2	21	86	0	0	0	107	2	120	11	0	0	133	260
5:45 PM	9	0	6	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	93	2	0	0	99	3	99	5	0	0	107	222
Hourly Total	27	0	18	0	0	45	3	0	4	0	3	7	44	411	4	0	2	459	8	538	31	0	1	577	1088
6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	189	5	158	0	19	352	33	10	37	0	59	80	139	2420	39	0	13	2598	34	2620	166	0	22	2820	5850
Approach %	53.7	1.4	44.9	0.0	-	-	41.3	12.5	46.3	0.0	-	-	5.4	93.1	1.5	0.0	-	-	1.2	92.9	5.9	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	3.2	0.1	2.7	0.0	-	6.0	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.0	-	1.4	2.4	41.4	0.7	0.0	-	44.4	0.6	44.8	2.8	0.0	-	48.2	-
Lights	185	5	152	0	-	342	31	9	32	0	-	72	134	2370	33	0	-	2537	33	2571	159	0	-	2763	5714
% Lights	97.9	100.0	96.2	-	-	97.2	93.9	90.0	86.5	-	-	90.0	96.4	97.9	84.6	-	-	97.7	97.1	98.1	95.8	-	-	98.0	97.7
Mediums	4	0	5	0	-	9	2	1	5	0	-	8	4	49	6	0	-	59	1	49	7	0	-	57	133
% Mediums	2.1	0.0	3.2	-	-	2.6	6.1	10.0	13.5	-	-	10.0	2.9	2.0	15.4	-	-	2.3	2.9	1.9	4.2	-	-	2.0	2.3
Articulated Trucks	0	0	1	0	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	1	0	0	-	2	0	0	0	0	-	0	3
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	0.6	-	-	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.1
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	59	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 3



Turning Movement Data Plot



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 4

1															(,					1				
			Pheasant	Run Drive					Quail Cr	eek Drive					Downe	ey Road					Downe	y Road			
			East	bound					West	bound					North	bound					South	bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:45 AM	8	0	7	0	0	15	1	1	1	0	0	3	1	123	1	0	0	125	0	98	2	0	0	100	243
8:00 AM	3	0	14	0	0	17	0	0	1	0	14	1	1	117	0	0	1	118	0	78	4	0	6	82	218
8:15 AM	12	0	5	0	1	17	2	0	2	0	8	4	2	159	0	0	3	161	0	79	3	0	1	82	264
8:30 AM	10	0	15	0	0	25	3	0	4	0	4	7	4	155	0	0	0	159	2	78	2	0	0	82	273
Total	33	0	41	0	1	74	6	1	8	0	26	15	8	554	1	0	4	563	2	333	11	0	7	346	998
Approach %	44.6	0.0	55.4	0.0	-	-	40.0	6.7	53.3	0.0	-	-	1.4	98.4	0.2	0.0	-	-	0.6	96.2	3.2	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	3.3	0.0	4.1	0.0	-	7.4	0.6	0.1	0.8	0.0	-	1.5	0.8	55.5	0.1	0.0	-	56.4	0.2	33.4	1.1	0.0	-	34.7	-
PHF	0.688	0.000	0.683	0.000	-	0.740	0.500	0.250	0.500	0.000	-	0.536	0.500	0.871	0.250	0.000	-	0.874	0.250	0.849	0.688	0.000	-	0.865	0.914
Lights	31	0	41	0	-	72	5	1	7	0	-	13	4	532	1	0	-	537	2	326	9	0	-	337	959
% Lights	93.9	-	100.0	-	-	97.3	83.3	100.0	87.5	-	-	86.7	50.0	96.0	100.0	-	-	95.4	100.0	97.9	81.8	-	-	97.4	96.1
Mediums	2	0	0	0	-	2	1	0	1	0	-	2	3	22	0	0	-	25	0	7	2	0	-	9	38
% Mediums	6.1	-	0.0	-	-	2.7	16.7	0.0	12.5	-	-	13.3	37.5	4.0	0.0	-	-	4.4	0.0	2.1	18.2	-	-	2.6	3.8
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	1
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.1
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:45 AM)


Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 5



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:45 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 6

	1						1	-	0							· /									1
			Pheasan	t Run Drive					Quail C	reek Drive					Downe	ey Road					Downe	ey Road			
			East	bound					Wes	tbound					North	nbound					South	bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	4	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	31	0	0	1	33	0	35	2	0	0	37	75
11:15 AM	1	0	3	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	47	0	0	0	48	0	37	2	0	0	39	93
11:30 AM	6	0	5	0	0	11	1	0	1	0	1	2	5	32	0	0	1	37	3	32	7	0	0	42	92
11:45 AM	6	0	2	0	0	8	0	1	1	0	0	2	3	43	1	0	0	47	2	29	5	0	0	36	93
Total	17	0	11	0	0	28	2	2	2	0	1	6	11	153	1	0	2	165	5	133	16	0	0	154	353
Approach %	60.7	0.0	39.3	0.0	-	-	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	-	-	6.7	92.7	0.6	0.0	-	-	3.2	86.4	10.4	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	4.8	0.0	3.1	0.0	-	7.9	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	-	1.7	3.1	43.3	0.3	0.0	-	46.7	1.4	37.7	4.5	0.0	-	43.6	-
PHF	0.708	0.000	0.550	0.000	-	0.636	0.500	0.500	0.500	0.000	-	0.750	0.550	0.814	0.250	0.000	-	0.859	0.417	0.899	0.571	0.000	-	0.917	0.949
Lights	17	0	10	0	-	27	2	2	2	0	-	6	11	150	1	0	-	162	5	130	15	0	-	150	345
% Lights	100.0	-	90.9	-	-	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	98.0	100.0	-	-	98.2	100.0	97.7	93.8	-	-	97.4	97.7
Mediums	0	0	1	0	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	3	0	0	-	3	0	3	1	0	-	4	8
% Mediums	0.0	-	9.1	-	-	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	-	-	1.8	0.0	2.3	6.3	-	-	2.6	2.3
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 7



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 8

	1						1	-	9							/									1
			Pheasant	Run Drive					Quail Cr	reek Drive					Downe	ey Road					Downe	ey Road			
			East	bound					West	tbound					North	bound					South	bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	3	0	2	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	41	1	0	0	47	1	53	7	0	1	61	115
12:15 PM	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	6	45	6	0	0	57	1	49	3	0	0	53	116
12:30 PM	6	0	4	0	2	10	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	47	0	0	0	49	0	32	3	0	0	35	96
12:45 PM	6	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	38	2	0	0	41	1	42	2	0	0	45	97
Total	18	0	10	0	2	28	6	0	2	0	0	8	14	171	9	0	0	194	3	176	15	0	1	194	424
Approach %	64.3	0.0	35.7	0.0	-	-	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	-	-	7.2	88.1	4.6	0.0	-	-	1.5	90.7	7.7	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	4.2	0.0	2.4	0.0	-	6.6	1.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	-	1.9	3.3	40.3	2.1	0.0	-	45.8	0.7	41.5	3.5	0.0	-	45.8	-
PHF	0.750	0.000	0.625	0.000	-	0.700	0.500	0.000	0.500	0.000	-	0.667	0.583	0.910	0.375	0.000	-	0.851	0.750	0.830	0.536	0.000	-	0.795	0.914
Lights	18	0	9	0	-	27	6	0	2	0	-	8	14	169	5	0	-	188	3	172	15	0	-	190	413
% Lights	100.0	-	90.0	-	-	96.4	100.0	-	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	98.8	55.6	-	-	96.9	100.0	97.7	100.0	-	-	97.9	97.4
Mediums	0	0	1	0	-	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	2	4	0	-	6	0	4	0	0	-	4	11
% Mediums	0.0	-	10.0	-	-	3.6	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	1.2	44.4	-	-	3.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	-	-	2.1	2.6
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	2		-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 9



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 10

	1						1	-	9						· · ·										1
			Pheasant	Run Drive					Quail Cr	reek Drive					Downe	ey Road					Downe	y Road			
			East	bound					West	tbound					North	bound					South	bound			
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	8	2	6	0	1	16	0	0	2	0	0	2	15	78	4	0	0	97	1	159	11	0	0	171	286
4:45 PM	6	0	4	0	6	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	102	2	0	2	109	3	184	9	0	2	196	315
5:00 PM	3	0	3	0	0	6	2	0	1	0	1	3	11	109	1	0	2	121	1	150	6	0	1	157	287
5:15 PM	4	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	2	1	8	123	1	0	0	132	2	169	9	0	0	180	319
Total	21	2	15	0	7	38	2	0	4	0	5	6	39	412	8	0	4	459	7	662	35	0	3	704	1207
Approach %	55.3	5.3	39.5	0.0	-	-	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	-	-	8.5	89.8	1.7	0.0	-	-	1.0	94.0	5.0	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	1.7	0.2	1.2	0.0	-	3.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0	-	0.5	3.2	34.1	0.7	0.0	-	38.0	0.6	54.8	2.9	0.0	-	58.3	-
PHF	0.656	0.250	0.625	0.000	-	0.594	0.250	0.000	0.500	0.000	-	0.500	0.650	0.837	0.500	0.000	-	0.869	0.583	0.899	0.795	0.000	-	0.898	0.946
Lights	21	2	15	0	-	38	2	0	4	0	-	6	39	408	8	0	-	455	7	656	35	0	-	698	1197
% Lights	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	-	-	99.1	100.0	99.1	100.0	-	-	99.1	99.2
Mediums	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	4	0	0	-	4	0	6	0	0	-	6	10
% Mediums	0.0	0.0	0.0	_	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	-	-	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	_	-	0.9	0.8
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 11



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive Quail Creek Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 12



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

			Teal Drive					Downey Drive					Downey Drive			
o 			Westbound					Northbound					Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:00 AM	5	12	0	0	17	55	0	0	0	55	4	55	0	0	59	131
7:15 AM	4	18	0	0	22	77	1	0	0	78	9	79	0	0	88	188
7:30 AM	6	29	0	0	35	101	0	0	0	101	4	100	0	0	104	240
7:45 AM	3	23	0	0	26	97	0	0	0	97	6	101	0	0	107	230
Hourly Total	18	82	0	0	100	330	1	0	0	331	23	335	0	0	358	789
8:00 AM	5	25	0	1	30	89	2	0	0	91	6	80	0	0	86	207
8:15 AM	4	23	0	1	27	139	2	0	0	141	11	78	0	1	89	257
8:30 AM	4	44	0	3	48	106	0	0	0	106	13	84	0	0	97	251
8:45 AM	3	29	0	0	32	86	0	0	0	86	25	66	0	0	91	209
Hourly Total	16	121	0	5	137	420	4	0	0	424	55	308	0	1	363	924
9:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00 AM	1	6	0	0	7	26	0	0	0	26	8	25	0	0	33	66
11:15 AM	0	9	0	0	9	37	0	0	0	37	9	31	0	0	40	86
11:30 AM	1	13	0	0	14	23	0	0	0	23	8	28	0	0	36	73
11:45 AM	1	10	0	0	11	45	1	0	0	46	4	28	0	0	32	89
Hourly Total	3	38	0	0	41	131	1	0	0	132	29	112	0	0	141	314
12:00 PM	0	7	0	0	7	39	0	0	0	39	12	44	0	0	56	102
12:15 PM	0	6	0	0	6	50	0	0	0	50	15	37	0	1	52	108
12:30 PM	0	11	0	0	11	36	1	0	0	37	13	24	0	1	37	85
12:45 PM	0	12	0	0	12	28	3	0	0	31	14	28	0	0	42	85
Hourly Total	0	36	0	0	36	153	4	0	0	157	54	133	0	2	187	380
1:00 PM	3	11	0	0	14	38	1	0	0	39	6	35	0	0	41	94
1:15 PM	1	8	0	0	9	29	0	0	0	29	8	35	0	0	43	81
1:30 PM	1	14	0	0	15	31	1	0	0	32	8	31	0	0	39	86
1:45 PM	1	5	0	0	6	35	4	0	0	39	17	32	0	0	49	94
Hourly Total	6	38	0	0	44	133	6	0	0	139	39	133	0	0	172	355
2:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
*** BREAK ***	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:00 PM	0	20	0	0	20	52	2	0	0	54	6	55	0	0	61	135
3:15 PM	2	13	0	0	15	34	4	0	0	38	37	77	0	0	114	167
3:30 PM	2	11	0	0	13	33	3	0	0	36	27	80	0	0	107	156
3:45 PM	1	18	0	0	19	58	2	0	0	60	14	81	0	0	95	174
Hourly Total	5	62	0	0	67	177	11	0	0	188	84	293	0	0	377	632
4:00 PM	1	10	0	0	11	70	2	0	1	72	21	94	0	1	115	198
4:15 PM	0	14	0	0	14	70	3	0	1	73	17	151	0	0	168	255

4:30 PM	2	15	0	0	17	85	6	0	0	91	19	139	0	3	158	266
4:45 PM	2	14	0	0	16	92	4	0	0	96	38	147	0	1	185	297
Hourly Total	5	53	0	0	58	317	15	0	2	332	95	531	0	5	626	1016
5:00 PM	2	15	0	0	17	112	4	0	0	116	23	129	0	1	152	285
5:15 PM	3	15	0	1	18	109	5	0	0	114	32	134	0	0	166	298
5:30 PM	2	14	0	0	16	95	5	0	0	100	26	105	0	0	131	247
5:45 PM	1	20	0	0	21	75	4	0	0	79	20	78	0	0	98	198
Hourly Total	8	64	0	1	72	391	18	0	0	409	101	446	0	1	547	1028
6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	61	494	0	6	555	2052	60	0	2	2112	480	2291	0	9	2771	5438
Approach %	11.0	89.0	0.0	-	-	97.2	2.8	0.0	-	-	17.3	82.7	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	1.1	9.1	0.0	-	10.2	37.7	1.1	0.0	-	38.8	8.8	42.1	0.0	-	51.0	-
Lights	59	480	0	-	539	2005	57	0	-	2062	464	2252	0	-	2716	5317
% Lights	96.7	97.2	-	-	97.1	97.7	95.0	-	-	97.6	96.7	98.3	-	-	98.0	97.8
Mediums	2	14	0	-	16	45	3	0	-	48	16	38	0	-	54	118
% Mediums	3.3	2.8	-	-	2.9	2.2	5.0	-	-	2.3	3.3	1.7	-	-	1.9	2.2
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	-	2	0	1	0	-	1	3
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.1	0.0	-	-	0.1	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.1
Pedestrians	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	9	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 3



Turning Movement Data Plot



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 4

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:45 AM)

Chart Time			Teal Drive Westbound					Downey Drive Northbound	·				Downey Drive Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
7:45 AM	3	23	0	0	26	97	0	0	0	97	6	101	0	0	107	230
8:00 AM	5	25	0	1	30	89	2	0	0	91	6	80	0	0	86	207
8:15 AM	4	23	0	1	27	139	2	0	0	141	11	78	0	1	89	257
8:30 AM	4	44	0	3	48	106	0	0	0	106	13	84	0	0	97	251
Total	16	115	0	5	131	431	4	0	0	435	36	343	0	1	379	945
Approach %	12.2	87.8	0.0	-	-	99.1	0.9	0.0	-	-	9.5	90.5	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	1.7	12.2	0.0	-	13.9	45.6	0.4	0.0	-	46.0	3.8	36.3	0.0	-	40.1	-
PHF	0.800	0.653	0.000	-	0.682	0.775	0.500	0.000	-	0.771	0.692	0.849	0.000	-	0.886	0.919
Lights	16	109	0	-	125	411	2	0	-	413	34	338	0	-	372	910
% Lights	100.0	94.8	-	-	95.4	95.4	50.0	-	-	94.9	94.4	98.5	-	-	98.2	96.3
Mediums	0	6	0	-	6	19	2	0	-	21	2	5	0	-	7	34
% Mediums	0.0	5.2		-	4.6	4.4	50.0	-	-	4.8	5.6	1.5	-	-	1.8	3.6
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	-	0	1
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.2	0.0	-	-	0.2	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.1
Pedestrians	-	-		5	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 5



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (7:45 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 6

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (11:00 AM)

Start Time			Teal Drive Westbound		-			Downey Drive Northbound					Downey Drive Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
11:00 AM	1	6	0	0	7	26	0	0	0	26	8	25	0	0	33	66
11:15 AM	0	9	0	0	9	37	0	0	0	37	9	31	0	0	40	86
11:30 AM	1	13	0	0	14	23	0	0	0	23	8	28	0	0	36	73
11:45 AM	1	10	0	0	11	45	1	0	0	46	4	28	0	0	32	89
Total	3	38	0	0	41	131	1	0	0	132	29	112	0	0	141	314
Approach %	7.3	92.7	0.0	-	-	99.2	0.8	0.0	-	-	20.6	79.4	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	1.0	12.1	0.0	-	13.1	41.7	0.3	0.0	-	42.0	9.2	35.7	0.0	-	44.9	-
PHF	0.750	0.731	0.000	-	0.732	0.728	0.250	0.000	-	0.717	0.806	0.903	0.000	-	0.881	0.882
Lights	2	36	0	-	38	128	1	0	-	129	28	108	0	-	136	303
% Lights	66.7	94.7	-	-	92.7	97.7	100.0	-	-	97.7	96.6	96.4	-	-	96.5	96.5
Mediums	1	2	0	-	3	3	0	0	-	3	1	4	0	-	5	11
% Mediums	33.3	5.3	-	-	7.3	2.3	0.0	-	-	2.3	3.4	3.6	-	-	3.5	3.5
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 7



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (11:00 AM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 8

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (12:00 PM)

Chart Time			Teal Drive Westbound					Downey Drive Northbound					Downey Drive Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
12:00 PM	0	7	0	0	7	39	0	0	0	39	12	44	0	0	56	102
12:15 PM	0	6	0	0	6	50	0	0	0	50	15	37	0	1	52	108
12:30 PM	0	11	0	0	11	36	1	0	0	37	13	24	0	1	37	85
12:45 PM	0	12	0	0	12	28	3	0	0	31	14	28	0	0	42	85
Total	0	36	0	0	36	153	4	0	0	157	54	133	0	2	187	380
Approach %	0.0	100.0	0.0	-	-	97.5	2.5	0.0	-	-	28.9	71.1	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	0.0	9.5	0.0	-	9.5	40.3	1.1	0.0	-	41.3	14.2	35.0	0.0	-	49.2	-
PHF	0.000	0.750	0.000	-	0.750	0.765	0.333	0.000	-	0.785	0.900	0.756	0.000	-	0.835	0.880
Lights	0	35	0	-	35	148	4	0	-	152	52	131	0	-	183	370
% Lights	-	97.2	-	-	97.2	96.7	100.0	-	-	96.8	96.3	98.5	-	-	97.9	97.4
Mediums	0	1	0	-	1	5	0	0	-	5	2	2	0	-	4	10
% Mediums	-	2.8	-	-	2.8	3.3	0.0	-	-	3.2	3.7	1.5	-	-	2.1	2.6
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 9



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (12:00 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 10

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

Chart Time			Teal Drive Westbound					Downey Drive Northbound	· ·	,			Downey Drive Southbound			
Start Time	Left	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Left	Thru	U-Turn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
4:30 PM	2	15	0	0	17	85	6	0	0	91	19	139	0	3	158	266
4:45 PM	2	14	0	0	16	92	4	0	0	96	38	147	0	1	185	297
5:00 PM	2	15	0	0	17	112	4	0	0	116	23	129	0	1	152	285
5:15 PM	3	15	0	1	18	109	5	0	0	114	32	134	0	0	166	298
Total	9	59	0	1	68	398	19	0	0	417	112	549	0	5	661	1146
Approach %	13.2	86.8	0.0	-	-	95.4	4.6	0.0	-	-	16.9	83.1	0.0	-	-	-
Total %	0.8	5.1	0.0	-	5.9	34.7	1.7	0.0	-	36.4	9.8	47.9	0.0	-	57.7	-
PHF	0.750	0.983	0.000	-	0.944	0.888	0.792	0.000	-	0.899	0.737	0.934	0.000	-	0.893	0.961
Lights	9	59	0	-	68	395	19	0	-	414	111	546	0	-	657	1139
% Lights	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	99.2	100.0	-	-	99.3	99.1	99.5	-	-	99.4	99.4
Mediums	0	0	0	-	0	3	0	0	-	3	1	3	0	-	4	7
% Mediums	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.8	0.0	-	-	0.7	0.9	0.5	-	-	0.6	0.6
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
% Articulated Trucks	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Pedestrians	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 11



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)



Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2J 1N8 519-896-3163 cbowness@ptsl.com

Count Name: Downey Road & Teal Drive Site Code: Start Date: 04/26/2016 Page No: 12

Downey Road & Hazelwood Drive

Date: 26-Apr-16 Major Street: N/S Project #: 150730

Counted By: CB Minor Street: E/W

						Down	ey Ro	bad										На	zelwo	od Drive			15	10
Timo Endina		Ν	lorth	JOU	nd				Sout	hbo	und				٧	Nest	boı	Jnd		Eastbo	ound			
TITLE LITUING	CA	2	H	V		CVC	(CAR		ΗV	DEI		,	CAF	2	H١	/		CVC	CAR HV		v c		CLINA
	L T	R	Ľ	R	FLD	CIC	L	T	R L	Т	R		Ĺ	Т	R	LT	R	FLD	CIC	OTRLTR	FLD C	210 3	50/01	20101
7:15:00 AM	73	0		0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0		9	0	0	0	0				118	
7:30:00 AM	105	0		2 0	0	0	2	64	0	3	1	0	0		7	0	0	2	0				186	
7:45:00 AM	147	0		2 0	0	0	1	96	0	3	0	0	1		6	0	0	0	0				256	
8:00:00 AM	139	0		3 0	0	0	0	90	1	1	0	0	1		3	1	0	0	0			2	239	799
8:15:00 AM	133	0		2 0	0	0	2	82	0	3	0	0	0		9	0	2	1	0				234	915
8:30:00 AM	188	0	1	2 0	0	0	3	86	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0				300	1029
8:45:00 AM	169	0	1	10	1	0	3	82	1	7	1	0	2	1	12	0	1	0	0				290	1063
9:00:00 AM	160	2	1	2 0	0	0	5	76	0	1	1	0	2		10	0	1	0	0				260	1084
AM Peak Hour	650	2	2	70	1	0	13	326	2	11	2	0	4	4	41	0	4	1	0				-	
3:15:00 PM	78	0		5 0	1	0	3	86	0	4	1	0	0		2	0	0	1	0				182	
3:30:00 PM	66	1	1	2 0	1	0	5	133	1	5	1	0	1		6	0	0	3	0			2	225	
3:45:00 PM	79	0		7 0	6	0	6	131	1	4	1	0	0		3	0	0	0	0			1	238	
4:00:00 PM	82	1	4	1 0	0	0	5	101	1	6	0	0	0		3	0	1	0	0			2	204	849
4:15:00 PM	99	0		3 1	1	0	8	136	0	3	0	0	1		4	0	0	0	0			2	256	923
4:30:00 PM	87	0		3 0	0	0	10	163	0	2	0	0	0		5	0	0	0	0			2	270	968
4:45:00 PM	102	0		3 0	0	0	11	185	0	1	0	0	1		4	0	0	1	0			Ĵ	308	1038
5:00:00 PM	121	1		0	1	0	11	195	0	2	0	0	0		5	0	0	0	0			ć	337	1171
5:15:00 PM	106	2		0	0	0	11	190	0	0	0	0	1		5	0	0	0	0			Ĵ	316	1231
5:30:00 PM	139	0	1	2 0	0	0	4	181	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	0				327	1288
5:45:00 PM	120	1	1	2 0	1	0	10	148	1	0	1	0	0		7	0	1	3	0			2	295	1275
6:00:00 PM	114	0		0	0	0	6	116	0	0	0	0	0		3	0	0	0	0			1	240	1178
PM Peak Hour	468	3		0	1	0	37	751	0	3	0	0	2	1	15	0	0	1	0					

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - EB Location: 1

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 134592. The study was done in the EB lane at Downey Rd - EB in Guelph, ON in btwn Hwy 6 & Woodland Glen Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 46,816 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 216 on 2016-04-27 at [08:15 AM-08:30 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [02:30 AM-02:45 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 6,688.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 55 KM/H with 71.68% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 2.24% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 67.22 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
1	94	887	4046	7773	17492	11264	2638	696	318	0	0	0	0	0



CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 44385 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 232 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 486 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 106 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
26627	17758	232	486	75	21	8	2				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-27 at [08:15 AM-08:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.147 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [02:30 AM-02:45 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 1.00 and 33.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - WB Location: 1

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 134618. The study was done in the WB lane at Downey Rd - WB in Guelph, ON in btwn Hwy 6 & Woodland Glen Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 50,962 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 280 on 2016-04-28 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-27 at [03:00 AM-03:15 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 7,280.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 59 KM/H with 80.66% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 3.62% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 69.02 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
3	73	358	1294	7470	18120	14531	3995	1153	569	0	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 46195 which represents 97 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 631 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 527 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 213 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
23160	23035	631	527	142	40	22	9				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-28 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 3.203 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-27 at [03:00 AM-03:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 1.00 and 34.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - NB Location: 2

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 134589. The study was done in the NB lane at Downey Rd - NB in Guelph, ON in btwn Niska Rd & Hazelwood Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 32,985 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 197 on 2016-04-26 at [08:15 AM-08:30 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-05-01 at [11:30 PM-11:45 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,712.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 50 KM/H with 50.69% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 0.99% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 58.30 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
2	76	364	2356	12867	13666	1727	394	194	121	0	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 30979 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 238 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 422 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 128 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
21259	9720	238	422	87	32	7	2				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [08:15 AM-08:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.545 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-05-01 at [11:30 PM-11:45 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 2.00 and 32.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - SB Location: 2

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 132472. The study was done in the SB lane at Downey Rd - SB in Guelph, ON in btwn Niska Rd & Hazelwood Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 33,298 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 215 on 2016-05-02 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [03:45 AM-04:00 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,757.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 52 KM/H with 60.02% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 0.90% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 58.72 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
0	37	182	1329	11259	16532	2029	377	157	132	0	0	0	0	0



CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 31481 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 228 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 214 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 111 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
20771	10710	228	214	58	41	9	3				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-05-02 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.167 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [03:45 AM-04:00 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 2.00 and 33.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - NB Location: 3

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 134623. The study was done in the NB lane at Downey Rd - NB in Guelph, ON in btwn Hazelwood Dr & Ptarmigan Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 32,363 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 182 on 2016-04-27 at [08:15 AM-08:30 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [03:15 AM-03:30 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,623.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 56 KM/H with 79.05% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 1.66% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 65.39 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
3	48	220	866	5405	16545	6396	1221	335	182	0	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 30353 which represents 97 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 232 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 482 which represents 2 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 154 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
16512	13841	232	482	102	38	12	2				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-27 at [08:15 AM-08:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.918 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [03:15 AM-03:30 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 2.00 and 32.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - SB Location: 3

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 123726. The study was done in the SB lane at Downey Rd - SB in Guelph, ON in btwn Hazelwood Dr & Ptarmigan Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 32,060 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 204 on 2016-05-02 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [01:45 AM-02:00 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,580.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 54 KM/H with 72.57% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 1.60% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 59.78 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
1	31	156	676	7577	18111	3100	626	289	202	0	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 30216 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 228 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 200 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 125 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
22218	7998	228	200	78	38	7	2				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-05-02 at [05:00 PM-05:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.39 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [01:45 AM-02:00 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 2.00 and 34.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - NB Location: 4

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 135575. The study was done in the NB lane at Downey Rd - NB in Guelph, ON in btwn Ptarmigan Dr & Pheasant Run county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 24,981 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 166 on 2016-04-29 at [08:30 AM-08:45 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-29 at [12:00 PM-12:15 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 3,569.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 55 KM/H with 75.59% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 1.51% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 64.23 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
5	46	199	784	4863	12788	4380	732	245	121	0	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 23723 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 229 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 158 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 53 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
11995	11728	229	158	35	11	7	0				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-29 at [08:30 AM-08:45 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 5.389 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-29 at [12:00 PM-12:15 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 2.00 and 31.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - SB Location: 4

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 123901. The study was done in the SB lane at Downey Rd - SB in Guelph, ON in btwn Ptarmigan Dr & Pheasant Run county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 28,032 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 192 on 2016-04-27 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [01:45 AM-02:00 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 4,005.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 54 KM/H with 73.64% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 0.95% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 62.77 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
2	51	986	928	5185	14721	4305	702	166	91	0	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 26751 which represents 99 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 135 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 180 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 71 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
18170	8581	135	180	37	22	9	3				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-27 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 4.663 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [01:45 AM-02:00 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 2.00 and 33.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - NB Location: 5

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 113543. The study was done in the NB lane at Downey Rd - NB in Guelph, ON in south of Teal Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 22,199 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 135 on 2016-04-27 at [08:00 AM-08:15 AM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [11:30 PM-11:45 PM]. The AADT count for this study was 3,171.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 50 - 60 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 62 KM/H with 94.81% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 3.21% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 50KM/H and the 85th percentile was 70.58 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
2	4	38	88	1003	8877	8406	2737	483	155	64	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 21509 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 126 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 176 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 46 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
13845	7664	126	176	29	10	4	3				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-27 at [08:00 AM-08:15 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 6.618 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [11:30 PM-11:45 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 1.00 and 33.00 degrees C.

MH Corbin Traffic Analyzer Study Computer Generated Summary Report City: Guelph Street: Downey Rd - SB Location: 5

A study of vehicle traffic was conducted with the device having serial number 113559. The study was done in the SB lane at Downey Rd - SB in Guelph, ON in south of Teal Dr county. The study began on 2016-04-26 at 12:00 AM and concluded on 2016-05-03 at 12:00 AM, lasting a total of 168.00 hours. Traffic statistics were recorded in 15 minute time periods. The total recorded volume showed 22,359 vehicles passed through the location with a peak volume of 161 on 2016-04-27 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] and a minimum volume of 0 on 2016-04-26 at [12:45 AM-01:00 AM]. The AADT count for this study was 3,194.

<u>SPEED</u>

Chart 1 lists the values of the speed bins and the total traffic volume for each bin. At least half the vehicles were traveling in the 60 - 70 KM/H range or lower. The average speed for all classifed vehicles was 68 KM/H with 97.70% vehicles exceeding the posted speed of 50 KM/H. 9.90% percent of the total vehicles were traveling in excess of 89 KM/H. The mode speed for this traffic study was 60KM/H and the 85th percentile was 78.08 KM/H.

<	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to	to
9	19	29	39	49	59	69	79	89	99	109	119	129	139	>
0	3	44	99	351	3718	9502	5747	1559	442	138	0	0	0	0

CHART 1

CLASSIFICATION

Chart 2 lists the values of the classification bins and the total traffic volume accumulated for each bin. Most of the vehicles classified during the study were Passenger Vehicles. The number of Passenger Vehicles in the study was 21259 which represents 98 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Small Trucks in the study was 131 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Trucks/Buses in the study was 169 which represents 1 percent of the total classified vehicles. The number of Tractor Trailers in the study was 44 which represents 0 percent of the total classified vehicles.

< to 4.9	5.0 to 8.4	8.5 to 9.9	10.0 to 12.9	13.0 to 15.9	16.0 to 18.9	19.0 to 22.4	22.5 to >				
13000	8259	131	169	30	6	5	3				

CHART 2

HEADWAY

During the peak traffic period, on 2016-04-27 at [05:15 PM-05:30 PM] the average headway between vehicles was 5.556 seconds. During the slowest traffic period, on 2016-04-26 at [12:45 AM-01:00 AM] the average headway between vehicles was 900 seconds.

WEATHER

The roadway surface temperature over the period of the study varied between 1.00 and 32.00 degrees C.

Appendix B

Traffic Operation Reports



	<u>ر</u>	~	•	Ť	1	1	
_	-	•	`		•		
ane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	Ŷ			{¶	- †P-		
affic Volume (vph)	56	93	110	767	438	124	
uture Volume (vph)	56	93	110	767	438	124	
leal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
ane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
t	0.916				0.967		
t Protected	0.982			0.994			
atd. Flow (prot)	1709	0	0	3588	3491	0	
Permitted	0.982			0.994			
atd. Flow (perm)	1709	0	0	3588	3491	0	
nk Speed (k/h)	50			50	50		
nk Distance (m)	140.4			153.5	184.5		
ravel Time (s)	10.1			11.1	13.3		
eak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
dj. Flow (vph) hared Lane Traffic (%)	61	101	120	834	476	135	
ane Group Flow (vph)	162	0	0	954	611	0	
an Control	Stop			Free	Free		

HCM Unsignalized 1: Downey Road &	Inters Woo &	ectior dland	Cap Glen	acity / Drive	Analys	is	Existing AN Downey Road Improvement Study
	۶	7	•	1	Ļ	1	
Movement	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Ŵ	2011		<u>4</u> 1	<u>≜1</u> .	00.1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	56	93	110	767	438	124	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	56	93	110	767	438	124	
Sign Control	Stop	00	110	Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	61	101	120	834	476	135	
Pedestrians							
I ane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1200	306	611				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1200	306	611				
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	61	85	88				
cM capacity (veh/h)	158	696	978				
Direction Lane #	FR 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	162	398	556	317	294		
Volume Left	61	120	000	0	0		
Volume Bight	101	0	0	0	135		
cSH	306	978	1700	1700	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.53	0.12	0.33	0.19	0.17		
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	29.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	D	A	2.0	2.0	2.5		
Approach Delay (s)	29.3	1.5		0.0			
Approach LOS	D	110		0.0			
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			3.6				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		59.3%	l.	CU Leve	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Page 1

٦

Lanes, Volumes, Ti	mings						Existing AM
2: Downey Road &	& Nisko	Roa	b				Downey Road Improvement Study
	۶	\mathbf{r}	1	Ť	ŧ	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		ሻ	†	•	۴	
Traffic Volume (vph)	159	8	11	716	349	179	
Future Volume (vph)	159	8	11	716	349	179	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (m)	0.0	0.0	15.0			0.0	
Storage Lanes	1	0	1			1	
Taper Length (m)	7.5		7.5				
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Ped Bike Factor							
Frt	0.993					0.850	
Flt Protected	0.955		0.950				
Satd. Flow (prot)	1802	0	1805	1900	1900	1615	
Flt Permitted	0.955		0.950				
Satd. Flow (perm)	1802	0	1805	1900	1900	1615	
Link Speed (k/h)	50			50	50		
Link Distance (m)	103.8			243.3	153.5		
Travel Time (s)	7.5			17.5	11.1		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			8			8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	173	9	12	778	379	195	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	182	0	12	778	379	195	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Intersection Summary	_						
Area Type:	Other						
Control Type: Unsignaliz	red						
Intersection Canacity Lit	ilization P	53.6%		10		el of Sen	vice A
Apply of Deriod (min) 15		.0.070			00 2010	001	10071

2: Downey Road &	k Nisko	a Road	d Cab		Analys	15	Downey Road Improvement Stud
	≯	\mathbf{r}	1	1	ţ	4	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	¥		٦	•	•	1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	159	8	11	716	349	179	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	159	8	11	716	349	179	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	173	9	12	778	379	195	
Pedestrians	8						
Lane Width (m)	3.6						
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.2						
Percent Blockage	1						
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1189	387	582				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1189	387	582				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	16	99	99				
cM capacity (veh/h)	206	661	996				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	182	12	778	379	195		
Volume Left	173	12	0	0	0		
Volume Right	9	0	0	0	195		
cSH	213	996	1700	1700	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.85	0.01	0.46	0.22	0.11		
Queue Length 95th (m)	52.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	76.2	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	F	А					
Approach Delay (s)	76.2	0.1		0.0			
Approach LOS	F						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			9.0				
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		53.6%	10	CU Leve	I of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Synchro 9 Report Page 4
	s Haze	IWOOd		2	Downey Hoad Improvement Study		
	1	•	†	1	1	ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î		٦		
Traffic Volume (vph)	4	45	677	2	15	337	
Future Volume (vph)	4	45	677	2	15	337	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	15.0		
Storage Lanes	1	0		0	1		
Taper Length (m)	7.5				7.5		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.875						
Flt Protected	0.996				0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1656	0	1900	0	1805	1900	
Flt Permitted	0.996				0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1656	0	1900	0	1805	1900	
Link Speed (k/h)	50		50			50	
Link Distance (m)	117.7		292.5			243.3	
Travel Time (s)	8.5		21.1			17.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	4	49	736	2	16	366	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	53	0	738	0	16	366	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: 0	Other						
Control Type: Unsignaliz	ed						
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization 4	15.8%		10	CU Leve	el of Serv	vice A

3: Downey Road 8	iniers & Haze	elwood	d Drive	acity /	Analys	15	Downey Road Improvement Stud
	4	•	1	1	1	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		ĥ		۲	*	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	4	45	677	2	15	337	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	4	45	677	2	15	337	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (yph)	4	49	736	2	16	366	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)			110110			110110	
Lipstream signal (m)			203				
nX platoon unblocked	0.84	0.84	200		0.84		
vC. conflicting volume	1135	737			738		
vC1_stage 1_conf.vol	1100	101			100		
vC2_stage 2_conf_vol							
VOZ, stage z com vor	1064	588			590		
tC single (s)	6.4	6.2			1 1		
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.4	0.2			4.1		
tE (s)	3.5	3.3			22		
n0 queue free %	98	89			08		
cM capacity (veh/h)	204	429			833		
Direction Lone #	W/R 1	NR 1	CR 1	CR 2			
Volumo Totol	52	720	16	266			
Volume Loft	00	730	16	300			
Volume Leit	4	0	10	0			
	206	1700	000	1700			
Volume te Canacitu	0.10	0.42	0.00	0.00			
Ousual anoth Office (m)	0.13	0.43	0.02	0.22			
Control Dolay (c)	3.7 15.5	0.0	0.5	0.0			
Control Delay (S)	15.5	0.0	9.4	0.0			
Larie LOS	15.5	0.0	A				
Approach LOS	15.5 C	0.0	0.4				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.8				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		45.8%	IC	CU Leve	l of Service	A
Analysis David al (ratio)			15				

Synchro 9 Report Page 5

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

4: Downey Road &	& Ptarr	nigan	Drive				Downey Road Improvement Stu
	≯	*	•	1	ŧ	4	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		ľ	•	ĥ		
Traffic Volume (vph)	181	63	95	490	264	75	
Future Volume (vph)	181	63	95	490	264	75	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (m)	0.0	0.0	25.0			0.0	
Storage Lanes	1	0	1			0	
Taper Length (m)	7.5	-	7.5			-	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.965				0.970		
Flt Protected	0.964		0.950		5.0.0		
Satd Flow (prot)	1767	0	1805	1900	1843	0	
Elt Permitted	0.964	5	0.535	1000	10-10	5	
Satd Flow (perm)	1767	0	1016	1900	1843	0	
Right Turn on Red	1101	Yes	1010	1000	1040	Yee	
Satd Flow (RTOR)	30	105			34	105	
Link Sneed (k/h)	50			50	50		
Link Opecu (K/II)	163.1			236.0	202.5		
Troval Time (a)	11.7			230.0	292.0		
Dook Hour Footor	0.02	0.00	0.00	17.0	21.1	0.00	
	107	0.92	102	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Auj. Flow (Vpn)	197	68	103	533	287	82	
Snared Lane Traffic (%)	065	0	100	500	000	0	
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	265	0	103	533	369	0	
Turn Type	Prot		Perm	NA	NA		
Protected Phases	4		0	2	6		
Permitted Phases			2				
Detector Phase	4		2	2	6		
Switch Phase	= 0				= 0		
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0		
Minimum Split (s)	24.0		24.0	24.0	24.0		
Total Split (s)	24.0		36.0	36.0	36.0		
Total Split (%)	40.0%		60.0%	60.0%	60.0%		
Maximum Green (s)	18.0		30.0	30.0	30.0		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		
Recall Mode	None		Max	Max	Max		
Walk Time (s)	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0		
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0		
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		0	0	0		
Act Effct Green (s)	12.7		32.2	32.2	32.2		
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.22		0.57	0.57	0.57		
v/c Batio	0.64		0.18	0.50	0.35		
Control Delay	24.2		8.3	10.4	8.0		
Queue Delav	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	24.2		8.3	10.4	8.0		
i otai Delay	24.2		0.0	10.4	0.0		

Synchro 9 Report Page 7

		ngan	81110				
	٠	\mathbf{r}	1	†	ŧ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
LOS	С		А	В	А		
Approach Delay	24.2			10.1	8.0		
Approach LOS	С			В	А		
Queue Length 50th (m)	21.7		4.7	30.4	16.8		
Queue Length 95th (m)	41.1		14.1	65.4	39.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	139.1			212.0	268.5		
Turn Bay Length (m)			25.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	581		574	1074	1056		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.46		0.18	0.50	0.35		
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: C	other						
Cycle Length: 60							
Actuated Cycle Length: {	56.9						
Natural Cycle: 50							
Control Type: Semi Act-U	Jncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64	Ļ						
Intersection Signal Delay	: 12.4			1	ntersecti	on LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Util	ization 5	2.6%			CU Leve	of Service A	

	▶ _{Ø4}
36 s	24 s
↓ Ø6	
36 s	

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBR NBL NBR NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 4 4 1 8 8 554 1 2 333 11 Traffic Volume (vph) 33 0 41 6 1 8 554 1 2 333 11 Ideal Flow (vphp) 1900 100 1715 1796 0 1715 1716 0 1715 1716 0 1710 9		≯	-	*	4	-	•	1	t	۲	- \	Ļ	4
Lane Configurations 4 7 5 7	_ane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Volume (vph) 33 0 41 6 1 8 8 554 1 2 333 11 Liture Volume (vph) 33 0 41 6 1 8 8 554 1 2 333 11 Liture Volume (vph) 1300 1900 1100 1.10 1.00 1.10 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.10 1.00 1.00	ane Configurations		\$			\$		۲.	ĥ		۲	ų	
Tuture Volume (vph) 33 0 41 6 1 8 8 554 1 2 333 11 deal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1171 178 78 0 380 0.950 0.950 0 950 300 1715 1796 0 1ink Speed (k/h) 50 50 50 50 50 50 1ink Distance (m) 152 17.0 150 160 120 122 <td>Traffic Volume (vph)</td> <td>33</td> <td>0</td> <td>41</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>554</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>333</td> <td>11</td>	Traffic Volume (vph)	33	0	41	6	1	8	8	554	1	2	333	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1717 1900 1011 11011 11010 11010 1000	Future Volume (vph)	33	0	41	6	1	8	8	554	1	2	333	11
ane Util Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 1.00 0.995 1.00 0.995 1.00 0.995 0.995 0.995 1.00 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 0.950 Satd, Flow (prot) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 ith Permitted 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 satd. Flow (perm) 0 1719 0 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 1722 17.0 236.0 172 192 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 10% 10%	deal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Frt 0.925 0.929 0.950 0.995 1t Protected 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 Satd. Flow (port) 0 1719 0 0 1300 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 Fit Permitted 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 0.950 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1719 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 ink Speed (kh) 50 50 50 17.0 Paak Hour Factor 0.92	ane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00
it Protected 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 Satd. Flow (prot) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 17.0 Peak Hour Factor 0.92	-rt		0.925			0.929						0.995	
Satd. Flow (prot) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 1t Permitted 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 1724 17.0 Paek Hour Factor 0.92	It Protected		0.978			0.980		0.950			0.950	0.000	
Th Permitted 0.978 0.980 0.950 0.950 0.950 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1715 1796 0 Jink Speed (k/h) 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 1746 0 Jink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 177.0 Peak Hour Factor 0.92	Satd, Flow (prot)	0	1719	0	0	1730	0	1805	1900	0	1715	1796	0
Satut, Flow (perm) 0 1719 0 0 1730 0 1805 1900 0 1735 1796 0 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 1779 0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 236.0 170 170 19 9 602 1 2 362 12 350 120 170 100 10% <t< td=""><td>-It Permitted</td><td>0</td><td>0.978</td><td>U</td><td>U</td><td>0.980</td><td>0</td><td>0.950</td><td>.000</td><td>0</td><td>0.950</td><td></td><td>0</td></t<>	-It Permitted	0	0.978	U	U	0.980	0	0.950	.000	0	0.950		0
Link Speed (k/h) 50 100 0 100 0 50 50 50 50 100 100 100	Satd Flow (perm)	0	1719	0	0	1730	0	1805	1900	0	1715	1796	0
Intersection Use Use <thuse< th=""> <th< td=""><td>ink Speed (k/h)</td><td>5</td><td>50</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>50</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>50</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>50</td><td>0</td></th<></thuse<>	ink Speed (k/h)	5	50	5		50	5		50	0		50	0
Lance (a) 02.0 0.92	ink Distance (m)		92.9			85.3			211.0			236.0	
Intersection Summary Out Out <thout< th=""> <thout< th=""></thout<></thout<>	Travel Time (s)		67			61			15.2			17.0	
Addr. How (rph) 36 0.45 7 1 9 9 602 1 2 362 12 Shared Lane Traffic (%) 10% 11% 10% 10% 11% 10%	Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Age Transfer Traffic (%) 10% Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 81 0 0 17 0 9 603 0 2 374 0 Sign Control Stop Free Free Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.7% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15	Adi Flow (vph)	36	0.52	15	0.52	1	0.52	0.52	602	1	0.92	362	12
ane Group Flow (vph) 0 81 0 0 17 0 9 603 0 2 374 0 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free ntersection Summary Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.7% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15	Shared Lane Traffic (%)	50	0	40	1		Э	Э	002	1	10%	002	12
Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.7% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15	and Group Flow (upb)	0	81	0	0	17	0	0	603	0	2	374	0
Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.7% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15	Sign Control	0	Stop	0	0	Stop	0	9	Eroo	0	2	Eroo	0
ntersection Summary vea Type: Other Ontrol Type: Unsignalized ICU Level of Service A unalysis Period (min) 15 ICU Level of Service A	Sign Control		otop			Otop			1100			1100	
	Area Type: C Control Type: Unsignalize ntersection Capacity Util Analysis Period (min) 15	Other ed lization	41.7%		10	CU Leve	l of Serv	vice A					

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing AM Downey Road Improvement Study 5: Downey Road & Pheasant Run Drive/Quail Creek Drive ۶ 7 1 ╲ ٩ EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL Movement SBT SBR Lane Configurations \$ 4 ÷ 18 ĥ Traffic Volume (veh/h) 33 41 554 333 11 0 6 8 8 2 Future Volume (Veh/h) 33 41 554 2 333 0 6 8 8 1 11 1 Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Grade 0% 0% 0% 0% Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Hourly flow rate (vph) 36 45 9 602 2 362 12 0 7 1 9 1 Pedestrians Lane Width (m) Walking Speed (m/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) None Median type None Median storage veh) 236 Upstream signal (m) pX, platoon unblocked 1002 993 368 1032 998 602 374 603 vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol 993 368 1032 998 602 374 603 vCu, unblocked vol 1002 tC, single (s) 7.1 6.5 6.2 7.1 6.5 6.2 4.1 4.1 tC, 2 stage (s) 3.5 4.0 3.3 3.5 4.0 3.3 2.2 2.2 tF (s) p0 queue free % 83 100 93 96 100 98 99 100 cM capacity (veh/h) 217 503 1196 984 245 682 198 243 Direction, Lane # EB1 WB1 NB1 NB2 SB1 SB2 Volume Total 81 17 9 603 375 1 Volume Left 36 7 9 0 1 1 Volume Right 45 9 0 12 0 1 cSH 349 296 1196 1700 984 984 0.00 Volume to Capacity 0.23 0.06 0.01 0.35 0.00 Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.1 1.5 Control Delay (s) 18.4 17.9 8.0 0.0 8.7 0.0 Lane LOS С С А А А Approach Delay (s) 18.4 17.9 0.1 0.1 Approach LOS С С Intersection Summary Average Delay 1.7 Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.7% ICU Level of Service А Analysis Period (min) 15

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Synchro 9 Report Page 9

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

	<u>x icui</u>				1		
	1		I	1	*	÷	
ane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	Y		۹î (ሻ	↑	
raffic Volume (vph)	16	115	431	4	36	343	
uture Volume (vph)	16	115	431	4	36	343	
deal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
ane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
irt	0.881		0.999				
It Protected	0.994				0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1664	0	1898	0	1805	1900	
It Permitted	0.994				0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1664	0	1898	0	1805	1900	
ink Speed (k/h)	50		50			50	
ink Distance (m)	126.2		141.1			211.0	
ravel Time (s)	9.1		10.2			15.2	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
vdj. Flow (vph)	17	125	468	4	39	373	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
ane Group Flow (vph)	142	0	472	0	39	373	
ign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
							Synchro 9 Renor

HCM Unsignalized 6: Downey Road &	Interso & Teal	ectior Drive	n Capo	acity A	Analys	is	Existing AN Downey Road Improvement Study
	4	•	1	1	1	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		4Î		ľ	*	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	16	115	431	4	36	343	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	16	115	431	4	36	343	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	17	125	468	4	39	373	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	921	470			472		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	921	470			472		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	94	79			96		
cM capacity (veh/h)	292	598			1100		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	SB 2			
Volume Total	142	472	39	373			
Volume Left	17	0	39	0			
Volume Right	125	4	0	0			
cSH	531	1700	1100	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.27	0.28	0.04	0.22			
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.6	0.0	0.9	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	14.2	0.0	8.4	0.0			
Lane LOS	В		A				
Approach Delay (s)	14.2	0.0	0.8				
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			2.3				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		44.2%	IC	CU Leve	l of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Synchro 9 Report Page 12

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Page 11

٦

	*	~		+	1	7	
		•	7	I	*	-	
ane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	Y			{ ↑	†]>		
raffic Volume (vph)	75	102	83	605	897	148	
uture Volume (vph)	75	102	83	605	897	148	
deal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
ane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
rt	0.922			0.004	0.979		
It Protected	0.979	0	0	0.994	0504	0	
ato. Flow (prot)	1/15	0	0	3588	3534	0	
it Permitted	0.979	~	~	0.994	0504	0	
atu. Flow (perm)	1/15	0	0	3588	3534	0	
ink Speed (k/n)	50			150.5	104.5		
INK Distance (m)	140.4			153.5	184.5		
ravel Time (S)	10.1	0.00	0.00	11.1	13.3	0.00	
eak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
aj. Flow (vpn)	82	111	90	658	975	161	
nareu Lane Tramic (%)	102	0	0	740	1126	0	
ane Group Flow (Vph)	Stor	0	0	748 Eroc	Froc	U	
gri Control	Stop			Free	Free		
tersection Summary							
ntersection Summary rea Type: (Other	_	_		-	-	
tersection Summary rea Type: (ontrol Type: Unsignaliz	Other ed						
tersection Summary rea Type: (ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut	Other ed ilization 6	9.1%		[(CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
ttersection Summary rea Type: (control Type: Unsignaliz itersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	9.1%		[(CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
ttersection Summary rea Type: (control Type: Unsignaliz itersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	69.1%		ļ	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
Itersection Summary rea Type: 00 control Type: Unsignaliz itersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	9.1%		10	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
itersection Summary rea Type: (control Type: Unsignaliz itersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	69.1%		l	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
Itersection Summary rea Type: Oriontrol Type: Unsignaliz itersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	69.1%		ן	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
Itersection Summary rea Type: (ontrol Type: Unsignaliz itersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	69.1%		10	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Control Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other red ilization 6	69.1%		Į	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
Itersection Summary rea Type: Ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	69.1%		Į(CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Or ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed Ilization 6	39.1%		Į¢	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: O ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut halysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	39.1%		K	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Unsignalize ontrol Type: Unsignalize tersection Capacity Ut allysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	39.1%		k	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Unsignaliz ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut halysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	39.1%		je	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: O ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut halysis Period (min) 15	Other red ilization 6	39.1%		je	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
ersection Summary ea Type: Or ontrol Type: Unsignaliz ersection Capacity Ut adysis Period (min) 15	Dther red	69.1%		I	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
lersection Summary ea Type: Unsignaliz antrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut halysis Period (min) 15	Other red	39.1%		I	CU Leve	el of Servia	ce C
ersection Summary ea Type: Or ontrol Type: Unsignaliz ersection Capacity Ut alysis Period (min) 15	Other ed lization 6	99.1%		I	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
ersection Summary ea Type: O ontrol Type: Unsignaliz ersection Capacity Ut alysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	39.1%		10	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
ersection Summary ea Type: Unsignaliz ersection Capacity Ut lalysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	9.1%		I	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Oro ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut halysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	39.1%		10	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
Itersection Summary rea Type: Unsignaliz ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Other ed ilization 6	9.1%		10	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: (ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization (9.1%		Ν	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Or ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut nalysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization 6	39.1%		aj	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C
tersection Summary rea Type: Or ontrol Type: Unsignaliz tersection Capacity Ut halysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization (39.1%		N N	CU Leve	l of Servic	ce C
ersection Summary ea Type: Unsignaliz ersection Capacity Ut lalysis Period (min) 15	Dther ed ilization (9.1%		ji	CU Leve	I of Servic	ce C

1: Downey Road &	l Interse & Woo	ectior dland	Glen	Drive	Analysi	S	EXISTING PI Downey Road Improvement Stu
	≯	\mathbf{r}	•	t	ţ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	¥			≜ 12	≜1 2-		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	75	102	83	605	897	148	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	75	102	83	605	897	148	
Sian Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (yph)	82	111	90	658	975	161	
Pedestrians	02		00	000	0.0	101	
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)				110110	110110		
Linstream signal (m)				397			
nX platoon unblocked				001			
vC. conflicting volume	1564	568	1136				
vC1_stage 1_conf vol	1001	000	1100				
vC2_stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1564	568	1136				
tC single (s)	6.8	6.9	4 1				
tC 2 stage (s)	0.0	0.0	4.1				
tE (s)	3.5	3.3	22				
n0 queue free %	8	76	86				
cM capacity (veh/h)	89	471	622				
	00		OLL				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	193	309	439	650	486		
Volume Left	82	90	0	0	0		
Volume Right	111	0	0	0	161		
cSH	167	622	1700	1700	1700		
Volume to Capacity	1.16	0.14	0.26	0.38	0.29		
Queue Length 95th (m)	82.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	172.9	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	F	A					
Approach Delay (s)	172.9	2.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	F						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			16.8				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		69.1%	10	CU Level	of Service	С
Analysis Pariod (min)			15				

Synchro 9 Report Page 2

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Page 1

٦

Lanes, Volumes, Ti	imings						Existing PM
2: Downey Road &	& Nisko	Road	b				Downey Road Improvement Study
	۶	\mathbf{r}	1	Ť	ŧ	~	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		ሻ	†	•	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	201	18	5	487	783	223	
Future Volume (vph)	201	18	5	487	783	223	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (m)	0.0	0.0	15.0			0.0	
Storage Lanes	1	0	1			1	
Taper Length (m)	7.5		7.5				
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Ped Bike Factor							
Frt	0.989					0.850	
Flt Protected	0.956		0.950				
Satd. Flow (prot)	1796	0	1805	1900	1900	1615	
Flt Permitted	0.956		0.950				
Satd. Flow (perm)	1796	0	1805	1900	1900	1615	
Link Speed (k/h)	50			50	50		
Link Distance (m)	103.8			243.3	153.5		
Travel Time (s)	7.5			17.5	11.1		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			8			8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	218	20	5	529	851	242	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	238	0	5	529	851	242	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: (Other						
Control Type: Unsignaliz	zed						
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization 6	50.1%		10	CU Leve	el of Serv	vice B
Analysis Period (min) 15							

2: Downey Road 8	Nisko	a Road	d Cab	acity /	Analys	IS	Downey Road Improvement Study
	۶	\mathbf{r}	1	t	ţ	∢	
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	¥		r.	•	•	1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	201	18	5	487	783	223	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	201	18	5	487	783	223	
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	218	20	5	529	851	242	
Pedestrians	8						
Lane Width (m)	3.6						
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.2						
Percent Blockage	1						
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				None	None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)				243			
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1398	859	1101				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1398	859	1101				
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2				
p0 queue free %	0	94	99				
cM capacity (veh/h)	154	357	637				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	238	5	529	851	242		
Volume Left	218	5	0	0	0		
Volume Right	20	0	0	0	242		
cSH	162	637	1700	1700	1700		
Volume to Capacity	1.47	0.01	0.31	0.50	0.14		
Queue Length 95th (m)	122.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Control Delay (s)	292.8	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	F	В					
Approach Delay (s)	292.8	0.1		0.0			
Approach LOS	F						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			37.4				
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		60.1%	10	CU Leve	l of Service	В
			15				

Synchro 9 Report Page 3

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

3: Downey Road &	& Haze	elwoo	d Drive	Э			Downey Road Improvement Study
	4	•	Ť	۲	\ \	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		ĥ		٦	≜	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	15	475	3	37	754	
Future Volume (vph)	2	15	475	3	37	754	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	15.0		
Storage Lanes	1	0		0	1		
Taper Length (m)	7.5				7.5		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.880		0.999				
Flt Protected	0.994				0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1662	0	1898	0	1805	1900	
Flt Permitted	0.994				0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1662	0	1898	0	1805	1900	
Link Speed (k/h)	50		50			50	
Link Distance (m)	117.7		292.5			243.3	
Travel Time (s)	8.5		21.1			17.5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	2	16	516	3	40	820	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	18	0	519	0	40	820	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: 0	Other						
Control Type: Unsignaliz	ed						
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization 4	49.7%		10	CU Leve	el of Servi	ce A
Analysis Period (min) 15							

3: Downey Road 8	Interse & Haze	ection	d Drive	acity A	Analys	IS	EXISTING PA Downey Road Improvement Stud
	4	•	1	1	1	Ļ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		ĥ		٦	*	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	15	475	3	37	754	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	15	475	3	37	754	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	16	516	3	40	820	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)			293				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.96	0.96			0.96		
vC. conflicting volume	1418	518			519		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1414	473			475		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	99	97			96		
cM capacity (veh/h)	141	570			1050		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	SB 2			
Volume Total	18	519	40	820			
Volume Left	2	0	40	0			
Volume Right	16	3	0	0			
cSH	426	1700	1050	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.31	0.04	0.48			
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	0.9	0.0			
Control Delay (s)	13.8	0.0	8.6	0.0			
Lane LOS	В		А				
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	13.8 B	0.0	0.4				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.4				
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization		49.7%	IC	CU Leve	l of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Synchro 9 Report Page 5

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Lanes, Volumes, T	imings						Existing PM
4: Downey Road	& Ptarr	nigan	Drive	;			Downey Road Improvement Study
	٦	\mathbf{r}	1	†	Ŧ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y		ኘ	^	ĥ		
Traffic Volume (vph)	103	80	57	378	604	142	
Future Volume (vph)	103	80	57	378	604	142	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (m)	0.0	0.0	25.0			0.0	
Storage Lanes	1	0	1			0	
Taper Length (m)	7.5		7.5				
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.941				0.974		
Flt Protected	0.973		0.950				
Satd, Flow (prot)	1740	0	1805	1900	1851	0	
Flt Permitted	0.973	5	0.234	. 500		J	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1740	0	445	1900	1851	0	
Right Turn on Red		Yes	0			Yes	
Satd Flow (RTOR)	67	103			28	103	
Link Speed (k/h)	50			50	50		
Link Distance (m)	163.1			236.0	292.5		
	11 7			17.0	202.0		
Poak Hour Factor	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	
Adi Flow (upb)	110	0.92	0.92	411	657	154	
Shared Lana Traffic (9/)	112	07	02	411	007	104	
Shared Lane Tranic (%)	100	0	60	111	011	0	
	Drot	0	Porm	411	NA	0	
Protoctod Dhonoc	FIOL		renn	INA 0	INA		
Protected Phases	4		0	2	0		
Detector Phases	Α		2	0	C		
Delector Phase	4		2	2	0		
Switch Phase	FO		E O	FO	FO		
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0		5.0	0.0	5.0		
iviinimum Split (s)	24.0		24.0	24.0	24.0		
Total Split (s)	24.0		36.0	36.0	36.0		
i otal Split (%)	40.0%		60.0%	60.0%	60.0%		
Maximum Green (s)	18.0		30.0	30.0	30.0		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?							
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0		
Recall Mode	None		Max	Max	Max		
Walk Time (s)	7.0		7.0	7.0	7.0		
Flash Dont Walk (s)	11.0		11.0	11.0	11.0		
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	0		0	0	0		
Act Effct Green (s)	9.7		33.7	33.7	33.7		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.18		0.61	0.61	0.61		
v/c Ratio	0.56		0.23	0.36	0.71		
Control Delay	18.8		9.0	7.3	13.5		
Queue Delav	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	18.8		9.0	7.3	13.5		

Synchro 9 Report Page 7

Lane Group EBL EBR NBL NBT SBT SBR LOS B A A B A B Approach Delay 18.8 7.5 13.5 Approach LOS B A B Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 11.4 2.4 17.9 46.9 Queue Length 50th (m) 139.1 212.0 268.5		≯	\mathbf{i}	•	1	ţ	1	
LOS B A A B Approach Delay 18.8 7.5 13.5 Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 11.4 2.4 17.9 46.9 Queue Length 50th (m) 13.1 212.0 268.5 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spliback Cap Reductn 0 136 270 136 137 10 10 10 10 10 116 116 10 10 10	Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Approach LOS B A B Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 11.4 2.4 17.9 46.9 Queue Length 95th (m) 139.1 212.0 268.5 Turm Bay Length (m) 25.0 B A Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spliback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 0 Intersection Summary	LOS	В		A	A	В		
Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 11.4 2.4 17.9 46.9 Queue Length 95th (m) 126.9 10.0 40.2 #130.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 139.1 212.0 268.5 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 <td< td=""><td>Approach Delay</td><td>18.8</td><td></td><td></td><td>7.5</td><td>13.5</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Approach Delay	18.8			7.5	13.5		
Queue Length 50th (m) 11.4 2.4 17.9 46.9 Queue Length 95th (m) 26.9 10.0 40.2 #130.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 139.1 212.0 268.5 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary Intersection Summary Intersection Summary Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Other Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Int	Approach LOS	В			А	В		
Queue Length 95th (m) 26.9 10.0 40.2 #130.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 139.1 212.0 268.5 Tum Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Splilback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Splilback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1155 1136 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	Queue Length 50th (m)	11.4		2.4	17.9	46.9		
Internal Link Dist (m) 139.1 212.0 268.5 Turm Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary	Queue Length 95th (m)	26.9		10.0	40.2	#130.0		
Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary	Internal Link Dist (m)	139.1			212.0	268.5		
Base Capacity (vph) 613 270 1155 1136 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection LOS: 8 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: 8 Intersection LOS: 8 Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Oueue schown is maximum after two cycles	Turn Bay Length (m)			25.0				
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Kataral Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Our es shown is maximum after two cycles	Base Capacity (vph)	613		270	1155	1136		
Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Other Collaboration Collaboration	Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn 0	Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.32 0.23 0.36 0.71 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Oureue e shown is maximum after two cycles	Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0	0		
Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratic: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Oureue shown is maximum after two cycles	Reduced v/c Ratio	0.32		0.23	0.36	0.71		
Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue Bowm is maximum after two cycles Cueue Service C	Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles	Area Type: C)ther						
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.4 Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Oureje e shown is maximum after two cycles	Cycle Length: 60							
Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles	Actuated Cycle Length: 8	55.4						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue Shown is maximum after two cycles	Natural Cycle: 60							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue e shown is maximum after two cycles Cueue shown is maximum after two cycles	Control Type: Semi Act-U	Jncoord						
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Oucleue shown is maximum after two cycles	Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Oueue shown is maximum after two cycles	Intersection Signal Delay	: 12.3			l.	ntersecti	on LOS: B	
Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles	Intersection Capacity Util	lization 6	8.0%		l.	CU Leve	l of Service C	
95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles	Analysis Period (min) 15							
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles	# 95th percentile volum	ne excee	ds capa	acity, q	Jeue ma	ay be lon	ger.	
	Queue shown is maxi	mum aft	er two o	cycles.				

1 ø2		▶ ₀₄		
36 s			24 s	
↓ ø6				
36 c				

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

ane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR raffic Volume (vph) 21 2 15 2 0 4 39 412 8 7 662 35 iture Volume (vph) 21 2 15 2 0 4 39 412 8 7 662 35 iture Volume (vph) 1100 1900 1900 1900 1000 110 150 171 171 0		≯	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	•	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	1
ane Configurations +	ane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
raffic Volume (vph) 21 2 15 2 0 4 39 412 8 7 662 35 adel Row (vphp) 1900 190 190 190 110 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	ane Configurations		¢			¢		j.	ĥ		ľ	ę	
uture Volume (vph) 21 2 15 2 0 4 39 412 8 7 662 35 teal Flow (vphpl) 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 190	raffic Volume (vph)	21	2	15	2	0	4	39	412	8	7	662	35
Jeal Flow (vphpl) 1900 <th1715< th=""> 1715 1791</th1715<>	uture Volume (vph)	21	2	15	2	0	4	39	412	8	7	662	35
ane Util, Factor 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.950 0.992 It Protected 0.973 0.984 0.950 0.950 0.992 itt Protected 0.973 0.984 0.950 0.950 0.960 iatd, Flow (prot) 0 1751 0 0 1701 0 1805 1894 0 1715 1791 0 iatd, Flow (perm) 0 1751 0 0 1701 0 1805 1894 0 1715 1791 0 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 50 <td>deal Flow (vphpl)</td> <td>1900</td>	deal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
rt 0.947 0.910 0.997 0.992 0.992 latd. Flow (prot) 0.1751 0.0 1701 0.1805 1894 0.1715 1791 0 1t Permitted 0.973 0.984 0.950 0.950 latd. Flow (perm) 0.1751 0 0.1701 0.1805 1894 0.1715 1791 0 ink Speed (kh) 50 50 50 50 ravel Time (s) 6.7 6.1 15.2 17.0 Teak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	ane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00
It Protected 0.973 0.984 0.950 0.950 atd. Flow (port) 0.1751 0 0.1701 0.1805 1894 0.1715 1791 0 it Permitted 0.973 0.984 0.950 0.950 atd. Flow (perm) 0.1751 0 0.1701 0.1805 1894 0.1715 1791 0 ink Speed (k/h) 50 50 50 50 50 ravel Time (s) 6.7 6.1 15.2 17.0 eak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	rt		0.947			0.910			0.997			0.992	
atd. Flow (prot) 0 1751 0 0 1701 0 1805 1894 0 1715 1791 0 atd. Flow (perm) 0 1751 0 0 1701 0 1805 1894 0 1715 1791 0 ink Speed (k/h) 50 50 50 50 50 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 ravel Time (s) 6.7 6.1 15.2 17.0 teak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	It Protected		0.973			0.984		0.950			0.950		
It Permitted 0.973 0.984 0.950 0.950 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 2360 ravel Time (s) 6.7 6.1 52 17.0 eak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1751	0	0	1701	0	1805	1894	0	1715	1791	0
stadt. How (perm) 0 1751 0 0 1701 0 1894 0 1715 1791 0 ink Speed (k/h) 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 177.0 170	It Permitted		0.973			0.984		0.950			0.950		
Ink Speed (k/h) 50 50 50 50 50 ink Distance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 ink Distance (m) 92.9 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	atd. Flow (perm)	0	1751	0	0	1701	0	1805	1894	0	1715	1791	0
Ink Listance (m) 92.9 85.3 211.0 236.0 ravel Time (s) 6.7 6.1 15.2 17.0 reak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	ink Speed (k/h)		50			50			50			50	_
ravel lime (s) 6.7 6.1 15.2 17.0 leak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92	INK Distance (m)		92.9			85.3			211.0			236.0	
Teak Hour Factor 0.92 0.93	ravel Time (s)		6.7			6.1			15.2			17.0	
Idi, How (vph) 23 2 16 2 0 4 42 448 9 8 720 38 Ihared Lane Traffic (%) 10% ane Group Flow (vph) 0 41 0 0 6 0 42 457 0 7 759 0 ign Control Stop Stop Free Free Itersection Summary rea Type: Other Sontrol Type: Unsignalized Itersection Capacity Utilization 42.7% ICU Level of Service A Inalysis Period (min) 15	eak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
ane Group Flow (vph) 0 41 0 0 6 0 42 457 0 7 759 0 ign Control Stop Stop Free Free ttersection Summary vea Type: Other Sontrol Type: Unsignalized ttersection Capacity Utilization 42.7% ICU Level of Service A inalysis Period (min) 15	(dj. Flow (vpn)	23	2	16	2	0	4	42	448	9	8	720	38
igin Control Stop Stop Free Free itersection Summary vera Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Itersection Capacity Utilization 42.7% ICU Level of Service A inalysis Period (min) 15	snared Lane Traffic (%)	0	44	0	0	6	0	40	457	0	10%	750	0
Itersection Summary rea Type: Other Control Type: Unsignalized Itersection Capacity Utilization 42.7% ICU Level of Service A Inalysis Period (min) 15	ane Group Flow (vpn)	0	41	0	0	Ctor	0	42	457	0	1	759	0
tersection Summary rea Type: Other ontrol Type: Unsignalized tersection Capacity Utilization 42.7% ICU Level of Service A nalysis Period (min) 15	Igh Oontroi		otop			Otop			1100			1100	

HCM Unsignalized 5: Downev Road 8	Inters & Phea	ection asant f	i Capo Run Dr	ive/Q	Analys uail C	ıs reek E	Drive	Dow	ney Roa	ad Impro	existing	g PN Stud
	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	٩	1	†	1	1	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations		\$			\$		٦	ĥ		٦	۴	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	2	15	2	0	4	39	412	8	7	662	3
Future Volume (Veh/h)	21	2	15	2	0	4	39	412	8	7	662	3
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	2	16	2	0	4	42	448	9	8	720	3
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (m)												
Naiking Speed (m/s)												
Percent blockage												
Modian typo								Nono			Nono	
Median storade veh)								NONE			NULLE	
Instream signal (m)								211			236	
oX. platoon unblocked	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73		0.73	211			200	
C. conflicting volume	1291	1296	739	1290	1310	452	758			457		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
Cu, unblocked vol	1212	1219	451	1210	1239	452	478			457		
iC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	79	98	96	98	100	99	95			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	110	124	444	106	121	611	795			1114		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2						
Volume Total	41	6	42	457	5	761						
Volume Left	23	2	42	0	5	3						
Volume Right	16	4	0	9	0	38						
CSH	157	236	795	1700	1114	1114						
Volume to Capacity	0.26	0.03	0.05	0.27	0.01	0.01						
Queue Length 95th (m)	7.9	0.6	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2						
Long LOS	30.7	20.6	9.0	0.0	0.3	0.1						
Lane LUS Approach Dolay (c)	25 Z	20.6	A O S		A	A						
Approach LOS	55.7 E	20.0 C	0.0		0.2							
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.6									
ntersection Capacity Ut	ilization		42.7%	IC	CU Leve	l of Serv	vice		А			
			4.5									

Synchro 9 Report Page 10

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

Page 9

					、		
	-	~	T	1	>	ŧ	
ane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
ane Configurations	Y		eî 👘		ኘ	↑	
raffic Volume (vph)	9	59	398	19	112	549	
uture Volume (vph)	9	59	398	19	112	549	
leal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
ane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
rt	0.883		0.994				
It Protected	0.993				0.950	1000	
atd. Flow (prot)	1666	0	1889	0	1805	1900	
It Permitted	0.993				0.950	1000	
atd. Flow (perm)	1666	0	1889	0	1805	1900	
INK Speed (k/h)	50		50			50	
INK Distance (m)	126.2		141.1			211.0	
ravei Time (s)	9.1	0.00	10.2	0.00	0.00	15.2	
eak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
aj. Flow (Vph)	10	64	433	21	122	597	
nareu Lane Tranic (%)	71	0	151	0	100	507	
ane Group Flow (VpH)	7.4 Stop	0	404 Eroc	0	122	Froo	
ign Control	Stop		Fiee			riee	
ntersection Capacity U nalysis Period (min) 15	tilization 4	42.4%		10	CU Leve	l of Service A	A
itersection Capacity UI nalysis Period (min) 15	ilization 4	42.4%		IC	CU Leve	I of Service A	Α

<u>6: Downey Road &</u>	Inters & Teal	ectior Drive	Сар	acity A	Analys	IS	EXISTING PN Downey Road Improvement Stud				
	4	•	Ť	1	1	ţ					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT					
Lane Configurations	Y		ĥ		ľ	*					
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	59	398	19	112	549					
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	59	398	19	112	549					
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free					
Grade	0%		0%			0%					
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92					
Hourly flow rate (vph)	10	64	433	21	122	597					
Pedestrians											
Lane Width (m)											
Walking Speed (m/s)											
Percent Blockage											
Right turn flare (veh)											
Median type			None			None					
Median storage veh)											
Upstream signal (m)											
px, platoon unblocked	1001				45.4						
vC, conflicting volume	1284	444			454						
VC1, stage 1 cont vol											
VC2, stage 2 control	100/	111			454						
tC aingle (a)	6.4	6.0			404						
tC, Sirigie (S)	0.4	0.2			4.1						
10, 2 31age (3) tE (a)	3.5	33			2.2						
n (S)	0.0	0.0			2.2						
cM canacity (veh/h)	164	619			1117						
	104	015									
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	SB 2							
Volume Total	74	454	122	597							
Volume Left	10	0	122	0							
Volume Right	64	21	0	0							
cSH	450	1700	1117	1700							
Volume to Capacity	0.16	0.27	0.11	0.35							
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.7	0.0	2.9	0.0							
Control Delay (s)	14.6	0.0	8.6	0.0							
Lane LOS	B	0.0	A								
Approach Delay (S)	14.6 R	0.0	1.5								
	0										
Intersection Summary		_	_	_		_					
Average Delay			1.7								
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		42.4%	IC	CU Leve	I of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min)			15								

٦

Appendix C

Signal Justification Worksheets



Signal Justification Calculation (OTM Book 12 - Justifications 1, 2, 3)



Horizon Year: 2016 Region/City/Township: Guelph

> Major Street: <u>Downey Road</u> Minor Street: Niska Road

North/South?: Y

Number of Approach Lanes: 1 Tee Intersection? Y Flow Conditions: Restricted

									1				
			Major	Street					Minor	Street			
			Downe	ey Road					Niska	Road			
		Northbound			Southbound	1		Eastbound			Westbound		Peds Crossing
Hour	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Main Road
7:00 - 8:00	7	501			297	174	89		5				0
8:00 - 9:00	11	716			349	179	159		8				0
9:00 - 10:00	7	242			211	121	97		6				0
12:00 - 13:00	6	255			249	109	98		6				0
13:00 - 14:00	6	259			235	106	106		5				0
15:00 - 16:00	8	331			490	170	157		10				0
16:00 - 17:00	8	429			719	203	196		17				2
17:00 - 18:00	10	501			668	207	224		11				0

			-				-				
		1	Α	1	В	2	Α	2	В		
	Ношк		ach Lanas	Minor	Street	Major	Street	Traffic Crossing			
	noui			Both App	oroaches	Both Approaches		Major Street			
	Threshold	720		255		720		75			
	1	1073	100%	94	37%	979	100%	89	100%		
	2	1422	1422 100%		65%	1255	100%	159	100%		
	3	684	684 95%		40%	581	81%	97	100%		
	4	723	100%	104	41%	619	86%	98	100%		
	5	717	100%	111	44%	606	84%	106	100%		
	6	1166	100%	167	65%	999	100%	157	100%		
	7	1572	100%	213	84%	1359	100%	198	100%		
	8	1621 100%		235	92%	1386	100%	224	100%		
8 Hours 100	% Fulfilled?		No	No			No		Yes		
8 Hours 80	% Fulfilled?		Yes		No		Yes		Yes		

 Justification Results

 Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume)
 No

 Justification 2 (Delay To Cross Traffic
 No

 Justification 3 (Volume/Delay Combination)
 No

Is A Signal Justfied? No



Signal Justification Calculation (OTM Book 12 - Justifications 1, 2, 3)



Horizon Year: 2016 Region/City/Township: Guelph

> Major Street: <u>Downey Road</u> Minor Street: <u>Woodland Glen Drive</u>

North/South?: Y

Number of Approach Lanes: 2 or more Tee Intersection? Y Flow Conditions: <u>Restricted</u>

			Major	Street					1				
			Downe	ey Road				١	Noodland	Glen Driv	e		1
		Northbound			Southbound	1		Eastbound			Westbound		Peds Crossing
Hour	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Left	Through	Right	Main Road
7:00 - 8:00	43	540			417	41	59		53				0
8:00 - 9:00	110	767			438	124	56		93				0
9:00 - 10:00	23	307			307	61	65		23				0
12:00 - 13:00	26	330			333	63	71		28				0
13:00 - 14:00	25	339			311	59	60		22				0
15:00 - 16:00	60	426			589	88	38		69				0
16:00 - 17:00	77	543			830	117	70		90				2
17:00 - 18:00	79	644			781	154	71		87				0

		1A All Approach Lanes		1B Minor Street Both Approaches		2A Major Street Both Approaches		2B Traffic Crossing Major Street	
	Hour								
	Threshold	900		255		900		75	
	1	1153	100%	112	44%	1041	100%	59	79%
	2	1588	100%	149	58%	1439	100%	56	75%
	3	786	87%	88	35%	698	78%	65	87%
	4	851	95%	99	39%	752	84%	71	95%
	5	816	91%	82	32%	734	82%	60	80%
	6	1270	100%	107	42%	1163	100%	38	51%
	7	1727	100%	160	63%	1567	100%	72	96%
	8	1816	100%	158	62%	1658	100%	71	95%
3 Hours 100	rs 100% Fulfilled? No				No		No		No
8 Hours 80	% Fulfilled?		Yes		No		No		No

 Justification Results

 Justification 1 (Minimum Vehicle Volume)
 No

 Justification 2 (Delay To Cross Traffic
 No

 Justification 3 (Volume/Delay Combination)
 No

Is A Signal Justfied? No



Appendix D

Workshop Participation Summary



Type of Workshop Attendee	Number of Attendees	Percentage
Lives directly on Downey Road	30	26%
Lives within Kortright Hills West Community	69	59%
No address given	3	3%
Stakeholder	11	9%
Councillors	4	3%
Total	117	100%

