

**CLAIR-MALTBY TECHNICAL ADVISORY GROUP
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2017 AT 6:30 P.M.**

**CITY HALL MEETING ROOM C
MINUTES**

- Present:** Richard Puccini, Jason Elliott, Karen Chisholme, Phil James, Astrid Clos, Angela Kroetsch, Hugh Handy, Dave Stephenson, Ian Weir, Hugh Whiteley
- Regrets:** Charles Cecile, Mike Gregory, Bill Banks, Rajan Philips, Patty Quackenbush
- City:** Arun Hindupur (Chair), Melissa Aldunate, Abby Watts
- Consultant:** Ron Scheckenberger, Margot Ursic, Steve Chipps, Steve Davies, Bill Blackport

- 1. Introductions (6:30-6:35)**
- 2. Background/Study Context (6:35-6:45)**
 - Project timelines to date
 - Overview of study components
- 3. Review terms of reference for TAG (6:45-7:15)**
 - Review of TAG mandate

Comments/Discussion

- Discussion regarding the level of integration between disciplines; TAG meetings should represent this integration
- Opportunities for TAG involvement in other aspects of the study should be considered, i.e. development of the problem/opportunity statement, visioning session, etc.
- Land use planning should be integrated into both the TAG and the CWG discussions
- Discussion about the EA process and requirements Beneficial to have a 1 page outline on the master environmental servicing plan to show how it fits with the rest of the project/process
- It would be helpful to have a different "study area" for the transportation and possibly the other technical components
- Active transportation has to be integrated into land use in order to impact design, need to have the discussion early enough to influence planning questions

- Discussion about the community structure alternatives and where they are to be derived from

4. CEIS Work Plan Presentation (7:15-7:45)

The project team presented the CEIS work plan to the TAG:

- Purpose of the CEIS
- Technical Work plan Context
- Description of study area
- Key CEIS tasks
- Approach
- Overview of surface water component
- Overview of groundwater component
- Overview of landform component
- Overview of natural heritage component

5. Questions/Discussion of CEIS Work Plan (7:45-8:25)

- Confirmation of study area boundary
- Discussion regarding the rationale for the primary study area size (i.e., 500 m from the Secondary Plan area boundary). The approach taken was at a landscape level and to consider connectivity to surrounding features. Also supports drawing more data from available EIS/EIR studies in these lands.
- Discussion regarding historic Jefferson salamander populations south of Maltby Road and guidance provided by MNRF
- Genetic testing of blue spotted salamanders (to verify if they are Jefferson's) hasn't been included in the work plan but any specimens found dead could be provided to Dr. Bogart at the University of Guelph for analysis
- Breeding bird stations along Victoria Road (and Gordon St.), planning for early in the morning surveys due to traffic
- Discussion about contour mapping and what has been used to define significant landforms; noted that landowners may have more detailed contour mapping in some locations; Consultant team using most accurate available mapping from city (0.5 m contours)
- Significant landform criteria in the Official Plan are not being revised and the intent of the assessment for this study is not to revise the Significant landform mapping; the intent of the analysis is a sensitivity rating to help inform integration with various proposed land uses
- Concerns raised related to property access concerns and how study team is addressing this issue to refine the NHS; noted that other surrogates (roadside inventories and existing data and mapping) are being applied
- Might be helpful to have the existing NHS mapping and policy (i.e. Primer on NHS) to understand local context for those not as familiar with the local policies and processes
- Request to have a landscape architect on the study team to consider the significance of aesthetics on evaluation of significant landforms
- Note that potential cultural heritage landscapes will be identified through the Secondary Plan process

- Discussion about natural capital and infrastructure and the need to consider municipal services and how to potentially monetize these assets
- Work plan is constraints focussed – there should be an emphasis on opportunities to develop the Community structure plans; reference to external compensation areas
- Discussion about surface and groundwater modelling and the scale and the level of detail the models will provide specific to the closed capture zones; noted that the modelling will establish generalized water budgets for the Primary Study Area and Secondary Study Area as well as more detailed water budgets for selected representative NHS features. The detailed water budgets for the selected NHS features will still need to be refined in future site-level studies.

6. Next Steps/Wrap Up (8:25-8:30)