Environment and Land Tribunals Ontario

Ontario Municipal Board

655 Bay Street, Suite 1500 Toronto ON M5G 1E5

Telephone: Toll Free:

(416) 212-6349 1-866-448-2248

Fax: Website: (416) 326-5370 www.elto.gov.on.ca

Tribunaux de l'environnement et de l'aménagement du territoire Ontario

Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

655 rue Bay, suite 1500

Toronto ON M5G 1E5

Téléphone: Sans Frais: Télécopieur: Site Web:

(416) 212-6349 1-866-448-2248 (416) 326-5370 www.elto.gov.on.ca

Instructions for preparing and submitting the Appellant Form (A1)

NOTICE – APPEAL FEE CHANGE

Effective July 1, 2016, Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) appeal fees are changing from \$125 to \$300. Appeals received and date-stamped by the municipality/approval authority on or after July 1, 2016, are subject to the new appeal fee.

- The fee of \$25 for each additional consent appeal filed by the same appellant against connected consent applications does not change.
- The fee of \$25 for each additional variance appeal filed by the same appellant against connected variance applications does not change.

OMB appeal fees are still \$125 for appeals with date-stamps from before July 1, 2016.

- Complete one form for each type of appeal you are filing.
- Please print clearly.
- A filing fee of \$300 is required for each type of appeal you are filing. To view the Fee Schedule, visit the Board's website.
- The filing fee must be paid by certified cheque or money order, in Canadian funds, payable to the Minister of Finance.
- If you are represented by a solicitor the filing fee may be paid by a solicitor's general or trust account cheque.
- Do not send cash.
- Professional representation is not required but please advise the Board if you retain a representative after the submission of this form.
- Submit your completed appeal form(s) and filing fee(s) by the filing deadline to either the Municipality or the Approval Authority as applicable. Do NOT send directly to the Ontario Municipal Board.
- The Municipality/Approval Authority will forward your appeal(s) and fee(s) to the Ontario Municipal Board.
- The Planning Act and the Ontario Municipal Board Act are available on the Board's website.



Environment and Land Tribunals Ontario RECEIVED APPELLANT FORM (A1) **Ontario Municipal Board**

655 Bay Street, Suite 1500 Toronto, Ontario M5G 1E5 TEL: (416) 212-6349 or Toll Free: 1-866-448-2248 FAX: (416) 326-5370

www.elto.gov.on.ca

Date Stamp - Appeal Received by Municipality

DEC 2 2016

SUBMIT COMPLETED FORM Service MUNICIPALITY/APPROVAL AUTHORITY

Receipt Number (OMB Office Use Only)	

Part 1: Appeal Type (Please check only one box)

SUBJECT OF APPEAL	TYPE OF APPEAL	PLANNING ACT REFERENCE (SECTION)
Minor Variance	Appeal a decision	45(12)
	Appeal a decision	52(10)
Consent/Severance	Appeal conditions imposed	53(19)
	Appeal changed conditions	53(27)
	Failed to make a decision on the application within 90 days	53(14)
	Appeal the passing of a Zoning By-law	34(19)
Zoning By-law or	Application for an amendment to the Zoning By-law – failed to make a decision on the application within 120 days	34(11)
Zoning By-law Amendment	Application for an amendment to the Zoning By-law – refused by the municipality	
Interim Control By-law	Appeal the passing of an Interim Control By-law	38(4)
	Appeal a decision	17(24) or 17(36)
	Failed to make a decision on the plan within 180 days	17(40)
Official Plan or Official Plan Amendment	Application for an amendment to the Official Plan – failed to make a decision on the application within 180 days	22(7)
	Application for an amendment to the Official Plan – refused by the municipality	
	Appeal a decision	51(39)
Plan of Subdivision	Appeal conditions imposed	51(43) or 51(48)
	Failed to make a decision on the application within 180 days	51(34)

Part 2: Location Information		图 医乳腺素 化甲基苯甲酚 医耳形性的 法有关的 经国际股份
75 DUBLIN	GIREET N	
Address and/or Legal Description	of property subject to the appeal:	
Municipality/Upper tier:	ity of Guelph	
A1 Revised August 2016		Page 2 of 6

Part 3: Appellant Information		
First Name:	Last Name:	
Gueloh Old City Resident		# 1964198
Company Name or Association Name (Association must be inc		incorporation)
Professional Title (if applicable):		
E-mail Address:	to receive communications from the OMB b	
by providing an e-man address you agree	to receive communications from the OMD b	y e-mail.
Daytime Telephone #: 519 - 766-0139	_ Alternate Telephone #:	
Fax #:	_	
Mailing Address: 17 Kent Street Street Address		Gulph
Outaio	Apt/Suite/Unit#	NIH 38G
Province	Country (if not Canada)	Postal Code
Signature of Appellant:		_Date:
(Signature not required if the app	-	
Please note: You must notify the Ontario Municipal Board quote your OMB Reference Number(s) after they have bee		phone number in writing. Please
Personal information requested on this form is collected under and the <i>Ontario Municipal Board Act</i> , R.S.O. 1990, c. O. 28 as		
may become available to the public.		
Part 4: Representative Information (if applicable)		
I hereby authorize the named company and/or indivi	dual(s) to represent me:	_11_
First Name:	Last Name:	<u>ett</u>
Company Name: Sric K. Gillespie Pr	oferical Corpor	din
Professional Title: Associate lawyer		
E-mail Address: ; flott @ gillos pielous. By providing to e-mail address you agree	to receive communications from the OMB b	v e-mail
444 0 0 0	Alternate Telephone #: 46	•
Fax#: 416 - 703 -911(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mailing Address: 10 Kiur St. EAST	600	Toronto
Street Address	Apt/Suite/Unit#	City/Town
Dutario		M5c 1c3
Province (Lau X = //)	Country (if not Canada)	Postal Code
Signature of Appellant:		

Please note: If you are representing the appellant and are NOT a solicitor, please confirm that you have written authorization, as required by the Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure, to act on behalf of the appellant. Please confirm this by checking the box below.

I certify that I have written authorization from the appellant to act as a representative with respect to this appeal on his or her behalf and I understand that I may be asked to produce this authorization at any time.

A1 Revised August 2016 Page 3 of 6

Please choose preferred language: English French	
We are committed to providing services as set out in the <i>Accessibility for</i> any accessibility needs, please contact our Accessibility Coordinator as se	
Part 6: Appeal Specific Information	
 Provide specific information about what you are appealing. Fo Number(s), Official Plan Number(s) or Subdivision Number(s): 	r example: Municipal File Number(s), By-law
(Please print) Cidy of Grelph Zoning By. law Municipal File No. ZC 1612A	(2016)-20113;
 Outline the nature of your appeal and the reasons for your appeal. Be (for example: the specific provisions, sections and/or policies of the your appeal - if applicable). **If more space is required, please continue. 	Official Plan or By-law which are the subject of
Please see attached corresp	onlonce
THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS (a&b) APPLY ONLY TO APPEALS OF SECTION 34(11) OF THE PLANNING ACT.	DF ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENTS UNDER
SECTION 34(11) OF THE <i>PLANNING ACT</i> . a) DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO MUNICIPALITY:	-Bill 51' form.) s the existing zoning category, desired zoning cription of the lands under appeal:
a) DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO MUNICIPALITY: (If application submitted before January 1, 2007 please use the O1 'pre- b) Provide a brief explanatory note regarding the proposal, which includes category, the purpose of the desired zoning by-law change, and a description.	-Bill 51' form.) s the existing zoning category, desired zoning cription of the lands under appeal:
a) DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO MUNICIPALITY: (If application submitted before January 1, 2007 please use the O1 'pre- b) Provide a brief explanatory note regarding the proposal, which includes category, the purpose of the desired zoning by-law change, and a description.	-Bill 51' form.) s the existing zoning category, desired zoning cription of the lands under appeal: rate page. dments, zoning by-laws/amendments or after July 1, 2016.
a) DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO MUNICIPALITY: (If application submitted before January 1, 2007 please use the O1 'pre- b) Provide a brief explanatory note regarding the proposal, which includes category, the purpose of the desired zoning by-law change, and a desc **If more space is required, please continue in Part 9 or attach a separ Bill 73 - This question applies only to official plans/amend and minor variances that came into effect/were passed on 1. Is the 2-year no application restriction under section 22(2.2) or 34 a. No	-Bill 51' form.) s the existing zoning category, desired zoning cription of the lands under appeal: rate page. dments, zoning by-laws/amendments or after July 1, 2016. (10.0.0.2) or 45(1.4) applicable?
a) DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO MUNICIPALITY: (If application submitted before January 1, 2007 please use the O1 'pre- b) Provide a brief explanatory note regarding the proposal, which includes category, the purpose of the desired zoning by-law change, and a desc**If more space is required, please continue in Part 9 or attach a separ Bill 73 - This question applies only to official plans/amend and minor variances that came into effect/were passed on 1. Is the 2-year no application restriction under section 22(2.2) or 34 a. No b. Yes	-Bill 51' form.) s the existing zoning category, desired zoning cription of the lands under appeal: rate page. dments, zoning by-laws/amendments or after July 1, 2016.

If yes, please provide OMB Reference Number(s) and/or Municipal File Number(s) in the box below:

Part 5: Language and Accessibility

THE CITY OF GUELPH IS REVIEWING IT'S DOWNTOWN ZONING BY-LAWS TO BRING- THEM INTO CONFORMITY WITH OPA 43, THE SUBJECT SITE IS PART OF THAT PROCESS. GUELPH ALSO INITIATED A SITE SPECIFIC OPA TO PERMIT MORE HIEIGHT AT THE SITE,
COUNCIL CONSIDERED THAT OPA AND CHOSE IN THE END TO REJECT FIT.
Part 8: Scheduling Information
How many days do you estimate are needed for hearing this appeal? half day 1 day 2 days 3 days 4 days 1 week More than 1 week – please specify number of days:
How many expert witnesses and other witnesses do you expect to have at the hearing providing evidence/testimony?
Describe expert witness(es)' area of expertise (For example: land use planner, architect, engineer, etc.): LAND USE PLANNER; HERTAKE PLANNER
Do you believe this matter would benefit from mediation? (Mediation is generally scheduled only when all parties agree to participate)
Do you believe this matter would benefit from a prehearing conference? YES (Prehearing conferences are generally not scheduled for variances or consents)
If yes, why?
Part 9: Other Applicable Information **Attach a separate page if more space is required.
O
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED CORRESPONDENCE.

(Please print)

A1 Revised August 2016 Page 5 of 6

Pari		7		
ma 5 18 888	1 K 7 P (8 8 8 1	1=10101	11240	麗もら

Total Fee Submitted:	\$ <u>500</u>		•
Payment Method:	Certified cheque	Money Order	Solicitor's general or trust account cheque

- The payment must be in Canadian funds, payable to the Minister of Finance.
- Do not send cash.
- PLEASE ATTACH THE CERTIFIED CHEQUE/MONEY ORDER TO THE FRONT OF THIS FORM.

A1 Revised August 2016 Page 6 of 6

RECEIVED

Telephone: +1 (416) 703-5400 Direct: +1 (416) 703-7034

Fax: +1 (416) 703-9111 Email: iflett@gillespielaw.ca

IAN FLETT, J.D.

DEC 2 1 2016

Service Guelph

December 21, 2016

Delivered as attachment to Form A1

Mr. Stephen O'Brien City Clerk 1 Carden Street Guelph, ON N1H 3A1

Dear Mr. O'Brien:

Notice of Appeal; 75 Dublin Street, City of Guelph Re:

We are the lawyers for the Guelph Old City Residents' Association Inc. ("GOCRA"), an association incorporated pursuant to the laws of Ontario that is concerned generally with planning matters in the City of Guelph. The Directors of GOCRA ("Directors") provided comments to the Guelph City Council on the above referenced matter on their own and as represented by our office under the name Old City Residents' Committee. GOCRA was recently incorporated and will provide its articles of incorporation forthwith.

This is to advise you of the Directors'/GOCRA's appeal of the November 28th and 30th, 2016 decision of the Guelph City Council's ("Council") decision in respect of the site-specific Zoning By-law Amendment ZC1612.

ZC1612 changed the zoning category of the subject site from I.1 (Institutional) to a modified D.2-9 (Downtown) Zone, with conditions. ZC1612 would permit a four-storey apartment building, with certain setbacks and stepbacks.

The subject site is located at the northeast corner of Dublin Street and Cork Street West at the western edge of the City of Guelph's Downtown Secondary Plan area. It is designated as Mixed Use 2 and across Cork Street West from lands forming part of a group of buildings associated with the Church of Our Lady Basilica ("Basilica"), Guelph's most prominent heritage building. The lands surrounding the Basilica make up a cultural heritage landscape referred to as "Catholic Hill" and the Basilica itself enjoys protection under the Venice Charter. Respect for the heritage importance of the Basilica and Catholic Hill informs many of Guelph's built form policies.

The site-specific zoning by-law amendment was not the result of a property owner's private application under the *Planning Act*, but rather Council's acquiescence to the property owner's request for the predetermination of performance standards on its site in the context of a broader downtown Guelph zoning by-law update. The broader zoning by-law update is ongoing and intended to bring the downtown zoning by-law standards into conformity with OPA 43, the Downtown Guelph Secondary Plan. The early consideration of the subject site was intended to facilitate the achievement of certain benchmarks for the property owner to qualify for an affordable housing funding scheme. The status of that funding is unclear given certain statements made by the property owner.

GOCRA members are concerned with the broader downtown Guelph zoning by-law update and are concerned with, *inter alia*, the impact of the subject site's pre-determination in that process.

Our client's appeal concerns the following:

Council failed to respect the requirements of section 34(12)(a)(i) of the *Planning* Act by its failure to make sufficient information available to understand generally the re-zoning proposal that was being considered by the Council. Heritage reports, shadow studies, applicable elevation diagrams, *inter alia*, were unavailable to the public when they were most pertinent.

Council failed to respect subsection 34(12)(b), 34(13) - 34(14.1) of the *Planning Act* by failing to hold an open house, or failing to make pertinent information available at any purported open house in respect of a ZC1612 that was intended to conform to the new Downtown Guelph Secondary Plan pursuant to section 26(9) of the *Planning Act*.

ZC1612 is inconsistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014:

Policy	Reason
1.7.1 (d)	
(-)	Council failed to encourage a sense of place by ignoring the impact
	of massing on the subject site in relation to the built heritage
2.6.2	resource thereby undermining long-term economic prosperity.
2.6.3	Council failed to conduct its own evaluation of the impacts of the proposed development on nearby heritage resources; it ignored the conclusions of its own heritage advisory committee; the proponent's heritage impact assessment was released to the public after the first statutory public meeting, thereby undermining effective public consultation in respect of heritage.

ZC1612 fails to conform/conflicts with Guelph's official plan, the Downtown Secondary Plan ("OPA 43"):

Policy	Reason
Official Plan Policy 3.5.2	Council decided the matter in advance of receiving the
OPA 48, 4.8.4.1 (under appeal)	recommendations of Heritage Guelph, its own heritage advisory
	body intended for the evaluation of heritage impacts from
	development applications; council did not consider the relationship
	of the proposed massing to the Basilica; the massing proposed next
	to the Basilica fails to either preserve or enhance the area context
	surrounding the Basilica; Alternative development concepts at
	different heights were not considered in order to determine how
	best to achieve Official Plan objectives.
Official Plan Policy 7.2.7	Council failed to consider the compatibility of the scale and
	articulation of building to its immediate vicinity; further, council did
	not fully consider the shadow and other impacts of the performance
	standards on institutional uses in the immediate vicinity; ZC1612
	proposes a building that is too large for the site, in part due to
	elevation changes; further, the placement of the driveway for the
	development creates conflicts, the reduced setbacks interfere with
	safe sight lines for motorists, other road users and pedestrians
	navigating the intersection of Cork and Dublin.
OPA 43 Objectives (c), (d), (e), (g)	Council ignored the impact of different scales of development at the
	subject site in maintaining the distinct heritage character of Catholic
	hill; it further failed to consider the cultural heritage of Downtown
	in the design of buildings by, inter alia, ignoring the advice of its own
	heritage advisory committee, failing to consider the heritage
	impacts of 2 and 3 storey development concepts on Catholic Hill, the
	Basilica and the open space surrounding it.
11.1.5.4.2	Council failed to consider the subject site's relationship to a Heritage
	Conservation Analysis for the historic Downtown Core generally
	west of the Speed River when it permitted the expediting of the
11 1 7 Objective (-)	subject application;
11.1.7 – Objective (g)	Council failed to consider the character of downtown's historic
11 1 7 2 2	fabric in surrounding neighbourhoods.
11.1.7.2.3 – "The Zoning By-law	ZC1612 was decided prematurely because City Council failed to
shall more precisely define the	more precisely define the protected views to the Basilica, using only
protected views identified in Schedule D".	those views "generally identified" in OPA 43.
11.1.7.4.1 – Mixed Use 2 Areas	Council failed to assistantly and the second
11.1.7.4.1 – Wilked USe 2 Areas	Council failed to consider the predominant low-rise character of the
	area surrounding the subject site and therefore; the contribution of

	the Basilica to the Cultural Heritage Value of Guelph's Downtown;
	and further by failing to consider the predominant low-rise
	character, it failed to maintain that very character as expressed in
	applicable policy; Council further failed to consider the impact of
	residential development at the proposed scale on nearby
	institutional uses.
11.1.7.4.4 (a), (b)	Council failed to consider the compatibility of the scale and
1	articulation of buildings within Mixed Use area 2, and particularly
	near the subject site; further, council did not fully consider the
	shadow impacts of the proposed development on nearby
	institutional uses, thereby failing to establish that a change of use
	on the site was consistent with the Official Plan; ZC1612 proposes a
	building that is too large for the site, in part due to elevation
	changes.

Please find enclosed a cheque in the amount of \$300 made to the Minister of Finance.

Yours very truly,

ERIC K. GILLESPIE
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Per:

Ian Flett